Queensland College of Art and the Australian Animal Studies Group are uniting for the 4th Biennial Australian Animal Studies Group Conference 2011, Griffith University, South Bank campus, Brisbane. Between 10 –13 July, the conference brings together animal theorists and scientists from a broad range of academic disciplines to examine the inter-relationships between human and non human animals.
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*animals, people – a shared environment... AN EXHIBITION*
The recent explosion of art related to the interaction of humans, animals, and the environment highlights a renewed interest in the interconnectedness of all aspects of the natural world, and particularly, in the sentient beings that make up the so-called animal kingdom. This is hardly a new topic for artists. Even before the beginning of recorded history, the relationship between human and nonhuman animals was of fundamental concern to art. In fact, across time and cultures, art may be used as a barometer of the complex construction of animality and the status of humans within it. It might even be argued that, in Western culture at least, the contested status of humans—situated in a natural world mostly populated by nonhuman others—triggered imaginative, secular art. For, the first sustained production of inventive drawing and painting in Europe was in the form of medieval bestiaries, those illustrated compendiums of beasts. All the bestiaries that occupied much of the illuminated-book production in European monasteries from the eleventh to fourteenth century were derived entirely or partially from the Physiologus. This second-century Christian treatise used animal stories collected from classical writers to embody virtue and vice.

The dog-headed cyclopean and other fanciful hybrids, as depicted within the moralizing tales of medieval bestiaries, are species that never become extinct. For example, the unicorn, centaur, cyclops, siren, and mermaid each continue their transfiguration in the memory of succeeding generations, being conjured forth in new hybrid forms by artists’ imaginations. However pervasive the influence of the Physiologus, it was the earlier writing of Aristotle, particularly his Historia Animalium and De Anima, that had a far more profound, sustained, and often devastating impact on creating such a rigid divide between humans and other animals that animality became a defining mechanism for the oppression of humans and the exploitation of nonhuman animals.

From the period when the human sciences began to be developed in fifteenth-century Europe, artists played a key role in realising the potential for physiognomic signs to differentiate the extensive continuum of living beings. Because of the power of images, physiognomy would become a defining marker of similarities and differences between humans and other animals. Giambattista della Porta's sixteenth-century text On Human Physiognomy was largely a rehearsal of widely circulated adaptations of Physiognomy, a text presumed to be written by Aristotle. Della Porta's book would no doubt have disappeared from history but for the numerous woodcuts of human/animal comparisons, which had an inestimable influence on art and science. Over the following centuries, these images were increasingly refined, most notably in the seventeenth-century by the Director of the French Academy, Charles Le Brun.

During the age of exploration, artists and scientists were drawn into a closer coalition to record previously unimagined animals, such as the giraffe and kangaroo, or manifestations of long-chimeric wild brutes, such as the gorilla. In the eighteenth century, animals became central subjects for painting—as exotic wonders, game, property, or scientific specimens. By the nineteenth century, artists or animaliers, such as Antoine-Louis Barye, specialised in virtually nothing but depictions of animals, usually in dramatic combat, as in Barye's case. Edwin Landseer in England and Rosa Bonheur in France built formidable reputations, that reached to the new world and new money of the United States, by applying their prodigious skills to anthropomorphize exotic and domestic animals. Because physiognomical differentiation was the touchstone of many nineteenth-century artists, as it was for anthropologists, such anthropomorphism was commonplace across Europe, the United States and Australia. Anthropomorphism is one side of an animal/human coin that became the elementary currency of the physiognomic paradigm that dominated art and science in the nineteenth century. The reverse side is zoomorphism, the projection of animal characteristics, usually brutality, onto depictions of humans. In the second half of the nineteenth century, every viewer knew what a simianized depiction of a human represented; the closer the depiction to an anthropoid ape, the nearer the individual was to the brute.

In the twentieth century, during what is characterised as the modern or machine age, the animal was largely excluded from art. Franz Marc's prominence as an artist who exemplified animals in modern art is the result of his singularity. In his book The Postmodern Animal, Steve Baxer notes the lack of animals in modernism and chronicles how the animal has returned as a concern in postmodern art. This brings us to the situation today and the current exhibition where the works indicate a range of attitudes by artists that can be summarised as rejecting the rigid speciedism of the past. Having had the privilege of reading the exhibiting artists' statements of intention, I am reluctant to make any global claims, given their multiple individual motivations. Nevertheless, a resounding commonality is that the boundaries of any ethical, moral, and intellectual sphere reach well beyond humans. Many artists here are motivated by their activist involvement with animal rights, others by environmental concerns, and yet others by philosophical or ethical positions derived from Peter Singer's pragmatism, Jacques Derrida's situational ethics or Donna Haraway's studies of companion species, to name a few prominent sources. Since the nineteen-eighties, when the writing of Gilles Deleuze and Félix Guattari triggered discussion on overcoming existing metaphysical humanism and anthropocentrism by "becoming animal", there has been a significant shift towards this post-human condition. Indeed, our engagement with bio-technology suggests we are becoming "vegetable, mineral and machine as well". Anthropomorphism remains a problematic issue for artists, especially those using photography and film. Nevertheless, there is developing recognition of the role anthropomorphism can play in a positive transformation of attitudes to other animals.

Given the complex nature of contemporary attitudes to animal/human identity, it is unsurprising that a number of the artists in this exhibition are also presenting papers at the conference. These papers revolve on critical, ethical, or philosophical issues around the theme of animals and people: a shared environment.
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Image: The Eyes have it (chicken, cow, pig, sheep), 2010, each work 59 x 23 cm, giclee print on photo rag paper.
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