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Abstract
This paper addresses two parallel and interlinked problems: the development of coordinated digital archaeological resources and libraries that focus on the publication of data, and the creation of a framework for the analysis and visualisation of these data. We present our methodology and a prototype for an Australian archaeological digital collection based on data grid technologies and infrastructure. We also report on preliminary results using innovative visualisation techniques for spatio-temporal data based on the Google Maps/Google Earth technology and progress on the development of a 3D reconstruction tool that visualises excavated archaeological deposits and associated stratigraphy. Data from three major archaeological projects in Queensland are employed, spanning Indigenous, contact and historical archaeology: Mill Point Archaeological Project, Cania Gorge Regional Archaeological Project and Index of Dates from Archaeological Sites in Queensland. These case studies were selected to represent the different challenges in deploying digital technologies to Australian archaeological applications.

Introduction
Cutting-edge archaeological field research requires the implementation of digitally-based recording methods to facilitate rapid and cost-effective data acquisition. More importantly, these data must be stored in robust, organised, searchable databases to facilitate analyses, publication and advanced research. Archaeological data are complex. They are varied in format (texts, photographs, videos, audio clips, satellite imagery etc) and in content (artefact attributes, spatial coordinates, isotopic dates etc). Not all are digital. Data are saved in different formats: text and numbers are generally stored either as hard copy raw data or in databases (e.g. Microsoft Access), sometimes in spreadsheets (e.g. Microsoft Excel) or in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) (e.g. ArcGIS, MapInfo). They are stored in different locations on different media: local hard drives, institutional servers, CDs, DVDs or tape archives. As such, these data cannot be accessed or integrated easily. It is therefore difficult for researchers to explore, compare and analyse their own data with other data that are available in other research groups. This absence of coordinated digital resources and tools for the access, curation and analysis of data is an
ongoing impediment to the Australian archaeological and cultural heritage industries. Professionals and researchers are either unaware of the existence of datasets, or aware of them, but unable to access them for a particular project. Their ability to work collaboratively is hampered by a lack of tools to access and share data stored in different formats and/or stored across different sites.

To date, there is no formal management process to make these data available in a ‘grid environment’ for wider access (Foster 2003; Foster and Kesselman 1998, 2003). For archaeology to benefit from the advances made in high performance computing (Kaufmann and Smarr 1993), it is critical to facilitate and automate many basic data management processes. ‘Data grids’ can provide these functions by establishing and developing infrastructure and tools needed to facilitate discovery and analysis. They also address the problem of long-term preservation of data by providing persistent archives. Based on our experience gained in developing data grids for the physical sciences, this project aims to use and adapt high performance computing techniques and infrastructure for the social sciences and humanities communities. Once the main challenges of data accessibility, data transfer and management of new or derived data are addressed, researchers will be able to access these data and advance the knowledge base of archaeology. In parallel to the creation of a data grid, we are developing visualisation, analysis and data transfer tools specific to the archaeological field. We are also interacting with scientists from other cognate disciplines who are developing data grids such as PARADISEC (see below) and the Australian Partnership for Sustainable Repositories (Australian National University 2006), which targets scholarly information held in university libraries.

Goals

There are two separate aspects to this project. One concerns data management and preservation; the other focuses on the analysis and visualisation of these data. Our goals are to develop and implement a digital archaeological collection and to create a framework for the analysis and visualisation of archaeological data. High performance computing and grid techniques and infrastructure are well embedded in research practice for the physical sciences and the time is ripe for their wider adoption by the humanities and social sciences.

The main aspect of the digital collection project concerns data management, metadata creation and the handling of files and resources. A web-based portal to these collections will provide data entry and retrieval. Researchers and people with an interest in archaeology will be able to access information about artefacts from specific projects. This digital collection will facilitate the dissemination and interchange of archaeological data both across disciplines and institutions and across the public and private sectors; enhance the ability of archaeological research to reach its full potential; and contribute to discourses about Australian cultural heritage and identity. This project will be a model for digital collections and future archaeological portals. There is no national digital collection of data related to Australian archaeology in Australia. Most archaeological data collections are located offshore: it is important for Australia to ‘own’ and manage information about its past.

A web-based portal to the digital collection will provide data analysis and visualisation capabilities. A researcher should be able to download a set of data for use in a specific application such as a GIS. We will investigate the use of eXtensible Markup Language (XML) schemas to parse data from one application to another. We are also developing a tool based on the Google Maps Application Programming Interface (API) to visualise and access information based on the geographical coordinates of sites. This tool will serve as a visual interface to the data collections. Finally, we report preliminary results on development of a web-based application for the 3D reconstruction of archaeological excavations. The goal is to develop an analytical tool for archaeology that would both display cultural features, artefacts and their stratigraphic associations in 3D and allow further measurement and analysis to be undertaken. Such a tool will essentially ‘recreate’ the site as it appeared during excavation, thus providing a permanent digital visual reference for subsequent fieldwork and analysis and a more reliable record of a destroyed resource.

The archaeological case study data derive from three projects in Queensland. The Mill Point Archaeological Project in southeast Queensland provides the primary content for the archaeological digital collection prototype. The project has delivered a wide range of digital data (digital audio and video, archival documents, digital topographic datasets, artefact datasets etc) which represent all the
major classes of archaeological information routinely collected by archaeologists. A second dataset collected from deep excavations undertaken as part of the Cania Gorge Regional Archaeological Project is used for the 3D reconstruction of archaeological sites. Finally, a large set of radiocarbon dates obtained from sites across Queensland is used for the visualisation of spatio-temporal data.

Related Work
Digital Collections
Several digital collections and repository projects related to archaeology have been developed, especially in the United States and United Kingdom. The Electronic Cultural Atlas Initiative (ECAI) is an initiative of the University of California, Berkeley, which provides a clearinghouse of shared geo-temporal datasets (Buckland and Lancaster 2004; Lancaster and Bodenhamer 2002; University of California, Berkeley 2006). ECAI uses its own metadata set to describe the data. The set is based on the Dublin Core metadata set (Dublin Core Metadata Initiative 2006) along with additional elements such as temporal and spatial information. Data and associated metadata are stored in a centralised database that users query through a web interface. Query results can be visualised in a map-based interface, TimeMap (see below) (Johnson 2004; University of Sydney 2005). Our present project is not focusing exclusively on geo-temporal data, however, and the datasets will not be centralised but distributed.

The Archaeology Data Service (ADS) in the United Kingdom is one of five disciplines supported by the Arts and Humanities Data Service (Arts and Humanities Research Council 2006). The ADS is mainly a catalogue and data are not necessarily archived locally: archaeologists decide whether they want to deposit their data in the ADS. The ADS metadata are based on the Dublin Core metadata set and help the user to find out about a dataset and who to contact if the dataset has not been deposited. As a national service, the ADS supports the deployment of digital technologies and promotes good practice in the use of digital data in archaeology.

The main focus of the Alexandria Digital Library (ADL) at the University of California, Santa Barbara, is to support the earth and social sciences more generally, rather than just archaeology. The ADL provides a federated, spatially searchable digital library of geographically-referenced materials such as maps, photographs and satellite imagery (Hill and Zheng 1999; University of California, Santa Barbara 2006). The project uses its own metadata schema and addresses both physical and digital resources, for instance a map and its digital representation. The commonality with our project is that archaeologists want to catalogue both the physical artefact and also the information attached to the artefact.

The Online Cultural Heritage Research Environment (OCHRE), formerly known as XSTAR (XML System for Textual and Archaeological Research), is an online centralised repository developed at the University of Chicago to facilitate the dissemination of archaeological and cultural heritage data (University of Chicago Oriental Institute 2006). OCHRE uses a semi-structured data model characterised by hierarchical tree structures that can be easily represented in XML (XML.org 2006). Users can download or upload data through a Java user interface. OCHRE is based on ArchaeoML, an XML markup scheme developed at the University of Chicago's Oriental Institute by an archaeologist to represent archaeological datasets (Schloen 2001).

The Pacifi c And Regional Archive for Digital Sources in Endangered Cultures (PARADISEC) provides a facility for digital conservation and access for materials from endangered languages, cultures and music from the Pacifi c Region (PARADISEC 2006). Using open standards and tools the project currently has a 2.5 terabyte repository of digitised materials (especially of fi eld tapes from the 1950s and 1960s) supported by a rich metadata catalogue of project materials. The framework allows users to access, catalogue and digitise audio, text and visual materials while preserving digital copies.

3D Reconstructions of Archaeological Excavations
In order to reconstruct the human past, archaeology must destroy that which it seeks to study. As excavation destroys the original matrix within which cultural material is found, special care is taken to record spatial context. The discipline of stratigraphy is crucial to this work and elaborate schemes have been devised to record vertical and horizontal relationships (Harris et al. 1993). Although archaeologists still excavate with hand tools, digital technology, especially in the form of survey equipment, cameras
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and GIS, provides a range of modern tools to field archaeology that generate enormous amounts of data. However innovative and useful these new tools may be, none permit a visual physical representation of the archaeological site – a ‘virtual site’ through which archaeologists could study the site almost as it was during excavation, even providing the opportunity to carry out subsequent quantitative measurement and analysis. Photogrammetry was once touted as an answer to this conundrum, but has proven difficult to use and is image-based (Pollefeys 2002; Pollefeys et al. 2003). Recent advances in scientific data visualisation provide new methods for achieving more accurate and reliable 3D records of excavations and thus bring archaeologists a step closer to the ‘virtual site’ ideal.

The goal of scientific data visualisation is to assist the researcher in developing a deeper understanding of the data under investigation by representing it graphically. Currently, numerous data visualisation software applications are available. GIS such as ArcView and MapInfo have their origin in cartography and have been successfully used in archaeology in projects such as TimeMap on which Pailthorpe and Bordes were early participants by working on proof-of-concept map animations (Bordes et al. 2004; Johnson 2004; University of Sydney 2005). However, GIS are geared to geographical data and limited in their 3D capabilities. On the other hand, applications such as OpenDX, AVS and VTK are multipurpose visualisation applications and are designed to represent data in 3D; however, they are not well integrated with GIS software applications. Other applications, such as Matlab and Mathematica, are powerful tools with advanced statistical, computational and visualisation techniques, however, the learning curve is steep for novice users. What is now required is an integrative framework within which multidimensional data representation at different resolutions can be erected that will permit better comprehension of spatio-temporal relationships between artefacts and other cultural materials, and thus ultimately a more reliable reconstruction of the human past.

The 3D Measurement and Virtual Reconstruction of Ancient Lost Worlds (3D MURALE) is a set of tools developed at Brunel University to record, reconstruct and visualise archaeological sites and artefacts (Brunel University 2006; Cosmas et al. 2001; Hynst et al. 2001). 3D MURALE consists of a relational database, and recording, reconstruction and visualisation components. The 3D MURALE data model follows a scene graph data structure that is typically used in visualisation and computer graphics. A scene graph is a data structure used to organise hierarchically the elements that make up a scene: it consists of a collection of nodes and edges in a tree structure. The data and their relation are stored in the relational database that serves as an input to the visualisation process. The database contains georeferenced objects such as buildings, statues, artefacts, digital terrain models and hypothetical objects that are used to represent missing parts of a building or artefact. The database search tools are XML-based and 3D MURALE accepts XML schemas or documents as input, and output XML or Virtual Reality Modelling Language (VRML) documents. The test study site is the city of Sagalassos in Turkey which has been excavated since 1990 by a team from the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven. The 3D MURALE project was developed specifically for the reconstruction of archaeological structures and artefacts. A stand-alone stratigraphic tool, STRAT, has also been developed, although little information is available on the technical background. Stratigraphy can be visualised from existing video records or by entering the coordinates of the different layers.

A further outcome of the 3D MURALE project is a web-based visualisation tool for archaeology, using Maya, a powerful 3D modelling and animation software application (Grabner et al. 2003). The scene graph used by Maya is similar to the one developed in 3D MURALE and a plug-in was created to make the Maya data structure compatible with 3D MURALE’s so that data can be moved to and from the 3D MURALE database. The 3D reconstructions are done in Maya and the images generated are JPEG-encoded and saved at different resolutions. The 3D scenes can be visualised on the web using an ActiveX plug-in for Microsoft Internet Explorer.

Photogrammetry is an important tool for the visualisation of buildings or structures of cultural and historical significance. Photogrammetry is a 3D coordinate measuring technique that uses photographs from which size, shape and position of objects can be derived. This difficult technique requires careful measurements and positioning of cameras, however, it has become more flexible with recent advances in image acquisition and mathematical geometry correction algorithms and also the availability of low-cost high-speed computers and digital cameras. Drap and Long (2001) developed a photogrammetric tool called ARPENTEUR for architectural applications (ARPENTEUR 2006). The tool was successfully employed in marine archaeology for the Grand Ribaud Estruscan Wreck Digital Excavation System which used a
submersible to complete a photogrammetric survey of an Etruscan wreck off the island of Grand Ribaud (Drap and Long 2001). ARPENTEUR uses up to three sources of information: theoretical, photogrammetric measurements and measurements made in the laboratory to create a 3D reconstruction of an object. For instance, the 3D visualisation of an amphora can be done by using both the photogrammetric data and theoretical models of amphorae and can be calibrated with measurements undertaken on the artefact itself. The data can then be represented by an XML data structure and accessed for further analysis. The choice of the format for the 3D visualisations has not been resolved at this time: the project uses VRML, Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG), X3D, Microstation and sometimes Java3D.

Schloen (2001) from the Oriental Institute at the University of Chicago developed ArchaeoML, an XML markup scheme for archaeological datasets as part of the Integrated Facility for Research in Archaeology (INFRA). INFRA was designed to provide storage and retrieval of archaeological information and forms the basis of OCHRE (see above). A particularly useful feature of INFRA is the ability to query data through a Java user interface and display relationships between items in different ways:

- a hierarchical tree showing the spatial containment relationships of the artefacts (this is the default view),
- a stratigraphic sequence diagram as developed by Edward Harris (see Harris et al. 1993), and
- a network diagram that shows items touching others.

These diagrammatic representations show the relationships between the artefacts in a site; however, they are not a visual representation of the archaeological site itself.

None of these services use a data grid environment. One of the major aims of our project is to integrate digital collections and visualisation services in a grid environment. However, we first need to define how these archaeological collections will be organised. We are developing visualisation services in parallel. The integration will be done in the next stage of the project.

Data Format Considerations
An important and common component of these projects is the data model. There is currently no formal way to store archaeological data so that they can be queried, retrieved and analysed, let alone visualised. As noted above, archaeological data are diverse and include images, audio, textual information etc. Given this complexity, XML is a contender to represent archaeological data, facilitating parsing of data between different software applications and allowing researchers to perform work in their favourite applications.

The choice of format for the display of 3D objects is also problematic. The options for an operating-system independent tool are VRML, Java3D, X3D and SVG. Java3D distributes visualisation images to end-user computer systems, so that visualisation models no longer depend on the host computer. This approach allows visualisation on the web and offers a dynamic facility linked to the objects. However Java3D is not easy to install for regular users and the API seems to have been adopted by a small community.

X3D is an open standard used for 3D content delivery (Web3D Consortium 2006a). It is the successor of the widely used but obsolete VRML format (the language ceased to be further developed in 1999), and although compatible with VRML 2, X3D has a rich set of features. It has an XML encoding and can be integrated with other applications in order to manage and exchange information. SVG is also an XML markup language designed to describe two-dimensional vector graphics (Web3D Consortium 2006b). Like X3D it is an open standard created by the World Wide Web Consortium. The advantage of both X3D and SVG is that they can be considered XML graphic formats. We chose to use X3D to represent 3D data because of XML compatibility. This facilitates the integration of a data point of view between the digital collection and the visualisation tools.

Case Studies
Three major archaeological projects in Queensland were selected to provide case study material covering all major categories of data routinely collected by archaeologists in Australia.
Mill Point Archaeological Project

Mill Point is an historical archaeological site of recognised State significance located on the shores of Lake Cootharaba in the Great Sandy National Park, southeast Queensland. The forest of large Koori pines attracted the timber industry and settlement of the area began in the mid-1800s. A sawmill was built in 1869 and operated until 1892 when the timber resources were depleted. Over the 20 years of the sawmill operation, Mill Point grew into a small community of up to several hundred people which included the sawmill, workers houses, school, shops, hotel and cemetery (Brown 2000). The presence of extensive cultural material including stone artefact scatters, tramway, cemetery, dairy area, jetties and wharves has the potential to reveal information about pre-European Aboriginal lifeways, nineteenth and twentieth century life and burial practices at a company timber town in rural Queensland, and about timber extraction and processing (Ulm 2004, 2005). The site exhibits surface and subsurface archaeological deposits representing both Aboriginal and European occupation over an area of more than 10km² (University of Queensland 2006; Nichols et al. 2005). Over 4000 artefacts have been excavated from the Mill Point site over the last three years and are representative of the type of data that make an Australian archaeological digital collection. A key challenge at this site in the integration of data at different spatial scales from specific artefact attribute data to broad scale cultural landscapes.

Cania Gorge Regional Archaeological Project

Cania Gorge is an extensive system of low, dissected sandstone plateaux, in the upper Burnett River basin, southeast Queensland, exhibiting a rich Aboriginal and European occupation record. Field surveys have documented numerous archaeological deposits in rockshelters at the base of the escarpments forming the gorge, including rock art sites. Archaeological excavations at 10 rockshelter sites within a 15km² area at the southern end of the gorge have revealed evidence for Aboriginal occupation extending up to 18,000 years ago to the European contact period. Archaeological deposits extend up to 4.5m deep in some sites, with thousands of stone artefacts and faunal remains recovered to date (Eales et al. 1999; Westcott et al. 1999a, 1999b). These archaeological assemblages provide a key dataset in testing models of Holocene Aboriginal cultural change in Australia. However, the very large stone artefact assemblages coupled with complex stratigraphic associations at many sites hamper meaningful integration of site datasets. Data visualisation tools have the potential to deploy data handling and analytical tools to aid interpretation of such complex datasets.

Index of Dates from Archaeological Sites in Queensland

A third set of case study data comprises 849 chronometric determinations obtained from 258 archaeological sites distributed throughout Queensland (Ulm and Reid 2000). Representing sites in all major environmental zones in Queensland and dating from c.40,000 years ago to the present, this dataset has the potential to reveal gross patterns in Aboriginal settlement and occupation trajectories.

The Archaeology Data Grid Architecture

Data grids (Chervenak et al. 2001; Rajasekar et al. 2002) and high performance computing (Kaufmann and Smarr 1993) provide archaeologists with new opportunities for accessing and using disparate archaeological data sources. The digital collection that we are building will be one of many that will form the Australian archaeology data grid. The Australian digital collection is using demonstrated grid software applications and ‘standards’: the Storage Resource Broker (SRB) (University of California, San Diego 2006), Globus (Foster and Kesselman 1997) and XML. The key functions for the management of distributed data are exchange protocols, information tagging, physical and logical organisations of collections, access mechanisms and the management of information repositories from the storage of metadata (Moore 2001; Rajasekar and Moore 2001). A persistent archival system can be developed across a distributed system with the successful combination of the above roles.
The Storage Resource Broker (SRB) is a middleware software application developed at the San Diego Supercomputer Center. It provides uniform access to heterogeneous data resources which may be geographically dispersed (Baru et al. 1998; Moore et al. 1996; Rajasekar et al. 2002; University of California, San Diego 2006). The SRB enables the creation of data grids that focus on the sharing of data (Wan et al. 2003). Access to a collection by the public and private research community can be set through passwords or through an authentication scheme based on Globus to provide different levels of data security.

SRB handles data through a client-server architecture and allows users to access location-independent data: the user does not need to know where files are located and how they are stored. The SRB organises data logically into a single virtual file system, in a similar way to a filesystem found on a stand-alone computer, rather than physically. The use of stored metadata about each file facilitates the querying of these distributed data. Metadata – data about the data – allow users to quickly find a dataset, what it contains, its format, when or where the data were collected or created etc. Metadata querying and browsing enables user communities to have transparent access to each other’s data collections. Users can store or replicate their data collections across several servers to facilitate data preservation, while not losing local access control. Access permissions on individual files can be set so that other users can access the files. Users wishing to access these collections will view a single collection through the SRB middleware and do not need to know the physical location of the data.

The SRB servers that provide access to the archival resources, the Metadata Catalogue (MCAT) and the SRB clients are the main elements of an SRB domain. Files uploaded into the SRB are referenced by logical file handles chosen by the user – a name that is meaningful to the user. The MCAT maps these logical handles to the physical file locations on individual resources, and stores the metadata associated with the files, as well as information about the users and the physical resources managed by the SRB. The MCAT is implemented in a relational database such as Oracle or PostgreSQL and contains all the metadata and information about the files and resources in the SRB domain. The MCAT is usually associated with one SRB server (MCAT-SRB server). A user queries the catalogue about a specific file without knowing in which system the file resides. SRB can be naturally integrated into a data visualisation pipeline (Pailthorpe and Bordes 2000) for repeated analysis of large, diverse, distributed datasets.

Figure 1 illustrates how the SRB works in a typical scenario (the arrows show the typical flow of data). A user needs a file stored in Data Resource 2 which could be a tape archive or a hard disk etc. However the user does not have this information. The only thing that the user has to do is login and request the file by making a query via an SRB client. What follows happens behind the scenes. The client contacts the local SRB Server A and requests the file (1). Server A contacts the MCAT-enabled SRB Server B in order to find the physical location of the file (2). Server B looks up the information in the MCAT and passes this...
A user can access and download datasets or files through three interfaces: Scommands, inQ and mySRB. Scommands is a command-line interface for UNIX systems that is not intuitive for non-UNIX users. inQ is an intuitive graphical user interface which runs under the Microsoft Windows operating system. mySRB is web-browser-like and runs under any operating system. The SRB manages access and control. When uploading data into the SRB, the user specifies who can have access to the data (read, write, delete). A user only has access to their own data and the data that others have allowed them to share.

The SRB is scalable and can work in a stand-alone configuration or in a federated way with several SRB zones and associated MCATs. In a federation, each SRB zone is part of a larger network and several zones interact with each other. Each SRB zone has its local MCAT-enabled SRB server and its own resources and users. From the user’s point of view, a zone is represented as a folder within a logical file system. The user then can move from one folder to the other without being aware that the two ‘folders’ are different systems and geographically in different places.

Figure 2 shows how two zones can be established in two locations to form a data grid. SRB Zone A comprises Server A that maintains Data Resource 1, and the MCAT-enabled Server B; SRB Zone B comprises Server D and MCAT-enabled SRB Server C which maintains Data Resource 2. An application or a user in Zone B has requested a file located on the Data Resource 1 in Zone A. SRB Server D contacts the MCAT-enabled SRB Server B in Zone A in order to find the physical location of the file (1). Server B redirects the request to Server A (2) which retrieves the file from Data Resource 1 (3) and services Server D directly (4). In this setup all requests are made to local SRB servers, however, the challenge resides in efficiently synchronising all MCATs.

Preliminary Results

Over 4000 entries derived from three seasons of survey, excavation and artefact analysis at Mill Point (2004–2006) were stored in a Microsoft Access database. Fourteen tables make up this database and record information about the artefacts (provenance, raw material, type, dimensions etc). The data were migrated to a PostgreSQL database. Many database management systems are suitable for this project. We are currently using both PostgreSQL (PostgreSQL 2006) and MySQL (MySQL 2006). PostgreSQL is an open source object-relational database with the features of commercial database systems such as Oracle. PostgreSQL is scalable, has superior programmability compared to other open source databases and developers can integrate their own data types. A feature of interest is PostGIS (Refractions Research 2006): this enables PostgreSQL to be used as a spatial database for GIS. MySQL is a relational database. Although developed by a commercial company, the software is free under the GNU General Public License. MySQL is simpler to use than PostgreSQL, has a large users’ base and is used in many web applications. The Cania Gorge Regional Archaeological Project uses MySQL, however it is straightforward.
to migrate the data to PostgreSQL. We used PostgreSQL to store the archaeological data related to Mill Point and also to generate the metadata catalogue for the SRB. Six XML schemas were created to define the data model and relationships. The data were converted into XML files according to the associated XML schemas and imported to PostgreSQL using scripts. In the future, users will enter new data into the database through a customised interface.

The MCAT was implemented in PostgreSQL. It is a database of metadata associated with the files managed by the SRB system containing information about the files such as the logical name and physical location of the data, access control information and also descriptive information on the data itself. The collected data were converted into XML files according to a created XML schema. This allows the data to be shifted through the SRB system without losing file metadata, as these metadata are stored within the file with the data. XML files were uploaded to the SRB server with inQ. Once in the SRB, the user can create a collection: uploaded data are placed inside this logical collection which only exists within the SRB, and physically are stored into a user-specified resource. When the XML files are imported into the SRB, the XML tags display what metadata are available for each file. The metadata attributes, which include information about files and collections within the SRB, physical and logical locations of the data, access control information and descriptive information on the data itself, are ingested into the MCAT along with the virtual and physical location of the data. The user can then query the data or collection according to the defined metadata with an SRB client such as shown in Figure 3.

We created the prototype of a mini-data grid in our laboratory, with one server and several clients. The SRB server and data files are currently located in the same network. We have used SRB’s Scommands and inQ clients to query the collection, and upload and download data. We also remotely accessed the SRB server and uploaded and downloaded data successfully via the inQ interface. While the system is currently hard to set up and use, and needs to be hardened, the SRB provides a sound base to establish a digital collection.

Visualisation Tools for Archaeology

We are developing database-backed visualisation tools that work at two different scales. One tool enables an assemblage of archaeological artefacts to be analysed and understood within the original site matrix. The data come from the Cania Gorge Regional Archaeological Project, with the artefacts displayed in 3D within their associated strata. The other tool is based on Google Maps/Google Earth and addresses the problem of moving between geographical scales and is more GIS-like. The data are radiocarbon dates measured at different sites throughout Queensland. The challenge will be to integrate the two tools seamlessly.

3D Reconstructions of an Archaeological Site

The web application is built in the Ruby on Rails software framework (Ruby on Rails 2006). We chose this framework over others because Ruby on Rails is built specifically for database-backed web applications with an emphasis on productivity rather than programming. Asynchronous JavaScript And XML (AJAX)
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Technologies are implemented in Rails and ensure that data are updated dynamically without the need to refresh a web page. For instance, any data change is sent to the server in the background and a section of the web page affected by the change is updated without refreshing the entire web page. This increases the speed of the web application and improves user interactivity. Finally, the ease of use and deployment of Ruby on Rails makes it a suitable technology for this project.

The data come from Grinding Groove Cave where a c.4.5m deep excavation revealed evidence for Aboriginal occupation extending from 10,000 years ago. The site was excavated in a series of 147 excavation units within stratigraphic units, each unit measuring 30mm in depth. The Grinding Groove Cave data were originally stored in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet containing several fields: unique identifier, nature of the artefact, attributes, x, y and z coordinates. The application has functionality for parsing (extracting data from) the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet data into the database. Ruby on Rails provides abstraction from the database so switching to another database engine requires simple reconfiguration. At the moment the web application backs to the MySQL artefact database and accepts textual queries. Searches can be done by checking boxes or selecting from a menu as shown in Figure 4. A user can select all or a subset of the excavation units, the types of artefacts to be rendered (i.e. shell, bone or rock) or the location of artefacts. In the future the user will be able to search a database by entering text and keywords and searching through the metadata.

Once the user has selected what needs to be displayed, an X3D file is generated. The system was originally designed to have shapes or glyphs on the server and referred to in the generated X3D document. Entity rules defined how to handle the external shapes referenced in the X3D document. However, the X3D browser, FreeWrl, failed to parse the X3D document with its external references. As a temporary solution, each type of artefact is now associated with a shape in the database and is exported to the X3D document. This is not desirable because it forces the user to create a shape field in the database. However this solution caters for X3D browsers that do not handle external references. Further testing will be undertaken on other browsers.

Figure 5 shows the result of a query displayed in a web browser. The artefacts are represented as spheres and the user assigns a colour for each type of artefact (shell, bone etc). All artefacts have a weight and are represented by a sphere to give a sense of size of the artefact. A scaling factor is applied to all artefact dimensions so that they are in correct proportion relative to each other. It is also possible to visualise each excavation unit and the outline of the excavation.

![Figure 4. Query form for 3D reconstruction tool web interface.](image)

![Figure 5. 3D view of all artefacts located in Square A, Grinding Groove Cave, Cania Gorge.](image)
Google Maps Interface

Google Maps is a free web application that provides dynamic, interactive maps as well as navigation services such as finding specific places or giving directions to get from point A to point B. We developed a user interface based on the Google Maps API (Google 2006) to explore radiocarbon dates from Queensland archaeological sites. The Google Maps API allows developers to embed Google Maps in a web page with JavaScript. It is possible to overlay markers, polylines and pop-up windows that display relevant information. The Google Maps API provides the tools necessary to visualise spatio-temporal data and integrate Google Maps into web or stand-alone applications.

We created a graphical user interface on top of the database with the wxPython library. A user logs into the system with a username and password and selects a database (if several are available). Once connected, searches can be performed with regular Structured Query Language (SQL) queries. When starting, all the data available in the database are displayed as balloons (the icon can be changed as desired) located by latitude and longitude on Google Maps. All the navigation features available in Google Maps are available in the application. It is possible to select a site pinpointed with a balloon to retrieve the information associated with the site as shown in Figure 6. The latitude and longitude of the site are displayed at the bottom of the interface and any information associated with the site, such as available radiocarbon dates, is displayed in a table to the left of the map. The implementation was straightforward for a programmer and it is possible to display data from two different databases. Incidentally the visualisation helped identify several erroneous data points suggesting that the coordinates for these points were incorrect. This illustrates the important role that visual analysis plays in verifying data quality.

Conclusion and Future Work

We created a mini-data grid in our laboratory, with one server and several clients in the same network. Authorised users can connect remotely to the SRB server with Scommands or inQ to query, upload and download data. The archaeological data are exported as XML files from the local PostgreSQL database to the SRB server and the metadata are created by the user and stored in the MCAT. Once the MCAT is created, the user can search the archive and retrieve the data. The next step is to integrate two small collections at different geographic locations, and create and test a federated data management environment.

We are investigating the use of ArchaeoML for data portability: the use of six customised XML schemas to define the Mill Point data model and relationships was a short-term solution. We are currently working on a web interface for data entry to solve this problem: the data logger will not need to be familiar with databases. Scripts developed by Hungerford for the PARADISEC project will be used to check the integrity of the data. A mistake will prompt the user with a message to check the suspicious data.

The integration of archaeological data and metadata is feasible through the use of the SRB. Data can
be queried remotely, downloaded or uploaded. We have not tested the usability of the data grid with the archaeologists: the system is not user-friendly at this stage. The learning curve associated with all the different pieces of middleware and client tools remains a major obstacle. Hence a major effort is required in creating an intuitive and simple interface and writing systematic documentation. Our future work will concentrate on providing user-friendly and intuitive interfaces to users.

The provision of software tools to manage, analyse and visualise datasets is complementary to the creation of a digital collection. The use of the Google Maps technology provides an efficient way to explore geographical data in real-time at different scales in two-dimensions. The reconstruction tool, although at an early stage, provides a way to visualise data in 3D at a smaller scale than is available in Google Maps. The challenge remains to integrate these two visualisation tools with the digital collection and the implementation of a user-friendly interface.

This project has some implications for data capture during a field season. Two options are possible. In one case, archaeologists can enter data in a systematic way according to a defined schema. The data are ingested into the SRB back in the laboratory at the end of the season. The second option is 'SRB in the field': the digital collection or part of it could be installed on a laptop along with the SRB as a stand-alone and taken to the field. Data entry can be done directly into the SRB. The updated collection can be synchronised and updated into the archival system back in the laboratory. We will investigate the functionality of these options and how they are suited to archaeology field practice.
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