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Using Research in Healthcare Practice recognises that the work of healthcare professionals needs to be based on the latest available evidence for practice with reference to patient preferences. This book takes a fresh approach to teaching students about research that includes structured learning objectives, activities and critical thinking questions. Instead of focusing on the reader as a potential researcher, the authors have focused on preparing healthcare professionals to be informed consumers of research findings.

The book is intended for all undergraduate students undertaking courses in the healthcare professions.

Key features
- Provides undergraduate students with a practical guide to evidence-based practice.
- Focuses on the use of research evidence in practice, including strategies for:
  - formulating a searchable clinical question
  - searching for evidence using electronic databases and following up with manual searches
  - critically appraising research reports, literature reviews and summaries of evidence
  - developing techniques for the application of evidence to practice.
- Examples of research from Australian, New Zealand and international sources are used to illustrate key points.