The Role of Phytotechnology in the Rehabilitation of the BHPBilliton Cannington Ag-Pb-Zn Mine

Thesis submitted by

Scott Maurice KEELING BSc, Dip Sci, MSc

in March 2005

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the School of Earth Sciences James Cook University

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian network, for use elsewhere.	
I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protect Copyright Act and;	ion under the
I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.	
Signature	Date

STATEMENT OF SOURCES

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my ow another degree or diploma at any Information derived from the pu acknowledged in the text and a list	university of ublished or u	r other institution other institution of the contract of the c	on of tertiary	eduction.
	_			
			-	
Signature			Date	

ELECTRONIC COPY

I, the undersigned, the author of this provided to the James Cook Universubmitted, within the limits of the technology.	sity Library is	an accurate cop	nic copy of this by of the print	thesis thesis
	-			
Signature			Date	

Preface

Significant contributions from the following persons were crucial to the progress of this study. Mr Peter Whitehead and Dr Paul Nelson provided generous support and advice in matter of earth science. Associate Professor Paul Gadek and Dr Peter Franks provided vital access to plant growth facilities. Mr Gary Warren contributed his detailed botanical knowledge of northern Australia. Dr Michael Liddell provided essential laboratory equipment and personnel, namely the technical services of Mr Robert Ennis-Thomas. Dr Michael Steele provided statistical advice for the project. Mr David Godwin provided expertise in experimental design. In addition to funding the project, the Environment Department of the Cannington mine also provided travel and accommodation to and from its operational centres. The Advanced Analytical Centre (AAC) at James Cook University and the Townsville and Brisbane offices of Australian Laboratory Services Ltd provided analytical services for this research project.

The following publications resulting from this research project are currently in press or have been accepted for publication at this time;

Keeling, S.M., 2001, Heavy metal phytoextraction: Turning waste into ore. International Conference on Green Processing 2002. Conference Proceeding of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, p. 137-146

Keeling, S.M. and Werren, G. Phytoremediation: The uptake of metals and metalloids by Rhodes Grass grown on metal contaminated soil. Remediation Journal. In press

Keeling, S.M. Passive uptake of Ag, As, Cd, Pb and Zn by subtropical Australian pasture plant species: implications for the revegetation of metal contaminated soils at mine sites. The Rangeland Journal. Accepted

Acknowledgements

I thank Professor Tim Bell and Associate Professor Bernd Lottermoser, School of Earth Sciences, James Cook University for the opportunity to undertake a PhD project in biogeochemistry. Many thanks are also due to the staff and students of the Faculty of Science, Engineering and Information Technology at Cairns and Townsville for their support over the past 4 years. I am grateful for your contributions and have enjoyed my time in Far North Queensland.

This project would not have been possible without the financial support and cooperation of the Environment Department, BHPBilliton Cannington Ag-Pb-Zn Mine, in particular Mr Ross Wilson and Steve Malone. Your support has made it possible for me to fulfil a dream and no amount of beer is going to make up for that. I sincerely hope that these findings, in some small way, help you towards solving land rehabilitation problems that may arise at Cannington.

I also humbly acknowledge receipt of the following grants and awards that made it possible to undertake this research project; (a) James Cook University Earth Science Studentship, (b) Australian Postgraduate Association Industry (APAI) Linkage, (c) Australian Research Council research grant (LP0219428), (d) James Cook University Doctoral Merits Research Scheme and (e) Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy Gold 88 Endowment.

Finally, I thank the friends I have made in Cairns for all the riotous fun, insect repellent and barbequed treats, I shall miss you all very much.

Abstract

Phytotechnology utilises the unique biochemical processes of plants to manage and remediate contaminants such as heavy metals, hydrocarbons, radionuclides and pesticides from soil and water. The use of *in situ* biological systems to rehabilitate large volumes of contaminated soil has enormous potential for application around the globe, particularly in the mining and metal production industries. This study investigated the use of two phytotechnologies (pastoral vegetation covers and chemically-assisted phytoextraction) as environmental tools to manage mine tailings and soil contaminated with mine tailings at the BHPBilliton Cannington Ag-Pb-Zn Mine. The study was conducted in accordance with the mine's Environmental Management Overview System (EMOS) and employed the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) Investigation Guidelines for heavy metal and metalloid contamination of industrial and commercial soil.

Selected pasture plant species (Chloris gayana, Crotalaria novae-hollandiae, Cymbopogon ambiguus, Cymbopogon bombycinus, Cyperus victoriensis, Gomphrena canescens and Triodia molesta) were cultivated in soil contaminated with mine tailings (60 µg Ag g⁻¹, 2039 µg As g⁻¹, 30 µg Cd g⁻¹, 11950 µg Pb g⁻¹ and 4150 µg Zn g⁻¹). The addition of 5 wt% to 35 wt% mine tailings to uncontaminated soil significantly improved the biomass production of Chloris gayana. In contrast, the biomass production of the remaining species (all native pasture plants) was significantly reduced on soil contaminated with 5 wt% to 35 wt% mine tailings. The pasture plant species accumulated low concentrations of heavy metals and metalloids from soil contaminated with mine tailings, indicating their suitability for the revegetation of pastoral lands. In addition, limestone amendments to soil contaminated with mine tailings effectively improved the revegetation potential of Cymbopogon ambiguus, Cymbopogon bombycinus and Crotalaria novae-hollandiae on soil contaminated with mine

tailings, in addition to reducing the uptake of heavy metals and metalloids by the plants.

The chemically-assisted phytoremediation of soil contaminated with mine tailings was investigated using *Chloris gayana*, *Crotalaria novae-hollandiae*, *Cymbopogon bombycinus* and *Cyperus victoriensis* and soil amendments of EDTA, DTPA, EDDS, ammonium thiosulphate, ammonium thiocyanate and thiourea. Plant uptake of heavy metals and metalloids resulting from the application of the soil amendments indicated that, based upon published models for the technology, no pasture plant species would be suitable for the chemically-assisted phytoremediation of contaminated soil at the Cannington mine. *Crotalaria novae-hollandiae* and *Cyperus victoriensis*, however, did tolerate the effects of ongoing soil treatments with EDTA and EDDS, while accumulating modest quantities of heavy metals and metalloids, suggesting that vegetation covers with these plants could be used to phytoremediate low levels of soil contamination.

The leaching of Ag, Pb and Zn from mine tailings using weekly amendments of low-ionic-strength solutions of EDTA, ammonium thiosulphate, ammonium thiocyanate, thiourea and sodium cyanate was investigated over a three-month period. EDTA, ammonium thiosulphate and ammonium thiocyanate leached significant quantities of metals from the mine tailings over an approximate eight-week leaching period. EDTA solutions were found to dissolve large quantities of Pb (28.1%) and Zn (12.6%) from the mine tailings. Zinc dissolution was also high using a solution of ammonium thiosulphate (12.1%) and Ag dissolution was only notable using an ammonium thiocyanate solution (83.7%). The data indicate that chemical leaching of the Cannington mine tailings using low-ionic-strength solutions may remove a large proportion of the wastes contained heavy metals thus increasing metal production at the site, in addition to decontaminating a hazardous mine waste material.

This research project concludes that the pasture plant species investigated are highly suited to the revegetation of soil contaminated with mine tailings. In addition, the study concludes that the native pasture plant species that were deemed appropriate for phytotechnology applications at the Cannington mine are not suitable for the chemically-assisted phytoremediation of soil contaminated with mine tailings. The study also concludes that periodic leaching of the mine tailings using chemical reagents employed for phytoextraction applications has the potential to elevate metal production by reprocessing the waste while also reducing its toxicity and environmental risk.

Glossary of Terms

- Chelate: A large molecular weight organic compound, such as EDTA, DTPA and EDDS, having the ability to form soluble complexes with metallic ions (SSSA, 2004).
- Chlorinated solvents: Organic solvent containing chlorine atoms, e.g., methylene chloride and 1,1,1-trichloromethane, which are used in aerosol spray containers and in traffic paint (SSSA, 2004).
- **Ligand**: A low molecular weight molecule or ion capable of sharing an electron pair during bonding, such as sulphate (SO₄²), thiosulphate (S₂O₃²), cyanate (OCN) and thiocyanate (SCN) (SSSA, 2004).
- **Metallothioneins** (MTs): Low molecular weight proteins and polypeptides involved in the intracellular fixation and regulation of zinc and copper in plants and in neutralising the effects of toxic elements such as cadmium and mercury (SSSA, 2004).
- PAHs: Polyaromatic hydrocarbons (SSSA, 2004).
- **PCB**: Polychlorinated biphenyl; a pathogenic and teratogenic industrial compound used as a heat-transfer agent; PCBs may accumulate in human or animal tissue (SSSA, 2004).
- Phytochelatin (PCs): Any of a group of plant peptides that bind metals (Cd, Zn, Cu, Pb, Hg) and play important roles in the detoxification of heavy metals (particularly Cd) in plants (BioTech, 2004).
- **Pyrrolizidine alkaloids**: A group of alkaloids characterized by a nitrogencontaining necine, occurring mainly in specimens of the Boraginaceae, Compositeae and Leguminosae plant families (Brown, 2004).

Table of Contents

Section	Page
occuon	180

STATEMENT OF ACCESS	ii
STATEMENT OF SOURCES	iii
ELECTRONIC COPY	iv
Preface	v
Acknowledgements	
Abstract	
Glossary of Terms	
Table of Contents	
List of Figures	xiv
List of Tables	xix
List of Abbreviations	xxi
Chapter 1. Study Overview	1
1.1. Introductory statement	1
1.2. Phytotechnology	4
1.2.1. Heavy metal bioavailability in soil	8
1.2.2. Manipulating heavy metal bioavailability in soil	
1.3. The phytoextraction of heavy metals by plants	
1.3.1. Plant uptake response to heavy metals in soil	13
1.4. Phytoextraction: phytoremediation and phytomining	14
1.5. Chemically-assisted phytoextraction	
1.6. Research goal	
1.6.1. Specific aims	
1.7. Thesis outline	24
Chapter 2. Materials and Methods	29
2.1. Site description	
2.2. Deposit geology and geochemistry	
2.2.1. Mining and production	
2.3. Regional and local pedology	
2.4. Vegetation	
2.5. Mine waste management	
2.5.1. Rehabilitation practices and strategies	
2.6. Plant species selection and description	39
2.7. Poaceae	
2.7.1. Astrebla squarrasa (Bull Mitchell)	42
2.7.2. Chloris gayana (Rhodes grass)	
2.7.3. Cymbopogon sp	
2.7.4. Themeda triandra (Kangaroo Grass)	
2.7.5. Triodia molesta (Spinifex)	
2.8. Fabaceae	
2.8.1. Crotalaria novae-hollandiae (New Holland Rattlepod)	53

2.9. Cyperaceae	
2.9.1. Cyperus victoriensis (Channel Nutgrass)	
2.10. Amaranthaceae	
2.10.1. Gomphrena canescens (Bachelor's Buttons)	
2.11. Substrate materials	
2.11.1. Substrate processing	
2.11.2. Mineralogical characterisation of the substrate components	
2.11.3. Substrate preparation	
2.11.4. pH determination	
2.11.5. Electrical conductivity	
2.11.6. Estimating heavy metal bioavailability	•••
2.12. Plant propagation	
2.12.1. Biolitass preparation 2.12.2. Chemical amendments.	
2.13. Hydrometallurgical leaching	
2.14. Chemical analyses	
2.15. Quality assurance	
2.16. Statistical analysis.	
Plant Species Grown on Soil Contaminated with Mine Tailings	
3.2. Materials and methods	
3.3. Results	
3.3.1. Substrate characterisation	
3.3.2. Plant growth for the soil-tailings mixtures	
3.3.3. Plant uptake of metals and arsenic from soil-tailings mixtures	
3.3.4. Plant growth on limestone amended soil-tailings mixtures	
3.3.5. Plant uptake of metals and arsenic from limestone amended soil-tailings mixtures	
3.4. Discussion	
3.4.1. Plant tolerance and biomass production	
3.4.2. Plant uptake of metals and arsenic from ST and STL mixtures	
3.4.3. A note on the classification of Crotalaria novae-hollandiae	
3.4.4. Species selection for revegetation	
3.5. Conclusions	••
Chapter 4. The Uptake of Metals and Metalloids by Rhodes grass (<i>Chloris gayana</i> Kunth cv. 'Pioneer') Grown on Mine Tailings and Soil Contaminated with Mine Tailings	
4.1. Introduction	
4.2. Materials and methods	
4.3. Results	
4.3.1. Substrate characterisation	
4.3.2. Plant growth	
4.3.3. Sequential extraction	
4.3.4. Phytextraction of As, Cd, Pb, and Zn by Rhodes grass	
4.4. Discussion	
4.4.1. Plant growth	
4.4.2. Metals and arsenic uptake by Rhodes grass	
4.5. Conclusion	••
Chapter 5. Chemically-Assisted Phytoremediation of Soil Contaminated with Mine	
Tailings using Australian Subtropical Pasture Plant Species	
5.2 Materials and methods	••

5.3. Results	127
5.3.1. Partial and sequential extractions	127
5.3.2. Chemical amendment toxicity	
5.3.3. Plant chemistry	
5.4. Discussion	
5.4.1. Metal and metalloid availability in the ST mixture	
5.4.2. Chemical amendment toxicity	
5.4.3. Phytoremediation potential of the plant-chemical combinations	
5.5. Conclusion	153
Chapter 6. Chemically-Assisted Phytoextraction of Metals and Metalloids from Marallings and Soil Contaminated with Mine Tailings using Rhodes grass (Chingayana Kunth cv. Pioneer)	loris 155 155 158 160
6.3.1. Metals and metalloids extractability	
6.3.2. Plant growth	
6.3.3. Plant chemistry for contaminated soil	
6.3.4. Plant chemistry for fertilised tailings	
6.4. Discussion	
6.4.1. Chemical tolerance and plant growth	
6.4.2. Fertiliser-induced heavy metal solubility	
6.4.4. Phytoextraction of mine tailings	
6.5. Conclusions	
Chapter 7. The Leaching of Ag. Ph and Zn from Cannington Mine Tailings usi	nα
Chapter 7. The Leaching of Ag, Pb and Zn from Cannington Mine Tailings usi Novel Chemical Reagents	
Novel Chemical Reagents	191
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191 191 196
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191 191 196 197
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction 7.2. Materials and methods 7.3. Results 7.3.1. Leachate discolouration	191191196197
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction 7.2. Materials and methods 7.3. Results 7.3.1. Leachate discolouration 7.3.2. Silver extraction	
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction 7.2. Materials and methods 7.3. Results 7.3.1. Leachate discolouration 7.3.2. Silver extraction 7.3.3. Lead extraction	
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction 7.2. Materials and methods 7.3. Results 7.3.1. Leachate discolouration 7.3.2. Silver extraction 7.3.3. Lead extraction 7.3.4. Zinc extraction	
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction 7.2. Materials and methods 7.3. Results 7.3.1. Leachate discolouration 7.3.2. Silver extraction 7.3.3. Lead extraction 7.3.4. Zinc extraction	191196197197198201203205210
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191191196197197198201203205210
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191191196197198201203205210 on212214
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191191196197197198201203205210212214215
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191196197197198201205210 on212214215216
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191191196197198201203205210212214215216217219
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	191191196197198201203205210212214215216217
Novel Chemical Reagents 7.1. Introduction	

List of Figures

Number	age
Figure 1-1. Classical plant response to increasing heavy metal concentrations in soil (Baker, 1981)	. 14
Figure 2-1. The location of the BHPBilliton Cannington Ag-Pb-Zn Mine, NW Queensland,	•
Australia (Wilson, 2002)	.30
Figure 2-2. Aerial photograph of the BHPBilliton Cannington Ag-Pb-Zn Mine (2001) indicating	
the position of mining infrastructure and the Process Residue Facilities tailing dam	22
complex (Wilson, 2002)	. 33
the Cannington mine (X) is indicated.	35
Figure 2-4. The distribution of major vegetation groups within Australia (ANRA, 2004). The	. 55
position of the Cannington mine (X) is indicated	37
Figure 2-5. The distribution of operating (red) and historical (green) Pb and Zn mines in Australia	. 57
(GA, 2004). The position of the Cannington mine (X) is indicated	38
Figure 2-6. The distribution of the Mitchell grasslands plant association (green) on mainland	. 50
Australia, generally found across an annual rainfall band of 250 mm to 550 mm. (Orr,	
1975). The position of the Cannington mine (X) is indicated	. 43
Figure 2-7. Astrebla squarrasa. Photo D.J. Edinger (ANBG, 2004).	
Figure 2-8. The distribution of Astrebla squarrasa in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of the	
Cannington mine (X) is indicated.	. 44
Figure 2-9. Chloris gayana. Photo R. Randell (ANBG, 2004).	. 46
Figure 2-10. The distribution of Chloris gayana Kunth in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of	
the Cannington mine (X) is included	.46
Figure 2-11. Cymbopogon ambiguus. Photo R. Davis (ANBG, 2004)	.48
Figure 2-12. The distribution of Cymbopogon ambiguus in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of	
the Cannington mine (X) is indicated	
Figure 2-13. Cymbopogon bombycinus. Photo L. Wallis (ANBG, 2004)	. 49
Figure 2-14. The distribution of <i>Cymbopogon bombycinus</i> in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of	
the Cannington mine (X) is indicated	
Figure 2-15. Themeda triandra. Photo S.M. Keeling.	.50
Figure 2-16. The distribution of <i>Themeda triandra</i> in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of the	-1
Cannington mine (X) is indicated.	
Figure 2-17. Triodia molesta. Photo D.J. Edinger (ANBG, 2004)	. 52
Figure 2-18. The distribution of <i>Triodia molesta</i> in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of the	52
Cannington mine (X) is included	
Figure 2-20. The distribution of <i>Crotalaria novae-hollandiae</i> ssp. novae-hollandiae in Australia (ANBG,	. 54
2004). The position of the Cannington mine (X) is included	54
Figure 2-21. Cyperus victoriensis. Photo J.S. Smith (ANBG, 2004).	
Figure 2-22. The distribution of <i>Cyperus victoriensis</i> in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of the	.50
Cannington mine (X) is included	. 56
Figure 2-23. Gomphrena canescens. Photo G.F. Craig and J. Thomas (ANBG, 2004)	
Figure 2-24. The distribution of Gomphrena canescens in Australia (ANBG, 2004). The position of the	
Cannington mine (X) is indicated.	. 58
Figure 3-1. Average (N=4) biomass production (g plant¹) of selected subtropical pasture plant	
species cultivated on a range of soil contaminated with mine tailings (ST mixtures).	
ANZECC Investigation Limits for Pb (1500 µg g-1) and As (500 µg g-1) contaminated	
industrial sites (ANZECC, 1999), and the total concentration of Zn deemed to be	
potentially phytotoxic (>650 μg g ⁻¹) to the plants are indicated	. 83
Figure 3-2. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Ag (µg g¹) in selected subtropical pasture plant	
species cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings (ST mixtures)	. 85

Figure 3-3. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of As (µg g ⁻¹) in selected subtropical pasture plants	
cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings (ST mixtures). ANZECC Investigation	
Limit for As contaminated industrial soil (500 µg g ⁻¹) is included (ANZECC, 1999)	87
Figure 3-4. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Cd (µg g¹) in selected subtropical pasture plant	
species cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings (ST mixtures)	88
Figure 3-5. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Pb (µg g ⁻¹) in selected subtropical pasture plant	
species cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings (ST mixtures). ANZECC	
Investigation Limit for Pb contaminated industrial soil (1500 µg g ⁻¹) is included	
(ANZECC, 1999)	89
Figure 3-6. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Zn (µg g¹) in selected subtropical pasture plant	
species cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings (ST mixtures). The total Zn	
concentration deemed to be potentially phytotoxic (>650 µg g ⁻¹) is also shown	90
Figure 3-7. Average (N=4) biomass production (g plant ⁻¹) of Cymbopogon ambiguus cultivated on a	
range of soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and 20	
wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures). The ANZECC Investigation Limits for Pb (1500 μg	
g ⁻¹) and As (500 μg g ⁻¹) contaminated industrial sites and the Zn concentration deemed to	
be potentially phytotoxic to the plants (>650 μg g ⁻¹) are included (ANZECC, 1999)	91
Figure 3-8. Average (N=4) biomass production (g plant-1) of <i>Cymbopogon bombycinus</i> cultivated on a	
range of soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and 20	
wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures). The ANZECC Investigation Limits for Pb (1500 μg	
g¹) and As (500 μg g¹) contaminated industrial sites and the Zn concentration deemed to	
be potentially phytotoxic to the plants (>650 µg g ⁻¹) are included (ANZECC, 1999)	92
Figure 3-9. Average (N=4) biomass production (g plant-1) of <i>Crotalaria novae-hollandiae</i> cultivated on	
a range of soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and 20	
wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures). The ANZECC Investigation Limits for Pb (1500 µg	
g^{-1}) and As (500 μ g g^{-1}) contaminated industrial sites and the Zn concentration deemed to	
be potentially phytotoxic to the plants (>650 µg g ⁻¹) are included (ANZECC, 1999)	93
Figure 3-10. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Ag (µg g ⁻¹) in three pasture plant species	
cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and	
20 wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures)	95
Figure 3-11. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Zn (µg g¹) in three pasture plant species	
cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and	
20 wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures). The total Zn concentration deemed to be	
potentially phytotoxic to the plants (>650 µg g ⁻¹) is included	96
Figure 3-12. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of As (µg g ⁻¹) in three pasture plant species	
cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and	
20 wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures). The ANZECC Investigation Limit for As	
contaminated industrial sites (500 µg g ⁻¹) is included (ANZECC, 1999)	96
Figure 3-13. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Cd (µg g ⁻¹) in three pasture plant species	
cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and	
20 wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures)	97
Figure 3-14. Average (N=4) plant concentrations of Pb (µg g ⁻¹) in three pasture plant species	
cultivated on soil contaminated with mine tailings and amended with 0 wt%, 10 wt% and	
20 wt% limestone (LS) (STL mixtures). The ANZECC Investigation Limit for Pb	
contaminated industrial sites (1500 µg g ⁻¹) is included (ANZECC, 1999)	97
Figure 4-1. The growth of Rhodes grass (<i>Chloris gayana</i> Kunth cv. 'Pioneer') after three months of	
cultivation on a range of soil contaminated with mine tailings (5T = 5 wt% Cannington	
mine tailings + 95 wt% anthroposol soil)	109
Figure 4-2. Average (N=4) concentrations of Ag, As, Cd, Pb and Zn (µg g¹) and biomass	
production (g plant ⁻¹) for <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on uncontaminated soil, undiluted mine	
tailings and a range of soils contaminated with mine tailings (ST mixtures). The figure	
includes (a) ANZECC Investigation Limits for Pb (1500 µg g¹) and As (500 µg g¹)	
contaminated industrial sites (ANZECC, 1999), (b) total concentration of Zn deemed to	
be potentially to be phytotoxic (650 µg g ⁻¹) to the plants and (c) the pH of the various	
plant growth media.	112
Figure 5-1. Pot trial experiment of <i>Cyperus victoriensis</i> (foreground) and <i>Crotalaria novae-hollandiae</i>	
(background) cultivated in a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings after one	
application of the various phytoextractive chemical amendments	126
	_

Figure	5-2. Plant survival (i.e. >50% of plants) (weeks) for <i>Crotalaria novae-hollandiae</i> , <i>Cymbopogon</i>	
	bombycinus and Cyperus victoriensis grown on a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings	
	and amended with weekly application of the various chemical amendments (e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2.0 g EDTA per kg soil)	130
Figure	5-3. The maximum concentration (N=4) of Ag (µg g ⁻¹ DW) accumulated by plants grown	. 1 .)2
riguic	on a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings and amended with various chemical	
	reagents (e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2 g EDTA per kg soil)	134
Figure	5-4. The maximum concentration (N=4) of Cd (µg g ⁻¹ DW) accumulated by plants grown	.15
1 iguic	on a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings and amended with various chemical	
	reagents (e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2 g EDTA per kg soil). The total concentration of Cd in the	
	ST mixture is also shown (4.0 µg Cd g ⁻¹)	136
Figure	5-5. The maximum concentration (N=4) of Pb (µg g ⁻¹ DW) accumulated by plants grown	.150
1 iguic	on a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings and amended with various chemical	
	reagents (e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2 g EDTA per kg soil). The total concentration of Pb in the	
	ST mixture (1520 µg Pb g ⁻¹) and the ANZECC Investigation Limit for Pb contaminated	
	industrial and commercial sites (1500 µg Pb g ⁻¹) are included (ANZECC, 1999)	137
Figure	5-6. The maximum concentration (N=4) of Zn (μg g ⁻¹ DW) accumulated by plants grown	.15
1 iguic	on a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings and amended with various chemical	
	reagents (e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2 g EDTA per kg soil). The total concentration of Zn in the	
	ST mixture is also shown (582 µg Zn g ⁻¹)	130
Figure	5-7. The maximum concentration (N=4) of As (µg g ⁻¹ DW) accumulated by <i>Cymbopogon</i>	.137
1 iguic	bombycinus, Cyperus victoriensis and Crotalaria novae-hollandiae when grown on a soil	
	contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings and amended with various chemical	
	amendments (e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2 g EDTA per kg soil)	130
Figure	5-8. The maximum concentration (N=4) of Sb (μg g ⁻¹ DW) accumulated plants grown on a	. 1 . 7 /
1 iguic	soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings and amended with various chemical	
	reagents (e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2 g EDTA per kg soil)	.141
Figure	6-1. The growth of <i>Chloris gayana</i> after 42 weeks of cultivation on fertilised mine tailings,	
1 iguit	having received four applications of the chelates; EDTA and EDDS	165
Figure	6-2. The Ag concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	. 100
1 180110	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with EDTA, EDDS and DTPA	
	(e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2.0 g EDTA per kg contaminated soil; 0.5 EDTA = 0.5 g EDTA per	
	kg contaminated soil; etc).	.167
Figure	6-3. The As concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
0	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with EDTA, EDDS and DTPA	
	(e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2.0 g EDTA per kg contaminated soil; 0.5 EDTA = 0.5 g EDTA per	
	kg contaminated soil; etc).	.167
Figure	6-4. The Cd concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
0	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with EDTA, EDDS and DTPA	
	(e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2.0 g EDTA per kg contaminated soil; 0.5 EDTA = 0.5 g EDTA per	
	kg contaminated soil; etc).	.168
Figure	6-5. The Pb concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
O	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with EDTA, EDDS and DTPA	
	(e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2.0 g EDTA per kg contaminated soil; 0.5 EDTA = 0.5 g EDTA per	
	kg contaminated soil; etc)	.168
Figure	6-6. The Sb concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
Ü	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with EDTA, EDDS and DTPA	
	(e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2.0 g EDTA per kg contaminated soil; 0.5 EDTA = 0.5 g EDTA per	
	kg contaminated soil; etc)	.169
Figure	6-7. The Zn concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
Ü	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with EDTA, EDDS and DTPA	
	(e.g. 2.0 EDTA = 2.0 g EDTA per kg contaminated soil; 0.5 EDTA = 0.5 g EDTA per	
	kg contaminated soil; etc).	.169
Figure	6-8. The Ag concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in Chloris gayana grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
~	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with ammonium thiosulphate	
	(TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 2.0 TSP = 2.0 g TSP per	
	kg contaminated soil; 0.5 TSP = 0.5 g TSP per kg of soil; etc)	.170
Figure	6-9. The As concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in Chloris gayana grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
	wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with ammonium thiosulphate	

(TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 2.0 TSP = 2.0 g TSP per	
kg contaminated soil; 0.5 TSP = 0.5 g TSP per kg of soil; etc)	171
Figure 6-10. The Cd concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with ammonium thiosulphate	
(TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 2.0 TSP = 2.0 g TSP per	
kg contaminated soil; 0.5 TSP = 0.5 g TSP per kg of soil; etc).	171
Figure 6-11. The Pb concentrations (µg g-1) in Chloris gayana grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with ammonium thiosulphate	
(TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 2.0 TSP = 2.0 g TSP per	
kg contaminated soil; 0.5 TSP = 0.5 g TSP per kg of soil; etc).	172
Figure 6-12. The Sb concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with ammonium thiosulphate	
(TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 2.0 TSP = 2.0 g TSP per	
kg contaminated soil; 0.5 TSP = 0.5 g TSP per kg of soil; etc).	172
Figure 6-13. The Zn concentrations (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on soil contaminated with 12.5	
wt% mine tailings having received weekly amendment with ammonium thiosulphate	
(TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 2.0 TSP = 2.0 g TSP per	
kg of soil; etc).	173
Figure 6-14. The Pb concentration (µg g ⁻¹) of <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on fertilised mine tailings over 42	
weeks and treated with chemical amendments (e.g. OSM-EDTA = mine tailings amended	
with 300 kg Osmocote fertiliser ha-1 in addition to receiving periodic amendments of 2.0	
g EDTA kg ⁻¹ of fertilised mine tailings; TPP-EDTA = mine tailings amended with 300 kg	
Osmocote fertiliser ha ⁻¹ + 300 kg Triphosphate fertiliser ha ⁻¹ in addition to receiving	
periodic amendments of 2.0 g EDTA kg ⁻¹ fertilised mine tailings)	174
Figure 6-15. The Zn concentration (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on fertilised mine tailings over 42	
weeks and treated with chemical amendments (e.g. OSM-EDTA = mine tailings amended	
with 300 kg Osmocote fertiliser ha ⁻¹ in addition to receiving periodic amendments of 2.0	
g EDTA kg ⁻¹ fertilised mine tailings; TPP-EDTA = mine tailings amended with 300 kg	
Osmocote fertiliser ha ⁻¹ + 300 kg of Triphosphate fertiliser ha ⁻¹ in addition to receiving	
periodic amendments of 2.0 g EDTA kg-1 fertilised mine tailings)	176
Figure 6-16. The Ag concentration (µg g ⁻¹) in <i>Chloris gayana</i> grown on fertilised mine tailings over 42	
weeks and treated with chemical amendments (e.g. OSM-EDTA = mine tailings amended	
with 300 kg Osmocote fertiliser ha-1 in addition to receiving periodic amendments of 2.0	
g EDTA kg ⁻¹ fertilised mine tailings; TPP-EDTA = mine tailings amended with 300 kg of	
Osmocote fertiliser ha-1 + 300 kg Triphosphate fertiliser ha-1 in addition to receiving	
periodic amendments of 2.0 g EDTA kg-1 fertilised mine tailings)	177
Figure 7-1. Vertical cross sections of the leach columns containing Cannington mine tailings after	
12 weekly treatments with 0.1 M and 1 M EDTA, TSP and SCN, and 1 M THIO. 1 M	
EDTA was applied once only and treatment with 0.05% NaCN occurred over 8 weeks	196
Figure 7-2. Silver (µg g¹) dissolution from Cannington mine tailings using ammonium thiosulphate	
(TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 1 M TSP = 1 M TSP kg	
¹ mine tailings, 0.1 M TSP = 0.1 M TSP kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, etc.).	
Figure 7-3. Cumulative Ag recovery from Cannington mine tailings leached with ammonium	
thiosulphate (TSP), ammonium thiocyanate (SCN) and thiourea (THIO) (e.g. 1 M TSP =	
1 M TSP kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, 0.1 M TSP = 0.1 M TSP kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, etc.)	200
Figure 7-4. Silver (µg g¹) dissolution from Cannington mine tailings using EDTA and sodium	
cyanide solutions (e.g. 1 M EDTA = 1 M EDTA kg-1 mine tailings, 0.1 M EDTA = 0.1	
M EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, etc.)	200
Figure 7-5. Cumulative Ag recovery from Cannington mine tailings leached with EDTA and	
sodium cyanide solutions (e.g. 1 M EDTA = 1 M EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, 0.1 M EDTA	
= 0.1 M EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, etc.).	201
Figure 7-6. Lead (µg g¹) dissolution from Cannington mine tailings using the various chemical	
treatments (e.g. 1 M EDTA = 1 M EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, 0.1 M EDTA = 0.1 M	
EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, etc.)	202
Figure 7-7. Cumulative Pb recovery from Cannington mine tailings leached with various chemical	
reagents (e.g. 1 M EDTA = 1 M EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, 0.1 M EDTA = 0.1 M EDTA	
kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, etc.).	203

Figure 7-8. Zinc (µg g¹) dissolution from Cannington mine tailings using the various chemical	
treatments (e.g. 1 M EDTA = 1 M EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, 0.1 M EDTA = 0.1 M	
EDTA kg-1 mine tailings, etc.)	.204
Figure 7-9. Cumulative Zn recovery from Cannington mine tailings leached with various chemical	
reagents (e.g. 1 M EDTA = 1 M EDTA kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, 0.1 M EDTA = 0.1 M EDTA	
kg ⁻¹ mine tailings, etc.)	.205

List of Tables

Number Pag
Table 1.1. The relative abundance (µg g ⁻¹) of a selection of trace elements in soil (Alloway, 1995; ANZECC, 1999)
Table 1.2. A breakdown and description of the techniques collectively termed phytotechnology (USEPA, 2000a)
Table 1.3. The taxonomy of plant species selected for this study (ANBG, 2004)
Table 2.1. Subdivision of the Cannington orebody into economic zones based primarily upon the abundance of sulphide minerals (Walters, 1998).
Table 2.2. The chemical composition of the fertiliser amendments used in the study (Osmocote Plus, Scotts Australia Pty Ltd; Triphosphate – Incitec Ltd)
Table 2.3. The chemical reagents and their concentrations (in grams of reagent per kg of soil and as molar concentrations) applied to the 12.5 wt% ST mixture (ST) and the fertilised tailings substrates (OSM = 300 kg¹ ha¹ Osmocote, TPP = 300 kg¹ ha¹ Osmocote + 300 kg¹ ha¹ Triphosphate)
Table 2.4. The chemical reagents and their concentrations applied as weekly amendments (200 mL) used in the leaching study. The mass of applied reagent (g kg ⁻¹ wk ⁻¹) is included
Table 2.5. Analytical methods used to determine the elemental concentrations of various samples resulting from this study.
Table 2.6. Statistical analysis of the replicate sampling performed on the substrate components and the geochemical reference material used in this investigation.
Table 3.1. Total element concentrations (µg g¹) and pH of the plant growth medium used in this study (e.g., S5T10L = Soil amended with 5 wt% Tailings and 10 wt% Limestone). ANZECC Investigation Limits for contaminated industrial and commercial sites (ANZECC, 1999) are also listed
Table 4.1. The distribution of sequentially extracted elemental fractions (% of total conc.) in the 25 wt% ST mixture (Appendix D)
Table 4.2. Water-soluble (dH ₂ O) and ammonium-acetate-extractable (1 M NH ₄ OAc) Ag, As, Cd, Pb and Zn (μg g¹) concentrations that were used to estimate total and proportional plant availability of metals and arsenic in the 25 wt% ST mixture
Table 5.1. Total metal and metalloid concentrations of the soil, mine tailings and a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings (µg g ⁻¹). Below are the proportions (% of the total element concentration – TEC) of metals and metalloids extracted from the contaminated soil using deionised water (dH ₂ O), 0.01M EDTA, 0.005M DTPA and 0.01M EDDS
Table 5.2. The proportions of metals and metalloids (% of total concentration - TEC) that were sequentially extracted from a soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings (Appendix E)
Table 6.1. Total (µg g ⁻¹) and proportional (% TEC) metal and metalloid concentrations in solvent extractions of the soil contaminated with 12.5 wt% mine tailings. Total metal and metalloid concentrations are also shown
Table 6.2. Chemical properties (pH, EC) and the total (μg g ⁻¹) and proportional (% of total metal concentration) Pb and Zn extractability for the unfertilised and fertilised (OSM = 300 kg ha ⁻¹ equiv. Osmocote, TPP = OSM + 300 kg ha ⁻¹ equiv. Triphosphate) mine tailings (11950 μg Pb g ⁻¹ , 4150 μg Zn g ⁻¹ and 60 μg Ag g ⁻¹). Silver concentrations in extract solutions were below the detection limit (0.005 μg g ⁻¹) and are not reported
Table 7.1. The proportion of Ag, Pb and Zn (% of total metal concentration) leached from the Cannington mine tailings by the various chemical reagents (EDTA, TSP, SCN, THIO, and NaCN). Total metal concentrations (µg g¹) of the mine tailings are included
Table 7.2. Mass of metal removed from Cannington mine tailings after three months of weekly

Table 7.3. The estimated quantity (kg t ⁻¹) and cost (US\$ t ⁻¹ ; reagent cost of US\$5 t ⁻¹) of the leaching	
reagents used to extract Ag, Pb, and Zn from the mine tailings, included are estimates of	
metal revenue (US\$ t1) and process value (US\$ t1)210	

List of Abbreviations

AAC: Advanced Analytical Centre

AAS: Atomic Adsorption Spectrometry

ALS: Australian Laboratory Services

ANZECC: Australian and New Zealand Environmental Conservation

Council

CAP: Chemically-assisted phytoextraction

CDTA: 1,2-Cyclohexanediaminetetraacetic Acid

CEC: Cation exchange capacity

dH₂O: deionised water

DTPA: Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic Acid

DW: Dry weight

E: Dilution factor

EC: Electrical conductivity

EDDS: Ethylenediaminedissuccinatic Acid

EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid

EGTA: Ethylene Glycol Bis(2-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic Acid

EMOS: Environmental Management Overview System

GFAAS: Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry

GXR-3: Geochemical reference material

HEDTA: N-Hydroxyethylenediamine-N,N',N'-tetracetic Acid

HOAc.: Acetic acid

HNO₃: Nitric acid

ICP MS: Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

JCU: James Cook University

LS: Limestone

M: million

NaCN: Sodium cyanide

NH₄HCl: Ammonium hypochlorite

NH₄OAc: Ammonium acetate

NH₄NO₃: Ammonium nitrate

OSM: Osmocote fertiliser

oz: Ounce

P: plant concentration (μg g⁻¹)

PGE: Platinum group element

PRF: Process Residue Facility

SCN: Ammonium thiocyanate

ST: Soil-Tailings mixture

STL: Soil-Tailings-Limestone mixture

TEC: Total element concentration

THIO: Thiourea

TPA: Tonnes per annum

TPP: Triphosphate fertiliser

TSP: Ammonium thiosulphate

USEPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

XRD: X-ray diffraction