

# JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

**Mitchell, David Clive (2005) *Understanding the surface hydrology of low lying sugarcane fields for a basis of optimised surface drainage criteria*. PhD thesis, James Cook University**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/17534>



*Understanding the surface hydrology of low lying  
sugarcane fields for a basis of optimised surface  
drainage criteria*

A thesis submitted by

David Clive MITCHELL B Sc Agr (Sydney)

in July 2005

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the School of Topical Biological

James Cook University

## **ELECTRONIC COPY**

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

---

Signature

---

Date

## STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

---

Signature

Date

## STATEMENT OF SOURCES

### DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education.

Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

---

Signature

Date

## ABSTRACT

Surface hydrological characteristics of three low lying sugarcane fields in the lower Herbert Valley, North Queensland, were measured and it was found that the regional drainage system controlled the runoff from the field. Low relief slopes and non-integrated drainage design, coupled with high intensity and high volume of rainfall restrict the free movement of water from low lying fields. It was also found that contrary to expectations, inundation of sugarcane fields was not a major cause of yield loss. The recession time for the measured runoff events was between 1.2 and 7.6 hours, well below the critical 72 hours as required for yield loss. The surface water balance of the field shows that the water furrow acts as a preferential pathway for runoff. The surface water balance reveals that, on average only 36% of the runoff from the field exits by the rows. The majority of the runoff (64%) leaves the field via water furrows.

Waterlogging of sugarcane in the heavy textured, far levee soils is caused by the low saturated hydraulic conductivity of the lower soil horizons. This combined with the low specific yield of the soil means that only 100 mm of rain is required to completely saturate the uppermost 1 m of soil. The main study site (Main Palmas Site) was waterlogged for most of the study period (1999-2000).

Evapotranspiration and surface runoff were the significant terms in the annual water balance. Deep drainage contributed more to the annual field water balance than interflow. Sugarcane yields are affected by the presence of shallow watertables. The sugarcane yield components of the 1998-99 crop revealed a strong declining trend across the field bed that was closely related to the depth of the watertable.

It was found that the drainage system behaved such that the flow in the main field flume could be simulated by assuming the water flow from the sugarcane field was being restricted by a high resistance to flow (i.e. Manning's  $n$ ). This approach was tested over two wet seasons with 16 separate runoff events and it was found that the model SWMM could be used to simulate the dynamics of runoff from the field.

The Youngs watertable model simulated the dynamics of the shallow fluctuating watertable over a period of 2 years of relatively high rainfall. The Rudd and Chardon model predicted the yield of sugarcane well, the predicted yield were the same or very close to the observed yield for three wet seasons, under conditions of excess soil water. Raising the critical watertable depth in the Gayle model from 0.45 m to 0.4 m permitted a reliable predictor of biomass yield of sugarcane.

Optimised surface drainage criteria were established, namely:

- The effect of the trash blanket is to slow the removal of water from the fields however as the drainage water is removed from the field within the 3 day limit, therefore retention of the trash blanket is recommended.
- The upper range of field slopes (0.125%-0.5%) should be such that water is retained on the field to minimise the risk of inundation downstream. To minimise any effects of the high rates of water draining into the regional drainage system, the field slopes should be within the range of 0.01 to 0.125%.
- An optimum field length appears to be between 300 m and 600 m. this range of field lengths is an optimum between minimising the risk of inundation at a field scale and at the same time, reducing the risk of exacerbating the downstream flooding by decreasing the drainage water flow rate to the regional drainage system.

- Water furrows should be retained in fields in areas of high risk of inundation to minimise the effect of inundation.
- Water furrows should be removed from fields in other areas and the additional area planted to sugarcane.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

No PhD study is done completely alone. I am indebted to many people and institutions for assisting, supporting and encouraging me on this journey. I may omit people from this list for which I apologize. Firstly I would like to thank the Cooperative Research Centre for Sustainable Sugar Production for offering me a scholarship and operating funds to undertake this study. Secondly, CSIRO Land and Water for housing me for three years and thirdly James Cook University. The number of people who have contributed to this study are many; I will start by thanking my tolerant and patient supervisors who have encouraged me through the years, Dr Christian Roth, Associate Professor Ross Coventry. My unofficial supervisor and mentors Dr Heiko Bohl, and Dr Freeman Cook. I am grateful for the technical support from Roger Penny, Brian Chalmers, David Fanning, Garry Swan, Terry Fitzgerald, Peter Fitch and Joseph Kemani. I am indebted to Tony Palmas for unfettered access to his fields and for the loan of his tractor and water cart and his fearless barefoot snake skills. I would like to thank John Reghenzani and Glen Park BSES Ingham; Dave Horsley CSR Victoria for his enthusiasm and time; Steve Raine for his timely advice; Simon Elderidge for his field survey skills and Malcolm Hodgkin for the maps in this thesis and his patience.

Finally I would like to acknowledge my lovely wife and partner Tonya who over the period has encouraged cajoled and threatened me, and to Oskar and Felix who showed me the real things in life.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>STATEMENT OF ACCESS</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF SOURCES</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS AND DIAGRAMS</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES 18</b>	
<b>GLOSSARY 21</b>	
<b>SYMBOLS USED IN THE TEXT</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>35</b>
1.1 THESIS OBJECTIVES	35
1.1.1 Background	35
1.1.2 Research objectives	38
1.2 FIELD SCALE HYDROLOGY AND SUGARCANE RESPONSES TO EXCESS WATER	38
1.2.1 Key definitions	39
1.2.2 Climate conditions that cause excess water	39
1.2.3 On-farm drainage practices	42
1.2.4 Regional drainage practices	43
1.2.5 Previous regional drainage studies	44
1.2.6 Causes of inundation and waterlogging	45
1.2.7 Plant responses to inundation	46
1.2.8 Plant responses to waterlogging	49
1.2.9 Hydrological processes in sugarcane fields	51
1.3 KNOWLEDGE GAPS	52
1.4 STUDY SITES	53
1.5 METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	54
1.5.1 Soil samples and analysis	54
1.5.2 Modelling	55
1.6 APPROACH OF THIS THESIS	56
<b>CHAPTER 2 STUDY AREA</b>	<b>57</b>
2.1 PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF RIPPLE CREEK CATCHMENT	57
2.1.1 Location	57
2.1.2 Climate of the Lower Herbert Valley	59
2.1.3 Hydrology and landforms	64
2.1.4 Soils of the Ripple Creek catchment	66
2.1.5 Soils at the experimental sites	69
2.1.6 Vegetation of the Ripple Creek Catchment	71
2.2 SUGARCANE CULTURAL PRACTICES OF THE LOWER HERBERT VALLEY	72
2.2.1 Agronomy of sugarcane	73
2.2.2 Harvest	74
2.3 CURRENT DRAINAGE PRACTICES	74
2.3.1 Surface drainage	74
2.3.2 Sub surface drainage	79
<b>CHAPTER 3 INUNDATION</b>	<b>81</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION	81
3.1.1 Approach of this chapter	81
3.2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	82
3.2.1 Surface water balance	82
3.2.2 Flow generation	83
3.2.3 Flow regime	84
3.2.4 Resistance to flow	85
3.3 METHODS AND MATERIALS	88
3.3.1 Run on Experiments	88

3.3.2	<i>Measurement of rainfall and runoff from low lying sugarcane fields</i>	95
3.4	RESULTS	108
3.4.1	<i>Run on experiments</i>	108
3.4.2	<i>Runoff measurement</i>	115
3.4.3	<i>Surface water balance</i>	128
3.4.4	<i>Calculation of field runoff event characteristics</i>	131
3.4.5	<i>Flow type</i>	138
3.5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	140
3.5.1	<i>Depth discharge</i>	140
3.5.2	<i>Runoff</i>	142
3.5.3	<i>Overland flow type</i>	144
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>WATERLOGGING</b>	<b>145</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION	145
4.2	THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	147
4.2.1	<i>Watertable dynamics</i>	147
4.2.2	<i>Interflow</i>	147
4.3	METHODS AND MATERIALS	152
4.3.1	<i>Determination of soil hydraulic properties</i>	152
4.3.2	<i>Watertable dynamics and interflow</i>	157
4.3.3	<i>Plant growth and yield measurements</i>	162
4.4	RESULTS	163
4.4.1	<i>Soil hydraulic properties</i>	163
4.4.2	<i>Watertable dynamics and interflow</i>	172
4.4.3	<i>Water balance</i>	187
4.4.4	<i>Measured crop yield</i>	189
4.5	DISCUSSION	191
4.5.1	<i>Soil hydraulic properties</i>	191
4.5.2	<i>Watertable dynamics</i>	192
4.5.3	<i>Water balance</i>	193
4.5.4	<i>Crop yield</i>	194
4.6	CONCLUSIONS	194
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>MODELLING PLANT AND WATER PROCESSES</b>	<b>196</b>
5.1	INTRODUCTION	196
5.2	MODELLING APPROACH AND OBJECTIVES	196
5.3	SELECTION OF MODELS	197
5.3.1	<i>Runoff</i>	197
5.3.2	<i>Watertable models</i>	205
5.3.3	<i>Yield models</i>	210
5.3.4	<i>Model selection</i>	213
5.4	MODELLING METHODS	215
5.4.1	<i>Statistical methods to assess model results</i>	215
5.4.2	<i>Surface water modelling</i>	217
5.4.3	<i>Watertable models</i>	220
5.4.4	<i>Crop yield models</i>	223
5.5	RESULTS	224
5.5.1	<i>Surface water modelling</i>	224
5.5.2	<i>Watertable modelling</i>	233
5.5.3	<i>Crop growth responses to excess water</i>	238
5.6	CONCLUSIONS	238
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>DESIGN CRITERIA FOR SURFACE DRAINAGE OF SUGARCANE FIELDS</b>	<b>240</b>
6.1	INTRODUCTION	240
6.2	CURRENT DRAINAGE CRITERIA	241
6.3	METHODOLOGY TO DERIVE DRAINAGE CRITERIA	241
6.3.1	<i>Criteria for the management of inundation</i>	242
6.3.2	<i>Management options to minimise waterlogging</i>	245
6.4	SIMULATION AND RESULTS ANALYSIS METHOD	248

6.4.1	<i>Runoff</i>	248	
6.4.2	<i>Watertable</i>	249	
6.5	RESULTS OF MODELLING SCENARIOS	250	
6.5.1	<i>Inundation</i>	250	
6.5.2	<i>Watertables</i>	262	
6.6	SURFACE DRAINAGE CRITERIA FOR LOW LYING SUGARCANE FIELDS		281
6.6.1	<i>Inundation</i>	281	
6.6.2	<i>Watertable criteria</i>	284	
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS</b>	<b>288</b>	
7.1	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	288	
7.2	DRAINAGE CRITERIA	292	
7.3	KNOWLEDGE GAPS	294	
7.4	FUTURE CATCHMENT PLANNING	295	
7.5	AREAS OF FURTHER RESEARCH	295	
7.5.1	<i>Future field designs</i>	296	
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>300</b>		
<b>APPENNDIX</b>	<b>308</b>		
APPENNDIX 1A		308	
APPENDIX 2A		315	
APPENDIX A3.1		339	
APPENDIX A3.2		346	
APPENDIX A3.3		353	
APPENDIX A3.4		355	
APPENDIX A3.5		357	

## List of illustrations and diagrams

- Figure 1.1 Location of the Lower Herbert Valley and of the detailed study area in the rectangle near Ingham coordinate Fig 1.2. (map units in UTM, datum WGS84) p36
- Figure 1.2 Map showing main study site (Palmas) and secondary study site (Macknade Mill) and mean annual rainfall isohyets (grey) and roads (red) (coordinates in UTM, Datum WGS 84) Location of this map is shown in Fig. 1.1 p41
- Figure 1.3 Cross section of a typical field layout with water furrows p42
- Figure 2.1 Palmas sites plan p58
- Figure 2.2 Macknade study site plan (Arrows in field and drain indicate drainage direction p59
- Figure 2.3 Long term (from 1880 to present) monthly temperature for Ingham (Bureau of Meteorology 2000) p60
- Figure 2.4 Long term (from 1880 to present) monthly rainfall for Ingham (Bureau of Meteorology 2000) p61
- Figure 2.5 Long term annual median rainfall (BoM 2000) and monthly rainfall at the Palmas Site p62
- Figure 2.6 Pedo-hydrological units of the Ripple creek catchment (Timmer 1998) Dark green is high permeability, yellow is medium permeability, and red is low permeability. The Palmas Site is indicated by symbol p68
- Figure 2.7 Soil profile from Palmas Site (blue marks on the tape are at 200 mm intervals) p70
- Figure 2.8 Sketch of a field showing slope components lines at the bottom represent the horizontal p75
- Figure 2.9 Schematic diagram of field drain types and flow direction p77
- Figure 3.1 Sketch showing location of row run on experiment at main Palmas site p89

Figure 3.2 Cross section of the experimental set up of the down furrow run on experiment at the Palmas site	p89
Figure 3.3 Down row component of the run on experiment showing depth loggers and outflow flume	p92
Figure 3.4 Plan of the site of the 90° cross row flow experiment	p93
Figure 3.5 Plan of the site of the 45° cross row flow experiment	p94
Figure 3.6 Cross furrow flow experiment showing fibro panels and outflow flume (left) and inflow flume (right).	p95
Figure 3.7 Large field flume (Palmas Site) looking upstream	p97
Figure 3.8 Sketch of cross section of the field flume, Main Palmas Site	p98
Figure 3.9 Frequency distribution of flow rates from the large field flume at the Palmas Site used to derive design criteria for in-row flumes	p100
Figure 3.10 Definition of the recession coefficient ( $\tau$ ) from a plot of discharge with time. The parameter $t_p$ and $t_p/e$	p103
Figure 3.11 Variation in the field elevation at the Palmas Site.	p104
Figure 3.12 Sketch of the 1998-99 runoff field measurement instrumentation at Main Palmas Site	p105
Figure 3.13 Sketch of the 1999 2000 runoff field measurement instrumentation at Main Palmas Site	p106
Figure 3.14 Lasered Palmas site instrumentation 1999-2000	p107
Figure 3.15 Macknade site instrumentation 1999-2000	p108
Figure 3.16 Fitting equation 3.5 to obtain Manning's n to data from run on experiments with different slope and surface conditions (Table 3.4)	p112
Figure 3.17 Fitting Equation 3.7 to obtain $\alpha_f$ and $\zeta$ for the depth discharge equation from data from run on experiments with different slope and surface conditions (Table 3.4)	p113

- Figure 3.18 Daily rainfall and runoff depth recorded in main field flume 1998-99 p116
- Figure 3.19 Daily rainfall and runoff depth recorded in main field flume 1999-2000 p117
- Figure 3.20 Rainfall and discharge from the Palmas Site flume during the 1998-1999 wet season, assuming free flow of water through flume. p118
- Figure 3.21 Depth of water in Ripple Drain and Palmas Site flume plotted on the same datum p120
- Figure 3.22 Measured velocity and depth of runoff from the field flume p121
- Figure 3.23 Comparison of measured velocity of runoff to predicted velocity in the large field flume at the Palmas Site from 3 April 1999. p122
- Figure 3.24 Discharge from large field flume at Palmas Site 1999-2000 p124
- Figure 3.25 Measured depth and velocity of runoff from main field flume at the Palmas site 1999-2000 p125
- Figure 3.26 Rating curve for the large field flume (A is the complete data set with a fitted logarithmic function, B is the rising limb with a fitted logarithmic function, C is the falling limb with a fitted linear function. Discharge measured in L/S and depth in mm p127
- Figure 3.27 Depth of water measured in row flume during the 1998-99 wet season p135
- Figure 3.28 An eight inch flume at the bottom of the Palmas Site inundated by high rainfall p 135
- Figure 3.29 Runoff and shallow well hydrographs showing saturation excess flow p139
- Figure 4.1 Schematic drawing of the DF drainage theory showing theoretical streamlines (Kirkham *et al.* 1974) Where  $H_m$  is mid height of watertable (m),  $h_w$  is height of water in ditch (m),  $h_s$  is height of seepage face above impermeable layer (m), and  $h_s-h_w$  is zone of seepage (m) p149
- Figure 4.2 Location of shallow wells at the Main Palmas site 1998-99 p158
- Figure 4.3 Predicted watertable heights for Palmas Site p160

Figure 4.4 Location of shallow wells at Lasered Palmas Site p162

Figure 4.5 Fitted Van Genutchen (1980) soil moisture retention relationship to measured soil properties from Palmas Site (a) A horizon of the soil, (b) B horizon of the soil p164

Figure 4.6 Environmental data from the Palmas Site in March 1999 (a) Mean height of watertable measured at Palmas Site, steady state position (in box) used for *in situ* K determination, (b) Height of water in row, (c) precipitation p168

Figure 4.7 Watertable rise in April 1999 at the Palmas Site used to calculate specific yield p171

Figure 4.8 Watertable dynamics at the Palmas Site 1998-99: (a) Watertable depth fluctuations (legend refers to the middle transect of shallow wells located 250 m from main field flume (Fig 4.4)); (b) Daily rainfall measured at the Palmas site p174

Figure 4.9 Watertable depth measured with respect to the water furrow in the middle shallow well transect at the Palmas site between December 1998 and July 1999 (Legend refers to shallow wells see Fig. 4.2). p175

Figure 4.10 Watertable dynamics at the Palmas Site 1999-2000: (a) Watertable depth fluctuations (legend refers to the middle transect of shallow wells located 250 m from main field flume (Fig 4.4)); (b) Daily rainfall measured at the Palmas site p178

Figure 4.11 Watertable depth measured with respect to the water furrow in the middle shallow well transect at the Palmas site between December 1999 and July 2000 (Legend refers to shallow wells see Fig. 4.4). p179

Figure 4.12 Watertable dynamics at the Laser Palmas Site 1999-2000: (a) Watertable depth fluctuations (legend refers to the shallow wells located at the top of the field and bottom of the field (Fig 4.4)); (b) Daily rainfall measured at the Palmas site p181



Figure 4.13 Comparison of watertable response between Laser Palmas Site and Palmas Site respectively The black line is the hydrograph from LTP, while the red line is the hydrograph from SW 253 p182

Figure 4.14 Watertable response at Macknade during 1998-99 wet season p183

Figure 4.15 Interflow from the Palmas Site during 1998-99 wet season: (a) measured interflow, (b) watertable depth, (c) daily rainfall. p186

Figure 5.1 Sketch of how SWMM represents a field p203

Figure 5.2 Measured discharge and modelled discharge (Mannings  $n=0.31$ ) from the large field flume at the Palmas Site, 15-21 February 2000. p226

Figure 5.3 Measured discharge and modelled discharge (Mannings  $n=0.1$ ) from the large field flume at the Palmas Site, 15-21 February 2000 p227

Figure 5.4 Comparison of the measured discharge with discharge calculated from the unit hydrograph, Palmas Site 15, 16 February 2000 p230

Figure 5.5 Comparison of the measured discharge with discharge calculated from the unit hydrograph, Palmas Site 15, 16 February 2000 p231

Figure 5.6 Validation of averaged unit hydrograph ordinates to predict discharge from a rainfall event at Palmas Site 15, 16 February 2000 p232

Figure 5.7 Comparison of the measured watertable response and the simulated response from the Youngs *et al.*(1989) model, Palmas Site August 1998-August 2000 p236

Figure 5.8 Comparison of the measured watertable response and the simulated response from the Youngs *et al.*(1989) model, Palmas Site August 1998-June 1999 p237

Figure 6.1 Average simulated peak flow rates from a 1 ha field without trash present and different field design parameters p253

Figure 6.2 Average simulated peak flow rates from a 1 ha field with trash present and different field design parameters p254

Figure 6.3 Average simulated recession coefficient ( $\tau$ ) from a 1 ha field with trash present and different field design parameters	p259
Figure 6.4 Average simulated recession coefficient ( $\tau$ ) from a 1 ha field without trash present and different field design parameters.	p260
Figure 6.5 Two modelled watertable responses, with and without water furrows from 16 September 1991 to 15 September 2000	p263
Figure 6.6 Comparison of the effect of water furrows on the frequency of the watertable was between the surface of the soil and 1.0 m deep	p264
Figure 6.7 Average number of days of the watertable was above 0.5 m as predicted by the annual rainfall. Vertical lines show the 10% and 50% chances of total annual rainfall.	p265
Figure 6.8 Number of days of the watertable was above 0.5 m as predicted by the wet season rainfall show as table	p270
Figure 6.9 Number of days the watertable was above 0.5 m as predicted by rainfall in the first 130 days after plant/harvest.	p273
Figure 6.10 Comparison of the effect of water furrows and waterlogging tolerant sugarcane (high ET) on the frequency of the watertable was between the surface of the soil and 1.0 m deep.	p274
Figure 6.11 Comparison of the effect of water furrows and mounding on the frequency of the watertable was between the surface of the soil and 1.0 m deep	p275
Figure 6.12 The sugarcane yield reduction calculated from the Rudd and Chardon (1977) model, from simulated watertable response with and without water furrows and different plant/harvest dates	p277
Figure 6.13 The potential sugarcane yield calculated from the Gayle et al. (1987) model, from simulated watertable response with and without water furrows and different plant/harvest date	p280

Figure 7.1 Cross section of the HDP bed use in HYDRUS-2D model p296

Figure 7.2 Modelled watertable response in a high density planting field compared to measured watertable response in a conventional field design p298

## List of tables

Table 1.1 Field drainage parameters for sugarcane fields in the Lower Herbert Valley. (Source: Park 1999; Section 2.3.1)	p43
Table 2.1 Peak rainfall rates, event totals and event durations for runoff inducing rainfall events in the 1998-99 wet season measured by tipping rain gauge at the weather station at the Palmas Site	p63
Table 2.2 Soil profile description from soil pit dug at main Palmas site April 1999. Soil described by S Eldridge Munsell colour codes are for wet soil	p69
Table 2.3 Soil profile description of Macknade soil type (Wilson and Baker 1990)	p71
Table 3.1 Predicted row discharges from a trash blanketed sugarcane field with a slope of 0.008	p101
Table 3.2 Free flow coefficients and exponents for cutthroat flumes (*from Skoerboe <i>et al.</i> 1972)	p102
Table 3.3 Average flow velocity and slope of furrow from run on experiments	p110
Table 3.4 Fitted Mannings n and depth discharge parameters from data collected in the run on experiment	p114
Table 3.5 Measured discharges through the Palmas Site field flume for the four regional floods in the 1999-2000 wet season	p125
Table 3.6 Comparison of methods to determine event discharge	p130
Table 3.7 Event runoff measured in Palmas Site field flume from 1998-99 and 1999-2000 wet seasons	p132
Table 3.8 Discharge data from Palmas field flume measured during the 1998-99 wet season	p133
Table 3.9 Event flow rates from row flume at the Palmas site	p137

Table 3.10 Partitioning of row and water furrow flow for selected events during 1999-2000	p138
Table 3.11 Summary of the depth discharge equation parameters	p141
Table 4.1 Location of shallow wells at the Palmas Site	p161
Table 4.2 Soil moisture retention parameters for the closed form of the Van Genuchten function	p163
Table 4.3 Soil hydraulic conductivity determined by laboratory measurements on cores from Palmas Site using the falling head method	p165
Table 4.4 Saturated soil infiltration rates from single ring infiltrometer at Palmas site	p166
Table 4.5 Saturated infiltration rate from rainfall simulation approach	p169
Table 4.6 Comparison of saturated hydraulic conductivity by different measurement methods	p170
Table 4.7 Specific yield determinations for the Palmas Site.	p172
Table 4.8 Components of the watertable water balance and percentage of the total water balance at the Palmas site for the three years of the study (1997-2000)	p184
Table 4.9 Water balance for the Palmas site (components shown as estimated values and as % of annual rainfall)	p187
Table 4.10 Main site sugarcane yield 1998-99-00	p189
Table 4.11 Yield components of the 1998-99 harvest	p190
Table 5.1. Growth stages of sugarcane and crop stress factors (CSi)	p211
Table 5.2 Parameters used in Youngs model and source of derivation	p221
Table 5.3 Sugarcane crop coefficients for calculation of ET from Penman Montieth equation	p223

Table 5.4 Comparison of the goodness of fit of the measured discharge to the simulated discharge from the Palmas site. The best fit occurs when RSMEW=0, and d and E =1.0	
Legates and McCabe 1999	p225
Table 5.5 Ordinates of the unit hydrograph developed for the Palmas Site	p229
Table 5.6 Differences between maximum measured and maximum modelled watertable depth	p234
Table 5.7 Comparison of measured and modelled sugarcane yield data	p238
Table 6.1 Simulated peak flow rates (L/s) from a 1 ha field without a trash blanket with different lengths and slopes from eight runoff causing rainfall events (Manning's n=0.13).	p251
Table 6.2 Simulated peak flow rates from a 1 ha field with a trash blanket with different lengths and slopes from eight runoff causing rainfall events (Manning's n=0.31)	p252
Table 6.3 Recession coefficients from a 1 ha non trash blanketed field different lengths and slopes from eight runoff causing rainfall events (Manning's n=0.13)	p257
Table 6.4 Recession coefficients from a 1 ha trash blanketed field different lengths and slopes from eight runoff causing rainfall events (Manning's n=0.31).	p258
Table 6.5 Growing season rainfall and number of days of waterlogging	p268

## GLOSSARY

### *94 net titre*

where net titre is a measure of the commercial value of raw sugar for refining purposes. Net titre provides a method for expressing different sugars at a standard value and is used for statistical and payment purposes. The net titre of a sugar is calculated by subtracting the reducing sugar content and five times the ash content from the polarisation of the sugar. It is used in Australia for payment and statistical purposes

$$\text{tonnes 94 net titre} = \frac{\text{tonnes actual} \times \text{actual net titre}}{94} \quad (\text{Canegrowers 1999})$$

where

actual net titre = pol – % reducing sugars – (5 x % ash).

% reducing sugars is the percentage of reducing sugars in the sugar, calculated as invert sugar

% ash means the percentage of sulphated ash present in the sugar

### *advance front*

When water is applied to the field, it 'advances' across the surface until the water extends over the entire area.

### *alternate slope*

The slope of the soil surface formed across the width of the field.

### *anoxic*

Lack of oxygen such as the inadequate oxygenation of the soil water. In aquatic environmental chemistry it refers to water that has become oxygen poor due to the bacterial decay of organic matter (Hillel 1998)

### *aquifer*

An aquifer is a porous geological formation that contains and transmits water (Hillel 1998)

### *Australian Height Datum*

Mean sea level for 1966-1968 was assigned the value of zero on the Australian Height Datum at thirty tide gauges around the coast of the Australian continent.

### *backwatering*

When flow in the drainage system becomes impeded, water backs up, which in turn causes the velocity to decrease.

### *baffle box*

A box designed to still the water before it flows into a flume

### *billet planter*

A planting machine that plants cane billets

### *billets*

Lengths of cane, usually between 300-500 mm long

*bio-pores*

Large soil pores, usually in excess of 2 mm that were created by soil fauna (Hillel 1998)

*brix*

Total soluble solids per cent in juice

Brix % = sugar % in water and sugar liquid only

*cane yield*

The biomass yield of sugarcane, the mass of cane harvested from the field

*CCS*

See commercial cane sugar

*colluvial*

Material deposited under influence of gravity on steep slopes: mostly sand, silt and angular bedrock fragments. (Hillel 1998)

*commercial cane sugar*

The sugar content of cane as it is purchased by sugar mills

CCS = pol in cane – 0.5 (brix-pol)

*cross slope*

The slope over the width of the field (same as alternate slope)

*cutthroat flume*

Cutthroat flumes are flumes with the throat "cut out." They are formed by directly connecting a 6:1 converging section to a similar diverging section. Thus, they consist of a converging level inlet section with vertical sidewalls and a diverging level outlet section also with vertical sidewalls. They do not have any parallel walls forming a straight throat and belong to a class of throatless flumes. (Skoerboe 1972)

*decile rain*

Decile is a term for denoting thresholds or boundary values in frequency distributions. The Decile 9 is that value which marks off the 90 per cent of the observations from the rest, the Decile 5 is the same as the median, and the Decile 1 is lower than all but the lowest but 10 per cent of the values.

*deep drainage*

The amount of water that drains below the rootzone (Hillel 1998)

*depth discharge*

The relationship between the depth of the water and the volume of discharge (Maidment 1993)

*down slope*

This term refers to the slope along the length of the field.

*drain density*

The length of drain per unit area, usually reported in meters of drain per hectare of drained area



*drainage board*

Statutory bodies formed under the Queensland State Government Financial Administration and Audit Act 1977 and Queensland State Government Statutory Bodies Financial Arrangements Act 1982. Drainage boards function to provide a co-ordinated drainage system for the removal and disposal of excess water from agricultural lands (QNRM 2001)

*drainage outfall*

The location at which the drain flows into a larger drain or a river

*drainage slopes*

The surface slope formed by laser grading to allow drainage of fields

*duplex soil*

A soil where there is a clear or abrupt contrast in the texture between the A and B horizons (Isbell 1996)

*Dupuit-Forchheimer*

An assumption developed by Dupuit and extended by Forchheimer that states that; In a system of gravity flow towards a shallow drain all flow is horizontal and the velocity at each point is proportional to the slope of the watertable but independent of depth (Hillel 1998)

*energy slope*

Slope of the water surface under free flow

*equivalent depth*

The equivalent depth recognizes the fact that the watertable is higher, for a given rainfall rate, with an impermeable layer present than it would be, if the soil was infinitely deep (Youngs 1985)

*evapotranspiration*

Conversion of liquid water to vapour both by evaporation and by transpiration of the water by plants (Hillel 1998)

*excess water*

Water that is more than necessary for plant growth, and limits access to the field for farming operations (Gayle *et al.* 1987)

*exfiltration*

Water flowing out of the soil Moore and Foster (1990)

*far levee*

The back plain of a catenary sequence across a river floodplain, usually having heavy textured soils such as clays Wilson and Baker (1990)

*floodgates*

A gate used to control the flow of a body of water

*flumes*

Flumes are shaped, open-channel flow sections that force flow to accelerate. Acceleration is produced by converging the sidewalls, raising the bottom, or a combination of both (Ackers 1978)

*free flow*

A flow in a channel which is not affected by the level of the tail water. Free flow is more precisely defined by the Froude number which is the ratio between inertial forces and the gravitational forces (Moore and Foster 1990)

*fresh and dry cabbage weight*

Cabbage refers to the mass of the leaf above the sugarcane stalk, usually called the cane top. The material is weighed green and referred to as fresh, the cabbage is dried at 60°C for 24 hrs

*fresh and dry fibre weight*

Cane is squeezed through a series of rollers to extract the juice. The residual material is called the fibre. The material is weighed green and is referred to as fresh. The fibre is dried at 60°C for 24 hrs and is referred to as dry

*fresh and dry live leaf weight*

Live leaf refers to the green below the cabbage. The material is weighed green and referred to as fresh, the leaves are dried at 60°C for 24 hrs and is referred to as dry.

*fresh and dry stalk weight,*

The stalk is the main cane stem. The material is weighed green and referred to as fresh, the cane is dried at 60°C for 24 hrs is dry.

*green cane trash blanket*

Remnants of the previous crop and consists of chopped stalk and leaves of the sugarcane plant discarded during the previous harvest

*harvest season average*

As the harvest season extends for about 6 months the yield of cane and CCS is averaged over this time to compensate for the effect of time of harvest on yield and CCS.

*Herbert River Productivity and Protection Board*

The Cane Protection & Productivity Board (CPPB) for the Lower Herbert Valley, Each board consists of representatives from the milling and growing sectors and BSES, and employs staff to assist with disease and pest control as well as assisting growers to improve their productivity. A CPPB provides suitable advice and help to cane growers within its area about the prevention, control and eradication of pest infestation of cane or any other matters that adversely affect the quantity or quality of crops of cane. Each board helps and cooperates with other organizations involved in cane pest and disease research, and production, harvesting, transport and processing of cane. Boards provide advice and information about the preservation and enhancement of the capacity of land to sustain crops of cane (J Reghenzani pers comm.).

*hilled up*

Soil that is moved from the interrow space to the row to form small hills or rows. The term usually refers to the farming operation that occurs after planting of cane when soil is moved from the interrow space on to the emerging cane.

*hodograph*

A group of line segments created by connecting the endpoints of each of vectors, the first derivative of a curve (Shene 2000)

*Hortonian flow*

See rainfall excess flow

*hydraulic conductivity*

Specifically, hydraulic conductivity is the proportionality factor between the rate of flow through a unit cross sectional area and the potential energy or hydraulic gradient of the flow. More generally the hydraulic conductivity is the ability of the medium to transmit liquid (Hillel 1998)

*hydrograph*

A graph showing flow rates or water levels with respect to time. A stream hydrograph commonly shows rate of flow; a well hydrograph commonly shows water level (Hillel 1998)

*hypoxic*

Soil with a low oxygen content (Hillel 1998)

*instantaneous discharge*

Rate of fluid flow passing a given point at a given moment in time, expressed as volume per unit of time (Maidment 1993)

*interflow*

The runoff infiltrating into the surface soil and moving toward streams as shallow, perched ground water above the main ground water system (Ritzema 1994)

*Interrow*

The space between two rows

*inundation*

The effect of free surface water at some depth above the soil surface on the growth of sugarcane

*isohyets*

A line drawn on a map connecting points that receive equal amounts of rainfall (BoM 2000)

*laser levelling*

the practice of forming slopes of fields using laser beacons to control the land forming process

*leaf area index*

the sum of leaf area of all leaves divided by the area covered by the leaves (Hillel 1998)

*levee*

The natural ridges that have occurred from deposition of light textured soil from flood events (Wilson and Baker 1990)

*long-throated flumes*

Long-throated flumes control discharge rate in a throat that is long enough to cause nearly parallel flow lines in the region of flow control. Parallel flow allows these flumes to be accurately rated by analysis using fluid flow concepts (Bos 1978)

*mean annual wet season rainfall*

The average rainfall recorded for the wet season

*modulus of drainage*

A constant of proportionality between discharge ( $Q$  L/s) and area drained ( $A$  m<sup>2</sup>) (Connor and Fox 1977)

*moisture retention*

The relationship between soil moisture potential and soil moisture content (Hillel 1998)

*mole drains*

Mole drains are unlined cavities in the soil and are installed by plough that draws a torpedo-like mole through the soil at a given depth usually about 0.5 m.

*one inch to one chain*

The recommended slope formed on a sugarcane field in the Lower Herbert Valley, corresponds to 25.4 mm (one inch) per 20.116 m (one chain) (J Reghenzani pers comm.)

*overland flow*

Water flowing over the soil surface towards rills, rivulets, channels and rivers (Ritzema 1994)

*overtopped*

When water enters a field from the surrounding farmland

*peak rainfall rate*

The highest rate of rainfall recorded for a rainfall event

*pedo-hydrological units*

Areas of soil that have similar hydrological properties, particular reference to the saturated hydraulic conductivity (Timmer 1998)

*perched watertables*

Unconfined groundwater separated from an under-lying main body of ground water by an unsaturated zone (Hillel 1998)

*phreatic aquifer*

A geologic formation of permeable material that has a watertable as the upper surface (Hillel 1998)

*pol*

the sucrose content of the sugar expressed as degrees of polarization ascertained by polarimetric analysis (Canegrowers 1998)

*polder type design*

A design that is similar to a polder

*polder*

tract of lowland reclaimed by the construction of parallel hills (J. Reghezani pers, comm.)

*principal profile form*

Represents the complete concept and character of the soil profile form (Northcote 1979)

*process model*

Computer models that simulate the underlying biophysical processes

*quickflow*

Water that flows out of the catchment on the same day as the rainfall event

*radial flow*

the flow below the depth of the drain flowing towards the drain (Youngs 1985)

*rainfall excess flow*

overland flow caused by rainfall in excess of the soil infiltration rate (Moore and Foster 1990)

*rating curve*

A function that relates the height of open channel flow to the discharge at the point of measurement (Maidment 1993)

*ratoon*

The new cane which grows from the stubble left behind after harvesting (Canegrowers 1998)

*redox*

The oxidation-reduction potential (Hillel 1998)

*redox probes*

Measurement probes that measure the redox potential of the soil.

*reduction potential*

The potential that is generated between an oxidation or reduction half-reaction and the standard hydrogen electrode

*residual or surface storage*

Water that accumulates on the soil surface in surface depressions and cannot drain away (Moore and Foster 1990)

*resistance to flow*

The frictional forces that flow experiences (Maidment 1993)

*Reynolds number*

The ratio of inertial force to viscous force in a liquid (Moore and Foster 1990)

*runoff*

That part of precipitation contributing to streamflow (Hillel 1998)

*run on*

Water that is allowed to run down an inter row space or artificial channel

*San Dimas flumes*

A special flume that has been designed to obtain flow measurements with high sediment load. The flumes are trapezoidal supercritical-flow flumes requiring extensive head drop to operate (Wilm *et al.* 1932)

*saturated hydraulic conductivity*

The hydraulic conductivity of the soil when saturated (Hillel 1998)

*saturation excess*

Precipitation that cannot infiltrate the soil as the soil is already saturated with water (Moore and Foster 1990)

*scenario simulations*

Model simulations using different model inputs commonly called “what if” scenarios

*shallow wells*

A shallow bore that is slotted to the just near the surface of the soil. Used to measure watertable fluctuations

*short-throated flumes*

Short-throated flumes are considered short because they control flow in a region that produces curvilinear flow. Although they may be termed short throated, the overall specified length of the finished structure, including transitions, may be relatively long (Ackers 1978)

*single ring infiltrometers*

Device to measure soil infiltration rates. A single ring is inserted into the soil and sealed and filled and the depth noted. Changes to the water depth and corresponding time are recorded. From these measurements the infiltration rate can be determined (Hillel 1998)

*specific yield*

The amount of water released to the groundwater or absorbed by the unsaturated soil with a unit change of height in the watertable (Youngs *et al.* 1989).

*stormflow*

The runoff infiltrating into the surface soil and moving toward streams as shallow, perched ground water above the main ground water system

*stress day index*

A method to measure the effect of the crop's sensitivity to environmental factors such as waterlogging during different growth stages to yield (Hiler 1967)

*sub-surface drainage*

The practice of digging pipes, ditches or mole drains into which groundwater flows into. The main purpose of drainage is usually to increase agricultural productivity (Hillel 1998)

*submerged flow*

when the downstream flow conditions affect the upstream flow conditions (Moore and Foster 1990)

*surface drainage*

the diversion or orderly removal of excess water from the surface of the land by means of improved natural or constructed channels, supplemented when necessary by shaping and grading of the land surface to such channels (Ritzema 1990)

*tail water*

The excess surface drainage water usually left after irrigation.

*texture-contrast*

Soils with a sharp increase in texture, a sudden increase in clay content, on passing from the surface horizon to subsoil (Isbell 1996)

*three day, three year, return rainfall event*

A three day rainfall event that has one chance in three years of being exceeded in any future one-year period

*time domain reflectometry probes*

A method of measuring soil wetness based on the high dielectric constant of water compared to that of soil (Hillel 1998)

*total event discharge*

The total volume of runoff drained from the field from a rainfall event

*trash blanket*

See green cane trash blanket

*ultrasonic doppler flow velocity meter*

Meters based on the principle that transit time of an acoustic signal along a known path is altered by the fluid velocity. A high frequency acoustic signal sent upstream travels slower than a signal sent downstream. By accurately measuring the transit times of signals sent in both directions along a diagonal path, the average path velocity can be calculated. Then, knowing the path angle with respect to the direction of flow, the average axial velocity can be computed (Water Measurement Manual 2000)

*unit hydrograph*

A hydrograph having a volume of 25 mm of runoff which is associated with a precipitation event of specified duration and areal pattern (Chow *et al.* 1988)

*volumetric soil moisture content*

The fractional content of water in the soil expressed as a volume of the total soil sample.

*water balance*

Balance of input and output of water within a given defined hydrological area

*water furrows*

A ditch 0.4 m deep with gently sloping sides usually placed every 14-18 rows. They are used to transport water away from the rootzone

*waterlogging*

The occurrence of a watertable at some depth below the soil surface with a subsequent negative effect on the growth of sugarcane. The sugar industry has recognized that if a watertable occurs at a depth of less than 0.5 m in the soil then the field is defined as waterlogged (Rudd and Chardon 1977).

*watertable drawdown model*

A model that simulates water being withdrawn from an aquifer or watertable. Drawdown is the distance the water table or pressure surface is lowered at a given point

*watertable*

The top of the water surface in the saturated zone of an unconfined aquifer or the upper surface of the zone of saturation in which the pressure is atmospheric (Hillel 1998)

*weirs*

An overflow structure built perpendicular to an open channel axis to measure the rate of flow of water. Inspecting and checking the critical parts of weir structures for degradation and improper operation is easy (Ackers 1978)

*wet season*

The wet season refers to the summer period where high rainfall can occur. In the study area, this season typically considered to begin in early December and finishes in April.

*whole stick planters*

Sugarcane planting machines that use whole canes or sticks instead of billets

*yield potential*

The highest possible sugarcane yield obtainable with ideal management, soil, and climate



## SYMBOLS USED IN THE TEXT

$\nabla$  is the water surface

A area drained ( $m^2$ )

$A_f$  is the area of the field ( $m^2$ )

$A_c$  is the area of the total catchment ( $m^2$ )

$A_m$  is a dimensionless shape factor for the ditch layout and varies from between 0.5 for square to 0.911 for rectangular areas

$A_t$  is the contributing basin area at time t ( $m^2$ ).

$a_p$  ( $m^2/hr$ )

$\alpha$  is an empirical parameter ( $m^{-1}$ )

$\alpha_f$  is the exponent of depth of flow

$\alpha_d$  is a dimensionless factor dependant on d

B parameter that depend on the soil properties (m/hr)

b is the depth to impermeable layer if the division between the drained layer and the impermeable layer is above the base of the ditch (m)

$\beta$  is a dimension factor (dimensionless)

C is the discharge coefficient

$C_f$  is the free flow coefficient

Ch is the Chézy Roughness coefficient (m/s)

CN is the curve number with a range of 1-100

CSi is the crop susceptibility factor

$\chi$  is the reaction factor (per hr)

D is half the distance of the drain spacing (m)

DD is deep drainage (mm)

DUL is the drained upper limit of the soil in that layer ( $mm^3/mm^3$ )

d is the depth to impermeable layer (m)

$d_w$  is the depth of water (m)

$d_e$  is the equivalent depth of the soil (m)

$d_p$  is depression storage

$\Delta$  represents the slope of the saturation vapour pressure temperature relationship

$\Delta H$  is change in watertable height (m)

$\Delta t$  is the time increment between the steady states (h)

$\delta H/\delta t$  is the rate of change in watertable height (m/d)

ET is evapotranspiration (mm)

ETo is the potential evapotranspiration (mm/d)

$e_a$  actual vapour pressure (kPa)

$e_s - e_a$  saturation vapour pressure deficit

$e_s$  saturation vapour pressure (kPa)

F is the cumulative infiltration (m)

$Fr$  is the Froude number

f is the friction factor (dimensionless)

$f_0$  is the infiltration rate of the soil (m/hr)

$G$  is the soil heat flux (MJ/m<sup>2</sup>/day)

$g$  is the acceleration due to gravity (m/s<sup>2</sup>)

$\Gamma$  is the wetted cross section (m<sup>2</sup>)

$\gamma$  is the psychrometric constant (kPa/°C)

$H$  is height of watertable at position  $X$  (m)

$H_0$  is the water height in the drain (m)

$H_{\max}$  is the maximum watertable height at the mid point between the drains (m)

$H_m$  is the mid height of the watertable from impermeable layer (m)

$H_p$  is the pressure head (m)

$h$  is the depth of water (m)

$h_a$  is the upstream depth (ft)

IF is interflow (mm)

$I$  is the depth water that infiltrated the soil (mm)

$i^*$  is the rainfall excess (m)

$i=-1$  when  $(H_0/D)\alpha d < V/K_1$

$i=1$  when  $(H_0/D)\alpha d > V/K_1$

$j=0$  when  $H_m < b$

$j=1$  when  $H_m > b$

$K$  is the saturated soil hydraulic conductivity (m/hr)

$KH$  is the horizontal saturated hydraulic conductivity (m/hr)

$Kh$  is a coefficient (L/s)

$K_0$  is hydraulic conductivity of the slowly permeable layer (m/hr)

$K_1$  is hydraulic conductivity of the drained layer (m/hr)

$k=0$  when  $H_0 < b$

$k=1$  when  $H_0 > b$

$L$  is the length of the flume (ft)

$\Lambda$  is the latent heat of vaporization (MJ/kg)

$M$  is the number of pulses of rainfall

MD modulus of drainage (L/s/ha)

$m$  is the exponent

$\mu$  is the number of days in the growth stage (days).

$n$  is the Mannings coefficient of roughness (dimensionless)

$n_1$  free flow exponent

Observed yield is the yield measured at the site

$P_s$  is storm rainfall (m)

Potential yield is the maximum observed yield for the site

$Q$  is the discharge (cu ft/sec)

$Q_{md}$  discharge (L/s)

$Q_n$  is volume of direct runoff

$Q_s$  is storm runoff (m)

$q$  is discharge ( $m^3/s$ )

$qr$  is the steady flux which maintains the watertable at a steady state (m)

$qsr$  steady rainfall rate (m/hr)

$qt$  is the discharge (mm/hr)

$\frac{t_p}{e}$

$q \frac{t_p}{e}$  the discharge at the time of the peak flow rate from runoff initiation divided by the natural log  $e$

$\theta$  is the volumetric moisture content ( $m^3/m^3$ )

$\theta_r$  is the residual volumetric moisture content ( $m^3/m^3$ )

$\theta_s$  is the saturated volumetric moisture content ( $m^3/m^3$ )

$R$  is rainfall (mm)

$RO$  is runoff (mm)

$RR$  is the depth of runoff from the row in the field (mm)

$Re$  is excess rainfall (mm/hr)

$Rf$  is the depth of runoff from the field (mm)

$Rn$  is the net radiation ( $MJ/m^2/day$ )

$Ru$  is depth of runoff (mm)

$R0$  is the hydraulic radius (m)

$r0$  is the radius of the drain (m)

$S$  is the specific yield (m/m)

$SD_i$  is the stress day factor for the stage of plant growth or time period

$SDI$  is the stress day index

$SDIW$  is the is the stress day factor for the cane growing season

$SEW_{45}$  is the sum of excess water above 45 cm

$SW$  is the volumetric soil water content ( $mm^3/mm^3$ )

$SWCON$  is the soil water conductivity (mm/day)

$S_b$  is the bed slope (m/m)

$S_e$  is the energy slope (m/m)

$S_f$  is the slope of the field

$St$  is dynamic storage (mm)

$\Sigma$  is the watershed retention parameter (m)

$T$  is mean daily air temperature at 2 m ( $^{\circ}C$ )

$t$  time (hr)

$t_p$  time of the peak flow rate from runoff initiation (hrs)

$\frac{t_p}{e}$

$\frac{t_p}{e}$  is the time of the peak flow rate from runoff initiation divided by the natural log  $e$

$\frac{q_{t_p}}{e}$

$t \frac{q_{t_p}}{e}$  time at which the discharge is equal to the time of the peak flow rate from runoff initiation divided by the natural log  $e$

$\tau$  is the recession coefficient (hrs)

$U_2$  is the wind speed at 2 m height (m/s)

$u$  is the mean flow velocity (m/s)

$V$  is the velocity of the water (m/s)

$V_H$  is the watertable height (m)

$V_w$  is the flux to or from the watertable (positive up) over the time period (1hr) (mm)

$V_1$  is the volume of water ( $A \times d$ ) ( $m^3$ )

$\zeta$  is a flow coefficient (L/s)

$v$  is the number of stages of crop growth ( for sugarcane this is three)

$W$  is the width of the throat (ft)

$WFR$  is the depth of runoff from the water furrow in the field (mm)

$W_f$  is the width of the field

$X$  is the position away from the drain (m)

$X_j$  is the average distance from the soil surface to the watertable during each day (cm)

$x$  is distance between the two points of interest (m)

$YR$  is the relative yield

$Y_i$  is the crop yield during growth stage  $i$

$Y_o$  is the potential yield

$y$  is the depth of flow (m)

$\Psi$  is the soil moisture potential (m)