

**FUNDAMENTALISM AND STRUCTURAL  
DEVELOPMENT: A CONCEPTUAL SYNTHESIS AND  
DISCUSSION OF IMPLICATIONS FOR RELIGIOUS  
EDUCATION**

**Thesis submitted by  
Raoul J. ADAM BEd (Hons) *QUT*  
in September 2003**

**For the degree of Master of Education (Hons)  
in the School of Education  
James Cook University**

## STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this work.

Signature .....

Date .....

## STATEMENT OF SOURCES – ELECTRONIC COPY

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Signature .....

Date .....

# STATEMENT OF SOURCES

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Signature .....

Date .....

## ABSTRACT

This thesis examines religious fundamentalism in light of structural-developmental theory. Reciprocally, it provides a critique of the structural-developmental approach in light of its application to religious fundamentalism. The product is a conceptual synthesis between observed fundamentalist characteristics and the evolving tradition of developmentalism. The findings of this conceptual synthesis are used to generate principles for developmentally sensitive religious education. The thesis focusses on Protestant Christian Fundamentalism while utilising illustrative examples from diverse faith traditions described in current literature as *fundamentalist*.

This conceptual synthesis adopts a historical-chronological approach to developmentalism in order to reflect the historical context of the current debate between the associated discourses of both paradigms. This historical-chronological approach to developmentalism enables a more explicit critical analysis of the implicit assumptions of the modern discourse. The conceptual synthesis culminates with an interpretation of religious fundamentalism in light of James Fowler's Seven Aspects of Faith.

The thesis provides a conceptual synthesis between fundamentalism and structural development. It does not purport to offer a definitive empirical testing of this theory at this stage. It uses existing empirical evidence of development to analyse descriptions of fundamentalism in current literature.

The methodology used to mediate the reciprocal analysis of fundamentalism and structural-development is based on Fairclough's Critical Discourse Analysis (1989). This methodological approach offers tools and terminology for the examination of fundamentalism and developmentalism as discourses while offering a structuralist reference for value judgments implicit in the analysis and discussion of implications for religious education.

Findings revealed general congruency between early developmental structures and elements of fundamentalism. The contents and dynamics of fundamentalism resist the development of later stage structures. Hence, ontogenetic development is affected by the phylogenetic structure of the fundamentalist discourse; cultures can reflect stage-specific structuring tendencies and therefore affect individual development. Stage crises and transitions provide a powerful interpretive model for the dynamics of interaction between fundamentalism and other discourses. The analysis revealed the potential for a form of recapitulated fundamentalism prompted by dissonance between convergent epistemologies and emerging divergent epistemologies of later development. This suggests that purely content-based assessments of fundamentalism may not account for its developmental range.

The recapitulation of fundamentalism and its appearance in phylogenetic forms challenges traditional developmental assumptions concerning stage progression. It provides a rationale for further investigation of the structuring powers of contents within the developmental discourse. This investigation necessitates the integration of concepts including compartmentalisation, cognitive dissonance and consonance into the structural developmental model.

The thesis offers a theoretical defense of facilitated development beyond fundamentalism as a structural developmental representation. It proposes broad principles for the facilitation of such development in the context of schooled religious education. These principles of a developmentally sensitive religious education are *holistic, dynamic, progressive, experiential, interpersonal, intrapersonal, meta-contextual, objectified and critically reflective*.

#### **Key Words**

Faith, Belief, Worldview, Discourse, Education, Christianity, Fundamentalism, Liberalism, Structural Developmental Psychology, Stages of Faith.

#### **Key Authors**

*Kant, Piaget, Kohlberg, Erikson, Oser, Gmünder, Fowler, Geisler, Schaeffer, LaHaye, McDowell, Rushdoony, Newbigin, Spong, Tillich, Marsden, Barr, Marty and Appleby, Armstrong, Winell, Vogt, Babinski, Strozier, Cohen, Shinn.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|       |   |     |
|-------|---|-----|
|       | STATEMENT OF ACCESS.....  | ii  |
|       | STATEMENT OF SOURCES – ELECTRONIC COPY.....   | iii |
|       | STATEMENT OF SOURCES DECLARATION.....   | iv  |
|       | ABSTRACT.....   | v   |
| 1     | INTRODUCTION  |     |
| 1.1   | Rationale.....  | 1   |
| 1.2   | General Objectives.....   | 10  |
| 1.3   | Thesis Outline.....   | 13  |
| 1.4   | Methodological Approach.....  | 16  |
| 1.5   | Position Statement.....   | 18  |
| 2     | CONCEPTUAL SYNTHESIS  |     |
| 2.1   | <i>Structural Development: A Critique of an Evolving Discourse<br/>in Light of Fundamentalist Epistemology.</i> |     |
| 2.1.1 | Structural Development: Epistemological<br>Foundations and Immanuel Kant.....                                   | 26  |
| 2.1.2 | Stage Theories: Freud and Piaget.....   | 35  |
| 2.1.3 | Moral Development: Kohlberg.....  | 52  |
| 2.1.4 | Psychosocial Development: Erikson.....  | 59  |
| 2.1.5 | Religious Development: Oser & Gmünder.....  | 62  |
| 2.1.6 | Faith Development: Fowler.....  | 81  |
| 2.1.7 | Conclusion.....   | 107 |
| 2.2   | <i>Approaches to Fundamentalism: The Value<br/>and Application of a Structuralist Approach.</i>                 |     |
| 2.2.1 | Defining Fundamentalism.....  | 108 |
| 2.2.2 | Apologetic Approaches to Fundamentalism.....  | 113 |
| 2.2.3 | Ex-Apologetic and Liberal Approaches to<br>Fundamentalism.....  | 138 |

|        |  |     |
|--------|--|-----|
| 2.2.4  | Protestant Socio-Historical Approaches to<br>Fundamentalism.....   | 157 |
| 2.2.5  | Multi-Religious Approaches to Fundamentalism.....                  | 161 |
| 2.2.6  | Epistemological Approaches to Fundamentalism.....                  | 164 |
| 2.2.7  | Hermeneutic Approaches to Fundamentalism.....                      | 167 |
| 2.2.8  | Psychological Approaches to Fundamentalism.....                    | 169 |
| 2.2.9  | Developmental Approaches to Fundamentalism.....                    | 182 |
| 2.2.10 | Conclusion.....  | 184 |
| 2.3    | <i>Fowler's Aspects of Faith and Fundamentalism</i>                |     |
| 2.3.1  | Introduction.....  | 185 |
| 2.3.2  | Fundamentalism and Form of Logic.....                              | 187 |
| 2.3.3  | Fundamentalism and Locus of Authority.....                         | 199 |
| 2.3.4  | Fundamentalism and Social Awareness<br>and Perspective Taking..... | 203 |
| 2.3.5  | Fundamentalism and World Coherence.....                            | 208 |
| 2.3.6  | Fundamentalism and Symbolic Function.....                          | 212 |
| 2.3.7  | Fundamentalism and Moral Judgment.....                             | 221 |
| 2.3.8  | Fundamentalism as Arrested Development.....                        | 225 |
| 2.3.9  | Conclusion.....  | 231 |
| 3      | DISCUSSION   |     |
| 3.1    | Religious Education and Developmental Sensitivity....              | 232 |
| 4.     | CONCLUSION   |     |
| 4.1    | Summary of Findings.....   | 245 |
| 4.2    | Further Research.....  | 248 |
| 4.3    | Conclusion.....  | 250 |
|        | REFERENCES.....  | 252 |