

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Pearson, Benjamin Alastair (2006) *Rates and controls of streambank retreat and erosion in three tropical streams in North-eastern Queensland*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/14916>



**RATES AND CONTROLS OF STREAMBANK RETREAT
AND EROSION IN THREE TROPICAL STREAMS IN
NORTH-EASTERN QUEENSLAND**

Thesis submitted by

Benjamin Alastair Pearson BSc (Hons) *JCU*

in January 2006

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in the School of Tropical Environment Studies and Geography
James Cook University

ELECTRONIC COPY

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT OF SOURCES DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Signature

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is as much part of you all as it is me, even if I claim sole authorship!

My supervisor, Scott Smithers, must be afforded my biggest thanks. Once again, your dedication in helping me to succeed was amazing, especially during the last year. You are the best supervisor a student could ever ask for and I will be eternally grateful for everything you have done for me. I must also thank Ian Rutherford and the CRC for Catchment Hydrology for providing the initial research and stipend funds. Thanks also to Ian for providing advice and freely expressing concern at times when it was needed. George Lukacs and the Australian Centre for Freshwater Research (ACTFR) also provided extra funds when required. Thanks also to George for providing the push at the beginning of my planning process that provided me with direction. James Cook University and the Tropical Environment Studies and Geography (TESAG) department of the University were also very generous with their funds.

Thanks to Colin Thorne who was only too willing to provide me with his reports and data. Thanks also to David Post of CSIRO who provided stream gauge data for Thornton Creek and expertise on the area. Alan Dunn, Major Greg Hanson, Norm Wheeler and the landholders of the Jarra Creek, Liverpool Creek and Thornton Creek areas were all very generous in providing me with unrestricted access to land adjacent to my study streams.

I would also like to thank the staff and my fellow students of TESAG for their support and interest in my topic. Peter Valentine gave me leeway during an extremely difficult time of my life irrespective of his obvious desire to see me finish more quickly. Adella Edwards provided unsurpassed cartographic expertise and was always too happy to help create diagrams and maps. Jody Kreuger, Rob Scott, Clive Grant and all the other TESAG support staff provided invaluable assistance in all things laboratory-, field-, computer- and administration-related.

I believe I have been blessed with some of the most hard-working and dedicated field assistants. Special thanks to Britty Louez. You are beautiful in every way and I will always be indebted to you. Our field trips were always full of fun, with exception, perhaps, of the first one where I almost drowned us and the total station in the same motion. Also thanks to Kent Dungavell, whose tireless work ethic, bad jokes and insistence on arguing with drunk, slightly mentally

unstable locals ensured for interesting field trips. Lee Cox was a tireless worker in the field for me and always showed interest in what I was doing.

My office mates, Rohan and Adrian, both provided constant companionship, advice, criticism and acceptance of my office clutter and my serious coffee addiction. Rohan provided irreplaceable statistical knowledge and database expertise. Adrian, you are probably one of the nicest and most genuine blokes I've ever met and you've had to put up with a lot in the last year. Thanks for not asking for another room mate. Also, a picture says a thousand words, so judging by my thesis, I think you've said way too much!

All of my friends provided great assistance and/or distractions from the rigours of my phud. Thank you to Karen Laing – you are an unbelievable woman and your support and love was unforgettable. I only wish you could have been here to see me finish. Thanks to Jo for being Jo – for always being there when I needed you and providing me with constant advice and criticism. TJ also deserves credit for the support and friendship she continues to give me and for continuing to believe in me, even from such great distances. Thanks to Troy and James – your intelligence, witty repartee and balderdash were sources of constant amusement. Thank you to Sean, Belinda, Malcolm and Bob – without the distractions you provided, insanity would have been calling. Thanks also to my close friends Courtney, Jenine, Adam, Donna, Amy, Doug, Alana, Sandy, Rachel, Chris and Cam, everyone in NQOMT and to Yatesy, Tom, Liz and all of the other soccer hooligans.

Finally, to my family (Mum, Dad, Jono, Nick, Anne, Lucy and Raffles) – you were all extremely helpful financially, emotionally and gastronomically whenever I needed it and the leading statement particularly applies to you all. Thanks to Nick and Anne who always put me up when I visited and were very kind in moments of need. Jono, you're the best little brother in the world (even if you refuse to lend me your PSP) and I am very proud of all of your achievements. Thank you to mum, who was always there for me when I needed a talk or to be irritable. Dad, I am especially grateful for your never-ending advice on vocabulary, grammar, statistics, all things science-related and table manners – some of which were more useful to this thesis than others.

ABSTRACT

Streambank retreat is a natural fluvial process altered by a variety of direct and indirect human activities that is controlled by interactions between a range of hydrological, geomorphological and vegetative factors. These may include climate, discharge, bed slope, bank material and stratigraphy, bank height, bank angle, curvature and the various attributes of bank vegetation. There has been considerable progress in our understanding of these processes and their interactions in temperate regions, but our knowledge of bank retreat in tropical streams is relatively poor. Few quantitative studies of bank retreat or erosion are published for the tropics. In particular, there is a paucity of data on vegetation characteristics, their interaction with retreat-causing variables and their contribution to bank retreat or erosion. This thesis addresses these issues by investigating the response of 34 sites in three north-eastern Queensland streams (2 wet tropics, 1 wet-dry tropics) to the 2003/2004 wet season, observing rates and types of bank retreat and the suite of driving forces that were responsible for this retreat.

Variations exist in streambank retreat rate between climatological regions. Banks of streams in tropical environments tend to retreat at greater rates because they experience greater specific stream power, more frequent bankfull events and higher annual flows than streams in other regions. Global trends also exist between bank retreat and stream width and drainage area. However, no global trends appear to exist between bank retreat relative to channel size and stream width. Modelling retreat of the study banks against climatological regime showed that they retreated at equivalent rates to streams of similar size elsewhere but at lower rates than streams from similar climatological regimes. These comparisons are only valid as far as datasets of differing quality and quantity allow. Analysis of 2003/2004 wet season hydrology suggested that these low rates could be partly attributed to the high recurrence possibility of the wet season.

Variations in streambank retreat rate also exist within climatological regimes. The largely heterogeneous nature of streams and associated variability of dominant erosion driving forces is responsible for this variation. This study did not identify any direct relationship between streambank retreat and any measured variable. However, thresholds existed with regard to specific stream power ($> 130 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), curvature (< 2.0), bank height ($> 3.2 \text{ m}$) and bank angle ($> 45^\circ$), which explained the variability of bank retreat rates. Bank retreat was low until these thresholds were passed. When these thresholds were exceeded, retreat rates were more variable, with the steep banks retreating faster than more gradually sloped banks. There was no

direct relationship between root area ratio (RAR) at any point on the bank and bank retreat. However, an exponential decay relationship existed between RAR at depths of 3 m and maximum bank angle: banks occupied by dense basal root networks were less steep, indicating an indirect relationship between bank retreat and basal RAR.

Variations in erosion at different depths down a bank can ultimately control overall bank retreat. Thus, variations in local factors and their control of erosion are as important to measure as retreat itself. Specifically, the variations in RARs and their interactions with other local factors, such as depth or sediment characteristics are a major control of scour rates. Erosion rate variability in the study streams decreased logarithmically with both increasing RAR and gravel content of the bank. Thus, those banks with denser root networks and greater coarse fragment content were less likely to erode. The absence of erosion of gravel-dominated strata in this study is anomalous, but may be partly attributed to the low magnitude and short duration of the flows of the 2003/2004 wet season.

Riparian influence on bank erosion and retreat is largely attributed to its effect on bank sediment strength and cohesion, but its influence on flow redirection away from the bank is also important. Root densities play a major part in these processes – greater densities provide increased cohesion, improved armouring of the bank from primary and secondary flows and sediment aggregation due to the input of organic matter. Root densities generally vary according to above-ground vegetation characteristics, sediment characteristics (moisture, texture, gravel content) and depth. There were linear relationships between root density (using RAR as the measure) and tree density that declined in strength with increasing depth at the 34 study banks. RARs at shallow depths were shown to be highly variable where trees were tall. RAR also varied greatly with depth. Wet tropics banks showed marked drops in RAR at depths of 2.5-3.0 m. A similarly significant decline was evident in wet-dry tropics banks at 2.0 m. No significant relationship existed between sediment and RAR.

This thesis has highlighted the multi-faceted and complex nature of bank erosion and retreat in tropical Queensland streams, as reported in the literature for many temperate systems and the few tropical systems that have been studied. It suggests that specific stream power, curvature, bank geometry, RAR and gravel content and the interaction between these variables are all important in understanding bank erosion and retreat. But despite the extremes of the climate in the study region, erosion responses to a flood of moderate magnitude were within the range expected from other studies, suggesting, albeit with a small dataset, an adaptation of these systems to regular flooding. A larger dataset, including data on their reaction to events of larger magnitude may alter this relationship. It is clear that knowledge of these fluvial processes and characteristics in association with an appreciation of other local and catchment-based processes

is essential for the development of appropriate catchment-wide and reach-based management plans.

CONTENTS

Title page	i
Statement of access	ii
Statement of sources declaration	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	vi
Contents	ix
Figures	xii
Tables	xvii
Chapter 1 Streambank stability: tropical streams in a global context	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Terminology	1
1.3 Streambank stability: components	2
1.4 Contribution of vegetation to erosion control.....	3
1.5 Worldwide and Australian bank retreat rates: a review.....	4
1.5.1 Streambank retreat rates: geographic variation	4
1.5.2 Differences between climatological regions	5
1.5.3 Cool temperate studies	12
1.5.4 Temperate and warm temperate studies	13
1.5.5 Sub-arctic studies	13
1.5.6 Tropical/monsoonal studies.....	13
1.5.7 Variability of streambank retreat rates	14
1.5.8 Types of bank retreat and migration.....	27
1.5.9 North-eastern Queensland and tropical studies	28
1.5.10 Literature summary	29
1.6 Information gaps	30
1.7 General aims and significance of project.....	30
1.8 Thesis overview	31
Chapter 2 Study sites	32
2.1 Wet and wet-dry tropics regions of north-eastern Queensland.....	32
2.2 Identification of statistically different wet and wet-dry tropics regions.....	32
2.3 Selection of study streams and reaches.....	35
2.3.1 Jarra Creek.....	37

2.3.2	Liverpool Creek.....	43
2.3.3	Thornton Creek	45
2.4	Selection of study reaches and sites.....	50
Chapter 3	Bank retreat rates and mechanisms.....	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Methods	56
3.2.1	Cross-section establishment	56
3.2.2	Erosion rates and types.....	58
3.2.3	The 2003/2004 wet season	61
3.2.4	Statistical analysis	62
3.2.5	Analysis of bank retreat rates according to flood stage.....	62
3.2.6	Comparison of results with worldwide data	62
3.3	Results	62
3.3.1	The 2003/2004 wet season	62
3.3.2	Erosion rates.....	67
3.3.3	Erosion mechanisms.....	72
3.3.4	Stream and climatological region variation.....	73
3.3.5	Flood stage and retreat rate	74
3.3.6	Comparisons of bank retreat rates with existing data.....	75
3.4	Discussion.....	77
3.4.1	Impact of the wet season	77
3.4.2	Stream and regional variation.....	79
3.4.3	Comparisons with worldwide data	80
3.5	Summary.....	81
Chapter 4	Bank retreat and its driving forces.....	82
4.1	Introduction	82
4.2	Methods	83
4.2.1	Sediment characteristics	83
4.2.2	Bank, channel and hydrological characteristics	85
4.2.3	Community vegetation characteristics	85
4.2.4	Root characteristics	86
4.2.5	Bank types, sediment and bank retreat	88
4.2.6	Statistical analyses.....	89
4.3	Field results: identification of site variables	89
4.3.1	Sedimentology.....	89
4.3.2	Stratigraphy	89
4.3.3	Hydrologic, bank and planform characteristics.....	96

4.3.4	Above-ground vegetation characteristics	96
4.3.5	Root characteristics	98
4.4	Analysis of field results: quantification of bank retreat driving forces	98
4.4.1	Identification of variables related to bank retreat.....	98
4.4.2	Quantification of relationships between bank retreat and measured variables....	103
4.4.3	Stratigraphy, bank types, their features and influence on bank retreat.....	107
4.5	Discussion.....	112
4.5.1	Identification and quantification of factors affecting bank retreat	112
4.5.2	Bank models, sediment, stratigraphy and their effect on bank retreat and angle	119
4.5.3	Thresholds, magnitude and frequency.....	120
4.6	Conclusions and management implications.....	121
Chapter 5 Roots and erosion of the bank face.....		123
5.1	Introduction	123
5.2	Methods	123
5.2.1	Field methodology.....	125
5.2.2	Statistical analysis	125
5.3	Results	126
5.3.1	Root area ratios.....	126
5.3.2	RAR and above-ground vegetation characteristics	131
5.3.3	Roots and sediment texture	133
5.3.4	Roots and depth.....	133
5.3.5	RAR differences between streams	135
5.3.6	Factors influencing erosion	137
5.4	Discussion.....	146
5.4.1	Root area ratios.....	146
5.4.2	Root area ratio relationships with above-ground vegetation characteristics	147
5.4.3	Root area ratio relationships with depth and sediments	148
5.4.4	Erosion variations.....	150
5.5	Chapter summary.....	152
Chapter 6 Summary and conclusions.....		153
6.1	Background and findings.....	153
6.2	Further research	157
6.3	Conclusion	158
References.....		160
Appendix A.....		181
Appendix B.....		199

FIGURES

Figure 1.1 – Bank retreat rates in different climatological regions, derived from the literature.	12
Figure 1.2 – Relationship between bank retreat rates and stream width.	20
Figure 1.3 – Relationship between bank retreat rates and drainage area.	21
Figure 1.4 – Relationship between channel width and relative bank retreat.	22
Figure 1.5 – Conceptual model 1 of channel curvature and notional bank retreat (after Hickin (1974) and Hickin and Nanson (1975)).	24
Figure 1.6 – Conceptual model 2 of channel curvature and notional bank retreat (after Hudson and Kesel (2000)).	24
Figure 2.1 – Location of the wet and wet-dry tropics of north-eastern Queensland, with major river catchments indicated.	33
Figure 2.2 – Results of the PCA of hydrological characteristics of wet and wet-dry tropics streams.	36
Figure 2.3 – Location of study areas in wet tropics (Figure 2.4 box) and wet-dry tropics (Figure 2.11 box).	38
Figure 2.4 – Locations of wet tropics study reaches, indicated by boxes on Liverpool Creek and Jarra Creek.	39
Figure 2.5 – Yearly precipitation totals for the Jarra Creek region. Line plot shows seven-year running mean.	40
Figure 2.6 – Mean monthly rainfall data for the Jarra Creek region (1913–2006). Error bars represent standard error.	40
Figure 2.7 – Longitudinal profiles of the three study streams showing location and length of each study reach.	42
Figure 2.8 – Jarra Creek bank showing the three general sedimentary units present: (A) mixed sand-silt-clay stratum; (B) sandy-gravel stratum mixed with cobble; and (3) basal clayey stratum.	42
Figure 2.9 – Yearly precipitation totals for the Liverpool Creek region. Line plot shows seven year running mean.	44
Figure 2.10 – Mean monthly rainfall data for the Liverpool Creek region (1972–2006). Error bars represent standard error.	44
Figure 2.11 – Location of the Thornton Creek (wet-dry tropics) study reach, indicated by the box.	46

Figure 2.12 – Mean monthly rainfall data for the Thornton Creek region (1962–2006). Error bars represent standard error.	47
Figure 2.13 – Yearly precipitation totals for the Thornton Creek region. Line plot shows seven year running mean.	48
Figure 2.14 – Monthly discharge patterns (as a proportion of annual discharge) of Thornton Creek and nearby streams.	48
Figure 2.15 – Study site locations in the Jarra Creek reach identified in Figure 2.4.	52
Figure 2.16 – Study site locations in Liverpool Creek reach identified in Figure 2.4.	53
Figure 2.17 – Study site locations in Thornton Creek reach identified in Figure 2.11.	54
Figure 3.1 – Summary flowchart illustrating the approach taken to address the chapter aims. ...	57
Figure 3.2 – Method of monitoring bank position, using semi-permanent reference points and permanent tie points on either side of the channel.	59
Figure 3.3 – Profiler methodology of Hudson (1982) and Lawler (1993a), where stadia rods are used to measure overhanging sections.	59
Figure 3.4 – Bank erosion mechanisms, including entrainment and failure modes: a) direct scour; b) shallow failure; c) planar failure; d) slab failure; e) rotational failure; f) translational failure; g) tensile cantilever failure; h) beam cantilever failure; and i) scour followed by immediate slippage of upper bank.	60
Figure 3.5 – Flowchart summarising the results relevant to each chapter aim.	64
Figure 3.6 – Discharges of Liverpool Creek with various ARI values.	65
Figure 3.7 – Debris left by the 2003/2004 flows on Jarra Creek.	66
Figure 3.8 – Discharges of the 2003/2004 wet season on Thornton Creek, relative to bankfull flow.	66
Figure 3.9 – Examples of erosion occurring on Jarra Creek: (A) scour followed by immediate slippage occurring at JA0003; (B) scour followed by failure just upstream of JA0010.	69
Figure 3.10 – Examples of erosion occurring on Liverpool Creek: (A) toe scour at LI0006, showing the armouring effect provided by roots; (B) scour followed by cantilever failure at LI0010; remnant failed portions protect the toe against further scour.	70
Figure 3.11 – Examples of erosion occurring on Thornton Creek: (A) scour occurring at TH0002, showing different rates of scour occurring in different sedimentary units; (B) cantilever failure upstream of TH0014.	71
Figure 3.12 – Mean bank-top erosion rates of each study stream.	74
Figure 3.13 – Relationship between bank retreat rate and drainage area.	76
Figure 3.14 – Relationship between stream width and bank retreat rates.	76
Figure 3.15 – Relationship between stream width and bank retreat rate as a percentage of stream width. No significant relationship was identified ($r^2 = 0.02$; $p > 0.05$).	77
Figure 4.1 – Summary of the approach taken to address the aims of Chapter 4.	84

Figure 4.2 – Method for mapping root area ratio at the bank-channel interface on (A) a vertical bank; and (B) more acute-angled bank. These profiles were repeated as necessary to encompass the entire bank face.....	87
Figure 4.3 – Flowchart summarising the results relevant to each chapter aim, following the methodology outlined in Figure 4.1.....	90
Figure 4.4 – Triangular texture diagram based on international fractions, illustrating the sand, silt and clay content of identified sedimentary units in the study streams (following Marshall 1947, 2003, Shepard 1954).	91
Figure 4.5 – Bank sedimentology of the eroding bank of study sites on (A) Jarra Creek, (B) Liverpool Creek and (C) Thornton Creek.....	92
Figure 4.6 – Results of a PCA on hydrological, geomorphological and vegetative characteristics of study sites.....	100
Figure 4.7 – Regressions of variables identified as contributors to bank retreat in the PCA (Axis 2). Symbols as in Figure 4.6.....	101
Figure 4.8 – Relationships between bank retreat rate and curvature, exposed bank height, bank angle and specific stream power.	102
Figure 4.9 – Curvature-bank retreat rate relationship, showing the erosion groups identified (see text).	103
Figure 4.10 – Mean bank angle for erosion groups (from Figure 4.9), showing differences between erosion groups.....	104
Figure 4.11 – Exponential decay relationship between RAR at 3.0 m depths and maximum bank angle for RAR groups.	105
Figure 4.12 – RAR values on logarithmic scale, showing groups used to determine bank angle maxima through range of values.	106
Figure 4.13 – Angle and height of the different bank types categorised from the study sites. Error bars represent standard error from the mean.	108
Figure 4.14 – Characteristics of four bank types: (A) bank retreat rates; (B) vegetation density; (C) RAR values; and (D) log of sediment size.....	111
Figure 4.15 – Frequency of types of bank retreat for each stratigraphic bank type.	112
Figure 4.16 – Conceptual model explaining the parameters affecting bank retreat at the study sites in the 2003/2004 wet season and identifying the thresholds that existed for each parameter.....	113
Figure 5.1 – Summary of the approach taken to address the aims of Chapter 5.....	124
Figure 5.2 – Flowchart summarising the results relevant to each chapter aim.	127
Figure 5.3 – RAR vs. depth profiles for Jarra Creek sites (JA0001 – JA0010), showing the variability of RAR above 3.0 m and the low RARs below 3.0 m depth.	128

Figure 5.4 – RAR vs. depth profiles for Liverpool Creek sites (LI0001 – LI0010), showing the variability of RAR above 3.0 m and the low RARs below 3.0 m depth.	129
Figure 5.5 – RAR vs. depth profiles for Thornton Creek sites (TH0001 – TH0014), showing the general decline in RAR with depth.	130
Figure 5.6 – Relationship between mean tree height and RAR at 1.0 m depth, showing the non-linear relationship ($r^2 = 0.64$, $p = 0.07$) between height and maximum RAR for each height group following the sigmoidal model shown in the graph.	131
Figure 5.7 – Relationship between community tree density and RAR at 1.0 m depth, showing moderate predictability of RAR at this depth with vegetation density.	132
Figure 5.8 – Linear relationship between community tree density and RAR at 2.0 m depth, showing moderate predictability of RAR at this depth with vegetation density.	132
Figure 5.9 – Linear relationship between community tree density and RAR at 3.0 m depth, showing poorer predictability of RAR at this depth with vegetation density.	132
Figure 5.10 – Relationship between gravel content of bank strata and their respective RARs, showing the decline in RAR variability in sediment with > 5% gravel.	133
Figure 5.11 – Relationship between RAR ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) and depth at all vegetated study sites (both wet and wet-dry tropics data).	134
Figure 5.12 – Relationship between RAR ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) and depth for vegetated wet tropics study sites.	134
Figure 5.13 – Relationship between RAR ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) and depth for vegetated wet-dry tropics study sites.	134
Figure 5.14 – RAR ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) of all vegetated sites above and below indicated depth demarcations.	135
Figure 5.15 – RAR ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) of vegetated wet tropics sites above and below indicated depth demarcations.	136
Figure 5.16 – RAR ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) of vegetated wet-dry tropics sites above and below indicated depth demarcations.	136
Figure 5.17 – RAR ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) at depths above and below 3.0 m depth.	137
Figure 5.18 – Results of the PCA performed on the erosion-controlling factors in the wet tropics study sites.	139
Figure 5.19 – Results of the PCA performed on the erosion-controlling factors in the wet-dry tropical study sites.	141
Figure 5.20 – Scatter plot between bank gravel content of the sediment and erosion rates of wet tropics streambanks, showing the significant rational relationship ($r^2 = 0.96$, $p = 0.002$) between gravel content and maximum erosion rates.	143

Figure 5.21 – Scatter plot between RAR values and erosion rates of wet tropics banks, showing the significant exponential decay relationship ($r^2 = 0.87$, $p < 0.001$) between RAR and maximum erosion rates.	143
Figure 5.22 – Relationship between clay content and erosion rates of wet tropics sites.	144
Figure 5.23 – Relationship between depth below bank top and erosion rates of wet tropics sites.	144
Figure 5.24 – Scatter plot between bank sediment gravel content and erosion rates of wet-dry tropics banks, showing the exponential decay relationship ($r^2 = 0.67$, $p = 0.1$) between them.....	145
Figure 5.25 – Scatter plot between RAR values and erosion rates of wet-dry tropics banks, showing the significant rational decay relationship ($r^2 = 0.85$, $p < 0.001$) between RAR and maximum erosion rate.....	146

TABLES

Table 1.1 – Tally of bank retreat rate and erosion studies for different regions, resulting from a review of the relevant literature.	4
Table 1.2 – Streambank retreat rates reported in the literature.	6
Table 1.3 – Bank retreat measurement methods, their applications and their users	15
Table 2.1 – Precipitation and discharge variability within selected stream catchments of the wet tropics region of north-eastern Queensland, showing the similar traits between precipitation and discharge.	34
Table 2.2 – Precipitation and discharge variability within selected stream catchments of the wet-dry tropics region of north-eastern Queensland, showing the similar variability of precipitation and discharge.	34
Table 3.1 – Erosion rates of banks at each study site.....	68
Table 3.2 – Mean erosion rates occurring via different erosion mechanisms in Jarra, Liverpool and Thornton Creeks in the 2003/2004 wet season.....	72
Table 3.3 – Results of an ANOVA performed on erosion at different locations up the banks of each study stream.	75
Table 4.1 – Study site sedimentary unit descriptions.....	95
Table 4.2 – Site hydro-geomorphologic characteristics.....	97
Table 4.3 – Results of ANOVA on four vegetation characteristics between the three study streams (df = 2, 16), showing their variability between streams.....	98
Table 4.4 – Results of <i>post hoc</i> Tukey’s tests (<i>p</i> -values) on four vegetation characteristics for the three study streams identifying where stream differences lay. Significant contrasts (<i>p</i> < 0.05) are highlighted.....	98
Table 4.5 – Major correlates with Axes 1 and 2 in the PCA illustrated in Figure 4.6.	100
Table 4.6 – Results of <i>post hoc</i> Tukey’s tests associated with an ANOVA of root area ratio (RAR) variation between sediment size groups. Figures shown in bold typeface indicate results of interest.	107
Table 4.7 – Summary of bank type stratigraphic and geometric characteristics.....	108
Table 4.8 – ANOVA and MRPP results for bank type comparisons with regard to angle and height.....	109
Table 4.9 – ANOVA and MRPP results for bank type comparisons with regard to angle and height, excluding Bank Type 1. Significant result shown in bold typeface.	109

Table 4.10 – Results of <i>post hoc</i> Tukey’s tests of bank angle between bank types, excluding Bank Type 1.	110
Table 5.1 – Explanation of variance in the wet tropics data set identified in the PCA.	138
Table 5.2 – Variables loading on the first four eigenvectors in the PCA of the wet tropics data set. Significant variables are highlighted in bold face.	138
Table 5.3 – Explanation of variance in the wet-dry tropics data set identified in the PCA.	140
Table 5.4 – Variables loading on the first four eigenvectors in the PCA of the wet-dry tropics data set. Significant variables are highlighted in bold face.	140