

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

**Faichney, Iain Duncan Eise (2010) *The geology of the submerged reefs in the Maui-Nui complex, Hawaii.*
PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/15086>



**THE GEOLOGY OF THE SUBMERGED
REEFS IN THE MAUI-NUI COMPLEX,
HAWAII**

Thesis submitted by

Iain Duncan Eise FAICHNEY

B.App.Sci M.App.Sci.

In July 2010

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

In the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

James Cook University

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work, with the exception of the following:

All users consulting this thesis are required to sign the following statement:

“In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author, and to make proper written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it”

Iain Faichney

2010

STATEMENT OF SOURCES

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Iain Faichney

2010

STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

Stipend Support:	Australian Postgraduate Award
Supervision:	Dr Jody Webster (USyd) Dr Raphael Wust (Industry) Dr Robin Beaman (JCU) Dr Scott Smithers (JCU)
Editorial Assistance:	Dr Jody Webster Dr David Clague Ms Sally McCutcheon Dr Juan Carlos Braga Dr Willem Renema Dr Don Potts
Financial Support:	JCU School of Earth and Environmental Sciences JCU Postgraduate Research School Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute Dr Jody Webster Ms Sally McCutcheon
Statistical Support:	Dr Yvette Everingham JCU School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences Dr James Moloney JCU School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

STATEMENT OF CONTENT

The body of this thesis is presented as three separate, self-contained works, each of which have been submitted to journals of international significance in similar format. Due to the completeness of each section, a small amount of repetition is unavoidable. These three chapters are followed by a discussion of the findings of the research that places this work in reference to both the research aims outlined in the introduction, and its place in the broader scheme of the scientific body of knowledge.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I owe many thanks to my supervisor Jody Webster for encouraging me to come to JCU in the first place and establishing this project. Jody has given me the benefit of his insight, guidance, advice and support throughout this project. Thank you for helping me (finally) to this place.

I would also like to express my enormous gratitude to David Clague at the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute who has given me unending guidance, support and friendship and been a fantastic mentor throughout this project. I must also thank George Matsumoto who facilitated my time at MBARI, and particularly Jenny Paduan who greatly assisted my time there and has also been incredibly patient and helpful since. I have learnt a huge amount from Jody, Dave, Jenny and my other co-authors Don Potts, Willem Renema and Juan Carlos Braga, so thank you all very much.

This project would not have been possible without the release of the multibeam bathymetric data from the University of Hawaii, so I would like to thank the kind folk from UH at Manoa.

The rest of my supervisory team have also been very much appreciated. Thank you to Scott Smithers who stepped in at the last moment to help out, and to Raphi Wust and Rob Beaman who have offered counsel and friendship during my candidature. Thank you both very much.

My fellow students Tom, Marissa, Betty and Nick, thanks for all the distractions and help, in that order. I wouldn't still be sane without you guys.

I have benefitted hugely from bouncing ideas off Jim Moloney, Alana Grech and Peter Ridd, so thank you all very much for your friendship, support, advice and help with technical difficulties.

Special thanks to Chris Cuff and Cecily Rasmussen at C&R Consulting who have been so accommodating and supportive during these last few months of write-up.

To those who have moved on: Jimmy, Dave, Damo, Deano, Loui, Jojo, Monty and Coops, you have all helped me greatly with your friendship and rubbish, mostly whilst you were at JCU, but certainly since too.

To my lovely friends Tom and Erin (and Jerome), Sam and Skunks, Justin and D'Liney, thank you all for keeping me sane and helping me through this marathon. Your support has been invaluable.

To the wonderful Farrago crew, spending my Wednesdays with you has been the best part of each week, and if I'm lucky occasionally the weekends too. Sally girl, Canadian Rod, Barnsey, Farnsey, Liney, Lois, Michelle and off coss, particularly Paddy, without whom none of it would happen.

None of this would have been possible without the enduring love and support of my family. Mum and Dad, your unending love, advice and help has allowed me to get to this place, so thank you. Kath, Andrew and Podge, thank you for your love and support. Rod, I count you as family and your support and help with our homebrew and golf outings kept me going throughout it all. Paddy and Anne, you have been so generous with your time, help, support, encouragement and love. Thank you for welcoming me into your family.

Lastly, but most importantly thanks to my darling wife, Sally. I wouldn't have made it through this journey without you, at least not sane. Words cannot really do justice to your support, love, advice and assistance throughout these last couple of years. I'm very thankful to you, but more thankful that I have so many more years with you to come in the future.

ABSTRACT

The volcanic islands of the Maui-Nui Complex (MNC) in Hawaii; Maui, Molokai, Lanai and Kahoolawe, are dated as forming throughout the Quaternary (~2.0 – 0.2 Ma). The tropical location of these islands has led to their flanks providing a substrate for reef growth throughout this period. During the Late Pleistocene (700 – 0 ka), coralgal reefs have developed in response to large (up to 120+ m) eustatic sealevel fluctuations characterised by 100 ka cyclicity. In contrast, reefs growing during the Early Pleistocene (1.5 – 0.9 Ma) were subjected to eustatic sealevel variations on shorter ~ 41 kyr cycles with amplitudes of ~ 60 – 70 m. The period of transition between these two states is known as the Mid-Pleistocene Transition (MPT). Combined with this change in dominant sealevel amplitude and cyclicity, the MNC has experienced a slowing in rate of subsidence as the lithosphere has responded to the volcanic loading of both the MNC and the big island of Hawaii. As the mass of the seven volcanoes of the big island of Hawaii has grown, the plate has flexed in a forebulge of uplift surrounding the big island. Lithospheric modelling suggests that this zone of maximum uplift is currently situated between Oahu and the MNC.

The offshore regions of the MNC in Hawaii comprise 89 separate submerged terraces, most of which are drowned reefs that have developed over the past 2 MA. These reefs represent a unique archive of both reef development throughout the entire Quaternary and lithospheric plate response to an oceanic hotspot. This library has been analysed for changes in reef development across the MPT and examined to quantitatively constrain the magnitude and attitude of the plate's tilting.

High resolution multibeam bathymetry and backscatter data together with field observations from ROV and submersible dives and sedimentological and chronological analysis of reef samples provide evidence of a distinct change in reef development throughout the Pleistocene. Within the south-central region of the complex (the area of best reef development) the morphology of the reefs varies both geographically and temporally. The deeper, pre-MPT reefs exhibit barrier reef and pinnacle features on the substrate steepened by the Clarke Debris Avalanche, and thick in-situ coral reef faces on the non-steepened margins of the complex. One quantifiable measure of a reef's morphology, the Rim-Index, has been used as a measure of an active reef crest with abundant accommodation space, and shows the

deeper terraces of the MNC as having active reef crests with high Rim-Indices. These morphological characteristics are supported by the sedimentological data that show dominance in shallow water coral reef facies within the deeper, pre-MPT reefs.

The shallower younger reefs of the MNC display very different morphological and sedimentological characteristics to the deeper reefs. These post-MPT reefs exhibit low Rim-Indices, broad terrace back-stepping and low relief, in contrast to the deeper reefs. Sedimentological data from samples of the post-MPT reefs are dominated by deep-water coralline algae. Additionally, the channels between the islands of the MNC also exhibit closely spaced reef and karst morphologies that indicate repeated subaerial exposure.

Collectively, these morphological and sedimentological data show that reef development has changed throughout the Pleistocene within the MNC. These data suggest that this variation in the morphology, structure and composition of the reefs within the MNC has been controlled by three main factors; the subsidence rate of the complex, the amplitude and period of eustatic sealevel cycles, and the slope and continuity of the basement substrate. The growth of stratigraphically thick coral reef units on the deep pre-MPT reefs was due to rapid subsidence of the substrate and shorter, smaller amplitude sealevel cycles allowing re-occupation and coral growth on successive low-stand phases of the sea level cycles. The dominance of deep water coralline algae growth on the reefs of the Late Pleistocene is consistent with the near vertical stability and longer, larger amplitude sealevel oscillations made during this time frame.

Data analysed during this study confirm that accommodation space is critical to the continual coral reef growth and emphasise how integral the subsidence rate of the MNC has been to reef development within the complex. The regional subsidence rate is controlled by lithospheric plate response to volcanic loading at the hotspot. The high resolution multibeam bathymetry data used in this study show significant discontinuities in terrace morphology or depth, that define the edges of regions which age data indicates were initiated at different times. The tilting data of these regions show that they also responded to lithospheric flexure independently. The shallowest identified terraces in the Oahu and Molokai regions tilt towards the north, away from the current zone of maximum subsidence, indicating that these regions have recently

passed the zone of maximum uplift. These last data differentiates between two different numerical modelling studies.

By examining the reefs of the MNC, this study not only details factors controlling coral reef development throughout the different climate and sealevel states of the Quaternary, but also provides new constraints on the lithospheric plate response at an oceanic hotspot. This study provides new empirical data that can contribute to further dynamic modelling efforts aimed at understanding plate behaviour in this setting and possible other locations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of Access	2
Statement of Sources.....	3
Statement of Contribution of Others	4
Statement of Content	4
Acknowledgements.....	5
Abstract.....	7
Table of contents.....	10
List of Figures and Tables	14
Chapter 1 - Introduction.....	15
1. Thesis background and setting	15
2. Literature review.....	18
2.1 Regional geology, hotspot processes and vertical motion.....	18
2.2 Reef sedimentology.....	20
2.3 Coral reef composition within the MNC.....	21
2.4 Pliocene and Pleistocene sea level.....	23
2.5 Coral dating	24
3. Science objectives and thesis aims	25
4. Thesis structure.....	26
Chapter 2 - The morphology of the submarine terraces.....	31
1. Introduction.....	33
2. Location and methods	34
2.1 Location and geological setting.....	34
2.2 Multibeam bathymetry and backscatter analysis	35
2.3 Dive and dredge operations	37
3. Results.....	38
3.1 Structure and morphology of the MNC.....	38
3.2 Structure and morphology of the reefs	41
3.2.1 Terrace L1	41
3.2.2 Terrace L2	44
3.2.3 Terrace L3	45
3.2.4 Terrace L4	45

3.2.5	Terrace L5	45
3.2.6	Terrace L6	49
3.2.7	Terrace L7	52
3.2.8	Terrace L8	53
3.2.9	Terrace L9	53
3.2.10	Terrace L10	54
3.2.11	Terrace L11	55
3.2.12	Terrace L12	55
4.	Discussion.....	55
4.1	Regional and temporal differences in reef development.....	55
4.2	Pre-MPT Maui-Nui Complex vs post-MPT Hawaiian	55
4.3	MPT terraces	58
4.4	Post-MPT terraces.....	60
5.	Conclusions	63
6.	Acknowledgements.....	65
Chapter 3 - The sedimentology of the submarine terraces		68
1.	Introduction.....	70
2.	Location and methods	71
2.1	Location and geological setting	71
2.2	Dive and dredge operations	73
2.3	Sedimentology and sample analysis	73
2.3.1	Statistical analysis of facies composition.....	74
3.	Results.....	74
3.1	Fossil component distribution within the Maui-Nui Complex.....	74
3.2	Sedimentary facies and palaeo-environmental interpretations	77
3.2.1	Oolitic facies	78
3.2.2	Coral Reef 1 facies.....	78
3.2.3	Algal Nodule 1 facies.....	80
3.2.4	Coral Reef 2 facies.....	81
3.2.5	Algal Nodule 2 facies.....	82
3.2.6	Algal Crust facies.....	83
3.2.7	Bioclastic facies	83
3.2.8	Hemi-pelagic Mud facies	86
3.2.9	Peloidal Mud facies	86
3.3	Detailed outcrop morphology, sample context, sedimentary facies distribution and available chronologic data	87

3.3.1	Terrace L1 – (T310, T311)	87
3.3.2	Terrace L2 – (T311, T312)	88
3.3.3	Terrace L5 – (P5-217)	90
3.3.4	Terrace L6 – (T295).....	91
3.3.5	Terrace L7 – (T294, T308)	92
3.3.6	Terrace L9 – (T309).....	92
3.3.7	Terrace L10 – (P5-191)	94
4.	Discussion.....	95
4.1	Reef outcrop and composition	95
4.1.1	Sheet style outcrop.....	95
4.1.2	Nodule fields.....	96
4.1.3	In-situ reef growth.....	98
4.2	Changes in facies dominance	98
4.3	Acropora distribution.....	100
4.4	Subsidence rate comparisons	101
4.4.1	Post-MPT Maui-Nui reefs vs uplifted Oahu reefs	101
4.4.2	Pre-MPT Maui-Nui reefs vs uplifted and modern Oahu reefs.....	101
4.4.3	Maui-Nui reefs vs Hawaiian reefs.....	102
4.5	Comparison with other locations	103
5.	Conclusions	104
6.	Acknowledgements	105
Chapter 4 – Reef tilting and plate response.....		111
1.	Introduction.....	113
2.	Methods	114
2.1	Terrace identification	114
2.2	Tilting measurements	116
2.3	$^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and age estimates.....	116
3.	Results	118
3.1	Terrace distributions around the MNC and Oahu	118
3.1.1	Oahu.....	120
3.1.2	Molokai	120
3.1.3	Penguin Bank	122
3.1.4	Lanai.....	125
3.1.5	Maui.....	125
3.1.6	East Maui Ridge	125

3.2	Age estimates	129
3.3	Terrace tilting	130
4.	Discussion.....	131
4.1	Terrace correlations and implications.....	131
4.2	Complex-wide tilting and differential subsidence	132
4.3	Subsidence on Molokai	135
4.4	Comparisons of the Hana Ridge tilting.....	135
4.5	Tilting / hotspot interaction – observations vs published modeling results	136
5.	Conclusions	138
6.	Acknowledgements.....	139
Chapter 5 - Discussion and conclusions		142
1.	Reef responses	142
1.1	Morphological observations and interpretations.....	143
1.2	Sedimentological observations and interpretations.....	144
2.	Processes influencing reefs in the MNC.....	145
2.1	Climate.....	146
2.1.1	Sea level fluctuation	146
2.1.2	Global cooling.....	147
2.2	Substrate	148
2.2.1	Landslides	148
2.2.2	Volcanic growth	149
2.3	Plate response.....	150
2.3.1	Subsidence rate.....	150
2.3.2	Terrace tipping and lithospheric flexural bulge	151
3.	Comparisons with reef systems outside the Hawaiian Islands	153
3.1	Ryukyu Islands	153
3.2	Tahiti	154
4.	Conclusions	155
References		160
Appendix 1 – MNC Data Table.....		167
Appendix 2 – Age Data.....		199
Appendix 3 – Sr isotope ages paper.....		201

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1.1: Introduction map of study locations within the MNC.....	36
Table 1.1: Table of modern reef taxonomy of the MNC.....	22
Figure 2.1: Introduction map of MNC showing dive locations and bathymetry.....	36
Table 2.2: Table of terrace morphology features and definitions.....	38
Figure 2.2: Expanded section of Figure 2.1 – the Northern region.....	40
Figure 2.3: Expanded section of Figure 2.1 – the Southern region.....	42
Figure 2.4: Expanded section of Figure 2.1 – the Central region.....	43
Table 2.2: Summary table of terrace morphology and structure.....	46
Figure 2.5: Outcrop style and terrace schematics – shallow terraces.....	47
Figure 2.6: Chirp seismic data showing reef structure development.....	48
Figure 2.7: Outcrop style and terrace schematics – mid terraces.....	50
Figure 2.8: Outcrop style and terrace schematics – deep terraces.....	51
Figure 2.9: Model of MNC reef terrace development (Pre-MPT).....	56
Figure 2.10: Model of MNC reef terrace development (During MPT).....	59
Figure 2.11: Model of MNC reef terrace development (Post MPT).....	62
Figure 2.12: Conceptual diagram showing main factors influencing reef development.....	64
Figure 3.1: Map of the MNC showing dive locations and bathymetry.....	72
Table 3.1: Taxonomic chart for the Maui-Nui Complex.....	75
Figure 3.2: Interpreted facies environments.....	76
Figure 3.3: Oolitic, Hemi-Pelagic Mud and Peloidal Mud Facies.....	77
Figure 3.4: Coral Reef Facies 1 and 2.....	79
Figure 3.5: AC, AN1 & AN2 facies.....	80
Figure 3.6: Bioclastic facies.....	85
Figure 3.7: Sedimentary facies and outcrop of the shallow terraces.....	89
Figure 3.8: Sedimentary facies and outcrop of the deeper terraces.....	93
Figure 3.9: Facies stratigraphy vs depth and age.....	97
Appendix A: Table of point counting data from 48 samples of Bioclastic Facies across L1, L6, L7 and L9.....	109
Figure 4.1: Location map showing terrace correlations, terrace discontinuities and bathymetry.....	115
Table 4.1: Terrace tilting data for the Maui-Nui Complex, by region.....	117
Figure 4.2: Terrace tilting calculation methodology.....	118
Table 4.2: Sr isotope age determinations.....	119
Figure 4.3: The Oahu region terraces and tilt data.....	121
Figure 4.4: 3D Fledermaus images of the topography and bathymetry of the MNC showing terrace discontinuities.....	122
Figure 4.5: The Molokai region terraces and tilt data.....	123
Figure 4.6: The Penguin Bank region terraces and tilt data.....	124
Figure 4.7: The Lanai region terraces and tilt data.....	126
Figure 4.8: The Maui region terraces and tilt data.....	127
Figure 4.9: East Maui region terraces and tilt data.....	128
Figure 4.10: Sr isotope age estimates.....	129
Figure 4.11: Terrace correlations.....	133