INTRODUCTION

Coral reefs are increasingly subject to a combination of anthropogenic and natural disturbances, which are further compounded by episodic disturbances (e.g., mass-bleaching of coral) associated with sustained and ongoing climate change (Hughes et al. 2003, Bellwood et al. 2004). Severe climate-induced coral bleaching causes widespread mortality among reef-building corals (Wilkinson 2000) and is predicted to become more frequent and more severe in coming decades (Sheppard 2003, Donner et al. 2005).

However, susceptibility to bleaching—particularly proportional mortality due to bleaching—varies greatly within and among coral genera (Brown & Suharsono 1990, Marshall & Baird 2000, Loya et al. 2001, McClanahan et al. 2004, 2008). Therefore, increased incidence of climate-induced coral bleaching is more likely to cause marked changes in the structure of coral assemblages than to kill all corals within similar time frames (Hughes et al. 2003).

2004). *Acropora* is the first and worst affected scleractinian coral, whereas *Porites* is resistant to all but the most severe episodes of coral bleaching (Riegl 1999, Loya et al. 2001, Baird & Marshall 2002). Increasing frequency of coral bleaching and differential mortality between these 2 genera (Loya et al. 2001, McClanahan et al. 2004) have led to predictions of increasing dominance of *Porites* at the cost of *Acropora* (Riegl & Purkis 2009). However, the increasing incidence of climate-induced coral bleaching will not necessarily favour those corals that are resistant to bleaching (Baker et al. 2008). Given projected increases in the frequency and/or severity of coral bleaching episodes (Hoegh-Guldberg 1999, Sheppard 2003, Donner et al. 2005), the long-term persistence of different corals may depend largely upon their capacity for recovery following recurrent bleaching (Hughes et al. 2003, Baker et al. 2008).

While recovery from strong disturbances is likely to be slow, there is evidence that total coral cover can increase very rapidly, mainly due to the recovery of the fast-growing tabular acroporid corals (Halford et al. 2004, Golbuu et al. 2007, Adjeroud et al. 2009). However, past studies in this area have reported such rapid changes only in total coral cover; it is possible that recovery of population structure (e.g. the size structure of coral populations) will take much longer. Since demographic processes such as fecundity, growth and survival are strongly correlated with colony size (Hughes & Jackson 1980), the size structure of coral populations is an important determinant of their ecological dynamics (Bak & Meesters 1998, Meesters et al. 2001). Several studies have explored spatial variation in the size structure of coral populations at various depths and sites (Vermeij & Bak 2003, Adjeroud et al. 2007, Victor et al. 2009), but few have followed the impact of disturbances through time, examining temporal shifts in population structure (van Woesik 2000, Gilmour 2004, McClanahan et al. 2008, Crabbe 2009).

In the present study, we examined the temporal changes in percentage cover and size structure of *Acropora hyacinthus* over a 2 yr period (from January 2008 to January 2010) on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) off the coast of northeast Australia. Between November 2001 and March 2002, the GBR was affected by the most severe episode of coral bleaching ever recorded for this region (Berkelmans et al. 2004). The purpose of the study was to understand the underlying processes which foster coral resilience by investigating the dynamics and recovery of *A. hyacinthus* populations following this bleaching event.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study species and study sites**

*Acropora hyacinthus* is a fast-growing (approx. 10 cm in diameter yr⁻¹) and relatively short-lived species (Stimson 1985), which often dominates the reef crest and shallow reef slope on coral reefs throughout the Indo-Pacific (Veron & Wallace 1984). However, *A. hyacinthus* is highly susceptible to coral bleaching (e.g. McClanahan et al. 2004) as well as to hydrodynamic disturbance (Madin & Connolly 2006) and outbreaks of *Acanthaster planci* (Pratchett et al. 2009). *A. hyacinthus* also tends to dominate shallow, exposed reef habitats in the western Pacific, and exerts a major influence on community structure and dynamics there. High mortality and the rapid recovery of tabular corals due to high recruitment (Wallace 1985, Wakeford et al. 2008) and fast growth create a complex mosaic of shaded and unshaded habitats (Sheppard 1981), making it possible for organisms with different life histories and physiological requirements to adapt to different stages of recovery (Baird & Hughes 2000).

The present study was conducted at 3 reefs in the central section of the GBR: Bramble (18° 24′ 25″ S, 146° 24′ 25″ E), Rib (18° 28′ 50″ S, 146° 52′ 13″ E) and Trunk (18° 20′ 49″ S, 146° 49′ 46″ E) Reefs. Shallow-water habitats (<10 m depth) at each of these reefs were subjected to significant levels of bleaching in January and February 2002 (Berkelmans et al. 2004). At the 3 study reefs, the estimated bleached coral cover exceeded 60 %, and the maximum temperature recorded during this period ranged from 30.9 to 31.8°C for Bramble and from 31.8 to 32.7°C for both Trunk and Rib Reefs (Berkelmans et al. 2004; http://e-atlas.org.au/content/coral-mass-bleaching-extent-2002). Given the extreme vulnerability of *Acropora hyacinthus* to bleaching, it is likely that most colonies died as a consequence of this bleaching event. At Trunk Reef, a previous survey had shown that the coral cover decreased from 35 to 3 % between 2000 and 2005, although, due mainly to increased cover of *A. hyacinthus*, a slight increase in coral cover (6.5 %) was detected in 2008 (Pratchett et al. 2008a). To assess spatial variation in recovery of *A. hyacinthus*, we quantified the percentage of coral cover and size structure at the 3 subject reefs, which are from 2 to 5 km distant from one another.

**Field sampling**

To examine the recovery of *Acropora hyacinthus*, total cover and size structure were measured on the
reef crest at 3 sites per reef. Data were collected in January of 2008, 2009 and 2010. Percentage cover of A. hyacinthus was measured using 50 m point-intercept transects, following Dodge et al. (1982). Sampling was conducted within well defined reef crest habitat (from 2 to 5 m depth), with replicate transects positioned between 5 and 10 m from the reef edge and aligned parallel with the reef crest. The starting position of the first transect per site was relocated each year using GPS coordinates, and further transects were then deployed sequentially from randomly selected starting points. The distance between transects ranged from 1 to 20 m. A total of 100 points, spaced at 0.5 m intervals along each transect, were surveyed. Along each transect, the number of points (out of 100) that overlaid living tissue of A. hyacinthus was recorded. Differences in percent coral cover among reefs and between years were analyzed using 2-factorial univariate PERMANOVA, based on Euclidean distances, as the data were not distributed normally, even with transformations. Although the variables were univariate, we used PERMANOVA because the null distribution of the test statistic in PERMANOVA is produced by permutation, which avoids the usual normality assumptions of ANOVA (Anderson 2001). These analyses were performed using the Primer v.6 statistical package in conjunction with the Windows PERMANOVA+ module (Anderson et al. 2008).

To explore changes in the size structure of Acropora hyacinthus, the maximum diameter (L) of at least 100 colonies was measured at each reef in each of the 3 sampling months. Adult colonies were measured along replicate 50 m transects, while juvenile colonies (<5 cm diameter) were counted along 10 × 1 m transects. A total of 2696 colonies of A. hyacinthus were measured during the study. In 2010, for a subset of colonies (n = 1220), the perpendicular diameter (l) was also measured to provide improved estimates of colony area. For these colonies, because L and l were significantly correlated (Fig. 1; r = 0.869, p < 0.001, n = 1220), the resulting function (l = 2.28 + 0.67L) was used to estimate l for all remaining colonies. The area of each colony was then calculated on the assumption that the colony was an ellipse (A = L/2 × l/2 × π). Size-frequency distributions were constructed for A. hyacinthus populations at each reef in each year using log transformations of area estimates to normalize size-frequency distributions and to increase resolution among smaller size classes (Bak & Meesters 1998, Vermeij & Bak 2003). Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to examine differences in size-frequency distributions. We also estimated the skewness and kurtosis coefficient from log-transformed distributions.

In order to assess the relative contribution of new recruits versus growth of established colonies (i.e. recolonisation versus persistence) in local recovery of Acropora hyacinthus populations, we estimated the number of colonies that survived the 2001–2002 bleaching event, using growth rates of A. hyacinthus for each reef to calculate maximum size of colonies that recruited since 2008. It is possible that small colonies represent remnants of once larger and older colonies, but ongoing disturbance recorded between 2002 and 2008 was limited (Pratchett et al. 2008a).

**RESULTS**

Acropora hyacinthus cover increased at all 3 study reefs over the study period. From 2008 to 2010, average cover of A. hyacinthus increased from (mean ± SE) 15.54 ± 7.87 to 22.66 ± 7.60% at Bramble Reef; from 9.42 ± 3.70 to 16.40 ± 5.18% at Rib Reef, and from 2.13 ± 1.34 to 4.66 ± 2.10% at Trunk Reef (Fig. 2). However, percentage cover of A. hyacinthus was more variable among sites than between years or among reefs (Table 1, Fig. 2). In fact, the only significant differences in cover of A. hyacinthus were from site to site on the same reef (Table 1).

The mean diameter of Acropora hyacinthus colonies showed marked differences among reefs, ranging (in 2008) from 20.57 ± 0.44 cm at Trunk Reef to 34.57 ± 0.78 cm at Bramble Reef (Table 2). All reefs showed an increase in average colony diameter throughout the study (Table 2). As with coral cover,
the largest individual colonies (ranging in diameter from 34.57 ± 0.78 to 48.87 ± 1.19 cm) were observed at Bramble Reef, while the smaller colonies were found at Trunk (from 20.57 ± 0.44 to 38.71 ± 0.88 cm diameter). The largest colonies recorded in this study were found at Bramble (185 cm diameter) and Rib (184 cm diameter; Table 2).

The size-frequency distributions also showed significant differences among the 3 reefs studied (K-S tests, p < 0.05; Fig. 3). Size-frequency distributions at the start of the study were negatively skewed for Bramble and Rib Reef (g1 = −0.43 and −0.19, respectively) and positively skewed for Trunk Reef (g1 = 0.02; Fig. 3, Table 2), indicating a higher predominance of large colonies at Bramble and Rib. At the end of the study, the 3 reefs showed similar size-frequency distributions with negative skewness coefficients (g1 at Bramble, −0.72; at Rib, −0.67; at Trunk, −0.36; Fig. 3, Table 2). All size-frequency distributions obtained among reefs and between years were leptokurtic (g2 > 0) except for the distribution found at Rib Reef in 2008 (g2 = −0.33; Fig. 3, Table 2).

Based on changes in the diameter of *Acropora hyacinthus* from year to year, average annual growth was estimated to be 6.42 ± 3.08 cm yr⁻¹. However, estimates of colony growth were highly variable from reef to reef, ranging from 4.06 ± 3.32 cm yr⁻¹ at Rib Reef to 8.65 ± 0.26 cm yr⁻¹ at Trunk Reef (Fig. 4a). The highest variability in annual change was recorded from 2008 to 2009, while similar diameter changes were obtained between 2009 and 2010 across the 3 reefs. Trunk Reef showed the largest increases for both annual transitions (from 8.39 ± 1.54 cm to 8.91 ± 0.30 cm; Fig. 4a). Based on the observed size structure and estimates of annual growth, the percentage of colonies that survived the 2001–2002 bleaching event ranged from 2 to 62%, with the lowest values obtained at Trunk Reef (t-test, p < 0.01; Fig. 4b).

### DISCUSSION

Climate-induced bleaching events have had a significant impact on coral reefs both globally and locally, leading to declines in live coral cover and changes in benthic composition (Marshall & Baird 2000, Riegl & Purkis 2009). The 2001–2002 bleaching event affected many shallow reefs on the GBR (Berkelmans et al. 2004) e.g. Trunk Reef experienced a 10-fold decrease in coral cover (from 30 to 3% between 2000 and 2005), with minimal evidence of recovery >6 yr after the disturbance (Pratchett et al. 2008a). However, the high variability of coral cover and percentage of living colonies observed in the
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Present study among reefs at the beginning of 2008 suggested that the impact of the 2001–2002 bleaching event on GBR reefs was highly uneven and patchy. In particular, the large differences in percentage cover of *Acropora hyacinthus* in 2008 (ranging from 2 to 23%) suggested that Trunk Reef was impacted more severely than the neighbouring Bramble and Rib Reefs. Moreover, the lowest percentages of living colonies after the bleaching event were obtained at Trunk Reef. Major differences in the prevalence of bleaching have been reported over small spatial scales (10s of km) along the GBR during 1998 and 2002, indicating that some reefs, although relatively close to one another, experience different levels of stress or are more resistant to it (Berkelmans et al. 2004).

Aside from temporal changes in coral cover, the present study also explored temporal trends in the size-frequency distribution of *Acropora hyacinthus*, providing significant insights into population dynamics for this dominant and ecologically important coral species. Increasing numbers of small colonies of *A. hyacinthus* from 2008 to 2010 confirmed the capacity of this species to regenerate and recolonise at the 3 study reefs. The density of *A. hyacinthus* colonies at several sites was much higher 5 yr post-disturbance than it had been pre-disturbance, suggesting that,
once started, recovery of this species can be very rapid (Halford et al. 2004). Changes in the mean size of colonies also revealed significant colony growth across all size classes, which further contributed to increasing cover between 2008 and 2010. Populations at all 3 reefs exhibited a healthy demographic flux, with an increase in colony size comparable to that of recovering populations in other locations. For instance, the average sizes of Acropora hyacinthus colonies measured in 2008 (Table 2) were similar to those obtained 5 yr after a volcanic eruption in Indonesia (38 cm; Tomascik et al. 1996) and 7 yr after the 1998 bleaching event on Palau’s reefs (from 13 to 22 cm; Victor et al. 2009). Interestingly, skewness coefficients provided more valuable information than analysis of kurtosis for understanding temporal changes in the size structure of populations. Our results showed a temporal trend to more negative skewness coefficients, indicating a higher predominance of larger colonies over time. In contrast, the kurtosis coefficient did not provide clear information about temporal changes in population size structure.

Despite the high vulnerability of Acropora hyacinthus to disturbance, high growth rates enable this species to rapidly recolonise reefs following such disturbance. The relatively high growth rates of this species contributed greatly to increases in total coral cover, as well as providing 3-dimensional structure that is critical for many reef-associated fishes and other organisms (Pratchett et al. 2008b). Estimates of growth rates across the 3 recovering reefs were variable, but within the range of values reported in other studies. Stimson (1985) reported growth rates between (mean ± SD) 9.45 ± 5.59 and 10.45 ± 8.79 cm yr⁻¹ obtained from tagged colonies over a 2 yr period in the Marshall Islands. The highest growth rates recorded in any study (15 cm yr⁻¹) were estimated 5 yr after a volcanic eruption in Indonesia (Tomascik et al. 1996). However, relatively low growth rates have also been reported in the GBR (e.g. <3 cm yr⁻¹ by Wakeford et al. 2008), emphasising the high variability in coral growth rates within and among reefs.

Recovery of degraded reefs depends on the degree of partial- versus whole-colony mortality resulting from acute disturbance, which influences the relative importance of colony growth versus recruitment and subsequent growth of new colonies. Under conditions of low mortality rate, large colonies surviving as remnants or fragments can regenerate relatively quickly. In contrast, if a given disturbance has caused high mortality, as occurred during the 2001–2002 bleaching event, recovery will largely depend on the sexual recruitment of larvae (Smith & Hughes 1999, Baker et al. 2008), a process that is expected to be considerably slower (Baird & Marshall 2002). The large decrease in coral cover observed between 2002 and 2008 (Pratchett et al. 2008a), the low percentage of surviving colonies after the bleaching event and the constant growth of the colonies supports the idea that regeneration of acroporid colonies at the Trunk Reef study sites has been through sexual recruitment. In contrast, at Bramble and Rib Reefs, a higher coral cover and higher percentage of living colonies was observed. In this case, the proportion of living corals explains the total cover observed at these reefs. These results highlighted the fact that resilience of coral populations may be explained by either persistence or recolonisation, and that individual contributions to population resilience may vary greatly among sites and reefs.

Although disturbances and resultant stress are known to reduce coral fecundity (Szmat & Gassman 1990, Hoegh-Guldberg 1999), it is possible that surviving corals may provide sufficient larval supply to replenish coral losses after such major stress events (Riegl 2002, Baker et al. 2008). The disproportionate contribution of a few large colonies to the reproductive output of a population, the survival of these colonies—as has been inferred from this study—has important consequences for population recovery (Hall & Hughes 1996, Sakai 1998).

The rapid recovery of Acropora hyacinthus populations may have significant consequences for associated coral reef communities and ecosystem processes. The high growth rate of this species permits the maintenance of high structural complexity, which has positive consequences for associated fish communities. On Indo-Pacific reefs, major changes in fish community composition have resulted from the long-term loss of structure following coral bleaching events (Jones et al. 2004, Graham et al. 2007, Pratchett et al. 2008b). On the other hand, A. hyacinthus is an important competitor that can overgrow neighbouring corals and forestall the recruitment of other species (Baird & Hughes 2000). Nevertheless, a lower recovery capacity of Acropora has also been observed in some reefs, mainly attributable to environmental conditions or time between disturbances (van Woesik 2000, Berumen & Pratchett 2006). Direct observation as well as modelling have shown monopolization of A. hyacinthus over long intervals without disturbance and limited recovery with ongoing disturbance (Berumen & Pratchett 2006, Wakeford et al. 2008).
The frequency and intensity of climate-induced coral bleaching is likely to increase over the next 50 yr, resulting in widespread degradation of coral communities (Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2007). The capacity of reefs to recover from these impacts will depend not only on the time between bleaching events but also on the existence of remaining colonies to recolonise the reefs. Recovery of coral cover on the 3 reefs (from 2 to 5 km apart) studied in the central GBR was due to both recolonisation and colony persistence, depending on which reef one looks at. Nonetheless, Acropora hyacinthus populations require at least 5 yr between disturbances to ensure complete recovery, and much longer at some sites. Given a business-as-usual scenario of CO2 emissions, mass bleaching is predicted to become a biannual event on the GBR by 2030 (Hoegh-Guldberg 1999, Lesser 2007). It is clear therefore that even if the most resilient of coral species will be adversely affected unless immediate action be taken to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and minimise future increases in ocean temperatures.
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