

# JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

**Berger, Lee (2001) *Diseases in Australian frogs*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/17586>



# Diseases in Australian Frogs



Thesis submitted by

Lee BERGER

BVSc (*Uni Melb*)

in October 2001

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine

James Cook University

## **STATEMENT OF ACCESS**

I, the undersigned author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work

---

Signature

---

Date

## STATEMENT ON SOURCES

### *Declaration*

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

.....  
(Signature)

.....  
(Date)

## **ELECTRONIC COPY**

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

---

Signature

---

Date

## ABSTRACT

The aims of this project were to investigate the diseases present in Australian amphibians and to determine the cause of the mass mortalities in wild frogs in Queensland that had resulted in population declines.

Initially diagnostic pathology methods were used to examine endangered frogs collected from a mass die-off in north Queensland, as well as to survey other frogs for disease. The survey was achieved using a collaborative approach involving herpetologists, ecologists and other scientists around Australia who submitted sick and dead frogs for testing. Epidermal infections of a new species of chytrid fungus, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, were consistently found in frogs during mass mortalities in the wild and in captivity, including some of the last individuals of *Taudactylus acutirostris*. Detailed investigations of this pathogen included experimental transmissions, pathology, studies on the distribution in adults and tadpoles, epidemiology, and examination of the morphology and lifecycle. Further work was aimed at developing diagnostic tests, and treatment and disinfection protocols.

A wide range of diseases were diagnosed in frogs submitted for the disease survey, including those caused by *Mucor amphibiorum*, *Spirometra erinacei*, *Aphanomyces* sp., *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, and neoplasms. Vacuolating and ulcerative dermatoses also occurred but the aetiology was not determined. However, the only disease that was common and had an obvious impact on the abundance of frogs was chytridiomycosis, which accounted for the deaths of 56.5% of frogs submitted.

*B. dendrobatidis* was found to be widespread across Australia with a broad host range, and caused disease in 35 native Myobatrachid and Hylid species as well as the Bufonid, *Bufo marinus*. The incidence of chytridiomycosis showed a distinct seasonal effect with most frogs dying in winter. Most infected frogs were submitted from Queensland and New South Wales, with less from Victoria, South Australia and Western Australia. Large outbreaks occurred regularly in *Litoria caerulea* around Brisbane. Diseased frogs were from a variety of habitats at high and low altitudes.

Sporangia of *B. dendrobatidis* grow within cells of the *stratum granulosum* and *stratum corneum*. As the fungus matures it is carried outwards with the epidermal cell. The entire contents of sporangia cleave into flagellated zoospores that are released from discharge tubes which protrude through the skin surface. Chytridiomycosis occurs as an extensive infection of the ventral skin and feet resulting in hyperkeratosis, hyperplasia, erosions, focal necrosis, and occasional ulceration of the epidermis, with minimal inflammation in the skin. Ultrastructural pathology demonstrated that infection of the epidermis stimulated an increased turnover of epidermal cells leading to hyperplasia.

Initially disease was transmitted experimentally by exposing frogs to infected skin scrapings, and then once pure cultures of *B. dendrobatidis* were established, frogs were exposed to zoospores. Experimental infections in *Mixophyes fasciolatus* and *L. caerulea* at between 17°C and 24°C resulted in 100% mortality. Deaths (or terminal illness requiring euthanasia) occurred 9 - 76 days after exposure to the fungus, with most frogs dying between 18 and 48 days. Doses as low as 100 zoospores resulted in the death of 3/3 *M. fasciolatus*, while 3 frogs each given 10 zoospores did not succumb. At 27°C, 4/8 experimentally infected *M. fasciolatus* died while the rest remained healthy. Infection was confirmed in 3 of these, but was eliminated by 98 days. Attempts to infect *Bufo marinus* and *Limnodynastes peronii* were not successful.

Healthy tadpoles could apparently carry infections in their mouthparts from soon after hatching until metamorphic climax when sporangia were rapidly redistributed to the skin of the body as the beaks were shed. The infected metamorphs died at about 2 - 3 weeks old. The distribution of sporangia in tadpoles and metamorphs during development followed the changes in the distribution of keratin.

Accurate testing of sick frogs was achieved using histology or examination of skin scrapings. Histological examination of toe-clips was useful for ante mortem testing of healthy frogs, but was found to have low sensitivity (52.7%). Polyclonal antibodies were generated to *B. dendrobatidis* by inoculating rabbits and sheep. Although these antibodies cross-reacted with other chytridiomycetes, they were used in an

immunoperoxidase test that increased sensitivity of diagnosis (61.8%) and ease of interpretation.

A wide range of antifungal drugs and compounds were effective against *B. dendrobatidis* *in vitro*, but when fluconazole and benzalkonium chloride were selected for testing in an animal trial they were found to be ineffective for treating infected frogs. Sporangia and zoospores were sensitive to three common disinfectants when used at routine levels - 70% ethanol, 0.1% benzalkonium chloride and 0.1% Virkon. Cultures were also killed by drying for an hour, and by incubating at temperatures at 32°C or above.

Chytridiomycosis is a highly pathogenic emerging infectious disease that has caused mass mortality of wild frogs leading to population declines and extinctions in protected montane rainforest areas. The most plausible explanation for the extreme susceptibility of some amphibian species giving rise to unsustainably high mortality rates is that they have not co-evolved with this pathogen, and that *B. dendrobatidis* was introduced to Australia and has spread through a naïve population.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am forever grateful to Rick Speare for giving me the opportunity to work on frog disease and for his continuous inspiration, generosity and support that has made so many things possible. I thank Alex Hyatt for his enthusiastic encouragement and the opportunity to work in his fantastic laboratory, and for his guidance while introducing me to the world of electron microscopy. It was great collaborating with herpetologists whose concern for the frogs was always the highest priority. Gerry Marantelli and the Amphibian Research Centre's unique and generous contributions and ideas were indispensable to the project, especially the animal experiments. The tremendous support from the start by Harry Hines was responsible for the outcomes of the disease survey, by finding many of the sick frogs and taking the time to investigate outbreaks reported by the public and collate information. I thank Keith McDonald for his role in initiating the project, which arose out of his dedicated research and monitoring of declining populations. I'm also indebted to many other froggers who contributed their time and skills, especially Craig Williams, John Clarke, Mike Tyler, Raelene Hobbs, Nick Sheppard, David Page, Graeme Gillespie, Michael Mahony, Michelle Christy, Lance Tarvey, Monica Wangmann, Paul O'Callaghan, Marc Hero, Kathy Taylor, Jenny Holdway, D. Stewart, Richard Retallick, Lothar Voigt, and everyone else listed in the thesis who sent in specimens. Joyce Longcore helped enormously by sharing her mycological findings on *B. dendrobatidis*. We were lucky that someone as generous as her described the frog chytrid and had such a crucial role. Thanks to everyone in the electron microscopy lab: Veronica Olsen for her hard work and care of the frogs in the last experiment, Helen Parkes for helpful discussions, Sandy Crameri and Terry Wise for advice and help with EM and photography, and Donna Boyle for attempting virus isolations. The histology lab made me welcome. Thanks to Megan Braun for preparing a huge number of excellent histology slides and for her immense wit, Gail Russell for her good advice and tolerance, and Peter Hooper, Deborah Middleton and Mark Williamson for sharing their pathology expertise. Thanks also to all those who gave advice, identified parasites and collaborated on various projects, including Peter Daszak, Louise Goggin and Mark Ragan for their groundbreaking work on identification of the frog chytrid, as well as Annette Thomas, Frank Mutschmann, Di Barton, Andrew Cunningham, Jess Morgan, Peter O'Donoghue, David E. Green, Norman Cheville, Robin Gasser, Xingquan Zhu and Peter Timms. Frank Filippi did

fantastic frog photography and was a huge help in preparing the figures. Thanks to Julia Hammond for bacteriology and for listening. The library staff at AAHL were very helpful. Thanks to Peter Kavenagh for his patience and assistance with setting up the frog disease database. Thanks to John Humphrey for helping me get started. Gary Rowe and Trevor Kelly in the stores always located the frogs that lost their way. John Muschialli and Don Carlson helped set up the animal rooms. Leigh Keegan assisted with tadpole pathology. Bruce Parry generously did some haematological analysis. Kate Steinmann translated some French publications and was a great help with the database. Marilyn Skerratt kindly proof-read the whole thesis in 24 hours. I thank Kerry Kelly and the whole Speare family for their hospitality in Townsville over the years. Thanks to Emma Homes and Stanley Isaiah for the food and exercise. Environment Australia via Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service with guardianship by Keith McDonald provided funding throughout the project.

I'm forever grateful to Linda Berger, Clive Berger, Karen Berger and Dawn Powell for their love and for taking care of me. I thank Lee Skerratt for a great many things, but in general because I would not have even started this without him.

## PREFACE

All procedures reported in the thesis received the approval of the AAHL Ethics Committee or the Amphibian Research Centre Ethics Committee.

Some of the research required multidisciplinary skills, and was conducted in collaboration or consultation with other scientists. In general, my supervisors Rick Speare and Alex Hyatt gave advice on the design of the research, in interpretation of results and in preparing the thesis. People who gave technical assistance are thanked in the acknowledgements. The specific scientific contributions of others to each chapter are summarised below.

### CHAPTER 4

Electron microscopy was performed in collaboration with Alex Hyatt and Sandra Crameri. Although I took most of the photos, operation of the microscopes and preparation and processing of samples was a joint effort. Alex Hyatt processed the samples that were freeze-substituted, and did the work at Adelaide University on freeze-fractured samples. Sandra Crameri evaluated different buffers for fixing cultured fungi. Joyce Longcore commented on a draft of this chapter.

### CHAPTER 5

Norman Cheville was consulted for interpretation of the ultrastructural pathology, and Lee Skerratt advised on the statistical analysis in the study on distribution of infection. Helen Parkes first noticed possible fungal sporangia in the mouthparts of tadpoles, while examining *Bufo marinus*.

### CHAPTER 6

This chapter consists of two multi-authored papers. The paper on histological diagnosis (Berger et al., 2000) was written with Rick Speare and Andrew Kent based on shared observations. The paper on production of polyclonal antibodies (Berger et al., 2001) included advice from Alex Hyatt, immunoperoxidase staining by Veronica Olsen, gold labelling by Sandra Crameri, fluorescence staining by Donna Boyle, a frog transmission

experiment conducted with Gerry Marantelli, and utilised various fungi isolated by Joyce Longcore and Kaye Humphreys.

#### CHAPTER 7

Four of the seven transmission experiments were conducted at the Amphibian Research Centre (ARC). For these experiments, I prepared the zoospores, infected the frogs and did the diagnostic work, while husbandry, observations, euthanasia and preservation of samples were conducted by Gerry Marantelli, Raelene Hobbs and other staff at the ARC. Lee Skerratt advised on the statistics.

#### CHAPTER 8

This chapter describes frogs with chytridiomycosis collected during the disease survey (see Chapter 10). The most significant frogs were collected from Big Tableland by Keith McDonald, Rick Speare, Kelly Field and Andrew Dennis in 1993, and were necropsied by Rick Speare. Harry Hines commented on a draft of this chapter and Vivienne Lewis advised on the statistics.

#### CHAPTER 9

The treatment trial was conducted at the ARC in collaboration with Gerry Marantelli, Raelene Hobbs and other staff.

#### CHAPTER 10

The survey of frogs for disease involved over 50 herpetologists around Australia. The most active collectors are included in Chapter 3, and everyone involved is listed in Appendix 1 with details of the frogs submitted for testing. Harry Hines collected the most frogs and investigated many reports of mortality from the public. Various experts were consulted for identification of parasites by PCR or morphological examination and are referred to in the results section, as well as in Chapter 3. Peter Daszak originally identified *B. dendrobatidis* as a chytrid. At AAHL, assistance with the search for viruses was provided by Donna Boyle who attempted virus isolations on specimens submitted after 1997, and Jacqui Kattenbelt performed the ranavirus PCR.

## CHAPTER 11

For the paper on chlamydiosis (Berger et al., 1999b), identification of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* by PCR was performed by Peter Timms, Kym Volp and Sarah Mathews. Rick Speare made the initial presumptive diagnosis of chlamydiosis based on histology.

## CHAPTER 12

This chapter is comprised of four multi-authored papers. The description of fungal disease in cane toad tadpoles (Berger et al., 2001) involves tadpoles that were collected and described grossly by Rick Speare, and mycological culture by Annette Thomas. Alex Hyatt assisted and advised on the electron microscopy. John Humphrey helped with the post mortem in the case report on mucormycosis in a green treefrog (Berger et al., 1997). For the other two papers I was not the primary investigator. For Speare et al. (1997), most of the work was done by Rick Speare. I contributed results from three post mortems, took the photos and assisted with preparing the manuscript for publication. For Creeper et al., (1998), my role was minor and I helped with the diagnosis and in writing the paper.

## CHAPTER 13

For the manuscript on spargana, Lee Skerratt identified many of the parasites. The section on metacercariae includes tadpoles collected by Harry Hines, and the metacercariae were identified by Di Barton.

## CHAPTER 14

Rick Speare and Deborah Middleton assisted with diagnosis and description of the neoplasms.

## CHAPTER 15

Rick Speare identified the iridophores and Frank Mutschmann contributed the results on Tanzanian frogs.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page	i
Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	vi
Preface	viii
Table of contents	xi
List of figures	xvi
List of tables	xxiii
<b>CHAPTER 1: Aims and scope of thesis</b>	
1.1 Overview of the project	1
1.2 Problems with investigating the cause of frog declines in Australia	3
1.3 Collaborative approach	4
1.4 Contribution of others to this work	4
1.5 Aims of the thesis	5
<b>CHAPTER 2: Literature review</b>	
2.1 Amphibian declines in Australia	6
2.2 Amphibian declines in other countries	10
2.2.1 Americas	10
2.2.2 Other continents	11
2.3 Infectious agents with potential to cause epidemics in free-living anurans	12
2.3.1 Viruses	12
2.3.2 Bacteria	15
2.3.3 Fungi	17
2.3.4 Protozoa	19
2.3.5 Helminths	21
2.3.6 Arthropods	23
2.4 Non-infectious agents with potential to cause catastrophic amphibian declines in protected areas	24
2.4.1 Pollutants	24
2.4.2 Solar ultraviolet radiation	25
2.4.3 Introduced fish	26
2.4.4 Introduced amphibians	27
2.5 Directions of thesis	27
<b>CHAPTER 3: General materials and methods</b>	
3.1 Collection of specimens for disease survey	29
3.2 Post mortem technique	32
3.3 Histopathology	34
3.3.1 Preparation and processing	34
3.4 Immunoperoxidase staining for ranavirus	35
3.5 Mycological techniques for <i>B. dendrobatidis</i>	36
3.5.1 Isolation from frogs	36
3.5.2 Maintenance of cultures	36
3.5.3 Collection of zoospores	37

3.6	Virus isolation in tissue culture	37
3.6.1	Cells and media	37
3.6.2	Cell inoculations	38
3.7	Electron microscopy	38
3.7.1	Transmission electron microscopy	38
3.7.2	Scanning electron microscopy	40
3.8	Other diagnostic tests	42

## **PART 1: AMPHIBIAN CHYTRID**

### **CHAPTER 4: *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the amphibian chytrid**

4.1	Introduction and literature review	43
4.1.1	Biology of the Chytridiomycota	43
4.1.2	Epidemics caused by the introduction of zoosporic fungi	45
4.1.3	Discovery and identification of <i>B. dendrobatidis</i>	46
4.1.4	Taxonomy of <i>B. dendrobatidis</i>	46
4.1.5	Biology of <i>B. dendrobatidis</i>	48
4.2	Methods	49
4.3	Results and review of morphology	50
4.3.1	Zoospore	50
4.3.2	Germling	50
4.3.3	Developing sporangia and zoosporangia	51
4.3.4	Effete sporangia	51
4.3.5	Colonies in culture	51
4.3.6	<i>Batrachochytrium</i> in skin	52
4.3.7	Lifecycle	53
4.4	Discussion	62

### **CHAPTER 5: Pathology of chytridiomycosis**

5.1	Chytridiomycosis in post-metamorphic frogs	64
5.1.1	Introduction	64
5.1.2	Methods	66
5.1.3	Results	68
5.1.4	Discussion	86
5.2	<i>Batrachochytrium</i> in tadpoles: association with keratin and changes in distribution during development of tadpoles of <i>Mixophyes</i> spp.	90
5.2.1	Introduction	90
5.2.2	Methods	92
5.2.3	Results	92
5.2.4	Discussion	98

### **CHAPTER 6: Diagnosis of chytridiomycosis**

6.1	Introduction	100
6.2	My role in the papers	100
6.3	Histological diagnosis of chytridiomycosis	101
6.3.1	Abstract	101
6.3.2	Introduction	101
6.3.3	Methods	102
6.3.4	Morphology of <i>B. dendrobatidis</i>	104
6.3.5	Histopathology of chytridiomycosis	106

6.3.6	Technique of examination	111
6.3.7	Acknowledgements	112
6.3.8	References	112
6.4	Diagnosis of chytridiomycosis by examination of skin scrapings	114
6.5	Comparison between histology and examination of skin scrapings	117
6.6	Diagnosis by immunoperoxidase staining	118
6.6.1	Abstract	118
6.6.2	Introduction	118
6.6.3	Materials and Methods	119
6.6.4	Results	122
6.6.5	Discussion	126
6.6.6	Acknowledgements	127
6.6.7	References	128
6.7	Conclusion on diagnostic techniques	134

#### **CHAPTER 7: Investigation of the pathogenesis of chytridiomycosis using experimental transmission**

7.1	Introduction	136
7.2	Methods	137
7.2.1	Animals	137
7.2.2	Collection and preparation of <i>B. dendrobatidis</i>	141
7.2.3	General experimental design	142
7.3	Specific aims, methods and results of each experiment	144
7.3.1	Experiment 1: Initial transmission to <i>M. fasciolatus</i>	144
7.3.2	Experiment 2: Attempted transmission to <i>B. marinus</i>	145
7.3.3	Experiment 3: Infection of <i>M. fasciolatus</i> using cultured zoospores at different doses	146
7.3.4	Experiment 4: Infection of tadpoles of <i>M. fasciolatus</i>	147
7.3.5	Experiment 5: Attempt to infect <i>Lim. peronii</i>	148
7.3.6	Experiment 6: Comparison of pathogenicity of three isolates on <i>L. caerulea</i>	148
7.3.7	Experiment 7: Effects of temperature on the pathogenesis in <i>M. fasciolatus</i>	150
7.4	Discussion	156

#### **CHAPTER 8: Hosts, distribution, incidence and impact of chytridiomycosis: relevance to population declines**

8.1	Introduction	163
8.2	Methods	164
8.3	Results	165
8.3.1	Overview of hosts with chytridiomycosis	165
8.3.2	Concurrent diseases	166
8.3.3	<i>B. dendrobatidis</i> in tadpoles	166
8.3.4	Distribution	167
8.3.5	Incidence of deaths	169
8.3.6	Impacts	170
8.4	Discussion	174
8.4.1	Host Range	174
8.4.2	Distribution	176
8.4.3	Incidence of deaths	177

8.4.5	Impact	180
8.4.6	Future directions	181

### **CHAPTER 9: Treatment and disinfection**

9.1	Introduction	183
9.2	Methods	185
9.2.1	Evaluating antifungals in vitro	185
9.2.2	Disinfection	187
9.2.3	Testing antifungals in frogs	188
9.3	Results	190
9.3.1	Antifungals in vitro	190
9.3.2	Disinfection	191
9.3.3	Antifungals in frogs	192
9.4	Discussion	192

## **PART 2: OTHER DISEASES**

### **CHAPTER 10: Overview of disease survey**

10.1	Introduction	197
10.2	Methods	197
10.3	Results	198
10.3.1	Overview of specimens submitted	198
10.3.2	Overview of diseases	199
10.4	Discussion	208

### **CHAPTER 11: Chlamydial disease**

11.1	Introduction	219
11.2	My role in the paper	219
11.3	<i>Chlamydia pneumoniae</i> in a free-ranging giant barred frog ( <i>Mixophyes iteratus</i> ) from Australia	220

### **CHAPTER 12: Other fungal diseases**

12.1	Introduction	223
12.2	My role in the papers	223
12.3	Aphanomycetosis in tadpoles of <i>B. marinus</i>	224
12.4	Mucormycosis	231

### **CHAPTER 13: Helminth diseases**

13.1	Introduction	247
13.2	My role in the manuscripts	248
13.3	Pathology of sparganosis	248
13.3.1	Abstract	248
13.3.2	Introduction	248
13.3.3	Methods	250
13.3.4	Results	251
13.3.5	Discussion	260
13.3.6	Acknowledgements	262
13.3.7	References	262
13.4	Trematode metacercaria in tadpoles of <i>Mixophyes</i> sp.	264
13.4.1	References	269

<b>CHAPTER 14: Proliferative diseases</b>	
14.1 My role in the manuscript	270
14.2 Introduction	270
14.3 Methods	271
14.4 Results	271
14.5 Discussion	278
14.6 Acknowledgements	279
14.7 References	279
<b>CHAPTER 15: Unusual anatomy</b>	
15.1 Introduction	280
15.2 My role in the manuscript	280
15.3 Internal iridophores in Australian and Tanzanian frogs	280
15.4 Acknowledgements	285
15.5 References	285
<b>PART 3: CHYTRIDIOMYCOSIS AND AMPHIBIAN DECLINES</b>	
<b>CHAPTER 16: Discussion</b>	
16.1 Diseases in Australian frogs	286
16.2 Chytridiomycosis and population declines	287
16.3 Introduced diseases of wildlife	290
16.4 Management aspects of chytridiomycosis	292
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	295
<b>APPENDIX 1</b> Details of sick amphibians submitted for the disease survey	314
<b>APPENDIX 2</b> List of helminths identified	327
<b>APPENDIX 3</b> Amphibian autopsy report form	329
<b>APPENDIX 4</b> List of papers published and copies of papers	330

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1** Total numbers of four frog species (*Litoria nannotis*, *L. rheocola*, *Taudactylus acutirostris* and *Nyctimystes dayi*) at a site at Big Tableland.
- Figure 3.1** Flowchart representing the network for collection and diagnosis of ill or dead frogs.
- Figure 4.1** Live cultured zoospore of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*.
- Figure 4.2** Formalin-fixed zoospores within a zoosporangium in the skin of *Bufo marinus*.
- Figure 4.3** Glutaraldehyde-fixed cultured zoospore.
- Figure 4.4** Glutaraldehyde-fixed cultured zoospore.
- Figure 4.5** Glutaraldehyde-fixed encysted zoospore.
- Figure 4.6** SEM of a germling showing fine rhizoids spreading out along the substrate.
- Figure 4.7** TEM of a young sporangium parasitising an adjacent sporangium.
- Figure 4.8** Live immature sporangium with rhizoids spreading out.
- Figure 4.9** TEM of an immature colonial sporangium in skin of a *Litoria gracilentia*.
- Figure 4.10** SEM of bulk-frozen hydrated culture that has been freeze-fractured.
- Figure 4.11** TEM of an immature sporangium with discharge papilla.
- Figure 4.12** TEM of a multinucleate sporangium that is beginning to cleave into zoospores.
- Figure 4.13** TEM of a sporangium in skin of a *Litoria gracilentia* with a cytoplasm that has divided into incompletely formed flagellated zoospores.
- Figure 4.14** SEM of a bulk-frozen hydrated sporangium that has been freeze-fractured.
- Figure 4.15** Live sporangia with discharge papillae.
- Figure 4.16** SEM of a large zoosporangium on agar with five papillae visible.
- Figure 4.17** TEM of a mature zoosporangium with discharge papilla and plug
- Figure 4.18** SEM of a zoosporangium on agar releasing a zoospore through a long discharge tube.
- Figure 4.19** Sporangia that have released most of their zoospores.
- Figure 4.20** TEM of an old sporangium in the keratinised skin of a *Litoria gracilentia*.
- Figure 4.21** Culture on TGhL agar plate. Colonies appear as granular, cream coloured mounds.
- Figure 4.22** SEM of a cluster of sporangia grown on a plastic coverslip and freeze dried.
- Figure 4.23** SEM of thalli with two discharge tubes demonstrating the aptness of the name “chytrid” (i.e. earthen pot).
- Figure 4.24** SEM of two sporangia showing the attraction between their rhizoids.
- Figure 4.25** SEM of freeze-fractured preparation of a bulk-frozen hydrated culture in agar.
- Figure 4.26** Histological section of skin from an infected *Litoria caerulea*.
- Figure 4.27** TEM of skin from a *Litoria gracilentia* with immature, solid stages deeper in the epidermis, and old empty stages in the flattened, dark, keratinised cells.

**Figure 4.28** SEM of infected toe skin of a *Litoria lesueuri*

**Figure 4.29** TEM of an old sporangium containing bacteria and a zoospore.

**Figure 4.30** TEM of old sporangia in skin of a *Litoria gracilentia*.

**Figure 4.31** Diagram of the lifecycle of *B. dendrobatidis* in culture.

**Figure 5.1** Live adult of *Litoria caerulea* in the terminal stages of chytridiomycosis.

**Figure 5.2** Captive metamorph of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with terminal chytridiomycosis.

**Figure 5.3** Formalin-fixed adult of *Litoria caerulea* with discolouration and ulcers on dorsal skin.

**Figure 5.4** Dead adult of *Mixophyes fleayi* with chytridiomycosis.

**Figure 5.5** Histological section of healthy dorsal skin from an adult *Litoria caerulea*. The epidermis is smooth and of even thickness.

**Figure 5.6** Transverse section of a toe from a metamorph of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with a heavy infection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*.

**Figure 5.7** Section of infected skin from a captive metamorph of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with mild epidermal lesions.

**Figure 5.8** Infected skin from the toe of an adult of *Litoria chloris*.

**Figure 5.9** Skin section from the ventral abdomen of an adult of *Litoria caerulea* with more severe lesions.

**Figure 5.10** Edge of an ulcer from the *Litoria caerulea* in figure 5.3

**Figure 5.11** Skin from the thigh of an infected adult of *Litoria caerulea* with ulceration of the epidermis.

**Figure 5.12** Pocket of infection in an adult of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 5.13** Foci of infection and hyperkeratosis in skin over the thighs from a very lightly infected *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 5.14** Transmission electron micrograph (TEM) of infected epidermis in an adult of *Litoria gracilentia* without clinical signs.

**Figure 5.15** Higher magnification of an infected cell from figure 5.14.

**Figure 5.16** TEM of superficial epidermal cells from an adult of *Litoria gracilentia* that died with chytridiomycosis.

**Figure 5.17** Scanning electron micrograph of smooth intact healthy skin from a *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 5.18** SEM of the surface of skin from the foot of an infected adult of *Litoria lesueuri*, showing the extensive degeneration and peeling of the superficial epidermis.

**Figure 5.19** SEM of infected epidermal cells on the surface of skin from an adult of *Litoria lesueuri*.

**Figure 5.20** Sites on dorsal and ventral body and toes that were sampled to determine the distribution of infection, presence of pathology and number of skin glands.

**Figure 5.21** Section of infected toe skin from an adult of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 5.22** Diagram explaining the husbandry of the tadpoles that died after metamorphosis.

**Figure 5.23** Percent of tadpoles with keratin in mouth, hind feet and tail during development.

**Figure 5.24** Diagram of the distribution of keratin at crucial stages in tadpole development.

**Figure 5.25** Oral disc of a normal tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with the dark pigmented horny beaks in the centre surrounded by the pigmented upper and lower labial fringes.

**Figure 5.26** Ayoub-Shklar stained section through the mouthparts of an uninfected tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* demonstrating the presence of red-stained keratin on all surfaces of the horny beak.

**Figure 5.27** Ayoub-Shklar stained section through the mouthparts of a metamorphosing tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* at stage 42.

**Figure 5.28** Ayoub-Shklar stained section through the horny beak of an infected tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus*.

**Figure 6.1** Section of skin from a heavily infected adult of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 6.2** Section of skin from a *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with mostly empty sporangia present.

**Figure 6.3** Section of skin from a lightly infected *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with focal hyperkeratosis.

**Figure 6.4** Section of skin from metamorph of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with the heavily infected *stratum corneum* sloughing, leaving few organisms on the epidermis in the left half of the image.

**Figure 6.5** Normal toe skin from a *Litoria chloris* with vesicular structures (likely to be ducts from dermal glands) in the epidermis that appear similar to empty sporangia.

**Figure 6.6** Section through mouthparts of a tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with various stages present in the superficial keratinised epidermis.

**Figure 6.7** Unstained wet mount of shedding skin from an infected adult of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 6.8** Shedding skin from an infected *Mixophyes fasciolatus*.

**Figure 6.9** Unstained squash preparation of the pigmented keratinised lips from a tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus*.

**Figure 6.10** Immunoperoxidase stain on skin of a *Litoria caerulea* with a heavy infection of *B. dendrobatidis*.

**Figure 6.11** Immunoperoxidase stain on skin of a *Litoria caerulea* with a light infection of *B. dendrobatidis*, demonstrating the sensitivity of the test in highlighting a few sporangia.

**Figure 6.12** Immunoperoxidase stain on the mouth-parts of a tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* infected with *B. dendrobatidis*.

**Figure 6.13** Electron micrograph of a gold-labelled cultured zoosporangium, using polyclonal antiserum from rabbit 666.

**Figure 7.1** Healthy metamorph of *Mixophyes fasciolatus*.

**Figure 7.2** Captive metamorphs of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* usually hide during the day by burrowing in gravel or under leaves.

**Figure 7.3** A tub used for housing *Mixophyes fasciolatus*, with gravel and plastic leaves at one end.

**Figure 7.4** The number of frogs exposed to three isolates of *B. dendrobatidis* that died over time.

**Figure 7.5** The number of frogs at each temperature that died with chytridiomycosis over time.

**Figure 7.6** The numbers of frogs that were sitting uncovered during the day, of the 19 frogs that died with chytridiomycosis, where each day is the number of days before death.

**Figure 8.1** Map of eastern Australia showing where ill wild amphibians were found with *B. dendrobatidis*.

**Figure 8.2** Total numbers of ill post-metamorphic wild frogs examined, comparing frogs diagnosed with chytridiomycosis to frogs with other diseases for each month.

**Figure 8.3** Total numbers of wild sick frogs diagnosed with chytridiomycosis from each state per month between October 1993 and December 2000.

**Figure 8.4** Numbers of wild frogs from Queensland and NSW diagnosed with chytridiomycosis from 1996 to 2000.

**Figure 10.1** Section of kidney from a *Litoria aurea* with masses of diffuse, interstitial inflammation and dilated tubules due to mycobacteriosis

**Figure 10.2** Lung of a *Litoria aurea* with greatly thickened septa due to a mononuclear inflammatory response to *Mycobacteria* sp.

**Figure 10.3** Kidney of a *Litoria aurea* stained with Ziehl Neelsen stain, demonstrating the presence of pairs of intracellular acid fast bacterial rods.

**Figure 10.4** A *Limnodynastes peronii* with miliary nodules throughout the enlarged liver caused by *Mucor amphibiorum*.

**Figure 10.5** Section of skin from a *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with mycotic dermatitis.

**Figure 10.6** Section of brain from a *Litoria caerulea* with protistan encephalitis, showing severe haemorrhage, caseous necrosis and perivascular cuffing.

**Figure 10.7** Cysts of the unidentified protist in the brain of a *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 10.8** Electron micrograph of protists in the spinal chord of a tadpole of *Litoria aurea*.

**Figure 10.9** Section of ovary of a *Litoria caerulea* containing a large cyst containing spores of *Myxobolus hylae*.

**Figure 10.10** Section of liver from a metamorph of *Neobatrachus kunapalari* with a focal infection of intracellular refractile spores of *Pleistophora* sp.

**Figure 10.11** Electron micrographs of the microsporidian *Pleistophora* sp. in the liver of a *Neobatrachus kunapalari*.

**Figure 10.12** Section of intestine from a tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* infected with a likely *Goussia* sp. in vacuoles in the basal epithelial cells.

**Figure 10.13** Section of liver from a tadpole of *Mixophyes fasciolatus* with biliary hyperplasia and fibrosis due to an undetermined cause.

**Figure 10.14** Dermatitis in a *Litoria chloris* with discolouration, focal erosions and ulcerations.

**Figure 10.15** A section of skin of *Litoria chloris* with vacuolation of epidermal cells, hyperkeratosis and the presence of pigment cells in the epidermis.

**Figure 10.16** The number of frogs in each ICD (International Classification of Diseases) category.

**Figure 11.1** Histological section of lung from giant barred frog with severe, chronic, mononuclear pneumonia.

**Figure 11.2** Histological section of lung with infected mononuclear cell.

**Figure 11.3** Transmission electron micrograph of infected mononuclear cell with various stages of chlamydia present within a membrane bound cytoplasmic inclusion.

**Figure 11.4** Transmission electron micrograph of various chlamydial stages in the lung.

**Figure 12.1** Glutaraldehyde-fixed tadpole of *Bufo marinus* photographed underwater with a mycelium of fungus consistent with *Aphanomyces* growing on and between the nostrils, as well as on the mouth.

**Figure 12.2** Tadpole of *Bufo marinus* with a tuft of fungal hyphae attached to the left nostril.

**Figure 12.3** Histological section of an of infection foci on lower jaw, with ulceration of the epidermis, and inflammation and distension in the dermis.

**Figure 12.4** Histological section of the mouth showing fungal hyphae adhering to the palate with extensive ulceration of the mucosal epithelium.

**Figure 12.5** Scanning electron micrograph of the tadpole in Fig 1. with mycelium growing between the nostrils.

**Figure 12.6** Scanning electron micrograph of the patches of fungal infection above the mouth surrounded by a rim of swollen skin.

**Figure 12.7** Scanning electron micrograph of a cluster of primary zoospores on a lateral evacuation tube.

**Figure 12.8** Lesions of mucormycosis caused by *M. amphibiorum* in a cane toad.

**Figure 12.9** Sphaerule of *M. amphibiorum* in a multinucleate giant cell.

**Figure 12.10** Section of liver of a cane toad showing a histiocytic granuloma in response to *M. amphibiorum*.

**Figure 12.11** Granuloma with mononuclear cells, neutrophils, eosinophils and necrotic debris with sphaerules of *M. amphibiorum*.

**Figure 12.12** Head of *Litoria caerulea* with granulomatous mass protruding from the snout caused by infection with *Mucor amphibiorum*.

**Figure 12.13** Sphaerule of *Mucor amphibiorum* containing daughter sphaerules from crush preparation of granuloma in liver of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 12.14** Section of nasal cavity of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 12.15** Sphaerules of *Mucor amphibiorum* surrounded by giant cells in section of granuloma in kidney of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 12.16** Section of a granuloma in the kidney from a slender tree frog showing large segmented sphaerules and smaller circular daughter sphaerules.

**Figure 13.1** An adult of *Litoria caerulea* (case 8) with subcutaneous lumps due to spargana on the ventral abdomen and thighs and on the left tarsus.

**Figure 13.2** Ventral surface of a thin adult of *Litoria gracilentata* with massive enlargement of the thighs due to a heavy burden with spargana occurring free under the skin.

**Figure 13.3** Ventral surface of the hind leg of an adult of *Litoria caerulea* with encysted spargana in the muscles.

**Figure 13.4** An encysted sparganum of *Spirometra erinacei* in the thigh muscle of a *Litoria aurea* without associated tissue reaction.

**Figure 13.5** Sparganum in the muscle of a *Litoria aurea* surrounded by fibrosis and granulomatous inflammation.

**Figures 13.6** Body of a tadpole of *Mixophyes* sp. with a heavy burden of orange and black subcutaneous cysts containing metacercariae.

**Figure 13.7** Tail of a tadpole of *Mixophyes* sp. with a heavy burden of metacercariae.

**Figure 13.8** Whole cysts from skin of a tadpole.

**Figure 13.9** Metacercaria of *Fibricola* sp. after the cyst has been squashed to release the organism.

**Figure 13.10** Histological section of an encapsulated metacercaria in the myxomatous tissue of the tail.

**Figure 14.1** Adult of *Litoria infrafrenata* with dermal papules formed by a squamous cell carcinoma.

**Figure 14.2** Section of skin through the squamous cell carcinoma with rounded epidermal pegs invading through the dermis.

**Figure 14.3** Section showing keratin pearls and interstitial fibrosis.

**Figure 14.4** Adult of *Litoria caerulea* with large, ulcerated, haemorrhagic nodules on dorsal skin, formed by a basal cell tumour.

**Figure 14.5** Section of basal cell tumour with lobules of solid acini surrounded by fibrous stroma.

**Figure 14.6** Intravascular lymphoma in the heart of an adult of *Litoria caerulea*.

**Figure 14.7** Adult of *Litoria peronii* with a dermal lump due to osseous metaplasia.

**Figure 14.8** Section through the lump, containing a thick layer of adipose tissue at the base, trabecula bone and covered by epidermis.

**Figure 15.1** Adult of *Litoria chloris* with the liver and intestines removed and the bladder reflected to show the white pericardium and kidneys.

**Figure 15.2** Adult of *Hyperolius nasutus* with dense white covering over gastrointestinal tract, liver, and heart.

**Figure 15.3** Histological section of bladder of a *Litoria chloris*.

**Figure 15.4** Histological section of kidney of a *Litoria chloris* with iridophores under the serosa extending into the interstitial space between tubules.

**Figure 15.5** Transmission electron micrograph of iridophores in the bladder of a *Litoria chloris* with crystalline plates in the cytoplasm.

## LIST OF TABLES

**Table 5.1** Mean numbers of sporangia of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* per 1 mm skin, number of glands per 1 mm skin, presence of pathology, and thickness of skin at ten sites on the body on ten wild *L. caerulea* naturally infected with severe chytridiomycosis.

**Table 5.2** The number of tadpoles examined and the presence of keratin and *Batrachochytrium* at different stages of development.

**Table 6.1** Comparison of diagnosis of chytridiomycosis by histology and skin scrapings in sick frogs. These results are from examination of 172 sick frogs that were submitted to AAHL for diagnosis and examined by both skin scrapings and histology.

**Table 6.2** List of fungal species used, their strain identification numbers, the order and phylum they belong to, and their source.

**Table 6.3** Intensity of staining in the immunoperoxidase test using various concentrations of antisera against *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*.

**Table 6.4** Reactions of antisera with fungi in the immunoperoxidase test.

**Table 6.5** Comparison of the characteristics of each test.

**Table 7.1** Summary of seven transmission experiments where amphibians were exposed to *B. dendrobatidis*.

**Table 9.1** Concentrations of antifungal compounds that inhibited encystment and growth of zoospores of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, compared with the recommended doses for treatment (where available).

**Table 9.2** Times at which all sporangia were killed at different temperatures.

**Table 9.3** Mean time till death and range of times till death for each treatment.

**Table 10.1** Stages of captive and free-living sick amphibians received.

**Table 10.2** Selected diseases of amphibians submitted.