

# ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

**Abesamis, Rene A. (2005) *Patterns and mechanisms of spillover from marine reserves*. Masters (Research) thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

**<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/1286/>**

*If you believe that this work constitutes a copyright infringement, please contact [ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au](mailto:ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au) and quote <http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/1286/>*

# **Patterns and mechanisms of spillover from marine reserves**

Thesis submitted by  
**Rene A. Abesamis (BSc)**  
in February 2005

for the degree of Master of Science  
in Tropical Marine Ecology and Fisheries Biology  
within the School of Marine Biology and Aquaculture  
James Cook University

## **ELECTRONIC COPY**

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

---

Signature

---

Date

### Statement of Access

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

-----  
Rene A. Abesamis

## Abstract

The use of no-take marine reserves (areas closed to fishing) as fishery management tools has been advocated considerably in the past decade. No-take reserves are now often established with an objective of enhancing fisheries. However, the fishery effects of no-take reserves remain controversial. Spillover, or net export of adult biomass, is one potential process of fisheries enhancement by reserves. Few studies have shown convincingly that reserves can develop spillover that benefits local fisheries. The objective of this thesis was to investigate the predicted patterns and proposed mechanisms of spillover from no-take reserves. The no-take reserves studied were on coral reefs in the Philippines that have been well protected for 15 to 20 years.

In the first study, I tested the prediction that gradients of decreasing abundance of target species, from high inside the reserve to low outside, would be present across the boundaries of no-take reserves. Spillover is predicted to produce such patterns. Underwater visual census of fishes was carried out along large transects (each one approximately 1 km long) that crossed the lateral boundaries of each of two no-take reserves and two controls (fished sites) at each of two islands. Patterns of decreasing abundance (density and biomass) of target fishes were found only across one boundary of one no-take reserve, the reserve at Apo Island (Apo Reserve). The patterns of decreasing abundance were limited to within 50-200 m of the boundary. Rates of decrease in abundance across the boundary were two to three times greater for sedentary fishes than for vagile fish. This finding is consistent with the expectation that the spatial extent of spillover would vary according to mobility of fishes. The patterns of decreasing abundance of target fishes were probably not due to gradients in habitat. Furthermore, no pattern of decreasing abundance across the boundary was found for fishes not targeted by fisheries.

In the second study, I investigated the potential mechanisms inducing movement of a targeted planktivorous reef fish, *Naso vlamingii*, from Apo Reserve to adjacent fished areas. Repeated underwater visual census at reserve and fished sites suggested that some adults of *N. vlamingii* could emigrate temporarily from Apo Reserve within the short-term (days). Data from visual census and experimental hook and line fishing suggested that short-term emigration of *N. vlamingii* is related to movement to feeding areas 150-200 m away from reserve boundaries. However, some larger (41-45 cm TL) adults probably tend to stay inside the reserve. Experimental hook and line fishing

adjacent to the reserve also showed that the mean size of *N. vlamingii* captured decreased as one moved up to 300 m away from the boundaries of Apo Reserve. This pattern may have resulted from density-dependent home range relocation of smaller adult *N. vlamingii* over the long term. Competitive interactions between adult *N. vlamingii* were up to twice as frequent, on a per fish basis, inside the reserve than outside. When interacting adults differed in sizes, the larger adult was always observed to chase away the smaller one. The sizes of adults that were chased away (25-35 cm TL) were similar to the sizes of those caught by experimental fishing (26-38 cm TL).

In the third study, I attempted to quantify the contribution of spillover to the yield of the local fishery at Apo Island. Daily fishing effort and yield (biomass and value) of local fishers in relation to Apo Reserve were studied for eight months (July 2003 to February 2004) over two monsoonal seasons. Average catch rates and income rates of fishers were found to be highest near the reserve (within 300 m of reserve boundaries). Catch rates near the reserve were higher than in other areas far from the reserve by a factor of 1.1 to 2.0, depending on the fishing gear. Moreover, the highest average monthly catch rates were always found near the reserve. These findings are consistent with occasional very large catches near the reserve due to spillover. However, fishing effort was often lowest near Apo Reserve. Furthermore, local fishers seemed to have avoided fishing very close to the reserve (within 100 m of reserve boundaries). Most fishing (79% of overall fishing effort) occurred far from the reserve, on the northern fishing grounds of Apo Island. Yields from spillover probably contributed less than 10% of the total yield of the local fishery. Fishing effort near Apo Reserve appears to be limited by 1) weather conditions caused by the monsoons, 2) the traditional importance of the northern fishing grounds, 3) high variability of catch rates and income rates, 4) lower value of target species found near the reserve, and 5) social pressures within the local community.

The results of this thesis provide consistent, yet equivocal, evidence for spillover from Apo Reserve. This study could not provide information on patterns of abundance (density, biomass, mean size or catch rate) and behaviour (movement and aggressive interactions) of target fishes, nor patterns of fishing effort of fishers, before the reserve was established. Nonetheless, research over the last two decades suggests that spillover of target fishes from Apo Reserve has developed over time. Spillover may have produced some of the patterns found in the present study. The main conclusion from

this thesis is that Apo Reserve has probably developed spillover, but has provided very limited direct benefits to the local fishery.

## Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the excellent supervision of Garry R. Russ. I am also grateful to Angel C. Alcala for valuable advice and inspiration. Russ and Alcala's laudable work on Philippine marine reserves over more than two decades provided the foundation for this thesis. Figures 3.6 and 3.7 are based on Garry's data from Apo Island collected starting in 1983. I was then only seven years old.

I thank the Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID) for giving me the opportunity to pursue my degree at JCU. Fieldwork for this thesis was funded by a Pew Fellowship in Marine Conservation and an Australian Research Council Grant to G. Russ and A. Alcala. Travel to the Philippines was funded in part by AusAID.

I am tremendously grateful to Analie Candido, Fernando Castrence Jr., Roben Alaton, Gerry Mendez, and Walter Pascobello for their camaraderie in the field and for invaluable assistance in diving, experimental fishing and/or interviewing fishers. Very special thanks to Francia Candido, Mary Aldeon, Olympia Alaton and the fishers at Apo Island for their participation in fisheries monitoring. Thanks to Aileen Maypa and Dr. Hilconida Calumpong for helpful advice on setting up fisheries monitoring at Apo Island. Thanks to SU-Manila and SUAKCREM staff, especially Gianani Gloria, for facilitating communications between Australia and the Philippines. Liberty Rhodes and Gina Stuhldreher are acknowledged for logistical assistance in diving at Apo and Balicasag Islands. I am very grateful to Barangay Chairman Mario Pascobello and The Apo Island Protected Area Management Board for permitting research at Apo Island.

To my family, thank you for supporting and believing in me. To Even Moland, Alf Pettersen, Rich Evans, Philippa Mantel, Chadd & Cheryl Chustz, Andy Bauman, Shoichi Maruyama, Stefan Walker, Vanessa Messmer, Jos Mieog, Abbi McDonald, Mara Mackle, Justine Walker, Stephanie Schneider, Garry and family, and so many other friends at JCU, thank you for enriching my experience in Australia. To Ran Lim, Ari & Dani Bautista, thanks for the memorable seven months in Dumaguete. And to Nadia, thank you for your unfailing encouragement and love.





## Table of Contents

<b>Statement of Access</b> .....	ii
<b>Abstract</b> .....	iii
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	vi
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	vii
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	ix
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	xii
<b>Statement on Sources</b> .....	xiv
<b>Chapter 1. General Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>Chapter 2. Do gradients of abundance exist across marine reserve boundaries?</b> .....	8
2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 Materials and Methods.....	12
2.3 Results.....	18
2.4 Discussion.....	26
<b>Chapter 3. Mechanisms inducing movement of adult fish from a marine reserve</b> .....	31
3.1 Introduction.....	33
3.2 Materials and Methods.....	37
3.2.1 Study site.....	37
3.2.2 Visual census in the reserve and fished areas adjacent to the reserve.....	37
3.2.3 Experimental fishing adjacent to the reserve.....	38
3.2.4 Observation of movement and aggressive interactions....	39
3.3 Results.....	40
3.3.1 Changes in density and size distribution in the reserve and adjacent fished areas in the short-term.....	40

3.3.2	Catch rates and size distribution of individuals caught outside the reserve.....	43
3.3.3	Movement and behavioural interactions among different size classes.....	45
3.4	Discussion.....	49
<b>Chapter 4.</b>	<b>How much does the fishery at Apo Island benefit from spillover?.....</b>	<b>56</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	57
4.2	Materials and Methods.....	60
4.2.1	Study site.....	60
4.2.2	Data collection.....	62
4.2.3	Data analysis.....	64
4.3	Results.....	66
4.3.1	Seasonal patterns of fishing effort and catch composition among fishing grounds.....	66
4.3.2	Relative contribution of fishing gears and fishing grounds to overall fishing effort, yield and income.....	70
4.3.3	Spatial patterns in CPUE and IPUE among fishing grounds.....	71
4.3.4	Spatial patterns of high-value catches among fishing grounds.....	74
4.3.5	Spatial patterns of CPUE near reserve boundaries.....	76
4.4	Discussion.....	78
<b>Chapter 5.</b>	<b>General Discussion.....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>References.....</b>		<b>90</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Publication plan.....</b>		<b>101</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Pictures.....</b>		<b>102</b>

## List of Figures

- Figure 2.1:** Gradients of decreasing fish abundance across boundaries of no-take reserves..... 10
- Figure 2.2:** Maps of Apo and Balicasag islands showing the no-take reserve and control area (open to fishing) at each island..... 13
- Figure 2.3:** Mean density of targeted fish across the northern and southern boundaries of no-take reserves and fished controls at Apo and Balicasag islands..... 19
- Figure 2.4:** Mean density of non-targeted fish across the northern and southern boundaries of no-take reserves and fished controls at Apo and Balicasag islands..... 20
- Figure 2.5:** The spatial distribution of mean density and mean biomass of three different mobility categories of targeted fish across the northern boundary of Apo Reserve..... 23
- Figure 2.6:** The spatial distribution of mean density and mean biomass of sedentary and vagile targeted fish across the northern boundary of Apo Reserve. Density and biomass at each transect are expressed as percent change in mean density or biomass from the transect nearest the reserve centre..... 24
- Figure 3.1:** Map of Apo Island showing the no-take reserve and sites for underwater visual census, experimental fishing and behavioural studies of *Naso vlamingii*..... 36
- Figure 3.2:** The mean density of *Naso vlamingii* across Apo Reserve and the two adjacent fished areas outside the reserve estimated from underwater visual census..... 42

- Figure 3.3:** Results of experimental hook and line fishing for *Naso vlamingii* outside Apo Reserve at three distances from the reserve boundaries.....44
- Figure 3.4:** Results of observations of the behaviour of *Naso vlamingii* in Apo Reserve and the fished area north of the reserve.....46
- Figure 3.5:** Maximum lateral movement versus size and observation time for individuals of *Naso vlamingii* observed in Apo Reserve and the fished area adjacent to the northern boundary of the reserve.....48
- Figure 3.6:** a. Mean density of *Naso vlamingii* in the no-take reserve and a fished non-reserve site at Apo Island from 1983 to 2003.  
b. Density of *N. vlamingii* at the non-reserve site at different distances from the reserve boundary from 1983-87 to 1998-2003 (*unpublished data* from G. Russ)..... 50
- Figure 3.7:** Size frequency distribution of *Naso vlamingii* at Apo Island in the no-take reserve and in a fished non-reserve site from 1983-87 to 1998-2003 (*unpublished data* from G. Russ).....52
- Figure 4.1:** Apo Island, central Philippines, showing the no-take reserve and fishing grounds around the island.....61
- Figure 4.2:** Seasonal trends in fishing effort and composition of yield for hook and line, gill net, and spear gun, at the three groups of fishing grounds at Apo Island..... 67

- Figure 4.3:** Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) and income per unit effort (IPUE) for each of hook and line, gill net and spear gun at the three groups of fishing grounds at Apo Island..... 72
- Figure 4.4:** Monthly mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) and income per unit effort (IPUE) for hook and line, gill net and spear gun, at the three groups of fishing grounds at Apo Island..... 73
- Figure 4.5.** Maximum monthly mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) and income per unit effort (IPUE) for each of hook and line, gill net and spear gun at the three groups of fishing grounds at Apo Island..... 75
- Figure 4.6.** Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) for hook and line, gill net and spear gun at three distances from the boundaries of Apo Reserve and at two areas far from reserve boundaries.....77

## List of Tables

<b>Table 2.1:</b>	Targeted and non-targeted fish species recorded in the underwater visual censuses.....	15-16
<b>Table 2.2:</b>	Regression analyses of habitat variates across each boundary of reserves and controls at Apo and Balicasag islands.....	21
<b>Table 2.3:</b>	Results of stepwise multiple regressions of density and biomass of fish versus habitat variates and protection status across reserve and control transects.....	22
<b>Table 2.4:</b>	Results of stepwise multiple regressions of density and biomass of different mobility guilds of target fish versus habitat variates and protection status across reserve and control transects at Apo Island.....	25
<b>Table 3.1:</b>	Changes in the size frequency distribution of <i>Naso vlamingii</i> in Apo Reserve and the fished areas adjacent to the reserve from the first survey (November 22-23, 2002) to the second survey (November 25-29, 2002) using visual census.....	43
<b>Table 4.1:</b>	Summary of recorded fishing effort, yield and income of the fishery at Apo Island from 22 July, 2003 to 29 February, 2004.....	69
<b>Table 4.2:</b>	Composition of total recorded yield of the fishery at Apo Island from 22 July, 2003 to 29 February, 2004.....	71

<b>Table 4.3:</b>	Range of monthly mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) and income per unit effort (IPUE) for each of hook and line, gill net, and spear gun at the three groups of fishing grounds at Apo Island.....	74
<b>Table 4.4:</b>	Frequency and probability of capturing or landing a high yield of high-value species within each species group for each of the three groups of fishing grounds at Apo Island.....	76
<b>Table 4.5:</b>	Frequency of fishing trips for each of the three distances from the boundaries of Apo Reserve, for each of hook and line, gill net, and spear gun.....	78

**Statement on Sources****Declaration**

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

-----  
Rene A. Abesamis