

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Chick, Rowan C. (2010) *Stock enhancement of local populations of blacklip abalone (*Haliotis rubra* Leach) in New South Wales, Australia.* PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/11368>



**STOCK ENHANCEMENT OF LOCAL POPULATIONS OF BLACKLIP ABALONE
(*HALLOTIS RUBRA* LEACH) IN NEW SOUTH WALES, AUSTRALIA.**

**Thesis submitted by
Rowan C. Chick BSc (Hons)
in February 2010**

**for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the
School of Marine and Tropical Biology
James Cook University**

Statement of access

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written knowledge for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

(Rowan Chick)

14 February 2010

Statement on sources

declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

(Rowan Chick)

14 February 2010

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines the release and long-term (>2 years) survival and growth of hatchery-reared larval and juvenile blacklip abalone (*Haliotis rubra* Leach), on natural coastal reefs in New South Wales (NSW), Australia. Abalone are demersal, relatively sedentary, marine molluscs, that support important commercial, recreational and indigenous fisheries in numerous locations around the world. This thesis was developed in response to substantial depletions of local populations of *H. rubra* along >250 km of the NSW coast and the ineffectiveness of traditional fisheries management strategies to arrest these declines. These failures stem from demographic processes, common to haliotids, that limit their ability to re-establish populations that have been subject to substantial decline. A series of laboratory and field experiments were designed and conducted to examine a range of factors, and their interactions, that can have substantial effects on the success of releasing hatchery-reared *H. rubra* to natural reefs. The principal finding was that successful stock enhancement of local populations can be achieved, and the greatest value of a stock enhancement strategy is likely to be gained where the primary management objective is rebuilding depleted natural populations. Methodology, baseline targets and other recommendations are provided that would aid implementation of a stock enhancement management strategy to complement current traditional fisheries management approaches. The objectives of the research in this thesis were to: 1) investigate factors affecting the settlement, metamorphosis and early growth of *H. rubra* larvae; 2) batch-tag larvae and juveniles to enable their identification when recaptured; 3) develop and test methods for the successful release of larvae and juveniles; 4) develop a monitoring strategy to estimate the abundance of released abalone through time; 5) quantify long-term survival and growth to provide minimum targets for stock enhancement; 6) determine the impact of releasing juveniles on wild populations; 7) provide a bio-economic analysis and; 8) provide recommendations for the implementation of a stock enhancement management strategy for *H. rubra* in NSW.

The release of larvae to natural reefs requires them to be exposed to a number of handling and transport processes. In laboratory experiments conducted in this thesis, greater proportions (commonly >75%) of larvae settled, metamorphosed and grew to larger sizes when exposed to settlement substrata for longer periods of time (>24 hours). There was a lower settlement response in the presence of water flow, although the addition of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) increased the proportion of larvae that settled within short periods of time, i.e. 25 - 100% more in ≤ 60 sec.. Larvae were resistant to simulated handling and transport processes, indicating their utility for stock enhancement.

The tagging of larvae and juveniles is fundamental to assessing the success of an enhancement program. Hatchery-reared *H. rubra* larvae and juveniles were successfully batch-tagged. The

tagging procedures provided an indelible mark, enabling the identification of individuals once recaptured, and unambiguous differentiation from wild conspecifics. Successful batch-tagging was also critical for the assessment of subsequent field experiments undertaken in this thesis. Larvae were batch-tagged with the epi-fluorescent dye, calcein. Laboratory experiments demonstrated that the tagged larval shell was clearly visible in the spire of juvenile shells after >250 days. The recapture of tagged and released larvae from natural reefs, >500 days after release, confirmed the persistence of this tag. A reliable and cost-effective method for batch-tagging juveniles was through the use of a commercial diet that resulted in the distinctive blue-green colouration of the shell. The presence of this blue-green colouration differentiated released juveniles from those in naturally occurring populations, could be observed with the naked eye, without the need for a UV light source, and persisted on the spire of individuals for >900 days.

Methods of releasing *H. rubra* larvae and juveniles were developed and tested in a series of laboratory and field experiments. A deployment pump that included a pressurised container and hose, was used to successfully release larvae to natural reefs. The addition of GABA and refrigeration during simulated transport, and the stage of release from the deployment pump, significantly affected the number of larvae delivered through the pump. The release of larvae to physical shelters on the reef significantly increased the numbers that settled, and their survivorship. There was added complexity in the process of releasing juveniles than with that for larvae. The use of a deployment device (PVC tube, ~300 x 125 x 65 mm), that was securely placed onto the substratum, was integral to the successful release of juveniles. Use of these devices in a standard release protocol ensured the limited physical handling of juveniles and provided a simple, cost effective and efficient method for the release of large numbers to areas of natural reef.

A monitoring strategy was developed and tested to enable accurate estimates of the abundance and therefore survival of released *H. rubra*, of a variety of life history stages, to be measured. The abundance of *H. rubra* juveniles is difficult to accurately assess on natural reef because of their cryptic distribution among complex topography in rocky habitat. As a consequence, the precision and relative accuracy of methods to sample released abalone was examined in a series of field experiments, and included the dispersal of juveniles from deployment devices. The most accurate and precise estimates of the number of *H. rubra* surviving were detected using methods that disturbed the habitat, i.e. turning over boulders, within a release location, and multiple sampling approaches were required to measure the abundance of abalone of different sizes. For larvae, the collection of boulders provided more accurate estimates of abundance than samples taken using a venturi-lift. For juveniles, thorough searching of boulder substratum and more replicates provided more accurate estimates of abundance. Further, stratified sampling among

habitats after natural disturbance revealed greater densities of *H. rubra* in 'solid habitat', and spatially stratified sampling indicated juveniles can disperse up to 10 m from their release point in <8 days.

The success of a stock enhancement strategy is determined by the net value it adds to a population. This necessitates estimates of the long-term survival and growth of released individuals, the impact released individuals have on the extant population, and the bio-economic feasibility of an enhancement strategy. Rates of survival and growth varied substantially among releases and locations. However, the long-term survival of batch-tagged and released larvae and juveniles demonstrated that local populations of abalone could be enhanced with significantly greater numbers of juveniles surviving at multiple release locations than at control locations after >2 years. The release of juveniles had no detectable effect on the mean total number of wild conspecifics or wild recruits over these time scales. Long-term survival of released larvae and juveniles was generally low (<0.03%, range: 0 - 0.03% and; <4%, range: 0 - 10%, respectively). However, at three of the twelve juvenile release locations it exceeded that expected for wild abalone (range: 4 - 10%). Growth rates of released juveniles (range: 18 - 47 mm.yr⁻¹) indicated that they would generally reach sexual maturity within 2 - 3 years and exceed the minimum legal length within 4.5 years. A deterministic, bio-economic model was used to analyse the net present value (NPV) of a stock enhancement strategy for *H. rubra* in NSW, against an alternate investment return of 10% p.a.. Positive NPV occurred where long-term survival was >4% and where growth rates were higher than average rates reported in this research, or beach price exceeded \$AUD 34.kg⁻¹.

Low rates of long-term survival of larvae suggests their large-scale release is unlikely to provide a viable stand alone option to successfully enhance local populations of *H. rubra*. The survival and growth of released juveniles, to replicate locations, demonstrated that depleted local populations of *H. rubra* in NSW can be enhanced, and that a stock enhancement program can complement the NSW Abalone Fishery management strategy. The success of any large-scale stock enhancement program will be determined by the definition of its objectives. Outcomes from this thesis suggest that the greatest value of a stock enhancement strategy will be gained where its primary objective is to rebuild depleted populations, rather than optimise commercial yield through the release of individuals to overcome recruitment limitation or to harvest released individuals at a larger size. The decision to implement such a program, including explicit description of its primary objective, is required to be made among well-informed representatives of all stakeholders. Further, this decision needs to be made with a thorough understanding of the current biological structure of the populations, including the nature of population depletions, the economic status of the fishery and in light of current, complementary and alternative management arrangements that may provide comparative increases in net value.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first like to acknowledge my supervisor, Mike Kingsford, at James Cook University, for his long-term support and contribution to this thesis.

I would also like to acknowledge the support of the NSW Department of Primary Industries, Fishing and aquaculture, primarily those within the Abalone Wild Fishery Research Group. This work was supported by the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC; Project 1998/219).

I owe thanks to Neil Andrew who provided the initial opportunity to develop the FRDC project within which much of the research in this thesis was based. Subsequent to Neil's departure, Duncan Worthington provided steadfast support for this project's continuation and development.

I am indebted to a group of people who provided essential assistance with field and laboratory work. Included in this group are Peter Gibson, Craig Brand, Judy Upston, Duncan Worthington and Craig Blount. Those who provided invaluable service to the (eventual) spawning and rearing of the abalone used in the research presented in this thesis included Nick Savva and Craig Brand, and in support of them, Mike Heasman and Geoff Allan.

The project would not have been possible without the support provided by shareholders and divers of the NSW Abalone Fishery, and abalone processors. I extend particular thanks to those on the NSW Abalone Management Advisory Committee who supported this research, and owners and staff of Southern Ocean Seafoods (NSW) Pty Ltd and Twofold Bay Quality Bait Supplies Pty Ltd. Valuable field support was provided by Peter Boddis and the Merimbula Divers Lodge.

Substantial support, provided by the South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI), enabled me to complete this work. In particular, I would like to thank Stephen Mayfield, Tim Ward, Mehdi Doroudi and the staff of the SARDI Abalone Research Group.

I would also like to acknowledge the assistance of staff at the School of Marine and Tropical Biology at James Cook University. Particular thanks to the O'Callaghan's for housing me.

Finally, completion of this work would not have been possible without the immeasurable support and understanding of my family. My thanks to Penny and David for their encouragement and 'behind the scenes' familial support. To my Mum and Dad (Ann and Rod), I thank you for your continued unwavering support and substantial, apparently willing, sacrifices. To Bec, who deserves equal recognition for this work, I can only give you everything I have in thanks (which seems unfair, as we share it all and provide everything we have to our little girl, Eadie, and our soon to be son). You got me through this my love, and you make me a better person, thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xvi
LIST OF PLATES.....	xxiii
LIST OF TERMS	xxv
1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Populations and living marine resource management	1
1.2. Marine stock enhancement.....	4
1.2.1. <i>Abalone fisheries and stock enhancement</i>	5
1.3. Biology and ecology of abalone	7
1.4. New South Wales abalone fishery.....	8
1.5. Research in this thesis.....	9
1.5.1. <i>Objectives</i>	10
2. FACTORS AFFECTING THE SETTLEMENT OF <i>HALIOTIS RUBRA</i> LARVAE	13
2.1. Introduction	13
2.2. Materials and methods.....	15
2.2.1. <i>Settlement substratum</i>	15
2.2.2. <i>Factors relating to handling and transport</i>	16
2.2.3. <i>Factors imposed following release</i>	18
2.2.4. <i>Contributing factor - Batch</i>	19
2.2.5. <i>Standard experimental protocol</i>	19
2.2.6. <i>Miniature down-welling system</i>	20
2.3. Results	24
2.3.1. <i>Settlement substratum</i>	24
2.3.2. <i>Factors relating to handling and transport</i>	25
2.3.3. <i>Factors imposed following release</i>	28
2.3.4. <i>Contributing factor - Batch</i>	30
2.4. Discussion.....	53
2.4.1. <i>Settlement substrata</i>	54
2.4.2. <i>Factors relating to handling and transport</i>	54
2.4.3. <i>Factors imposed following release</i>	57
2.4.4. <i>Contributing factor - Batch</i>	58
2.4.5. <i>Conclusion and recommendations</i>	58
3. BATCH-TAGGING <i>HALIOTIS RUBRA</i> LARVAE AND JUVENILES	60
3.1. Introduction	60
3.2. Materials and methods.....	62

3.2.1.	<i>Batch-tagging larvae</i>	62
3.2.2.	<i>Batch-tagging juveniles</i>	64
3.3.	Results	65
3.3.1.	<i>Batch-tagging larvae</i>	65
3.3.2.	<i>Batch-tagging juveniles</i>	65
3.4.	Discussion.....	70
3.4.1.	<i>Conclusion and recommendations</i>	73
4.	HANDLING AND RELEASE OF <i>HALIOTIS RUBRA</i> LARVAE AND JUVENILES	74
4.1.	Introduction	74
4.2.	Materials and methods.....	77
4.2.1.	<i>Handling and release of larvae</i>	77
4.2.2.	<i>Handling and release of juveniles</i>	81
4.3.	Results	88
4.3.1.	<i>Handling and release of larvae</i>	88
4.3.2.	<i>Handling and release of juveniles</i>	89
4.4.	Discussion.....	99
4.4.1.	<i>Handling and release of larvae</i>	99
4.4.2.	<i>Handling and release of juveniles</i>	101
4.4.3.	<i>Conclusion and recommendations</i>	105
5.	DEVELOPMENT OF A MONITORING STRATEGY FOR RELEASED <i>HALIOTIS RUBRA</i> LARVAE AND JUVENILES	107
5.1.	Introduction	107
5.2.	Materials and Methods	110
5.2.1.	<i>Venturi-lift</i>	110
5.2.2.	<i>Monitoring released larvae</i>	110
5.2.3.	<i>Monitoring released juveniles</i>	111
5.2.4.	<i>Long-term sampling</i>	113
5.2.5.	<i>General protocols</i>	118
5.3.	Results	121
5.3.1.	<i>Venturi-lift</i>	121
5.3.2.	<i>Monitoring released larvae</i>	121
5.3.3.	<i>Monitoring released juveniles</i>	122
5.3.4.	<i>Long-term sampling</i>	122
5.4.	Discussion.....	132
5.4.1.	<i>Monitoring released larvae</i>	132
5.4.2.	<i>Monitoring released juveniles</i>	134
5.4.3.	<i>Long-term sampling</i>	138

5.4.4.	<i>Conclusion and recommendations</i>	140
6.	LONG-TERM SURVIVAL AND GROWTH OF RELEASED <i>HALIOTIS RUBRA</i> AND A BIO-ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT OF ITS STOCK ENHANCEMENT IN NSW	142
6.1.	Introduction	142
6.2.	Methods	147
6.2.1.	<i>Long-term survival and growth of larvae</i>	147
6.2.2.	<i>Long-term survival and growth of juveniles</i>	148
6.2.3.	<i>Bio-economic assessment of a stock enhancement program</i>	151
6.2.4.	<i>General protocols</i>	153
6.3.	Results	159
6.3.1.	<i>Long-term survival and growth of larvae</i>	159
6.3.2.	<i>Long-term survival and growth of juveniles</i>	159
6.3.3.	<i>Bio-economic assessment of a stock enhancement program</i>	163
6.4.	Discussion.....	181
6.4.1.	<i>Survival of released larvae and juveniles</i>	182
6.4.2.	<i>Growth of released larvae and juveniles</i>	189
6.4.3.	<i>Impact of stock enhancement on wild populations</i>	191
6.4.4.	<i>Bio-economic assessment of a stock enhancement program</i>	192
6.4.5.	<i>Implementation of a stock enhancement program for <i>H. rubra</i> in NSW</i>	196
6.4.6.	<i>Conclusion and recommendations</i>	197
7.	GENERAL DISCUSSION	199
7.1.	Stock enhancement	199
7.2.	Release, identification and monitoring	201
7.2.1.	<i>Handling and release</i>	201
7.2.2.	<i>Identification - Batch-tagging</i>	202
7.2.3.	<i>Monitoring strategy</i>	203
7.3.	Assessment of stock enhancement.....	204
7.3.1.	<i>Survival and growth</i>	204
7.3.2.	<i>Impact on wild populations of <i>H. rubra</i></i>	205
7.3.3.	<i>Bio-economic assessment</i>	206
7.3.4.	<i>Implementation and management</i>	206
7.4.	Conclusion	207
7.5.	Future research	208
8.	REFERENCES	210

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	The proportion of abalone surviving through time, from 0 to 3 years (solid curved line), generated from a linear decline in instantaneous rates of natural mortality ($M.yr^{-1}$) from 2.5 to 0.3 (dashed straight line), as suggested by Shepherd and Breen (1992) for wild <i>H. rubra</i>	11
Figure 2.1	Experiment 1. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum (S) and CCA rocks (R) (histogram) for 4 exposure times, and mean proportion (\pm SE) of settled larvae that metamorphosed on the respective substrata (dot plot) after 96 hours; $n = 4$	31
Figure 2.2	Experiment 2. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum and CCA rocks, with and without water flow (F) for 4 exposure times; $n = 3$	32
Figure 2.3	Experiment 3. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum and CCA rocks, with and without 24 hours of refrigeration and water flow (F), for 3 exposure times; $n = 3$	33
Figure 2.4	Experiment 4. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum after exposure to a control and 2 concentrations of GABA for 3 exposure times (histogram), and mean proportion of settled larvae (\pm SE) that metamorphosed (dot plot) after 24 and 48 hours; $n = 8$ and 4, respectively.	34
Figure 2.5	Experiment 4. Mean peristomal shell length (mm) (+ SE) of settled larvae after exposure to a control and 2 concentrations of GABA (M) for 2 exposure times; $n = 4$	34
Figure 2.6	Experiment 5. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substrata with and without exposure to GABA (1×10^{-6} M) and water flow (F) for 4 exposure times; $n = 3$	35
Figure 2.7	Experiment 6. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substrata after 24 hours of refrigeration, with and without GABA (1×10^{-6} M) and water flow (F) for 4 exposure times; $n = 3$	36
Figure 2.8	Experiment 7. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substrata with and without 24 hours of refrigeration (R), without water flow for 3 exposure times; $n = 3$	37
Figure 2.9	Experiment 8. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum (histogram) after 0 (control), 24 and 48 hours of refrigeration, with and without water flow (F) for 4 exposure times, and the mean proportion (\pm SE) of settled larvae that metamorphosed (dot plot) after 24 and 48 hours; $n = 3$	38
Figure 2.10	Experiment 8. Mean peristomal shell length (mm) (+ SE) of settled larvae after 0, 24 and 48 hours of refrigeration, with and without water flow (F) for 2 exposure times; $n = 3$. Dashed line is for reference and set at 0.05 mm.	39
Figure 2.11	Experiment 9. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum (histogram) after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of +5°C or +10°C for 4 exposure times without flow ($n = 4$), and mean proportion (\pm SE) of settled larvae that metamorphosed (dot plot) after 96 hours; $n = 3$	40
Figure 2.12	Experiment 9. Mean peristomal shell length (mm) (+ SE) of settled larvae after 96 hours on a standard settlement substratum after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of +5°C and +10°C; $n = 3$	40

Figure 2.13	Experiment 10. Mean proportion of larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum (histogram) after a control (no temperature shock) and +5°C temperature shock, with and without water flow (F) for 4 exposure times, and mean proportion (\pm SE) of settled larvae that metamorphosed (dot plot) after 48 hours; $n = 3$	41
Figure 2.14	Experiment 10. Mean peristomal shell length (mm) (+ SE) of settled larvae on a standard settlement substratum after 48 hours and after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and temperature shock of +5°C, with and without water flow (F); $n = 3$	42
Figure 2.15	Experiment 11. Mean proportion of 7 day old larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum from 3 separate batches for 2 exposure times; $n = 3$	42
Figure 2.16	Experiment 12. Mean proportion of 8 day old larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substratum from 3 separate batches for 2 exposure times; $n = 3$	43
Figure 3.1	Mean level of irradiance (\pm SE) of the larval shell in the spire of <i>H. rubra</i> sampled through time, after larvae were batch-tagged in two concentrations of calcein for two immersion times; $n = 20$	68
Figure 3.2	Upper and lower predicted lengths (mm) of juveniles reared to the same age and not batch-tagged as larvae, generated from minimum and maximum daily growth rates reported in Heasman <i>et al.</i> (2004) (dashed lines; see methods) and mean (\pm SE) shell length of juveniles, after having been immersed in calcein (0.1 g.L ⁻¹) for 48 hours as larvae (solid circles); $n = 20$	68
Figure 3.3	Relationship between age and shell length for <i>H. rubra</i> reared in the hatchery ($n = 100 - 200$), adapted from Heasman <i>et al.</i> (2004), indicating the size of the juvenile shell, i.e. blue/green coloured tag, at the time of release after settlement. The regression (solid line) and \pm 95% confidence interval (dashed lines) are shown.....	69
Figure 4.1	Experiment 1. Mean number of a) 7; and b) 8 day old larvae (+ SE) per 50 ml from the deployment pump with and without the addition of GABA and 24 hours of refrigeration (R) ($n = 4$).....	91
Figure 4.2	Experiment 2. Mean proportion of settled larvae (+ SE) on a standard settlement substrata after an exposure time of 24 hours, after being sampled from the deployment pump when it was full and almost empty (~5 L) from separate batches at two times a) Time 1; and b) Time 2 ($n = 3$).....	91
Figure 4.3	Experiment 3. Mean number of larvae.m ⁻² (+ SE) at 5 sub-sites without and with physical shelter, 24 hours after release ($n = 5$).....	92
Figure 4.4	Experiment 4. Mean proportion of juvenile abalone (+ SE) a) removed from a standard substrata; and b) recovered from the anaesthesia treatments after 18 hours, using a control (seawater) and three concentrations of benzocaine over three exposure times ($n = 3$).....	93
Figure 4.5	Experiment 5. Mean proportion of 2 mm juvenile abalone (+ SE) on CCA devices through time ($n = 3$). Note: A total of ~600 juveniles per device pre-release.....	94
Figure 4.6	Experiment 6. Mean proportion of 7 mm juvenile abalone (+ SE) on PVC devices through time ($n = 5$). Note: A total of ~1800 juveniles per device pre-release.....	94
Figure 5.1	Experiment 1. Mean proportion of juveniles (+ SE) sampled from a standard substrata (paving block) at 3 depths using the venturi-lift ($n = 5$ blocks).....	124

Figure 5.2	Experiment 2. Mean number of larvae.m ⁻² (+ SE) from samples taken using the venturi-lift (V) within 250 x 250 mm quadrats and the collection of boulders (BC), at one location 1, 14 and 55 days post-release (<i>n</i> = 5 quadrats and boulders).....	124
Figure 5.3	Experiment 3. Mean number of larvae.m ⁻² (+ SE) from samples taken using the venturi-lift (V) within 250 x 250 mm quadrats and the collection of boulders (BC), at three locations 2, 14 and 56 days post-release (<i>n</i> = 5 quadrats and boulders).....	125
Figure 5.4	Experiment 4. Mean number of 2 mm juveniles.m ⁻² and proportion of total number surviving (+ SE), sampled by the venturi-lift within 300 mm, and between 300 - 600 mm of three sites, 1, 2, 10 and 42 days post-release (<i>n</i> = 3 quadrats). Dashed line indicates the mean total proportion of juveniles among sites at each time (calculated from the sum of both distance strata within each site). Numbers indicate the mean number and percentage (± SE) of the original 600 juveniles remaining on deployment devices at each time.	126
Figure 5.5	Experiment 5. Mean proportion (+ SE) of 11 mm juveniles surviving at three sites 1, 4 and 8 days post-release. Samples were taken using visual surveys within distance strata along transects (10 x 0.5 m) (<i>n</i> = 4 transects). Percentages represent the estimated total number of the ~5 500 released juveniles within each site at each time.	127
Figure 5.6a	Experiment 6. Mean number of 14 mm juveniles.m ⁻² (+ SE) 93 days after release using three survey methods, visual quadrat (VQ), boulder inspection <i>in situ</i> (Bis) and disturbed boulder quadrats (BQ), within 0.36 m ² quadrats (<i>n</i> = 15 quadrats).	128
Figure 5.6b	Experiment 6. Relationship between the precision (SE/Mean) of three sampling methods, visual survey (VQ), boulder inspection <i>in situ</i> (Bis) and disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) and the number of 0.36 m ² quadrats used to estimate the mean number of 14 mm juveniles.m ⁻² , 93 days post-release (<i>n</i> = 20).....	128
Figure 5.7	Experiment 7. Mean number of 40 mm juveniles.m ⁻² (+ SE) 122 days after release using visual surveys (VQ) and disturbed boulder quadrats (BQ) within 1 m ² quadrats (<i>n</i> = 5 quadrats).....	129
Figure 5.8	Experiment 8. Mean number of juveniles.m ⁻² (+ SE) ~770 days after release at two locations within two areas. Samples were taken in two stratum; boulder field habitat (B) and solid habitat (S), using disturbed boulder sampling within 1.0 and 0.36 m ² quadrats at Areas 1 and 2, respectively (<i>n</i> = 5 quadrats).....	129
Figure 6.1	Map of Australia and the NSW coast showing survey locations. Insets are not to scale.	155
Figure 6.2	Experiment 1. Mean total number of larvae released (+ SE) and number of abalone at Fingal Island - North A - C, at release (Time 0) and through time (days post-release). Samples were collected using boulder collections (BC), boulder sampling <i>in situ</i> (Bis) and disturbed boulder quadrats (BQ) within 1 m ² (<i>n</i> = 5, 20, 10 and 5 at days 1-56, 196, 412 and 553, respectively). Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.....	165
Figure 6.3	Experiment 2. Mean percent survival of abalone (+ SE) at Disaster Bay release locations, using diffuse (Locations A - C) and concentrated (Locations D - F) release of juveniles, 769 days after release. Sampling was by disturbed boulder sampling in solid habitat within 1 m ² quadrats (<i>n</i> = 5). Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.	166
Figure 6.4	Experiment 2. Mean total number of abalone (+ SE) at Disaster Bay release locations (A - F) at release (Time 0) and through time (days post-release). Juveniles were released at locations A - C using a diffuse release method	

(hashed bars; 10 devices, each holding 100 juveniles, evenly placed throughout each location), and at locations D - F using a concentrated release (open bars; one device holding 1 000 juveniles, placed at the centre of each location). Sampling up to 363 days was done by disturbed boulder quadrats (BQ) within 0.36 m² (*n* = 25). Sampling at 769 days was by disturbed boulder sampling in solid habitat (S) within 1 m² quadrats (*n* = 5). Numbers indicate mean percent survival at each time. Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.....167

- Figure 6.5** Experiment 2. Mean length (mm) (\pm SE) of abalone recaptured at Disaster Bay release locations, 363 and 769 days post-release (diffuse: -○- A; -◇- B; -□- C and concentrated: -●- D; -▲- E; -■- F; *n* varies among locations through time, see Table 6.5). Numbers below and above lines indicate mean growth rates (mm.yr⁻¹) at diffuse and concentrated release locations, respectively. Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.168
- Figure 6.6** Experiment 3. Mean total number (+ SE) of all abalone (batch-tagged; open bars and wild; hashed bars) at four release (A - D) and four control (E - H) locations at Kiama. Sampling was done within 0.36 m² quadrats by disturbed boulder sampling at 108 and 280 days post-release (*n* = 10 and 5 for release and control locations, respectively) and disturbed boulder sampling in solid habitat at 777 days after release (*n* = 5). Shading within release locations represents the mean total number of wild abalone. Dashed lines indicate mean total numbers of all abalone among locations within each treatment.169
- Figure 6.7** Experiment 3. Mean total number (+ SE) of all, very-small (<30 mm), small (30<60 mm), medium (60<115 mm) and large (\geq 115 mm) wild abalone at four release (A - D; dense hashed bars) and control (E - H; light hashed bars) locations at Kiama, 108, 280 and 777 days after the release of juveniles to locations A - D (all released abalone are excluded from these data). Sampling was done by disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) at 108 and 280 days after release (*n* = 10 and 5 for release and control locations, respectively) and disturbed boulder sampling in solid habitat at 777 days after release (*n* = 5), within 0.36 m² quadrats. Dashed lines indicate mean numbers of abalone among locations.170
- Figure 6.8** Experiment 3. Total number of abalone (+ SE) at four release locations at Kiama at release (Time 0) and through time (days post-release). Sampling was done by disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) and disturbed boulder sampling in solid habitat (S) within 0.36 m² quadrats (*n* = 10 and 5, respectively). Numbers indicate mean percent survival at each time. Note, these data represent recaptured batch-tagged abalone only.171
- Figure 6.9** Experiment 3. Mean length (mm) (\pm SE) of abalone recaptured at Kiama release locations after each sampling time (-●- A; -▲- B; -□- C; -○- D; *n* varies among locations through time, see Table 6.5). Numbers above lines indicate mean growth rates (mm.yr⁻¹). Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only..172
- Figure 6.10** Experiment 4. Mean total number of abalone (+ SE) at Fingal Island - South through time. Sampling was done using boulder collections (BC) (*n* = 6 at 1 and 9 days after release), boulder sampling *in situ* (Bis) (*n* = 6 at 23 and 57 days post-release) and disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) within 1 m² (*n* = 10 at 407 and 794 days after release). Numbers indicate mean percent survival at each time. Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.....173
- Figure 6.11** Experiment 5. Mean total number of abalone (+ SE) at Red Point through time. Sampling was done using boulder sampling *in situ* (Bis) (*n* = 6 at 20 days after release) and disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) within 1 m² (*n* = 10 and 5 at 154 and 463 days after release, respectively) and within 0.36 m² (*n* = 10 at 916 days post-release). Numbers indicate mean percent survival at each time. Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.....174

Figure 6.12	Experiment 6. Mean total number of abalone (+ SE) at Steam Trawler Bay through time. Sampling was done using disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) within 0.36 m ² (<i>n</i> = 15, 10 and 15 at 93, 274 and 455 days post-release, respectively). Numbers indicate mean percent survival at each time. Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.....	175
Figure 6.13	Net Present Value of releasing 100 abalone.year ⁻¹ for 5 years at a length of 7 mm (black lines) and 15 mm (red lines) at beach prices of 24 (····), 34 (—) and 44 (---) \$AUD kg ⁻¹ at a discount rate of 10%, given scenarios described in the text and outlined in table 6.3. The dotted line indicates a neutral investment strategy, 'break even point', against a relative investment returning 10% p.a. Results above and below the dotted line indicate a more or less profitable investment strategy compared with an alternative returning 10% p.a., respectively.....	176
Figure 6.14	Proportion of released juvenile abalone surviving at locations through time. Solid line provides a reference of a linear decline in <i>M</i> from 2.5 to 0.3 from 0 to 1 095 days for wild <i>H. rubra</i> (adapted from Shepherd & Breen 1992). Dashed line provides a reference of twice the <i>M</i> of the solid line. Note, the curved lines represent linear declines in <i>M</i> , but are plotted as the proportion of abalone surviving through time.	185
Figure 6.15	Frequency distribution of the long-term (>2 years) survival (percent) of released juvenile abalone at the twelve locations in this study.	185

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	General overview of laboratory (L) and field (F) experiments done throughout Chapters 2 - 6 in this thesis, indicating the use of larvae (dashed lines) or juveniles (solid lines), the points of release (large black circles) at indicative sizes and ages (Time, post-settlement) and the period of time over which experiments in each chapter were done (small black circles, end point). Note: not all experiments are represented by independent lines and points of release as some experiments used individuals of similar size and were sampled for similar periods of time, as such, lines and release points should be viewed as indicative of the experiments done within each chapter.12
Table 2.1	Design of experiments investigating the settlement of <i>H. rubra</i> . Treatments for each factor are shown in brackets and described in the text. Letters attached to experiment numbers indicate the use of the same batch of larvae, as applied within this chapter only. A replicate consists of an individual down-weller containing ~200 larvae (described in the text).22
Table 2.2	Components of experiments described in Table 2.1 used to investigate the metamorphosis and difference in size of settled <i>H. rubra</i> . Treatments for each factor are shown in brackets and described in the text. Letters attached to experiment numbers indicate the use of the same batch of larvae, as described within this chapter only. A replicate consists of an individual down-weller containing ~200 larvae (as described in the text). The mean (SE) number of larvae measured, to determine the proportion metamorphosed and mean peristomal shell length per replicate, is shown.23
Table 2.3	Experiment 1. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on two substrata (slides and CCA rocks), at different times (60 sec., 30 min. and 24 and 96 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2630$, ns; variances 8, df 3).44
Table 2.4	Experiment 1. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of settled larvae that metamorphosed on two substrata (slides and CCA rocks) after 96 hours. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.8867$, ns; variances 2, df 3).44
Table 2.5	Experiment 1. Summary of analysis of variance in the size of settled larvae on two substrata (slides and CCA rocks) after 96 hours. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.6750$, ns; variances 2, df 3).44
Table 2.6	Experiment 2. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on two substrata (slides and CCA rocks) with and without water flow, at different times (30 and 60 sec., 30 min. and 24 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.3003$, ns; variances 16, df 2).45
Table 2.7	Experiment 3. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on two substrata (slides and CCA rocks) with and without water flow and 24 hours refrigeration, at different times (30 and 60 sec., 30 min. and 24 hr.).

	Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.1446$, ns; variances 24, df 2).	45
Table 2.8	Experiment 4. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substratum after exposure to different concentrations of GABA (0, 1×10^{-6} M, 2×10^{-6} M), at different times (60 sec., 24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2385$, ns; variances 9, df 7).	46
Table 2.9	Experiment 4. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of settled larvae that metamorphosed on a standard settlement substratum after exposure to different concentrations of GABA (0, 1×10^{-6} M, 2×10^{-6} M), at different times (24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.6288^*$; variances 6, df 3).	46
Table 2.10	Experiment 4. Summary of analysis of variance in the size of settled larvae on a standard settlement substratum after exposure to different concentrations of GABA (0, 1×10^{-6} M, 2×10^{-6} M), at different times (24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.6078^*$; variances 6, df 3).	46
Table 2.11	Experiment 5. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substratum with and without exposure to GABA (1×10^{-6} M) and with and without water flow at different times (30 and 60 sec., 24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2901$, ns; variances 16, df 2).	47
Table 2.12	Experiment 6. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substratum with and without exposure to GABA (1×10^{-6} M) and with and without water flow at different times (30 and 60 sec., 24 and 48 hr.) after 24 hours refrigeration. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2104$, ns; variances 16, df 2).	47
Table 2.13	Experiment 7. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substratum after 0 and 24 hours refrigeration, at different times (60 sec., 24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.4558$, ns; variances 6, df 3).	48
Table 2.14	Experiment 8. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substratum after 0, 24 and 48 hours of refrigeration, with and without water flow, at different times (30 and 60 sec., 24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.1531$, ns; variances 24, df 2).	48

Table 2.15	Experiment 8. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of settled larvae that metamorphosed on a standard settlement substratum after 0, 24 and 48 hours of refrigeration, with and without water flow, at different times (24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2199$, ns; variances 12, df 2).	49
Table 2.16	Experiment 8. Summary of analysis of variance in the size of settled larvae on a standard settlement substratum after 0, 24 and 48 hours of refrigeration, with and without water flow, at different times (24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2406$, ns; variances 6, df 3).....	49
Table 2.17	Experiment 9. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substratum after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of 5 or 10 ⁰ C, at different times (60 sec., 30 mins and 24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2532$, ns; variances 12, df 3).	50
Table 2.18	Experiment 9. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of settled larvae that metamorphosed on a standard settlement substratum after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of 5 or 10 ⁰ C after 96 hours. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.6870$, ns; variances 3, df 2).50	50
Table 2.19	Experiment 9. Summary of analysis of variance in the size of settled larvae on a standard settlement substratum after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of 5 or 10 ⁰ C after 96 hours. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.5799$, ns; variances 3, df 2).....	50
Table 2.20	Experiment 10. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substratum after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of 5 ⁰ C with and without water flow, at different times (60 sec., 30 mins and 24 and 48 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.2182$, ns; variances 16, df 2).....	51
Table 2.21	Experiment 10. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of settled larvae that metamorphosed on a standard settlement substratum after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of 5 ⁰ C with and without water flow after 48 hours. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.3337$, ns; variances 4, df 2).....	51
Table 2.22	Experiment 10. Summary of analysis of variance in the size of settled larvae on a standard settlement substratum after a control (no temperature shock (0)) and a temperature shock of 5 ⁰ C, with and without water flow after 48 hours. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.4670$, ns; variances 4, df 2).52	52

Table 2.23	Experiment 11. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of 7 day old larvae from 3 separate batches settled on a standard settlement substratum at different times (60 sec. and 24 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.2124, ns; variances 6, df 2).....52
Table 2.24	Experiment 12. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of 8 day old larvae from 3 separate batches settled on a standard settlement substratum at different times (60 sec. and 24 hr.). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.5229, ns; variances 6, df 2).....52
Table 3.1	Summary of analysis of variance in the level of irradiance of the calcein tagged larval shell in the spire of abalone 15, 23, 30, 37, 56, 75, 97, 120 and 260 days after being treated in two concentrations of calcein (0.05 and 0.1g.L ⁻¹) for two immersion times (24 and 48 hrs). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.0531, ns; variances 36, df 19).....69
Table 4.1	Design of experiments investigating the supply of larvae through the deployment pump (Experiment 1), the effect of the deployment pump and physical shelters on the settlement of larvae (Experiments 2 and 3) and the handling and release of juveniles (Experiments 4 - 6). Treatments for each factor are shown in brackets and described in the text. Letters with experiment numbers are used to indicate independent batches of abalone, as applied within this chapter only.87
Table 4.2a	Experiment 1 – 7 day old larvae. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of 7 day old larvae delivered from the deployment drum in 50 ml samples. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.5429 [†] , ns; variances 4, df 3). [†] Square root (x+1) transformed.95
Table 4.2b	Experiment 1 – 8 day old larvae. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of 8 day old larvae delivered from the deployment drum in 50 ml samples. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.7341* [†] ; variances 4, df 3). [†] Square root (x+1) transformed.95
Table 4.3a	Experiment 2 – Time 1. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substrata after 24 hours at Time 1, after exposure to GABA (1 x 10 ⁻⁶ M) whilst the deployment drum was full. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.5712 [†] , ns; variances 2, df 2). [†] Arc-sine transformed.96
Table 4.3b	Experiment 2 – Time 2. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of larvae settled on a standard settlement substrata after 24 hours at Time 2, after exposure to GABA (1 x 10 ⁻⁶ M) whilst the deployment drum was full. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.6072 [†] , ns; variances 2, df 2). [†] Arc-sine transformed.96

Table 4.4	Experiment 3. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of settled larvae.m ⁻² on natural substrata at 5 sub-sites with and without physical shelter, 24 hours after release. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.4049* [†] , variances 10, df 4). [†] square root (x + 1) transformed.96
Table 4.5a	Experiment 4 – Removal from substrata. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of juvenile abalone removed from a substrata after exposure to a control and 3 different concentrations of benzocaine for 3 exposure times. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.3184 [†] , ns; variances 12, df 2). [†] Arc-sine transformed.97
Table 4.5b	Experiment 4 – Recovery. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of juvenile abalone recovered after 18 hours after being removed from a substrata after exposure to a control and 3 different concentrations of benzocaine for 3 exposure times. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.3103 [†] , ns; variances 12, df 2). [†] Arc-sine transformed.97
Table 4.6	Experiment 5. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of 2 mm juvenile abalone on CCA devices at the time of release (day 0) and 1, 2, 10 and 42 days post-release. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.4123 [†] , ns; variances 5, df 2). [†] Arc-sine transformed.98
Table 4.7	Experiment 6. Summary of analysis of variance in the proportion of 7.5 mm juvenile abalone on PVC devices at the time of release (day 0) and 1, 9, 23 and 57 days post-release. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.5252 [†] , ns; variances 5, df 4). [†] Arc-sine transformed.98
Table 5.1	Outline of field experiments to investigate sampling methods and juvenile dispersal. Treatments for each factor are shown in brackets and described in the text. Common letters with experiment numbers are used to indicate experiments completed with the same batch of abalone, as described within this chapter only. Sampling methods, described in the text include the venturi-lift (V), visual surveys along transects (VT), visual surveys within quadrats (VQ), boulder collection (BC), boulder sampling <i>in situ</i> (Bis), disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) and disturbed boulder samples in boulder field (B) and solid (S) habitat.120
Table 5.2	Experiment 1. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of 2 mm juveniles removed from a standard substrate at 3 depths using the venturi-lift (<i>n</i> = 5). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.5684 ns; variances 3, df 4).130
Table 5.3	Experiment 2. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of abalone sampled.m ⁻² using the venturi-lift and boulder collection, 1, 14, and 55 days after their release as larvae at one location (<i>n</i> = 5). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.9716* [†] ; variances 6, df 4). [†] Square root (x + 1) transformed).130

Table 5.4	Experiment 3. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of abalone sampled.m ⁻² using the venturi-lift and boulder collection, 2, 14, and 56 days after their release as larvae at three locations (<i>n</i> = 5). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.1667 [†] ns; variances 18, df 4). [†] Square root (<i>x</i> + 1) transformed).130
Table 5.5	Experiment 6. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of 14 mm juveniles.m ⁻² within 0.36 m ² quadrats, sampled after 93 days using the sampling methods, visual inspection (VQ), boulders <i>in situ</i> (Bis) and disturbed boulders (BQ) (<i>n</i> = 15). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 1.9391* [†] ; variances 3, df 14). [†] Square root (<i>x</i> + 1) transformed.131
Table 5.6	Experiment 7. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of abalone sampled.m ⁻² within 1 m ² quadrats at four locations using visual inspection (VQ) and disturbed boulders (BQ) (<i>n</i> = 5). Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's <i>C</i> = 0.3910* [†] ; variances 8, df 4). [†] log (<i>x</i> + 1) transformed.131
Table 6.1	Locations and an outline of release and sampling methods used at each location through time. Sampling methods include boulder collection (BC), boulder sampling <i>in situ</i> (Bis), disturbed boulder sampling (BQ) and disturbed boulder samples in solid habitat (S) (for method details see Chapter 5). 'Reduced area' describes the re-defined total area of the release location after habitat loss for each sampling time.156
Table 6.2	Design of analyses investigating the long-term (769 days) survival of juveniles after diffuse or concentrated release (Experiment 2) and the difference in i) total number of abalone (released and wild); ii) total number of all wild abalone; iii) very-small (<30 mm) wild abalone; iv) small (30<60 mm) wild abalone; and v) medium (60<115 mm) wild abalone at release and control locations through time (Experiment 3). Treatments for each factor are shown in brackets and described in the text.157
Table 6.3	Parameters used to determine net present value (NPV) of a program of releasing abalone for 5 years, calculating NPV each year for 10 years. Common parameters and scenarios describing different growth and survival regimes are shown as <i>G_{I-III}</i> and <i>S_{I-III}</i> , respectively and described in the text. Annual growth rates at 65, 90 and 115 mm are shown as <i>g₆₅</i> , <i>g₉₀</i> , <i>g₁₁₅</i> , respectively. Growth rate for <i>G_I</i> <i>g₆₅</i> and <i>G_{III}</i> <i>g₆₅</i> and <i>G_{III}</i> <i>g₉₀</i> are from means among locations in Experiments 3 and 2 in this study, respectively. Other growth rate data are modified from Worthington <i>et al.</i> (1995) as described in the text.158
Table 6.4	The number released and number.m ⁻² , total number, survival (percent) and mortality (<i>M.yr</i> ⁻¹) of released abalone through time, shown as the average from among locations at each time (total number and survival (percent) of abalone at individual locations through time is presented on respective figures and described in the text). 'Reduced area' indicates the altered total area of the location at respective times after release, due to a reduction in the physical habitat at locations as a result of rough seas.....177
Table 6.5	Mean shell length (mm) (± SE) of the tagged shell (from the spire of recaptured abalone), total shell length, growth rate and number of batch-tagged, recaptured abalone measured at individual locations and pooled among locations (Totals), at sampling days post-release.178

Table 6.6	Experiment 2. Summary of analysis of variance in the percent survival of abalone at locations at Disaster Bay using the diffuse (Locations A - C) and concentrated (Locations D - F) release methods, 769 days post-release. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.3726^{\ddagger}$, ns; variances 6, df 4). $^{\ddagger}\sin^{-1} \sqrt{x}$ transformed. Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only.....179
Table 6.7	Experiment 3. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of all abalone (released and wild) through time at release and control locations at Kiama. The number of sample replicates at release locations at 108 and 280 days was reduced to 5, by random allocation, to enable a balanced design. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.004 (**), P<0.022 (*) and not significant (ns). Probability values of the F statistic were Bonferroni adjusted to account for 5 multiple comparisons. (Cochran's $C = 0.1307^{\ddagger}$, ns; variances 24, df 4). $^{\ddagger}\sqrt{x + 0.1}$ transformed.....179
Table 6.8	Experiment 3. Summary of analysis of variance in the number of all wild abalone; wild abalone (<30 mm); wild abalone (30<60 mm) and; wild abalone (60<115 mm) through time at release and control locations at Kiama. The number of sample replicates at release locations at 108 and 280 days was reduced to 5, by their random allocation, to enable a balanced design. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.004 (**), P<0.022 (*) and not significant (ns). Probability values of the F statistic were Bonferroni adjusted to account for 5 multiple comparisons. (Cochran's C : all wild abalone (ns ‡); wild abalone (<30 mm) ‡ *; wild abalone (30<60 mm) ‡ *; and wild abalone (60<115 mm) ‡ *; variances 24, df 4). $^{\ddagger}\sqrt{x + 0.1}$ transformed. 180
Table 6.9	Experiment 3. Summary of analysis of variance in the mean length of abalone at release locations (A - D) at Kiama, 777 days post-release. Abbreviations used: degrees of freedom (df), Mean square (MS), F-ratio (F), row of mean square denominator (F vs), significance of the F test: P<0.01 (**), P<0.05 (*) and not significant (ns) (Cochran's $C = 0.4742$, ns; variances 4, df 11; conservative df from unbalanced data). Note, these data represent batch-tagged abalone only..180
Table 6.10	Summary of survival and growth for hatchery-reared juveniles released into natural habitat and recaptured after >1 year (not including this study). Japanese fishery recaptures have not been included in this table (see reviews in Masuda & Tsukamoto 1998, Hamasaki & Kitada 2008). ‡ sampling time is estimated; *survival (%) is generated from sighted and non-sighted individuals at each sample time.....184
Table 7.1	Summary of recommendations for the implementation of practical processes required for successful stock enhancement. References refer to sections (S) within chapters (C) of this thesis.....200

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1.1	Local populations A, B and C, with natural and anthropogenic processes affecting functional population size through varying degrees of connectivity (from none to complete), demographic compensatory and depensatory mechanisms (e.g. density-dependent, growth, mortality and fertilisation success) and positive (e.g. recruitment, growth, emigration and successful management) and negative (e.g. natural mortality, immigration, disease and unsuccessful management (such as recruitment over-fishing)) processes affecting population growth.....	2
Plate 1.2	Life history stages of <i>H. rubra</i> as described in this thesis, with indicative size range, timeframes and photographs of indicative forms. Photographs taken by the author, with the exception of "settled larvae" which is <i>H. iris</i> , modified from Naylor <i>et al.</i> (2006b).	8
Plate 2.1	A schematic diagram (not to scale) of the side (A) and end (B) profile of one of the three modules of the miniature down-welling system containing six rows of four suspended down-welling units, each containing a standard settlement substratum. Arrows indicate the direction of water flow.	21
Plate 3.1	Photomicrographs of the spire of an abalone shell, recaptured 553 days after release as a larvae, under transmitted standard light (A) and via a filtered light source, showing the calcein labelled larval shell (B) (400x). Scale bar is 300 μ m.67	
Plate 3.2	Abalone recaptured 769 days after release, showing the distinctive blue-green coloured shell in the spire, indicating the size of the individual at release. Red-brown shell colour is natural shell growth after release. Scale bar is 25 mm.....	67
Plate 3.3	An abalone recaptured 777 days after release, showing fouling on the spire of the shell obscuring the batch-tagged shell (A) and the same individual with the fouling removed, showing the distinctive blue-green coloured shell, indicating the size (~12 mm) of this individual at release (B). Scale bar is 25 mm.....	67
Plate 4.1	The deployment pump consisting of (from left to right); SCUBA tank with air inlet hose; 35 L drum fitted with on/off tap (red tap) at the start of the hose; lid, fitted with coupling for connection to air inlet and internal hose (attached air-stone not shown) and external hose fitted with pressure relief valve (42 Kpa). Inset: diver holding the end of the hose with diver controlled on/off tap.....	85
Plate 4.2	Two of the five physical shelters, each covering 1 m ² of substrata and consisting of a weighted skirt (chain filled light coloured material at base) and the body of the shelter (woven plastic cloth) fitted with an eyelet and attached buoy, positioned on the reef.	85
Plate 4.3	Three CCA devices (~300 mm long) in the laboratory containing recently added juvenile abalone, prior to being placed in a seawater tank to allow for their recovery prior to release.	86
Plate 4.4	PVC device (300 mm long) <i>in situ</i> , holding juveniles (and juveniles in the surrounding habitat) about 18 hours after release. Note: the small boulder in the foreground was moved from the open end of the device to enable the photograph to be taken. Inset: PVC deployment device capped with 1.5 mm plastic mesh and containing juvenile abalone.....	86
Plate 5.1	The idealised distribution of juveniles (grey shading) in uniform habitat shortly after release (e.g. hours; A) in deployment devices at 15 sites (small, solid black squares), regularly distributed within a release location (dashed box), allowing for their relatively even, theoretical distribution (circles) from each release site	

	after a period of time (e.g. days; B), noting some migration from the survey location into surrounding area (solid box), not to scale.	109
Plate 5.2	Sampling design within a release location where the dispersal of juvenile abalone was investigated within concentric distance strata 0-2, 2-3, 3-5 and 5-10 m from the central release site, as described in Experiment 5. Transect survey areas (10 x 0.5 m) are presented as hashed rectangles radiating from the central release site, offset at a 90° compass bearing, not to scale.....	113
Plate 5.3	Venturi-lift consisting of: 1) 1 500 mm length of 40 mm dia. PVC tube; 2) ball-valve; 3) high pressure hose to SCUBA tank; 4) length of 45 mm dia. plastic flexible hose with brush attachment; and 5) 180 µm mesh sample bag with Velcro® strap. Arrows indicate direction of air-flow. Not to scale. Modified from McShane and Smith (1988).	115
Plate 5.4	Representation of a release location (dashed box) containing a regular distribution of release sites (black squares), providing a distance of ~10 m between sites, allowing for the relatively even, theoretical, distribution of juveniles (circles) from a deployment device at each release site throughout the location after ~8 days, accepting a level of migration from the survey location into surrounding area (solid box), not to scale.	136

LIST OF TERMS

Life history stage definitions as used in this thesis

larvae:	post-fertilised egg through to settlement stage, developed larvae
settled larvae:	larvae attached to the substratum, having undergone metamorphoses or not, up to ~4 days after initial settlement; $\sim 0.3 < 0.5$ mm
early juvenile:	abalone ~5 - 60 days post-settlement; $\sim 0.5 < 2$ mm SL (shell length)
juvenile:	an abalone >60 days post-settlement and up to size at maturity; $2 < 90$ mm SL
early adult:	a mature abalone within approximately the first year of maturity; $90 < 115$ mm
adult:	a mature abalone after approximately the first year of maturity and of minimum legal length (MLL) in NSW, Australia (≥ 115 mm SL; prior to July 2008), unless otherwise stated (see bio-economic modelling, Chapter 6, where $MLL \geq 117$ mm, as of July 2008)

General terms

Barrens habitat:	areas of reef dominated by crustose coralline algae and relatively high abundances of sea urchins (Underwood <i>et al.</i> 1991).
boulder field:	relatively loose boulders (250 - 600 mm diameter), that can be moved by a diver underwater, interspersed between areas of solid habitat within Fringe habitat, and not dominated by articulated coralline alga and other turfing alga, or containing large quantities of sediment among boulders)
deployment device:	also described in the text as a 'device'. Physical structure made of poly-vinyl-chloride (PVC) used to hold and release juvenile abalone (dimensions $\sim 300 \times 125 \times 65$ mm), unless otherwise stated
Fringe habitat:	the variety of subtidal reef habitats defined in (Underwood <i>et al.</i> 1991)
larval age:	age of larvae in days post-fertilisation (used in relation to hatchery-reared abalone larvae in flow through, filtered, UV sterilized seawater at 18°C)
metamorphosis:	the irreversible attachment of a larvae to a substratum, identified by the presence of peristomal shell growth

peristomal shell:	shell deposited after metamorphosis
settlement:	the attachment of larvae to a substrate whether having undergone metamorphosis or not
shell length (SL):	maximum diameter of the shell (mm)
solid habitat	area of reef consisting of boulders cemented together, immovable boulders and patches of solid bedrock containing cracks and fissures
stock enhancement:	the means of adding individuals to a natural reef to augment the total number of individuals persisting through time to support a sustainable population, harvestable stock or both
survival:	the total number of abalone estimated to be within a release location (abundance) divided by the number of abalone released, expressed as a percentage, unless otherwise stated