

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Chong, Caroline (2008) *A riparian perspective on species ecology and evolution: Melaleuca leucadendra (Myrtaceae)*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/11463>



A riparian perspective on species ecology and evolution:
Melaleuca leucadendra (Myrtaceae)

Thesis submitted by

Caroline Chong, BSc(Hons) Adelaide

in May 2008

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the School of Marine and Tropical Biology

James Cook University

Statement of access

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

30 June, 2008

Signature

Date

Statement of sources

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

30 June, 2008

Signature

Date

Statement on the contribution of others

Supervision

My principal supervisor was Associate Professor Michelle Waycott and my co-supervisors were Dr Will Edwards and Professor Richard Pearson.

Funding and in-kind support

- Australian Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research, James Cook University (ACTFR)
- Land & Water Australia
- Cooperative Research Centre for Tropical Savannas Management
- School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University (JCU)

I received an Australian Postgraduate Award, a Land & Water Australia/ACTFR Riparian Research Postgraduate Scholarship, and a CRC for Tropical Savannas Postgraduate Scholarship (Top Up).

Co-authorship

One chapter of my thesis has been published, Chapter 3. My co-authors, Will Edwards and Michelle Waycott, made contributions to the development and overall interpretation of results, as well as substantial editorial suggestions, but the work is primarily my own.

Logistic and editorial assistance

Michelle Waycott, Will Edwards and Richard Pearson, my supervisors, provided editorial comments on all sections of this thesis.

Ainsley Calladine and Michelle Waycott assisted invaluablely in figure preparation and in the editorial preparation of this thesis.

Mirjam Maughan, John L. Dowe and ACTFR staff contributed assistance with GIS applications, figure preparation and field trip logistics.

Analysis facilities

MegaBACE genotyping was conducted at the Advanced Analytical Centre, Genetic Analysis Facility, JCU.

DNA sequences were conducted at the Advanced Analytical Centre, Genetic Analysis Facility, JCU and by Macrogen Inc., South Korea.

Bayesian phylogenetic data analyses were facilitated by resources at the High Performance Computing Unit, JCU and the Australian Partnership for Advanced Computing.

Acknowledgements

The production of this thesis was immensely challenging, and immensely enjoyable. I am deeply grateful for the support of the many individuals and organisations behind its evolution.

To my supervisors and supporters:

Michelle Waycott—for knowledge, patience, and extremal time and effort; for encouraging and understanding my exploration of new research concepts; for questioning me towards the broader perspectives; and especially for our shared enjoyment of research itself;

Richard Pearson—for constant support and deep perception, words of guidance and sage wit;

Will Edwards—source of inspiring insights and innovative thinking, and great encouragement.

I thank the Australian Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research, in particular John L. Dowe and George Lukacs, for introducing me to northern Australian riparian landscapes, facilitating the financial and logistical aspects of my research, and humouring my extended office lodgings.

I am very appreciative to the Cooperative Research Centre for Tropical Savannas Management and the School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, which also provided core financial support for my research and scope of learning.

For the opportunity to learn with you and from your insights, I especially thank:

John L. Dowe, for memorable Vivaldi-filled field trips in the Upper Burdekin river catchment;

Ainsley Calladine;

Michelle Waycott and Ainsley Calladine for understanding, supporting and very kindly lodging me during the ‘write-up’ phases—not least in Charlottesville, Virginia, as the leaves fell;

Keith F. Walker, thoughtful mentor from afar;

And to Tom Rayner, Zoe Baker, Jodi Rowley, Karin Kassahn, Allyson Lankester, Michelle Boyle, Lauryne Grant and Adrian McMahon for sharing the postgraduate experience, some discoveries, much life, and many laughs...

Thank you.

- caroline

Abstract

This thesis investigates structure and survivorship in vegetation that inhabits disturbance-driven riparian environments. The outcomes of this thesis contribute to developing a framework to test predictions of scale-dependent variation in genetic structure and functional traits in woody species in relation to patterns of disturbance. I use as a model species *Melaleuca leucadendra* (Myrtaceae)—the paperbark tree that inhabits river systems across northern Australia. These environments are sub-humid and seasonally arid, typical of dry southern hemisphere subtropical biomes, and are among the most hydrologically variable systems on a global scale. In these river systems, the flow regime features stochastic high-energy floods and seasonal drought. The genus *Melaleuca* is a major group in the family Myrtaceae and is dominant in riparian habitats. *Melaleuca* displays diverse phenotypes and unclear taxonomic boundaries. I aim to improve our understanding of how members of this genus persist and the influence of the environment on genetic processes within this lineage. This will contribute to a broader understanding of the interaction between plant ecological strategies and drivers of evolutionary change, with special relevance to questions related to species persistence in disturbance-driven ecosystems.

I demonstrated high capacity for stem resprouting in *Melaleuca leucadendra* throughout the seedling life stage and strong spatial aggregation of stems relating to clonal genotypic structure in the mature life stage. These results agree with the theory that resprouting, as a clonal growth mechanism, confers advantages for survival against physical disturbance. It also demonstrates that environmental pressures can influence above-ground spatial genetic structure of ramets through increased spatial aggregation of stems. In *M. leucadendra* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, two conspicuous species that occupy niches with elevated hydrological stress, the physiological capacity to resprout is independent of seed size and relative growth rate, is acquired very early in ontogeny and is maintained during seedling growth. Thus conventional explanations for resprouting as a resource-constrained trait do not account for this pattern. Resprouting more plausibly reflects a biological solution for generalised physiological tolerance across individual life spans. Spatial genetic analyses conducted on adult-stage *M. leucadendra* provided evidence of selection for resprouting. The probability of detecting clonal ramets was far greater at three mainstream locations than at a headwater location differentiated by hydrological energy. Clonality was detected in 42 genotypes (30% of mainstream samples) in the range 0.12–17 m, corresponding to clumps of stems within groves. In contrast, there was no evidence that clonal growth extends genetic structure beyond 20 m, or at any scale at the headwater location (all 90 genotypes unique).

Data from these studies support clonal growth as a principal mechanism defining survival and dominance in river environments subject to unpredictable and severe

fluvial disturbance. In addition, non-clonal dispersal via the river system appears to constrain population connectivity. No relationship was detected between spatial proximity and genetic relatedness among individuals within sampled populations (here 600 m channel lengths) whereas a pool of common genotypes was detected over distances greater than 100 km in the river system. In addition, mainstream and headwater locations are differentiated by an order of magnitude difference in disturbance as assessed using stream-power distributions and flood extreme event analysis. These stream types (mainstream and headwater) feature strong population structure and genetic differentiation mechanisms with low probabilities of allelic exchange. This suggests a process hierarchy whereby (i) resprouting in response to disturbance promotes individual survival (i.e. clonality); (ii) fluvial action, including floods and channel re-structuring, causes stochastic changes in demography and genetic connectivity; (iii) periods of genetic and fluvial connectivity result in admixture among mainstream locations forming a common genotype pool; (iv) selection occurs for phenotypic tolerance to variable environmental stresses enabling niche persistence.

In this thesis I have demonstrated that a hierarchy of scales is a useful construct to examine organismal life-history strategies. Valuable insights may be gained from extension beyond conventional concepts of biological units as individuals, populations and species. *Melaleuca leucadendra*, the focus of this thesis, represents an example of how individuals, populations and species are interlinked by genetic exchange. In addition, feed-back with abiotic processes such as natural disturbance provides both a driver of, and a barrier to, evolutionary change (speciation). The phenotypic variability observed in this group across all levels represents both an expression of (selection for adaptability) and a solution to (environmental stochasticity) evolutionary drivers acting at different spatial and temporal scales. This warrants further investigation in the context of the poorly-studied arid and sub-humid Australian flora. Adaptability in phenotypic and evolutionary responses may be common in taxonomic groups that do not exhibit rapid radiations under variable environmental conditions.

Table of contents

Statement of access	iii
Statement of sources	iv
Statement on the contribution of others	v
Supervision.....	v
Funding and in-kind support.....	v
Co-authorship.....	v
Logistic and editorial assistance.....	v
Analysis facilities.....	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Abstract	vii
Table of contents	ix
List of tables	xiii
List of figures	xv
Chapter 1—Introduction	1
Thesis structure.....	4
Tables and figures.....	6
Chapter 2—Survivorship and evolution in riparian vegetation	9
Semi-arid Australian riparian systems: the physical context.....	9
Riparian life histories: implications of survival strategies on species ecology and evolution.....	11
Tables and Figures.....	18
Chapter 3—Differences in resprouting ability in four riparian woody species	21
Abstract.....	21
Introduction.....	22
Materials and methods.....	24
Study species.....	24
Seed size.....	24
Growth conditions.....	25
Experimental design: allocation to seedling tubes.....	25
Experimental design: allocation to clipping and biomass experiments.....	25
Experimental protocol: clipping.....	26
Experimental protocol: biomass quantification.....	26

Data analyses.....	26
Differences in emergence rate among species.....	26
Differences in resprouting ability among species.....	27
Differences in growth patterns among species.....	27
Relationships between resprouting ability, seed size and growth patterns among species.....	27
Results.....	28
Differences in seed mass among species.....	28
Differences in emergence rate among species.....	28
Differences in growth traits among species.....	28
Differences in root characteristics among species.....	29
Differences in resprouting among species.....	29
Relationships between resprouting ability, seed size and growth traits among species.....	30
Discussion.....	30
Relationships between riparian seedling resprouting ability and reserve size.....	30
The roles of resprouting as a functional plant attribute.....	32
Conclusions.....	33
Acknowledgements.....	33
Tables and figures.....	34
Chapter 4—Clonality and disturbance in riverine <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> (L.) L.	41
Introduction.....	41
Materials and methods.....	43
Study system and study species.....	43
Sampling strategy.....	43
Genotypic analysis.....	44
Statistical analysis.....	45
Genet identification.....	45
Characterisation of spatial genetic structure.....	45
Characterisation of population genetic structure.....	46
Characterisation of local-scale fluvial signature.....	46
Results.....	48
Allele characteristics, genotypic richness and clonal growth.....	48
Clonal growth and spatial genotypic structure.....	48
Population genetic structure relative to sampling localities.....	49
Fluvial signature: flood stream power distribution.....	49
Fluvial signature: flood event distribution.....	50
Discussion.....	50
Plant spatial ecology: stem aggregation and the spatial range of clonal genotypes.....	51

Scales of interaction between flood disturbance and spatial genetic signatures.....	52
Tables and figures.....	55
Chapter 5—Discontinuities and directionality in population genetic connectivity at the landscape scale in <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> (L.) L.....	65
Introduction	65
Materials and Methods.....	67
Study area and sample sites	67
Genetic analysis	67
Data analyses	68
Genotypic linkage equilibrium.....	68
Inference of spatial genetic relationships among demes.....	68
Inference of population genetic structure and migration	70
Results	71
Discussion	75
Tables and figures.....	79
Chapter 6—Unclear species boundaries in the <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> group	83
Introduction	83
Materials and methods	85
Taxon sampling.....	85
DNA sequencing	86
Sequence alignment.....	87
ITS data—sequence alignment	87
Phylogenetic reconstruction.....	87
Model selection strategies	87
ITS data—secondary structure prediction	88
ITS phylogeny—RNA models of sequence evolution	89
Bayesian MCMC phylogenetic inference on combined data	89
Results	91
Molecular variation and sequence distances.....	91
ITS secondary structure analyses	91
ITS structural partitioning	91
Maximum parsimony phylogenetic analysis	92
Bayesian nucleotide model selection and evaluation.....	92
Effects of model parameterisation on Bayesian relative posterior probabilities, tree topologies and node support	93
Bayesian MCMC phylogenetic inference under the best-fit combined-data model	93
Discussion	95
Tables and figures.....	98

Chapter 7—General discussion.....	115
Research synthesis	115
Adaptive phenotypes in disturbance-driven river systems	116
Connectivity and structure in river systems.....	117
Species evolutionary interactions in river systems	118
Proposal for specific research directions.....	120
Addressing population and species concepts	120
General conclusions	121
Research conclusions	122
References	125
Appendices	147
Appendix 5A	147
Appendix 6A	148
Appendix 6B.....	153

List of tables

Table 1.1. Summary of clonal growth mechanisms in <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i>	6
Table 2.1. Phenotypic traits commonly displayed in <i>Melaleuca</i> and classified as ecologically adaptive.....	18
Table 3.1. Summary description of species, distribution, habit, seed form and mean total seed mass (mg) for taxa used in this study; four riparian woody tree species from distinct Myrtaceous genera were used.	34
Table 3.2. Coefficients (mean, standard deviation, and 2.5 th and 97.5 th percentiles) of the explanatory variables (tspec = time in days to emergence from radicle protrusion to full cotyledon expansion within species; σ^2 = variance within species) included in the best-fit ANOVA model, for mean time from germination to full emergence among species.	35
Table 3.3. Summary of seedling growth characteristics for four Myrtaceous riparian woody tree species grown under greenhouse conditions.....	36
Table 3.4. Comparison of species relative growth rate (RGR) calculated from ln(total plant mass, mg) and ln(time post-emergence, days) for t = 5 to 25 days post emergence. Values are results of SMA analyses for individuals of each species.....	37
Table 3.5. Coefficients (mean, standard deviation, and 2.5 th and 97.5 th percentiles) of the constant and explanatory variables (spec _x = species x, age = time to emergence, interaction) included in the best-fit logistic regression model, for seedling resprouting response to a single clipping treatment at seven age harvests (5, 10, 15, 25, 40, 60, 150 days post emergence).....	38
Table 3.6. Summary of relationships between seedling resprouting ability and growth parameters among species at 25 days post emergence.	39
Table 4.1. Allele size range, number of alleles per locus and expected heterozygosity for eight microsatellite loci used.	55
Table 4.2. Genotypic diversity and geographic distance among <i>M. leucadendra</i> ramets sampled at three mainstream catchments (GRE, BLU, BIG) and one headwater catchment (KB).	55
Table 5.1. Genetic variability indices average gene diversity (H_E), average allelic richness ($A_{R(X)}$) and average and total private allelic richness ($pA_{R(X)}$) estimated at among-population and between-stream type levels, using rarefaction procedures implemented in HP-RARE 1.0 (Kalinowski, 2005). Average allelic richness was standardised to a sample size of $X = 40$ genotypic individuals per population and $X = 2$ populations per stream type.....	79
Table 5.2. Genetic variance estimates among populations assessed at two levels (population, stream type), using hierarchical F statistics and Yang's (1998) algorithm (HIERFSTAT v0.04-4; Goudet, 2005), and based on sampled and inferred genetic populations, using the global likelihood-ratio G -statistic (randomisation tests: $n = 10\ 000$ permutations).....	79

Table 6.1. Taxa putatively associated with the <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> species complex.....	98
Table 6.2. Summary of character substitution models and partitioning schemes under which the ITS data set (ntaxa = 52, nchar = 504) was analysed using PHASE 2.0 and MrBayes 3.1.2.....	100
Table 6.3. Summary of character substitution models and partitioning schemes under which the combined data set (ntaxa = 52, nchar = 2379) was analysed using MrBayes 3.1.2.	
Table 6.4. Summary sequence variation statistics for gene regions employed in this study: nuclear ITS, chloroplast <i>trnLF-C</i> and <i>rpL16</i>	102
Table 6.5. Summary of AIC _c values, AIC _c differences (Δ) and Akaike weights (w), showing all models contained in the 99% AIC confidence set, for the single-genome and combined data sets.	103
Table 6.6. Posterior probability, Bayes factors and topology characteristics* of Bayesian analyses of the combined data set.	104
Table 6.7. Mean and 95% credibility interval for each parameter sampled from the combined posterior distribution of four independent MCMC runs of the 4x-spacer, SYM+ Γ model.....	105

List of figures

Figure 1.1. Characteristic riverine habit (a) and woody capsules (b) of <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> in the Upper Burdekin River catchment, north-east Queensland, Australia.....	7
Figure 1.2. Geographic location of research study region: the Upper Burdekin River catchment, north-east Australia.	8
Figure 3.1. The relationship between log total plant mass and log root mass among seedlings within four tree species from sub-tropical, riparian northern Australia. <i>M. leucadendra</i> , <i>A. symphyocarpa</i> , <i>E. camaldulensis</i> var. <i>obtusa</i> and <i>T. laurina</i>	40
Figure 4.1. Study region in the Upper Burdekin River catchment, north-east Queensland, Australia. Closest available gauging stations used for flood event analyses.....	56
Figure 4.2. Predicted flood duration curve for Upper Burdekin River catchments.	57
Figure 4.3. Gauging station site cross-sections for Upper Burdekin River catchments.	58
Figure 4.4. Hydrographs generated from 33 contiguous years daily discharge data (m^3s^{-1}) (1973–2006) for Upper Burdekin River catchments.....	59
Figure 4.5. Threshold analysis and diagnostic plots for data fit to point process models as estimated using maximum likelihood approaches implemented in the extRemes v1.55 R package.....	61
Figure 4.6. Spatial autocorrelation analysis of kinship coefficients for <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> clonal and genotypic structure at three mainstream catchments.....	62
Figure 4.7. (a) Stream power distribution based on daily discharge data during the 1991 flood event at four gauging stations in the Upper Burdekin River catchment. (b) Return level plots of posterior distributions for flood discharge (m^3s^{-1}) and return periods 1 to 10 000 years based on point process Bayesian extreme event analysis of 33 years daily data (mid-1973 to 2006).	63
Figure 5.1. Location of seven sampled populations of <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> in the Upper Burdekin River catchment, north-east Queensland, Australia, comprising two headwaters and five mainstream locations.	81
Figure 5.2. Geographic distribution and genetic connectivity of <i>Melaleuca leucadendra</i> inferred from model-based population clustering methods and non-equilibrium estimates of gene flow.	81
Figure 6.1a. 50% majority rule consensus trees inferred from Bayesian analysis of the individual gene region <i>trnL</i>	106
Figure 6.1b. 50% majority rule consensus trees inferred from Bayesian analysis of the individual gene region <i>rpl16</i>	107
Figure 6.1c. 50% majority rule consensus trees inferred from Bayesian analysis of the individual gene region ITS.....	108

Figure 6.2. Secondary structure models for ITS1 and ITS2 spacer regions showing key structural motifs in (a) *Melaleuca leucadendra*; (b) *Callistemon viminalis*.....109

Figure 6.3. 50% majority rule consensus tree inferred from Bayesian analyses of the ITS data set using evolutionary models implemented in PHASE and alternative data partitioning strategies: (a) unpartitioned model; (b) data partitioned into ITS1 and ITS2 (SYMG); (c) RNA7C stems and loops model.....112

Figure 6.4. 50% majority rule consensus tree inferred from heuristic searches using MP methods in PAUP. Values refer to bootstrap support (100 random-taxon addition sequence replicates per bootstrap pseudo-replicate).....113

Figure 6.5. 50% majority rule consensus tree inferred from Bayesian analysis of the combined data set using the ‘best-fit’ partitioning strategy ITS1, ITS2 (SYMG), *trnL* (GTR), *rpL16* (GTRG) as assessed on AIC_c and Bayes factor model comparisons.....114