

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Ettinger-Epstein, Piers (2006) *Natural products and their supply from the tropical sponge *Luffariella variabilis. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/2118>



**Natural products and their supply
from the tropical sponge *Luffariella variabilis***

Thesis submitted by

Piers Ettinger-Epstein B. Sc. (Hons.) USyd.

December 2006

**For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in the School of Marine and Tropical Biology,
James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia.**

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I as the undersigned author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses Network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and I do not wish to place any restrictions on access to this work.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT OF SOURCES

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any other university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT OF THE CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

Research funding for this study was provided through the Australian Institute of Marine Science, the James Cook University Finfish and Emerging Aquaculture Research Advancement Programme, CRC Reef, a Queensland Government ‘Growing the Smart State PhD Funding’ award, and my supervisor Professor Rocky de Nys. An Australian Postgraduate Award and a James Cook University write up grant provided stipend support.

ELECTRONIC COPY DECLARATION

I, as the undersigned and author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of technology available.

Signature

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank Professor Rocky de Nys. Rocky's intellectual guidance, encouragement, support and enthusiasm, all mixed with a healthy dollop of pragmatism have been pivotal and are very much appreciated. He has been an excellent supervisor and ultimately I leave his lab with not only a PhD submitted, but with an understanding of what it takes to function as an early career scientist. I sincerely hope we will be able to collaborate in the future.

My associate supervisor, Dr Dianne Tapiolas from the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) has been very patient in helping me understand the intellectual rigours and operational aspects of the natural products chemistry which underpins much of my work. I am very appreciative of her generous contribution to this project.

I would also like to acknowledge and thank my colleague Steve Whalan, with whom I worked closely during my PhD. He was always ready to discuss the diverse aspects of my project and helped me so much in the field. Furthermore, his wicked sense of humour was a saviour when mine was flagging, especially on our extended field trips in cyclonic weather.

AIMS and Dr. Chris Battershill provided a large amount of operational support for this project which was very much appreciated. Dr Chris Battershill, Dr Tony Wright, Dr Cherie Motti, Dr Simon Ovenden, Dr Andrew Negri, Mr Jonathon Nielson and Mr Carsten Wolff, generously donated their time to discuss my work and I thank them sincerely.

Professor Patricia Bergquist helped me with sponge identification and Dr Nick Paul provided valuable input to some chapters.

Heavily field and laboratory based projects such as this one are facilitated by an army of volunteers. Special thanks (in order of torture) go to Dominica Loong, Erin Graham, Andy Cole and Ann-Maree Lynch for their energy, effort and invaluable contribution in both the field and laboratory. Thanks also go to staff of Orpheus Island Research Station (OIRS) who always cheerfully did their best to accommodate all the logistically complex needs of the project on the island.

Finally, I would not have undertaken a PhD without the support of my wonderful parents Haley Epstein and Geri Ettinger and sister Sascha Ettinger-Epstein. Thankyou so much for all your enthusiasm and love.

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines the critical link between the fundamental biology and chemical ecology of the Great Barrier Reef sponge *Luffariella variabilis* (Poléjaeff 1884) for the aquaculture based supply of bioactive metabolites. *Luffariella variabilis* produces manoalide, a high value bioactive sesterterpene used as a molecular probe. The sponge is cryptic and distributed widely through the Indo-Pacific -- this study was done on the central Great Barrier Reef at Orpheus Island in the Palm Islands group, Queensland, Australia where *L. variabilis* is common.

The first objective of the study was to examine the natural products chemistry of *L. variabilis*. Three new acetylated compounds, 25-acetoxyluffariellin A, 25-acetoxyluffariellin B and 25-acetoxysesco-manoalide were obtained from *L. variabilis* and the structures of the three new compounds elucidated on the basis of their spectroscopic data. The known major metabolites, manoalide monoacetate, manoalide, luffariellin A and seco-manoalide were also identified.

The known major metabolites were then monitored temporally and spatially to determine the potential yield from wild harvest or aquaculture. Production of the major metabolites was hardwired with little variation in space and time at the population level in the Palm Islands. Manoalide monoacetate (35 - 70 mg g⁻¹ dry weight of sponge) was always the most abundant compound followed by manoalide (15 - 20 mg g⁻¹ dry weight of sponge). Luffariellin A and seco-manoalide were always 10 - 70 times less abundant and varied between 0 - 3 mg g⁻¹ dry weight of sponge. Collections of *L. variabilis* made at Davies Reef and Magnetic Island yielded the same rank order and yields of compounds indicating a generality of pattern over at least 100 km. The 'hardwiring' of metabolite production at the population level by *L. variabilis* was also reflected in the lack of any inductive effect on metabolite production. In

addition, individually monitored sponges produced fixed ratios of the major metabolites over time. However, these ratios varied between individuals with some individuals consistently producing high levels of manoalide and manoalide monoacetate. The potential for selection of high yielding stocks is discussed.

In order to explore the sustainable production of natural products via wild harvest or aquaculture, the reproductive output of *L. variabilis* was quantified and correlated with sea temperature over two reproductive seasons (2004 and 2005). *Luffariella variabilis* is gonochoristic and viviparous. Gametogenesis commenced for females at a water temperature of 21 °C, the lowest water temperature of the year, and spermatogenesis occurred above 22.5 °C (with sperm asynchronously developed and released from August or September to October). Females asynchronously developed oocytes from July to September, embryos from September to December, and larvae from November to December. Female reproduction terminated in December (after larval release) prior to the highest mean annual water temperature of 30 °C in January. There was a significant (30 %) decrease in female reproductive output in 2005 compared to 2004 as measured by the reproductive index (0.91 ± 0.14 female reproductive propagules mm^{-2} of choanoderm in 2005 compared with $1.27 \pm 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-2}$ in 2004). This corresponded with delayed oogenesis and spermatogenesis, and a shortened larval development cycle because of a delayed minimum temperature (21 °C) in August of 2005 compared with July 2004. Correspondingly, the maximum percentage of the choanoderm occupied by female reproductive propagules (eggs, embryos and larvae) was also reduced by 33 % in 2005 (5.09 % in October 2004 compared with 3.44 % in October 2005). However, the mean sizes of individual female propagules remained the same from year to year. Males in contrast, showed no overall difference in either reproductive index or percentage occupation of the choanoderm between 2004 and 2005. The significantly lower reproductive output (~30 %) for *L. variabilis* associated with delayed minimum water temperatures has significant implications for population reproductive success

where oogenesis, spermatogenesis and larval release are cued by minimum and maximum water temperatures, given the predicted increases in water temperatures associated with climate change.

Determining the settlement responses of *L. variabilis* larvae is crucial in determining on-growth potential for aquaculture. The response of *L. variabilis* larvae to a hierarchy of settlement cues was examined from mid-November to late December 2005. Light cued the daytime release (0700 – 1600 hrs) of up to 830 larvae day⁻¹ sponge⁻¹ over 5 – 6 weeks. Newly released larvae initially swam upwards. However, at 20 - 40 min post release, larvae exhibited a clear negative phototaxis and light strongly influenced their settlement. Irradiance levels of 55 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and 14 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ slowed the settlement rate of larvae and inhibited overall settlement after 18 hours by ~ 60 % and 35 % respectively compared with controls. The rate of settlement and overall settlement were still significantly reduced at irradiances of >3 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. This corroborated with the adult distribution of *L. variabilis* in dark areas. *Luffariella variabilis* larvae are gregarious settlers with increasing rates of settlement and overall settlement with increasing densities of larvae. Gregarious settlement of *L. variabilis* larvae is associated with a conspecific larval settlement cue(s). Individual and groups of ten larvae placed in ‘conditioned’ water (water in which 200 larvae had previously settled) initially settled faster than controls. Furthermore, this effect was highest on single larvae with a four fold increase in overall settlement. While the rate of settlement was faster for groups of ten larvae, overall settlement totals were similar to those of controls. In contrast, cues often associated with invertebrate larval settlement such as biofilms, crustose corraline algae and adult conspecifics had no effect on settlement at any time.

In summary, the production of the major *L. variabilis* metabolites was fixed in time and space. Manoalide monoacetate and manoalide were produced in high amounts making the sponge an ideal target for either wild harvest or aquaculture. *Luffariella variabilis* is gonochoristic, released sperm in August,

September and October and asynchronously brooded embryos over six months culminating with larval release in November and December. Larvae settled rapidly in the dark and at faster overall rates, and higher overall totals with increasing density. This was because settling larvae release a settlement cue (although there was no effect of other common invertebrate settlement cues). The rapid settlement of larvae in dark areas corroborates with the adult distribution of the sponge and strongly suggests that biomass of *L. variabilis* for the production of manoalide could be augmented by ongrowth and culture of larvae.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
CHAPTER ONE – GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
Sponge Secondary Metabolites.....	1
Sponge Natural Products And Derivatives In Pre-Clinical Evaluation And Clinical Trials For Cancer.....	2
<i>Preclinical evaluation</i>	3
<i>Phase I trials</i>	4
<i>Phase II trials</i>	6
Sponge Natural Products As Anti-Cancer Lead Compounds.....	6
Sponge Natural Products And Derivatives Under Evaluation For Other Applications	7
The Supply Issue	9
Addressing The Supply Issue	10
<i>Aquaculture</i>	11
<i>Sponge aquaculture</i>	12
Critical Pre-Requisites For Sponge Aquaculture.....	15
<i>Understanding variability in production</i>	15
<i>Understanding sponge reproduction and recruitment</i>	16
Thesis Aims	16
CHAPTER TWO – THE NOVEL NATURAL PRODUCTS	
CHEMISTRY OF <i>Luffariella variabilis</i>.....	19
Introduction.....	19
Materials And Methods	20
<i>General Experimental Procedures</i>	20
<i>Sponge Material</i>	21
<i>Extraction and Isolation</i>	21
<i>Analyses of sponge extracts</i>	22
Results And Discussion	22
CHAPTER THREE – SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL PRODUCTION OF THE MAJOR METABOLITES OF <i>Luffariella variabilis</i>.....	32
Introduction.....	32
Materials And Methods	35
<i>Secondary metabolites of Luffariella variabilis</i>	35
<i>Study locations and design</i>	35
<i>Relationships of compound amount to sex of sponge</i>	36
<i>Induced and activated defences of Luffariella variabilis</i>	36
<i>Extraction, standard isolation and high performance liquid chromatography</i>	36

<i>Statistical analysis</i>	38
<i>Relationships of compound amounts to sex of sponge</i>	39
<i>Induced and activated production of metabolites in <i>Luffariella</i></i> <i>variabilis</i>	39
Results.....	39
<i>Spatial and temporal variation</i>	39
<i>Finer scale temporal variability</i>	40
<i>Relationships of compound amounts to sex of sponge</i>	41
<i>Induced and activated production of metabolites in <i>Luffariella</i></i> <i>variabilis</i>	41
Discussion.....	48

CHAPTER FOUR - THE REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY OF <i>Luffariella</i> <i>variabilis</i>	52
Introduction.....	52
Materials And Methods	54
<i>Study Site and Sampling Design</i>	54
<i>Histological Analysis</i>	54
<i>Statistical analysis</i>	55
Results.....	56
<i>Patterns of Reproduction</i>	56
<i>Sex Ratios</i>	57
<i>Reproductive output - Females</i>	57
<i>Reproductive output – Males</i>	59
Discussion.....	68

CHAPTER FIVE – A HEIRARCHY OF CUES INFLUENCES THE SETTLEMENT OF <i>Luffariella variabilis</i> LARVAE	72
Introduction.....	72
Materials And Methods	74
<i>General</i>	74
<i>Larval Release</i>	74
<i>Behaviour of larvae on release</i>	75
<i>Behaviour of larvae after 2 hours (post release behaviour)</i>	75
<i>Light levels and settlement</i>	76
<i>Settlement in the presence of conspecifics</i>	76
<i>Settlement cues</i>	77
<i>Conspecific Settlement Cues</i>	78
<i>Statistical analyses</i>	79
Results.....	80
<i>Larval release</i>	80
<i>Behaviour of larvae on release</i>	80
<i>Behaviour of larvae between 2 and 4 hours old</i>	81
<i>Light levels and settlement</i>	81
<i>Settlement in the presence of conspecifics</i>	82
<i>Settlement cues</i>	83
<i>Settlement assays using conditioned water</i>	83

Discussion.....	97
CHAPTER SIX – GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	101
Spatial and Temporal Production of Manoalide by <i>Luffariella variabilis</i>	101
Reproduction And Larval Recruitment Of <i>Luffariella variabilis</i>	104
<i>Reproduction</i>	104
<i>Recruitment and larval biology</i>	105
<i>Settlement in Luffariella variabilis</i>	105
Future Directions	106
Conclusions	108
REFERENCES	109

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter Two

- Fig. 2.1:** Novel and known natural products reported from *L. variabilis*. 28

Chapter Three

- Fig. 3.1:** Seasonal variation in the concentrations of the major metabolites of *L. variabilis*. 42

- Fig. 3.2a-b:** Monthly variation in the concentrations of the major metabolites of *L. variabilis*. 43

- Fig. 3.3:** Concentrations the major metabolites present in *L. variabilis* after wounding. 44

Chapter Four

- Fig. 4.1a-e:** Histology images of reproductive propagules of *L. variabilis*. 60

- Fig. 4.2:** Numbers of reproductive *L. variabilis* males and females correlated with water temperature. 61

- Fig.4.3a-c:** *L. variabilis* female combined reproductive index, indexes of individual propagules and individual propagule areas. 62

- Fig. 4.4:** Percentage tissue area occupation of both *L. variabilis* males and females. 63

- Fig. 4.5a-b:** *L. variabilis* male reproductive index and areas of individual spermatic cysts. 64

Chapter Five

- Fig. 5.1a-c:** Pictures of swimming and settled and metamorphosed larvae of *L. variabilis*. 83
- Fig. 5.2a-b:** The arrangement of containers containing larvae around a cold light source and the mean light level in each jar. 84-85
- Fig. 5.3a-c:** Larval release of *L. variabilis* over the duration of the spawning season. 86
- Fig. 5.4a-d:** Early release behaviour of *L. variabilis* larvae in partly covered cylinders. 87
- Fig. 5.5a-c:** Behaviour of larvae 2 – 4 hours old in response to light in partly covered cylinders 88
- Fig. 5.6:** Proportion of larvae settled at different distances from a light source. 89
- Fig. 5.7a-b:** Density dependent settlement of *L. variabilis* larvae in both the light and dark. 90
- Fig. 5.8a-b:** The effects of common invertebrate cues on settlement of larvae (early in the spawning season) 91
- Fig. 5.9a-b:** The effects of common invertebrate settlement cues on the settlement of larvae (late in the spawning season) and on single larvae. 92
- Fig. 5.10a-b:** Larval settlement response to a cue released by settling conspecifics. 93

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter Two

Table 2.1: ^1H NMR Spectroscopic Data (600 MHz, CDCl_3) for the novel acetylated compounds. 29

Table 2.2: ^{13}C NMR Spectroscopic Data (125 MHz, CDCl_3) for the novel acetylated compounds. 31

Chapter Three

Table 3.1: MANOVA testing the effect of seasonal variation in the production of major *L. variabilis* metabolites. 45

Table 3.2: Repeated measures GLM testing monthly production of major *L. variabilis* metabolites in repeatedly sampled individuals. 45

Table 3.3: MANOVA comparing the effect of repeatedly sampling *L. variabilis* with previously unsampled sponges. 46

Table 3.4: MANOVA testing the monthly production of major *L. variabilis* metabolites from individuals newly sampled each month. 46

Table 3.5: MANOVA testing the effect of wounding on the production of major *L. variabilis* metabolites. 46

Chapter Four

Table 4.1a-c: Selected output for an ANOVA testing the effects of year, sample period and any effects of repeated sampling on (a) female reproductive index (RI) (b) female percent tissue area occupied by reproductive propagules (c) propagule areas (eggs, embryos and larvae). 65

Table 4.2a-c: Selected output for an ANOVA testing the effects of year, sample period and any effects of repeated sampling (a) male reproductive index (RI) (b) male percent tissue area occupied by reproductive propagules (c) spermatid cyst areas. 66

Chapter Five

Table 5.1: Results of a repeated measures GLM testing the effect of the position of larvae at different distances from a cold light source on settlement. 94

Table 5.2: Results of a repeated measures GLM testing the effect of larval density on settlement at the beginning and end of the spawning season. 94

Table 5.3: Results of repeated measures GLM testing the effects on settlement of common invertebrate settlement early in the spawning season. 95

Table 5.4: Results of repeated measures GLM testing the effects of settlement by a conspecific settlement cue. 95