

# JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

**Lemmon, Colin John (2010) *Boundary mapping and its application to geographic routing*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/15226>



# **Boundary Mapping and Its Application to Geographic Routing**

Colin John LEMMON BPsych(Hons) *JCU*

February 2010

A thesis submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in the School of Business  
James Cook University



# Statement of Access

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

---

Signature

---

Date

# Statement of Sources

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

---

Signature

---

Date

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my current supervisors Dr. Ickjai Lee and Dr. Carrie Lui, and my past supervisors Dr. Phillip Musumeci, Dr. Douglas Maskell, Dr. Eoin Hyden and my co-supervisor Prof. Bill Lavery, for their support and assistance during this research.

I would also like to thank Dr. Chris Gaskett for his advice and proof reading, along with Assoc. Prof. Bruce Litow and Dr. Jason Holdsworth.

# Abstract

Geographic routing is a self organizing, low overhead, distributed system for routing in ad-hoc wireless networks. Practical application of this approach is limited due to the lack of global information to deal with local minima at voids or the outer boundary. To address this problem, an improved geographic forwarding strategy Greedy-BoundedCompass was developed to reduce the instance of local minima. Greedy-BoundedCompass allows packets to move away from the destination without looping in situations where Greedy forwarding would fail. Greedy-BoundedCompass was applied to Greedy Perimeter State Routing (GPSR) to confirm its effectiveness as an alternate forwarding strategy.

The Boundary Mapping Protocol (BMP) was then developed to detect local minima, and probe boundaries; handling branches, edge crossovers, detecting probe home, and boundary confirmation. Using BMP, a multi-strategy Boundary State Routing protocol (BSR) was developed which incorporated Greedy-BoundedCompass forwarding. BSR manages boundary exit points, path selection for boundary traversal, swapping of boundaries, and loop prevention with multimode strategies. In response to performance issues, a low resolution grid occupancy mapping system was developed as a replacement for BMP to address excessive probe overhead and memory requirements.

Implementation, testing, and analysis of the improved geographic routing strategies

were performed using a purpose built network simulator. Metrics used included path completion rate, route efficiency, control overhead, and memory requirements.

Greedy-BoundedCompass reduced the number of local minima, improving the path completion rate of Greedy forwarding by 49.2% in sparse networks with a significant improvement in route efficiency of 8.9%. Greedy-BoundedCompass applied as a replacement for Greedy forwarding in GPSR also demonstrated a significant improvement in route efficiency. BSR then demonstrated a significant improvement in route efficiency over improved GPSR of 46.1% in sparse networks. The alternate low resolution grid occupancy mapping demonstrated a significant reduction in probe overhead and memory requirements compared to BMP.

Greedy-BoundedCompass forwarding has application in existing geographic routing protocols. BSR along with the low resolution grid occupancy mapping system is a promising approach to geographic routing with minimal local information maintained for routing around local minima. Future research will focus on refining the proposed grid occupancy mapping system and dealing with mobility.

# List of Publications

Lemmon, C.; Lui, S. M.; Lee, I., Distributing Network Coverage Using Grid Mapping. *Submitted*.

Lemmon, C., Lui, S. M. & Lee, I., Review of Location-Aware Routing Protocols. *Advances in Information Sciences and Service Sciences*, vol 2, 2, pp. 132-143, June 2010.

Lemmon, C.; Lui, S. M.; Lee, I., Geographic Forwarding and Routing for Ad-Hoc Wireless Network: A Survey. *Fifth International Joint Conference on INC, IMS and IDC*. Seoul, Korea , pp. 188-195, August 2009.

Lemmon, C and Musumeci, P., Boundary Mapping and Boundary State Routing (BSR) in Ad-Hoc Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol 7, 1, pp. 127-139, January 2008.

Lemmon, C. and Musumeci, P., Cooperative Behaviour of Location Aware Nodes in Ad-hoc Networks. *Proceedings of IEEE DEST 2007*, pp. 512-515 Cairns, Australia, 2007.

Lemmon, C., Experimental Design, Modeling and Testing of Geographic Routing



Protocols, *Proceedings of SimTecT 2006, Melbourne, Australia, 2006.*

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	iv
<b>Abstract</b>	v
<b>List of Publications</b>	vii
1 Introduction .....	1
1.1 Clarification of Terminologies .....	3
1.2 Geographic Routing .....	3
1.2.1 Neighbour Tables and Location Database .....	5
1.2.2 Route Failure at Local Minima .....	6
1.2.3 Geographic Routing Research.....	8
1.3 Problem Statement .....	8
1.4 Aims .....	9
1.5 Research Questions .....	9
1.6 Limitations and Assumptions.....	10
1.7 Contributions.....	10
1.8 Thesis Outline .....	13
2 Literature Review .....	17
2.1 Non-Geographic Ad-Hoc Routing.....	17
2.1.1 Table Driven Wireless Routing Protocols.....	18
2.1.2 On-Demand Routing Protocols.....	20

2.1.3	Cluster And Hybrid Routing Protocols .....	21
2.1.4	Evaluation of Non-Geographic Routing Protocols .....	22
2.2	Geographic Routing Protocols .....	22
2.2.1	Limitations of Geographic Routing .....	23
2.2.2	Node Location Mechanisms.....	23
2.2.3	Destination Location Service .....	24
2.3	Factors Effecting Geographic Routing Protocols .....	26
2.3.1	Flooding .....	26
2.3.2	Reactive Searching.....	27
2.3.3	Heterogeneity .....	27
2.3.4	Memorisation .....	27
2.3.5	Latency.....	28
2.3.6	Traffic Aggregation.....	28
2.3.7	Scalability.....	28
2.3.8	Power Management.....	28
2.4	Geographic Forwarding Strategies.....	29
2.4.1	Greedy Forwarding .....	30
2.4.2	MFR .....	30
2.4.3	Compass Routing (DIR).....	31
2.4.4	Other Forwarding Strategies .....	32
2.5	Review of Geographic Routing Protocols .....	32
2.5.1	Background .....	33
2.5.2	Geographic Aided Routing Protocols .....	33
2.5.3	Flooding and Backtracking (Searching) at Local Minima.....	35
2.5.4	Planar Graph .....	36
2.5.5	Planar Graph Based Routing Protocols.....	39
2.5.6	Proactive Probing of Boundaries .....	44
2.5.7	Waypoints (Hybrid) .....	45
2.5.8	Zone Based Strategies .....	46
2.5.9	Power Management.....	47
2.5.10	Stateless (Beaconless) Geographic Routing .....	50
2.6	Geographic Routing in Sensor Networks.....	56

2.7	Discussion - Opportunities .....	57
2.7.1	Important Points from Reviewed Protocols .....	58
2.8	Proposed Solution .....	58
2.9	Hypotheses .....	59
3	Geographic Forwarding .....	61
3.1	BoundedCompass Forwarding .....	62
3.1.1	Resetting the Angle Traversed Reference Point .....	63
3.2	Combining Greedy and BoundedCompass Forwarding .....	65
3.2.1	BoundedCompass-Greedy Forwarding.....	66
3.2.2	Greedy-BoundedCompass Forwarding.....	67
3.2.3	Forwarding Algorithms.....	68
3.2.4	Data Packet Header Format .....	70
3.3	Method .....	70
3.4	Comparison of Greedy, MFR and Compass Forwarding .....	71
3.4.1	Dependant Variables .....	71
3.4.2	Paths Completed .....	72
3.4.3	Effect on Path Efficiency .....	74
3.4.4	Cost of Failure.....	75
3.5	Bounded Traversal with Constrained Angle Traversed.....	77
3.6	Multi-Strategy Forwarding.....	79
3.7	Improved Forwarding Strategy .....	82
3.8	Application of Greedy-BoundedCompass Forwarding.....	83
3.8.1	Choice of GPSR .....	83
3.9	Comparison of GPSR and Improved GPSR .....	83
3.9.1	Modified GPSR Algorithm .....	84
3.9.2	Improved GPSR Results .....	85
3.10	Discussion .....	86

4	Boundary Mapping Protocol.....	87
4.1	Problem Definition.....	88
4.2	Proposed Solution: Boundary Probing and Mapping .....	89
4.3	Boundary Mapping Protocol – Simple Version (BMPs) .....	90
4.3.1	Boundary Discovery .....	90
4.3.2	Branches.....	91
4.3.3	Edge Crossovers.....	92
4.3.4	Detecting Probe Home (Back at Source) .....	93
4.3.5	Inner/Outer Boundary .....	93
4.3.6	Distribution of Mapping Information .....	94
4.4	Improved BMP – Dealing with Non-Uniform Radio Ranges.....	94
4.4.1	Algorithms for Handling Non-Uniform Radio Ranges.....	94
4.4.2	Extended Local Knowledge .....	96
4.4.3	2 Hop Hello Message Packet Format.....	98
4.4.4	Hello Message Processing Algorithm.....	98
4.4.5	Modified Probe Forwarding Strategy .....	100
4.4.6	Detecting Return to the Probe Source.....	103
4.4.7	Back at Source Algorithm.....	104
4.4.8	Get Next Hop Algorithm.....	107
4.5	Boundary Confirmation - Storing Link State for Routing .....	109
4.6	Protocol Implementation.....	110
4.6.1	Boundary Probe Packet Format .....	110
4.6.2	Boundary Record Data Structure .....	110
4.7	Results.....	111
4.7.1	Boundary Probing with Variable Radio Ranges .....	113
4.8	Discussion .....	114
5	Boundary State Routing .....	115
5.1	Path Selection for Boundary Traversal .....	115
5.2	Extension to BMP - Boundary Exit Point and Next Hop .....	115
5.3	Boundary State Routing .....	116
5.3.1	Loop Prevention in Multi-Mode Routing Strategies.....	116
5.3.2	Boundary State Routing Algorithm .....	117

5.3.3	Boundary State Routing Pseudo Code .....	118
5.4	Protocol Implementation .....	119
5.4.1	Data Packet Headers .....	119
5.5	Results .....	120
5.5.1	Path Efficiency Comparison All Paths .....	121
5.5.2	Path Efficiency Comparison for Individual Path lengths .....	122
5.6	Discussion .....	124
6	Grid Occupancy Mapping .....	125
6.1	Problem Definition .....	125
6.2	Optimal Cell Size .....	126
6.3	Smoothing Effect of Lower Resolution .....	128
6.4	Local Cell Occupancy Exchange (Hello Information) .....	128
6.4.1	Local Occupancy Advertisements .....	129
6.5	Probing Using Trusted Local Occupancy Information .....	130
6.5.1	Occupancy Grid Probe Packets .....	131
6.5.2	Probe Records .....	132
6.5.3	Detecting Home .....	132
6.6	Confirming/Distributing Boundary Information .....	133
6.7	Looping and Complexity .....	134
6.8	Results .....	134
6.8.1	Cell Size .....	135
6.8.2	Probe Overhead .....	135
6.9	Limitations .....	137
7	Experimental Design .....	139
7.1	Controls .....	139
7.2	Dependent Variables .....	140
7.3	Independent Variables .....	141

7.4	Selection Bias and Sample Size .....	142
7.5	Selecting a Network Modeling Platform .....	143
7.5.1	Custom Network Simulation Software .....	144
7.5.2	Architecture.....	144
7.5.3	Adding a New Routing Protocol.....	146
7.5.4	Adding a New Agent.....	146
7.5.5	MAC Layer Interface Emulation .....	147
7.6	Custom Graph Software.....	147
7.7	Consideration of Distributions .....	149
8	Conclusion .....	151
8.1	Future Research.....	155
	References.....	157

# List of Tables

Table I: Percentage of Paths Exhibiting Looping .....	72
Table II: Comparison of Routes Completed for Greedy and Compass Forwarding .....	73
Table III: Route Dilation for Compass and MFR Forwarding .....	77
Table IV: Routes Completed for BoundedCompass and BoundedMFR Forwarding ....	78
Table V: Routes Completed for Compass and Greedy-BoundedCompass .....	80
Table VI: Routes Completed for Greedy-BoundedCompass and Greedy-BoundedMFR .....	80
Table VII: Path Efficiency for Greedy-BoundedCompass and Greedy-BoundedMFR .	82
Table VIII: Improvement in Median Path Length for Improved GPSR in Comparison to Standard GPSR .....	85
Table IX: Percentage of Networks with Probing Failures .....	114





# List of Figures

Figure 1: Information required by a geographic routing protocol. ....	5
Figure 2: Components of a geographic routing protocol. ....	5
Figure 3: Geographic routing failure at a local minimum.....	6
Figure 4: Diversity of boundary shape in sparse networks.....	7
Figure 5: Steps proposed in the development and application of boundary mapping. ...	12
Figure 6: Greedy Forwarding, Compass Forwarding and Most Forward Progress. ....	29
Figure 7: Compass forwarding (a) without looping and (b) with looping. ....	32
Figure 8: A face traversal algorithm for a planar graph.....	37
Figure 9: GG, RNG, and Delaunay triangulation. ....	38
Figure 10: Critical choice required for direction of boundary traversal. ....	39
Figure 11: BoundedCompass forwarding using cumulative angle traversed. ....	62
Figure 12: Resetting angle traversed.....	63
Figure 13: BoundedCompass-Greedy forwarding showing mode changes at H and I...	65
Figure 14: Greedy-BoundedCompass forwarding with mode changes at B, H and J.....	67
Figure 15: Data packet header format.....	70
Figure 16: Median percentage of paths completed for the basic forwarding strategies.	73
Figure 17: Median of performance for basic forwarding strategies.....	74
Figure 18: Median fail dilation for basic forwarding strategies.....	76
Figure 19: Median of routes completed for bounded forwarding strategies.....	77
Figure 20: (a) Median performance and (b) fail dilation for bounded forwarding. ....	78

Figure 21: Median of routes completed for multi-strategy forwarding. ....	79
Figure 22: (a) Median path efficiency and (b) fail dilation for multi-strategy forwarding. .....	81
Figure 23: Median path efficiency for GPSR and improved GPSR. ....	85
Figure 24: Local minima at a node at an obtuse (not acute) angle. ....	87
Figure 25: Example of probing from nodes having obtuse angles only. ....	88
Figure 26: Histogram for paths completed for GPSR in sparse networks. ....	89
Figure 27: Internal boundary with branch. ....	92
Figure 28: Example of a boundary edge crossover. ....	92
Figure 29: Crossed edges on polygon boundary. ....	94
Figure 30: All permutations of crossed edges on void boundary. ....	95
Figure 31: Two hop neighbour and intermediate node selection for neighbour table. ...	97
Figure 32: Extended 2 hop hello packet format. ....	98
Figure 33: Selecting appropriate 2 hop probe traversal for (a) direct and (b) indirect links. ....	101
Figure 34: Local topology knowledge at nodes A, B and E. ....	101
Figure 35: Limiting backwards probe packet traversal. ....	102
Figure 36: Nodes revisited on boundary traversal. ....	103
Figure 37: Probe initiation and return to source via 2 hop neighbours. ....	103
Figure 38: Probe source at a junction between network segments. ....	104
Figure 39: Discovery and Confirmation mode packet header. ....	110
Figure 40: Mean number of (a) boundaries and (b) boundary hops for probing strategies. .....	111
Figure 41: Median of (a) total probe hops and (b) probe bytes for BMP and BMPs. ..	112
Figure 42: Median (a) hello packet overhead and (b) boundary struct memory overhead for BMP and BMPs. ....	113
Figure 43: Greedy and Boundary mode packet header. ....	120
Figure 44: Compass mode packet header. ....	120
Figure 45: Path efficiency for BSR, GPSR, and improved GPSR. ....	121
Figure 46: (a) Median path efficiency and (b) max hop count for each shortest path length for a mean connectivity of 2.0. ....	122

Figure 47: (a) Median path efficiency and (b) max hop count for each shortest path length for a mean connectivity of 3.0. ....	122
Figure 48: (a) Median path efficiency and (b) max hop count for each shortest path length for a mean connectivity of 4.0. ....	123
Figure 49: (a) Median path efficiency and (b) max hop count for each shortest path length for a mean connectivity of 5.0. ....	123
Figure 50: Distribution of route length for GPSR and improved GPSR at a shortest path length of 11 (at a mean connectivity of 5.0). ....	124
Figure 51: Global view of the grid occupancy map for a 20 x 20 grid. ....	126
Figure 52: Maximum grid size calculation. ....	127
Figure 53: (a) Link crossover on boundary missed by BMPs and (b) the alternate low resolution occupancy grid representation. ....	128
Figure 54: (a) Initial network, (b) trusted local occupancy, and (c) remote occupancy learnt from neighbours (for highlighted node).....	129
Figure 55: Progression of boundary probe – local view from each node. ....	131
Figure 56: Occupancy grid probe format. ....	131
Figure 57: Sliding window for home detection. ....	133
Figure 58: (a) Boundary map at highlighted node after probing is complete and (b) the global occupancy map.....	134
Figure 59: Median boundary hops per network for all probing strategies. ....	135
Figure 60: Median of (a) probe hops and (b) probe bytes for all probing strategies. ...	136
Figure 61: Median (a) hello packet overhead and (b) boundary struct memory overhead for all probing strategies. ....	136
Figure 62: Custom network simulator. ....	144
Figure 63: Implementation of routing protocols and agents. ....	145
Figure 64: Graph of means with confidence intervals. ....	148

Figure 65: Distribution of number of paths completed at each path length for (a) Greedy forwarding and (b) Compass forwarding..... 149

Figure 66: Distribution of paths completed at each route length for GPSR. .... 150