

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Page, Geoff (2007) *Mass Transport Evaluation using consolidated VHF Radar and Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler data.* Masters (Research) thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/2085>



**Mass Transport Evaluation
using consolidated VHF Radar and
Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler Data**

Thesis submitted by
Geoff Page BEng Qld
in November, 2007

for the degree of Master of Science
in the School of Mathematics, Physics and Information Technology
James Cook University

STATEMENT OF SOURCES

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT ON THE CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

Financial

Funding for the project was provided by the Italian Institute of Marine Science (CNR-ISMAR - Venice) and James Cook University.

Supervision

Professor Mal Heron collaborated with the design of the research and provided supervision, editorial and technical support.

Technical and Logistical

The transport and deployment of the radar stations to Venice was assisted by members of the Italian Institute of Marine Science (CNR-ISMAR - Venice) and in particular Andrea Mazzoldi and Simone Cosoli. They, together with Miro Gacic from the Italian National Institute of Oceanography and Applied Geophysics (OGS - Trieste) provided additional data from Weather Stations, Tide Gauges and the ADCP located in the channel.

Technical assistance with the testing and deployment of the PortMap radars was provided by Professor Mal Heron and Arnstein Prytz, together with Thomas Helzel and Matthias Kniephoff from Helzel Messtechnik GmbH, Germany. Technical assistance in the operation of the analysis software PMAP2DAT and in the development of additional algorithms was provided by Arnstein Prytz.

Editorial

Assistance with proof reading and editing was provided by Professor Mal Heron, Beth Heron and Naomi Page.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Mal Heron for the once in a lifetime opportunity to be a part of this project. I have truly appreciated his help and encouragement, and also value his friendship.

Many thanks also go to Arnstein Prytz for his help with the radar system and data analysis algorithms. I could not comprehend how monumental the task of analysing the raw radar data would have been without the use of the PortMap analysis software.

The deployment of the PortMap radar to Venice would not have been possible without the approval by, and the assistance of the Italian Organisations, the National Institute of Oceanography and Applied Geophysics (OGS - Trieste), the Institute of Marine Science (CNR-ISMAR - Venice) and the Consortium for Co-ordination of Research Activities concerning the Venice Lagoon System (CORILA). In particular I would like to thank Andrea Mazzoldi, Simone Cosoli and Miroslav Gacić for their hospitality and assistance while we were in Venice, and for providing additional data to support the research.

Thanks also to Thomas Helzel and Matthias Kniephoff from Helzel Messtechnik GmbH for their development of the PortMap radar and their assistance in both trialling the radar and deploying the radar to Venice.

I would also like to thank my loving wife, Naomi for her patient support and encouragement throughout the time spent on this research.

Geoff Page

Abstract

In this study, a PortMap Ocean Surface Current Radar operating in the VHF band was used in conjunction with a seabed mounted Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler to obtain measurements of current velocity through the Lido channel to the Venice Lagoon. Current flow data were obtained over a six week period from both instruments. These data, together with additional data obtained from tide gauges and wind speed data from weather stations have been used to develop techniques for producing the measurements required to obtain the mass transport of water through the inlet.

The combination of data from these two different instruments was used to overcome the limitations of each technology in obtaining a complete estimation of mass transport through the inlet. Seabed mounted ADCPs only provide current measurements for a single geographical point, and are unable to measure the surface current due to side-lobe ringing within a few metres of the surface. It is for this reason that a second technology, the surface current radar was used to measure the current across the surface of the channel. For the PortMap Ocean Surface Current Radar operating in the VHF Band (152.2 MHz) this represents a depth weighted average measurement in the upper 15.7 cm of the water column.

The PortMap radar systems used in Venice produced data that were generally of a very poor signal-to-noise ratio. This was determined to be caused by a hardware fault present in the PortMap radar affecting the range resolution. Although this resulted in insufficient radar coverage of the channel required to produce an evaluation of mass transport, techniques were developed to produce the secondary data required for this purpose. The analysis software was modified to reflect the change in range resolution, enabling high resolution, short-range current vector maps to be produced for the regions surrounding each radar station.

During the deployment, a turbid water plume was observed entering on the Sabbioni side of the inlet while the tide continued to ebb on the Lido side of the inlet. The high resolution vector current maps produced were sufficient to

observe this interesting current dynamic. These measurements show that during an outgoing tide with a strong ebb tidal stream on the Lido side of the channel, water begins to flow into the channel on the Sabbioni side of the channel. This current dynamic has obvious implications for the transport of sediment from the neighbouring Cavallino beach into the inlet, and into the Venice Lagoon.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1 <i>Overview of the Thesis</i>	1
2. Literature Review	4
2.1 <i>Mass Transport Estimation and Current Measurement</i>	4
2.2 <i>Acoustic Doppler Current Meters</i>	6
2.3 <i>VHF / HF Coastal Ocean Surface Current Radar</i>	15
2.4 <i>Measuring the complete velocity profile</i>	24
2.5 <i>Conclusion</i>	27
3 Research Design	28
3.1 <i>Introduction</i>	28
3.2 <i>Experiment Location</i>	29
3.3 <i>Deployment Period</i>	32
3.4 <i>Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler</i>	33
3.5 <i>Additional Instrumentation</i>	35
4 PortMap Radar and Deployment	36
4.1 <i>Development of the PortMap Radar</i>	36
4.2 <i>PortMap Radar, Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave Operation</i>	38
4.3 <i>Electronic Hardware and Operation</i>	40
4.4 <i>Radar Antenna System</i>	43
4.5 <i>PortMap Radar Control and Data Acquisition</i>	49
4.6 <i>Venice Deployment</i>	53

5 Data Analysis and Results	56
5.1 <i>Quality of Data</i>	57
5.2 <i>Radar Analysis - PMAP2DAT Direction Finding</i>	65
5.3 <i>Spatial Separation Correlation - Radar Spectra</i>	74
5.4 <i>Averaging GridPoint Radar Measurements</i>	78
5.5 <i>Generating Three Dimensional Transects</i>	86
5.6 <i>Radar Analysis - Direction Finding with Phase Correlation Discrimination</i>	95
5.7 <i>FFT Analysis and Accumulated Transect Profiles</i>	108
5.8 <i>Modified Range Resolution, Manual Direction Finding Analysis</i>	112
6 Discussion of Oceanographic Outcomes	116
6.1 <i>Tidal Stream Phase Difference between Sabbioni and Lido</i>	116
6.2 <i>Wave-breaking in the first range cell</i>	129
6.3 <i>Maximum Current Velocity Limitation (0 Hz Boundary)</i>	134
7 Conclusions	144
7.1 <i>Data Analysis Results</i>	144
7.2 <i>Discussion Highlights</i>	145
7.3 <i>Further Investigation</i>	146
Bibliography	148

List of Tables

1.1	Typical ringing times expressed as distances from the transducers	14
1.2	Sound absorption (At 4°C, 35% and at sea level) and nominal profiling range of a Broadband ADCP	14
3.1	Data Sources and Contributors	29
4.1	Transmit Antenna - Other Specifications	46
4.2	Antenna Steering Scheme	54
4.3	Alternative Antenna Steering Scheme	55
5.1	Transect Profile Sorting Bins	110

List of Figures

2.1	Logarithmic Profiles obtained from ADCP Measurements	7
2.2	The relationship between the ADCP transducer beam angle and the thickness of the contaminated layer at the surface	10
2.3	Typical Spectrum for the VHF COSRAD Radar	17
2.4	Surface mean flows obtained from OSCAR HF Radar	25
3.1	The Venice Lagoon	29
3.2	Venice and the Lido Channel	30
3.3	M.O.S.E. Flood-gate deployed	31
3.4	ADCP Location, Lido Channel	34
4.1	COSRAD Current Map	37
4.2	PortMap Rack Electronics	41
4.3	PortMap Hardware Block Diagram	42
4.4	PortMap Antenna System	43
4.5	Deep Null Yagi Transmit Antenna and Polar Response	45
4.6	PortMap Receive Antenna Array and Polar Response	47
4.7	Steered Array Normalised Polar Plots	48
4.8	PortMap User Interface	50
4.9	Time-Series I and Q channel for Range-Cell 4, Rx Antenna #1	52
4.10	Power Spectrum for Range-Cell 4, Receive Antenna #1	52

4.11	PortMap Deployment Locations, Punta Sabbioni and Lido	53
4.12	Antenna Steering Directions and Sequence	55
5.1	Time-series of Wind Speed, Wind Direction and Wind Vector for 1st October, 2005	58
5.2	Tidal Level and ADCP Bin 9 Velocities for the Lido Channel	59
5.3	Normalised power spectrum plots (ranges 1 to 15) - Good SNR, Index 6	60
5.4	Normalised power spectrum plots (ranges 1 to 15) - Poor SNR, Index 1	61
5.5	Distribution of range quality, Sabbioni and Lido Stations	62
5.6	Estimated Maximum Radar Range	64
5.7	Example power spectrum with Bragg peaks of interest	66
5.8	Channel Grid points for Sabbioni and Lido	67
5.9	Spherical Trigonometry for Latitude/Longitude Conversion	68
5.10	Radial Current Map	70
5.11	Channel Current with Radial Component	71
5.12	Channel Currents derived from Radial Measurements	72
5.13	CurrentsGUI Graphical User Interface	73
5.14	25-Point Correlation Grid Locations	74
5.15	25 Point Spatial Separation Correlation	76
5.16	441 Point Spatial Separation Correlation	77
5.17	ADCP Region of Averaging	78

5.18 Average Channel Current within the ADCP Zone	80
5.19 ADCP Zone Average Current Time-series	82
5.20 Radar Current (ADCP Zone) vs ADCP Current (Bin 9)	83
5.21 Binomial Weighting and Interpolation Algorithm	83
5.22 ADCP Zone Average Current Time-series - RAW and Processed	84
5.23 Interpolated/Smoothed Radar Current (ADCP Zone) vs ADCP Current (Bin 9)	85
5.24 Channel Transect - Averaging Region	86
5.25 Transect Bounding Box Geometry	87
5.26 Vector Method for determining perpendicular distance	88
5.27 Transect Profile for Day 304_0000	89
5.28 3-Dimensional Transect view, Day 292.4167 - 292.5556	90
5.29 3-Dimensional Transect view, Day 292.5833 - 292.7222	91
5.30 Transect Point 4 vs ADCP Current (Bin 9)	92
5.31 Surface Current Transect Time-series	93
5.32 Power Spectrum, Sum of 4 Antennae - Day 274, 1925	95
5.33 -2 to -1 Hz Region Phase Correlation	96
5.34 3Hz Region Phase Correlation	96
5.35 Power Spectrum (Day 291, 0445 Range 5) - Sum of 4 Antennas	98
5.36 Smoothed Power Spectrum (Range 5) - Selection of Positive Peak	98
5.37 Rank Ordered Spectrum, and, $[p, \sqrt{-2 \ln(q)}]$	99

5.38 Phase Comparison Least-Squares Fit (Std. Deviation of Residuals > 1)	100
5.39 Smoothed Power Spectrum (Range 5) - Selection of Next Peak	100
5.40 Phase Comparison Least-Squares Fit - Peak B	101
5.41 Antenna Array Steering Geometry	101
5.42 Direction Finding Magnitude vs Steering Angle	102
5.43 Lido, Day 291 0445 - Range 5 Radial Measurements	103
5.44 Lido, Day 291 0445 - Radial Measurements	104
5.45 MATLAB DFind, ADCP Zone Average Current Time-Series	106
5.46 MATLAB DFind Interpolated/Smoothed Radar Current (ADCP Zone) vs ADCP Current (Bin 9)	107
5.47 FFT Analysis - Acceptable Radar Signal	108
5.48 FFT Analysis - Poor Radar Signal	109
5.49 FFT Analysed Data vs ADCP Current	109
5.50 Accumulated Transect Profiles	111
5.51 Modified Range Resolution, Estimated Maximum Radar Range	113
5.52 Current Vector Map, DAY 291 2320 (20 m Range Resolution)	114
5.53 Sabbioni and Lido Breakwater Zone Current Averages	115
6.1 Turbid plume observed entering on the Sabbioni side of the channel	116
6.2 Breakwater Zone Time-series : Day 291 - 294	118
6.3 Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 291.6388 - 291.6666	119

6.4	Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 291.6944 - 291.7222	120
6.5	Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 291.7500 - 291.7777	121
6.6	Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 291.8055 - 291.8333	122
6.7	Breakwater Zone Time-series : Day 302 - 306	124
6.8	Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 306.0833 - 306.1111	125
6.9	Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 306.1388 - 306.1666	126
6.10	Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 306.1944 - 306.2222	127
6.11	Current Vector Map Sequence, Vertical ADCP Profile and Wind Vector, Day 306.2500 - 306.2777	128
6.12	Calm and Rough conditions, as seen from Lido looking towards Sabbioni	129
6.13	Tide and Wind - Day 294 (21-Oct-05)	130
6.14	Spectra and Radials - 0205 UTC, Range 1 Lido	131
6.15	Spectra and Radial Current Measurements - 0205 UTC, Range 2	131
6.16	Spectra and Radial Current Measurements - 0205 UTC, Range 4	132
6.17	Spectra and Radial Current Measurements - 1525 UTC, Range 1	132
6.18	Spectra and Radial Current Measurements - 1525 UTC, Range 5	133
6.19	Bragg wave spectrum, Range 3 - Day 291, 1205	134

6.20 Radar Spectra, Radial Currents and ADCP Profiles Day 291 - 291.4167 - 291.4444 UTC	137
6.21 Radar Spectra, Radial Currents and ADCP Profiles Day 291 - 291.4722 - 291.5000 UTC	138
6.22 Radar Spectra, Radial Currents and ADCP Profiles Day 291 - 291.5278 - 291.5556 UTC	139
6.23 Radar Spectra, Radial Currents and ADCP Profiles Day 291 - 291.5833 - 291.6111 UTC	140
6.24 Radar Spectra, Radial Currents and ADCP Profiles Day 291 - 291.6389 - 291.6667 UTC	141
6.25 Radar Spectra, Radial Currents and ADCP Profiles Day 291 - 291.6944 - 291.7222 UTC	142