

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Seneca, François (2010) *The molecular stress response in the Indo-Pacific model scleractinian coral, *Acropora millepora. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/15336>



The Molecular Stress Response in the Indo-Pacific Model
Scleractinian Coral, *Acropora millepora*

Thesis submitted by

François Seneca

(B.Sc. Zoology, University of Hawai'i)

September 2010

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the School of Pharmacy and Molecular Sciences

James Cook University

STATEMENT ON THE CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

Funding for the research within this thesis was obtained from the Australian Research Council (ARC), James Cook University (JCU), and the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS). The Government of Monaco (Principality of Monaco) and the School of Pharmacy and Molecular Sciences (JCU) provided stipend support.

A supervisory team consisting of Professor David Miller (JCU), and Dr Madeleine van Oppen (AIMS) provided intellectual and editorial support throughout the thesis.

Dr Eldon Ball (Australian National University) provided intellectual and editorial support for Chapter 2 and access to facilities for the microarray experiment in Chapter 3.

Dr Sylvain Forêt (ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, JCU) provided statistical, intellectual and editorial support for Chapter 2, 3, and 4.

Dr Nicolas Goffard (ANU) provided intellectual support in bioinformatics for Chapter 3.

Dr Lairetta Grasso (JCU) provided technical support for the microarray experiment in Chapter 3.

Dr Carolyn Smith-Keune (JCU) provided intellectual and editorial support as well as the samples used in Chapter 3.

Erin Noonan, Thea Jacob, Dr David Abrego and Eneour Puill-Stephan provided assistance in the laboratory.

Allison Palley, and Thomas Stevens provided assistance in the field.

Patricia Warner provided editorial support throughout the thesis.

Acknowledgements

I always knew that I would have to work in contact with the ocean to be happy with my job. The end of this thesis feels like I have accomplished my dream. I became somewhat of a marine biologist. I am just looking at marine living things a bit more closely than most people do. The molecular side of my studies was unexpected. My unquenchable curiosity took me there. My PhD was a bumpy road, but I can still say that I am passionate about what I am doing. I hope this will stay in me as long as possible.

I would like to thank my supervisors, David and Madeleine, for giving me the opportunity to accomplish this PhD. David, thanks for allowing me the freedom to do my work when and where I wanted, and showing positive support every time I came to see you. Madeleine, your enthusiasm had a real boosting effect on my work. Thanks for always finding some time to listen and giving me the opportunity to use the AIMS facilities. Working at AIMS will remain a highlight of my PhD memories.

Thank you, Dr. D aka. Danielle DeJong, for making me feel welcome and guiding my first steps in the DM lab.

A special thanks to the ANU team, Eldon, David and Laretta (at the time). Although the science was pretty stressful, I had a great time working with you in Canberra. Eldon, you are an inspiration for your passion and hard work, thanks for letting me come over and use your lab.

Sylvain, merci pour ton aide avec les stats pour les experiences de qRTPCR et microarray.

J'aimerais aussi remercier ma famille, Sylvaine, Jean-Charles et lolo pour ne jamais avoir manqué de demander quand est ce que j'aurais fini ma these! Mam, je sais que ca a ete long et t'as cause beaucoup de stress. Mais, tu vois, j'y suis arrive! Pap, je sais que tu n'as pas toujours compris mes choix, mais tu as toujours ete la quand j'ai eu besoin de toi. Ce PhD est aussi le votre.

Françoise, Vincent et Camille, je n'aurais jamais pu être là ou j'en suis sans votre support. Merci.

Ninou, t'es de la bombe! Je suis tellement reconnaissant pour ton aide et ton temps. Merci mon pote.

JB, merci poulet pour les stats de dernière minutes!

I would like to thank all the people and friends who helped me in the lab, Erin, Thea, Lesa, Lairetta, Julie, David, et tonton aka. Eneour.

And finally, Patricia Warner, thank you ma fiancée, for your love and support during those past two years. This is happening because of you. You gave me the strength and motivation to finish it. I am so looking forward to our future in science and in life together.

Publications resulting from the research in this thesis

Seneca F, Forêt S, Ball E, Smith-Keune C, Miller D J and van Oppen M. (2009)
Patterns of gene expression in a scleractinian coral undergoing natural bleaching.
Marine Biotechnology: 10.1007/s10126-009-9247-5.

Forêt S[#], **Seneca F[#]**, de Jong D, Bieller A, Hemmrich G, Augustin R, Hayward DC,
Ball EE, Bosch TCG, Agata K, Hassel M and Miller DJ (Accepted) Phylogenomics
reveals an anomalous distribution of USP genes in metazoans. *Molecular Biology
and Evolution*. [#]These authors contributed equally.

ABSTRACT

A better understanding of the molecular coral bleaching response to environmental stressors is essential to determine how global climate change and water quality degradation affect corals. A central part of an individual's response to biotic or abiotic stressor exposure is the regulation of genes within the cells. The expression pattern of particular genes, which play key roles in the fate of cells under stress, can indicate the level and source of intra-cellular disturbances. The study of gene expression, or transcriptomics, can therefore be used to diagnose the health of an organism or population. The research reported here has for goal to improve our current knowledge of the coral transcriptomic stress response by: (1) providing optimized methods for the measurement of gene expression during coral bleaching in the field (Chapter 2); (2) exploring the changes in gene expression during bleaching and subsequent recovery period (Chapter 3); and, (3) describing a novel coral gene family potentially involved in the stress response (Chapter 4).

Coral bleaching is a major threat to coral reefs worldwide and is predicted to intensify with increasing global temperature. The present study represents the first investigation of gene expression in an Indo-Pacific coral species undergoing natural bleaching which involved the loss of algal symbionts. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments were conducted to select and evaluate coral internal control genes (ICGs), and to investigate selected coral genes of interest (GOIs) for changes in gene expression in nine colonies of the scleractinian coral *Acropora millepora* undergoing bleaching at Magnetic Island, Great Barrier Reef (GBR), Australia. Among the six ICGs tested, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase and the ribosomal protein genes S7 and L9 exhibited the most constant expression levels between samples

from healthy looking colonies and samples from the same colonies when severely bleached a year later. These ICGs were therefore utilized for normalization of expression data for seven selected GOIs. Of the seven GOIs, homologs of catalase, C-type lectin and chromoprotein genes were significantly up-regulated as a result of bleaching by factors of 1.81, 1.46 and 1.61 (linear mixed models analysis of variance, $p < 0.05$), respectively. I present these genes as potential key coral bleaching response genes. In contrast, three genes, including one putative ICG, showed highly variable levels of expression between coral colonies. Potential variation in microhabitat, gene function unrelated to the stress response, and individualized stress responses may influence such differences between colonies, and need to be better understood when designing and interpreting future studies of gene expression in natural coral populations.

In the next experiment, I used an extensive coral cDNA microarray assay to conduct a novel investigation of transcriptomic changes in colonies undergoing a natural summer bleaching event in the field environment. Four colonies of the Indo-Pacific model reef-building coral, *Acropora millepora*, were sampled *in situ* during a bleaching event and the subsequent recovery period on the GBR, in summer 2000-01. Significant change in the expression of hundreds of genes representing approximately 14 different processes/mechanisms shows that the natural bleaching stress response is fundamentally a general rearrangement involving almost all aspects of the cellular machinery. I identified a large number of genes, additional to those published in prior laboratory experiments, that are involved in previously identified cellular processes as well as new processes and gene groups unique to this study, such as exo- and endocytic pathway, defense and inflammation response, protein/cell degradation and death, cell cycle and division, and DNA/protein repair. Surprisingly, the relationship between the level of stress and changes in expression of hallmarks genes of the stress response, such

as HSPs and antioxidants, remains equivocal. However, the detection of key transcription factors, calcium binding, cytoskeleton and extracellular matrix protein genes provide further information about the characteristics of natural coral bleaching. Moreover, my results from a natural bleaching event enable comparisons to laboratory studies, which both enhance our understanding of the genes and processes implicated in the stress response as well as suggest the limitations and benefits of both approaches.

In the last chapter, I describe a novel coral stress related gene family, the Universal Stress Proteins (USP). Members of the USP family were identified in bacteria in the context of the stress response, and the USP-like domain occurs in a phylogenetically diverse range of prokaryotes, fungi, protists and plants. Here, I report that members of the USP family also occur in the animal kingdom, but that their distribution follows an unusual pattern. USP genes are present in urochordates as well as all Cnidaria and Lophotrochozoa examined, but are not present in any ecdysozoans or non-urochordate deuterostomes. The vast majority of the metazoan USPs are short, single domain proteins, and phylogenetically distinct from the prokaryotic, plant, protist and fungal members of the protein family. Phylogenetic analyses imply that one or a few USP loci were present in the common metazoan ancestor, and have undergone independent expansions in some lineages but have been lost from others. Most of the metazoan USP genes contain introns, the position of one of which is conserved across the Metazoa and possibly also with some of the plant sequences. By contrast, most (22 of 24) of the *Hydra* genes encoding USPs are atypical in that they are intronless and these clustered together in phylogenetic analyses, whereas the remaining *Hydra* sequences contain introns and seem to have counterparts in other cnidarians, urochordates and lophotrochozoans. Expression patterns were determined for several cnidarian USPs, including two genes belonging to the intronless clade, and these imply

a diversity of functions. The apparent paradox of implied diversity of roles despite high overall levels of similarity parallels the situation in bacteria. I hypothesize that the absence of USP genes in ecdysozoans and most deuterostomes may be a consequence of functional redundancy or specialization in taxon-specific roles, for example USP genes have been recently detected in bleaching experiments and may play an important role in the coral stress response. This calls attention to an exciting novel coral gene family for future research.

This thesis provides future research aiming to explain the molecular stress response of reef-building corals with relevant information about the genes involved in the natural coral bleaching response. With greater understanding of the molecular effect of particular stressors and/or stress events on corals, we can better comprehend the expected changes to corals under global climate change, improve our forecasting of coral reef deterioration, and prioritize management of the most serious threats.

CONTENTS

Abstract	i
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	x
Chapter 1.0 Background and General Introduction	1
1.1 Corals under threat	1
1.2 Background on coral biology	3
1.3 Coral bleaching and the molecular stress response	4
1.3.1 Coral bleaching definition	4
1.3.2 General bleaching response	4
1.3.3 Molecular stress response and understanding symbiosis	6
1.3.4 Cellular mechanisms of bleaching	10
1.3.5 Quest for coral health biomarker	11
1.4 Challenges in coral molecular ecology	14
1.5 Implications for management on coral reefs	15
1.6 Thesis objectives	16
Chapter 2.0 Patterns of gene expression in a scleractinian coral undergoing natural bleaching	19
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 Materials and methods	23
2.2.1 Sample collection	23
2.2.2 Bleaching condition: <i>Symbiodinium</i> cell counts and chlorophyll content	23
2.2.3 Total RNA extraction	24
2.2.4 ICGs and GOIs selection	25
2.2.5 Two step qRTPCR	27
2.2.6 Statistical analysis	28
2.1 Results	29
2.1.1 Changes in <i>Symbiodinium</i> densities, chlorophyll <i>a</i> content and water temperature	29
2.1.2 ICGs, differentially expressed genes and inter-colony variability	30
2.2 Discussion	33
2.2.1 ICGs for coral bleaching	34
2.2.2 Regulation of GOIs during bleaching	36
2.2.2.1 <u>AmCatalase</u>	37
2.2.2.2 <u>AmChromoprotein</u>	38
2.2.2.3 <u>AmC-type lectin</u>	39
2.2.3 Intercolony variability in levels of gene expression in a natural population	40
2.3 Conclusion	41

Chapter 3.0	Changes in gene expression in the reef-building coral <i>Acropora millepora</i> during a natural bleaching episode and subsequent recovery period in the field	43
3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Materials and methods	47
3.2.1	Sample collection	47
3.2.2	Physiological parameters: <i>Symbiodinium</i> cell counts, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and protein content	48
3.2.3	RNA extraction and microarray hybridisation	49
3.2.4	EST analysis and microarray description	50
3.2.5	Experimental design	50
3.2.6	Normalization	52
3.2.7	Background correction and between array normalization	52
3.2.8	Detecting differential expression	53
3.2.9	Bioinformatic analysis of differentially expressed genes	54
3.2.9.1	<u>Annotation and functional classification</u>	54
3.2.9.2	<u>Hierarchical clustering</u>	54
3.3	Results	55
3.3.1	Onset of bleaching	56
3.3.1.1	<u>Environmental changes</u>	56
3.3.1.2	<u>Physiological changes</u>	59
3.3.1.3	<u>Changes in coral gene expression levels</u>	60
3.3.2	Recovery phase	69
3.3.2.1	<u>Environmental changes</u>	69
3.3.2.2	<u>Physiological changes</u>	70
3.3.2.3	<u>Changes in coral gene expression levels</u>	70
3.4	Discussion	74
3.4.1	Coral gene expression in relation to time, increased temperature and symbiont loss	74
3.4.2	Molecular functions and cellular processes in relation to coral bleaching	75
3.4.2.1	<u>System perturbation</u>	78
3.4.2.1.1	HSPs and chaperones	78
3.4.2.1.2	Immunity and inflammation	80
3.4.2.1.3	Oxidative stress protection and detoxification	82
3.4.2.2	<u>System maintenance</u>	84
3.4.2.2.1	Calcium homeostasis	84
3.4.2.2.2	Cytoskeleton and cell-cell adhesion	85
3.4.2.2.3	Extra-cellular matrix	85
3.4.2.2.4	Protein degradation and cell death	86
3.4.2.2.5	Metabolism	86
3.4.2.3	<u>System regulation</u>	87
3.4.2.3.1	Transcription	87
3.4.2.3.2	Protein synthesis	87
3.4.2.3.3	Exocytic and endocytic pathways	88
3.4.2.4	<u>Unknown genes</u>	89
3.4.3	Comparison with laboratory bleaching microarray study	93
3.4.4	Hypothetical Molecular Coral Bleaching Model	94
3.4.5	Interpretation of the molecular functions and cellular processes in relation to recovery from bleaching	98
3.4.6	Improvements and directions for future studies	100
3.4.7	Candidate selection for the development of a coral bleaching response assay (CBRA)	101

3.5	Conclusion	103
Chapter 4.0	Phylogenomics reveals an anomalous distribution of USP genes in metazoans	105
4.1	Introduction	106
4.2	Materials and methods	110
4.2.1	Sequences	110
4.2.2	Phylogenetics	111
4.2.3	In-situ hybridisation	111
4.3	Results	112
4.3.1	The phylogenetic distribution of USP genes	112
4.3.2	General characteristics of the metazoan USP proteins	114
4.3.3	Phylogenetic analysis	114
4.3.4	Heterogeneity of USP expression patterns	117
4.4	Discussion	120
4.5	Conclusion	124
Chapter 5.0	General discussion, major findings, and future research	126
	Reef flat dominated by <i>Acropora millepora</i> , Miall Is., Keppels, AUS	126
5.1	General Discussion	127
5.2	Major findings of this thesis	132
5.3	The future	133
	References	135
	Appendices	152

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Regulation of seven GOIs (based on qRTPCR) in nine field colonies of <i>A. millepora</i> undergoing bleaching. The same nine colonies were sampled exactly one year apart and were healthy-looking at the first and severely bleached at the second sampling time point. The fold changes of gene expression are shown with the <i>P</i> -values for those genes that were significantly differentially expressed. The E-value corresponds to the best match of a Tblastx search in the nucleotide collection (nr/nt).	32
Table 3.1. Symbiont losses and gains over the experimental period calculated as total algal cell content relative to the initial colony condition on the 21 November 2001 (time point 1) for the onset of bleaching and 8 February 2002 (time point 5) for the recovery phase. Blue and red values correspond to loss and gain, respectively; time intervals (days) shown in parentheses.	60
Table 3.2. Annotated differentially expressed genes from the onset of bleaching microarray experiment (n=4 colonies) focusing on the change in gene expression between four successive time points in <i>A. millepora</i> colonies undergoing bleaching in the field. Genes are grouped according to the categories represented in DeSalvo <i>et al.</i> (2008), as well as additional newly defined categories for the purposes of these results. Fold changes of gene expression and adjusted <i>P</i> values are shown for differentially expressed genes, and genes detected more than once are highlighted in grey. Putative molecular functions are identified from Uniprot keywords and pathways, KEGG pathways, GO molecular functions, biological processes, and cellular components in addition to literature search deduced categories. Gene ID's are directly usable and uniquely linked to sequences from the <i>A. millepora</i> EST collection publicly available from the GenBank database (except for ID starting with D). GenBank accession numbers for early life stage (gene ID starting with A-B-C) differentially expressed genes presented in the following table are accessible as electronic supplementary material on demand. Asterisks (*) denote the genes in common with the DeSalvo <i>et al.</i> (2008) experiments.	62
Table 3.3. Annotated differentially expressed genes grouped by similar expression profiles during onset of bleaching in four <i>A. millepora</i> colonies; clusters derived from the analysis in Figure 3.5. The best match ID from the Entrez PROTEIN database and corresponding E-values shown in parenthesis. Genes highlighted grey significantly represent a certain gene group or cellular process. The array column indicates in which comparison genes were found differentially expressed.	65
Table 3.4. Differentially expressed genes in comparisons performed for the microarray experiment on <i>A. millepora</i> colonies (n=4) recovering from bleaching in the field.	71
Table 3.5. Annotated differentially expressed genes from the recovery phase microarray experiment focusing on the change in gene expression between four successive time points in <i>A. millepora</i> colonies (n=4) regaining healthy symbiont densities. Genes are grouped into a subset of the categories presented in Table 3.2. Fold changes of gene expression and adjusted <i>p</i> values are shown for differentially expressed genes. Genes detected more than once are highlighted in grey.	71
Table 3.6. The transcriptomic data on coral HSPs and chaperones in response to elevated temperature.	79
Table 3.7. Current knowledge of coral lectins.	81
Table 3.8. Transcriptomic data on the oxidative stress response in corals exposed to elevated temperature.	83
Table 3.9. Coral genes with no record in the literature on cnidarian research, but regarded as very relevant candidates for future studies on coral stress response.	89
Table 3.10. Summary comparing the 3 coral bleaching microarray studies at the time of this thesis.	93

Table 3.11. Log fold change of the seven differentially expressed genes in common to the DeSalvo <i>et al.</i> (2008) experiments and the onset of bleaching experiment in the present study. Question mark denotes a very low e-value for the best tBlastx hit using the EST sequence in parenthesis.	94
Table 3.12. Candidate coral bleaching biomarker genes in common between the bleaching and recovery periods as well as genes detected at the beginning and the end of the recovery.	101
Supplementary Table S.1. Primers used for the genes under investigation in the qRTPCR experiment. The best Tblastx match and <i>E</i> value are shown for the EST sequence corresponding to the indicated accession number. The <i>Symbiodinium</i> -specific PCNA primers were designed on the same sequences used in Boldt <i>et al.</i> (2009).	152
Supplementary Table S.2. Ranking of the candidate ICGs according to their <i>M</i> and <i>CV</i> values (Hellemans <i>et al.</i> 2007) calculated between healthy-looking and severely bleached samples across the nine colonies used in qRTPCR experiment.	152
Supplementary Table S.3. MIQE checklist (Bustin <i>et al.</i> 2009).	153

LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1.1. Photosynthetic electron transport chain of the thylakoid membrane (reprint based on (Taiz and Zeiger 1998). 8
- Fig. 2.1. Comparison of (A) the mean *Symbiodinium* cell densities and (B) chlorophyll *a* concentrations in samples used in the qRT-PCR experiment ($n = 9$) at two time points, 24th of January 2001 (2001) and 24th of January 2002 (2002). *paired two-tailed *t*-test, $P < 0.05$. The percentages of degenerate *Symbiodinium* cells are indicated. 30
- Fig. 2.2. Box plots comparing the log-normalized relative quantity (log (NRQ)) for nine *Acropora millepora* colonies in healthy-looking (2001) and severely bleached (2002) condition for: (A) three GOIs, *AmCat*, *AmCTL* and *AmCh*, and (B) three ICGs, *rpS7*, *GAPDH* and *rpL9*. *linear mixed models analysis of variance, $P < 0.05$ (• outliers, ♦ superposed outliers). 31
- Fig. 2.3. Log (NRQ) data for three genes showing great inter-colony variability in expression among the nine colonies. *linear mixed models analysis of variance, $P < 0.05$ (qRT-PCR reaction triplicates for one colony are depicted by the same symbol). 33
- Fig. 3.1. Loop design used to compare change in gene expression between 4 time points and replicated 51
- Fig. 3.2. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: The ISME Journal (Bourne *et al.*), copyright (2008). Temperature trends for Nelly Bay reef during the total sampling period. (A) Daily average water temperature on Nelly Bay reef flat from October 2000 to January 2003, and (B) from 1 November 2000 to 31 April 2001: daily average (—) and daily temperature range (grey area), 1990-2000 daily average with 14 d smoothing function applied (---), sampling times (♦) and bleaching first observed (‡). Note that only 19 sampling times shown as temperature data not available beyond January 2003 55
- Fig. 3.3. Adapted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: The ISME Journal (Bourne *et al.*), copyright (2008). A) Colony distribution according to visual health assessment categories (N=normal; 58
- Fig. 3.4. Summary of the differentially expressed ESTs detected in the onset of bleaching microarray experiment ($n=4$ colonies) partitioned into three groups: A) ESTs appearing strictly in comparison #1; B) ESTs shared by both comparisons #1 and #4; and, C) ESTs exclusively in comparison #4. Each group is further divided into the number of up and down regulated genes (clustered ESTs) and the ranges for log fold change is indicated for each group. Different ESTs belonging to the same gene, but only differentially expressed in one or the other comparison, are also included in the intersection B. 61
- Fig. 3.5. Cluster analysis based on the expression profiles (heat maps) of the 156 genes found differentially expressed ($p < 0.05$) in comparisons #1 and #4 of the onset of bleaching experiment. Annotated genes show unique expression profiles. Genes of each cluster are detailed in Table 3.3. 65
- Fig. 3.6. Cluster analysis based on the expression profiles (heat maps) of the 590 genes found differentially expressed ($p < 0.05$) in comparison #8 of the recovery phase experiment. Clusters labeled with the functional category found significantly over-represented (adjusted p -values $< 2.58e^{-5}$) and the representative percentage of genes when applicable, or alternatively a list of genes with relevance to coral bleaching response. 73
- Fig. 3.7. A hypothetical molecular model of the *Acropora millepora* natural bleaching response. 96
- Fig. 4.1. The USP domain. This alignment includes all the *Hydra magnipapillata* sequences and the bacterial 1MJH. The two *Hydra* sequences indicated in red are those lacking introns. The secondary structure is shown above the alignment and the residues involved in ATP binding are indicated by a “*” under the alignment. The color coding of residues is based on that used in the

Jalview implementation of ClustalX (see www.jalview.org/help/help.html), as follows: blue, A, I, L, M, F, W, V, C; red, R, K; green, N, Q, S, T; pink, C; magenta, E, D; orange, G; cyan, H, Y; yellow, P. Thresholds for color coding were optimized to clearly delineate the boundaries of secondary structure features. 110

Fig. 4.2. Phylogenomic distribution of USP genes. For each clade, the name of a representative species is indicated and colored in red if the genome of that species encodes USPs and in black if it does not. The number of USP genes found in each genome is given to the right of the species name. Branches where losses of the entire USP family have occurred are highlighted by red dots. The Cnidaria are highlighted in blue, the Lophotrochozoa in purple, the Ecdysozoa in green and the Deuterostomia in brown. Abbreviations: LUCA last universal common ancestor; LECA last eukaryotic common ancestor. 113

Fig. 4.3. Phylogenetic analysis of animal USP protein sequences. The analyses were based on the complete USP complements of the animals with fully sequenced genomes (Fig 4.1) plus the coral *Acropora millepora*. The tree shown is the result of Bayesian analysis, with posterior probabilities of the 115

Fig. 4.4. Expression patterns of *Hydra* and *Acropora* USP genes. (A) Expression of *Hmag01* in *Hydra magnipapillata*. This gene is expressed throughout the trunk endoderm, but transcripts are absent from the tentacle. (B) In *Hydra magnipapillata* *Hmag05* is strongly expressed in an endodermal stripe across the base of the polyp. (C) Expression of *Hmag10/teba1* in *Hydra vulgaris*. This gene is strongly expressed in the endoderm at the tentacle base. Note that *H. vulgaris* and *H. magnipapillata* are closely related sister taxa (Hemmrich *et al.* 2007), and the (*H. magnipapillata*) *Hmag10* and (*H. vulgaris*) *teba1* proteins are identical. (D) Expression of the *Acropora millepora* USP gene *Amil10*. (D1) This gene is first expressed 119

Supplementary Fig. S.1. Consistent difference between average quantification cycles of healthy (dark grey) and bleached (light grey) samples in nine colonies, for the best performing ICGs: GAPDH, rpL9 and S7 154

Supplementary Fig. S.2. Maximum likelihood tree of the USP domain sequences in animals. The numbers on the nodes indicate their support by a SH-like likelihood ratio test. 155

Supplementary Fig. S.3. Maximum likelihood tree of the USP domain sequences in animals. The numbers on the nodes indicate their bootstrap support. 156

Supplementary Fig. S.4. Bayesian tree of the USP domain sequences in all species. The numbers on each node represent the posterior probability. 157

Supplementary Fig. S.5. Maximum likelihood tree of the USP domain sequences in all species. The numbers on the nodes indicate their support by a SH-like likelihood ratio test. 158

Supplementary Fig. S.6. Maximum likelihood tree of the USP domain sequences in all species. The numbers on the nodes indicate their bootstrap support. 159

Supplementary Fig. S.7. Likelihood mapping assessing the monophyly of animal USPs. 79.1% of 10,000 random quartets are consistent with metazoan (a) and non-metazoan sequences (b) grouping separately. 160

Supplementary Fig. S.8. Multiple sequences alignment of USP domains. Vertical bars indicate an intron between two amino acid positions, left slanted bars indicate that a codon has 1 nucleotide in the 5' exon and 2 nucleotides in the 3' exon, right slanted bars indicate that a codon has 2 nucleotide in the 5' exon and 1 nucleotides in the 3' exon. The boxes above the alignment denote the approximate position of alpha helices (pink) and beta strands (blue) in the secondary structure of 1MJH. Asterisks under the alignment highlight the position of residues involved in ATP binding in 1MJH. The arrow under the alignment points at the position of the intron conserved between plants and animals. 162