

**Patterns in the Composition and Distribution
of the Vertebrate Fauna,
Desert Uplands Bioregion, Queensland.**

Thesis submitted by
Alexander Sulev Kutt BA, BSc (Hons) *Melb.*
in March 2004

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in the School of Tropical Biology,
James Cook University of North Queensland

Statement of Access

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

“In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgment for any assistance which I may have obtained from it.”

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restrictions on access to this thesis.

.....

(Alex Kutt)

.....

(Date)

Statement on Sources

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from then published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

.....
(*Alex Kutt*)

.....
(*Date*)

Abstract

Approximately 20% of the Australian continent consists of tropical savanna rangelands, landscapes of dense native grass and scattered trees, dominated by cattle grazing. However a common problem in reviewing ecological patterns and processes of tropical savanna rangelands is the lack of adequate biological data for such conservation planning. James *et al.* (1995) and Fisher (2001a) recommended a framework for undertaking research in Australia's rangelands including identifying spatial and temporal patterns of biota, quantifying the impact of pastoralism, developing concepts and tools for regional conservation planning and identifying the effects of fire. The primary objective of this study was, using the framework described above, to examine the patterns within, and environmental controls on, the vertebrate fauna assemblage in the Desert Uplands Bioregion.

The Desert Uplands Bioregion

The Desert Uplands is one of six tropical savanna bioregions that occur in Queensland. It is very poorly studied, with quite meagre information regarding its native biota. Its climate is semi-arid and the vegetation consists predominantly of *Acacia* and *Eucalypt* woodlands, ephemeral lake habitats and grasslands. Of the original 6.8 million hectares of vegetation cover in the Desert Uplands, by 1999 over 900,000 hectares, representing almost 14% of the bioregion, were cleared. National Parks and resource reserves in the Desert Uplands currently cover 182,100 hectares, or 2.6% of the bioregion. Including data from this study, 431 vertebrate species (24 amphibians, 229 birds, 61 mammals, 117 reptiles) have been recorded in the bioregion, and this total includes 59 species of conservation significance. Beef cattle grazing is the major form of primary production, with a majority of the land being leasehold (>70%).

Zoogeographic context

The broad zoogeographic context of the Desert Uplands bioregion was investigated by examining composition of the vertebrate fauna in comparison to neighbouring Queensland bioregions and those across the northern Australian tropical savannas.

Given its recognition as a discrete entity, the Desert Uplands Bioregion should support landscapes and biota that are distinct from neighbouring bioregions. The region also includes a number of recognised biogeographic barriers and the confluence of three major drainage basins. Correlation, ordination and variation in measures of Beta-diversity were used to investigate patterns in the variation in species richness, composition and environment.

This broad overview of bioregional patterns of vertebrate assemblages identified the Desert Uplands fauna as, on the one hand, being typical of semi-arid regions and, on the other hand supporting a fauna of intergradation and replacement. Both inland and coastal elements are represented. Historically, the frontier of marked climate gradients would have oscillated across the Desert Uplands, and the distribution of many vertebrate fauna examples suggests this bioregion is central to vicariant speciation. A number of species typical either of more mesic or xeric environments reaches the edge of their range within the Desert Uplands. Three endemic reptiles were recorded which suggests a degree of isolation and speciation in this landscape.

Vertebrate fauna composition patterns

A systematic quadrat-based vertebrate fauna survey of the Desert Uplands Bioregion was undertaken to investigate the patterns of distribution, composition and abundance of species, and the environmental factors that determine these. A standardised quadrat array was used as the basic sampling unit, stratified by regional ecosystems – unique combinations of land units, vegetation and soils. Generalised linear modelling was used to investigate the relationship of environmental factors to within-quadrat species richness and species abundance. Gradients in vertebrate composition were examined using multivariate classification and ordination, and correlation with environmental variables.

The Desert Uplands fauna consisted of a mix of vertebrate species some restricted to particular habitats and environmental extremes (e.g. hummock and tussock grasslands), and others, which were more catholic, forming indistinct and overlapping suites of woodland species. This latter group was characterised by a core assemblage complemented by a series of more patchily distributed species that responded in various

ways to subtle environmental shifts (e.g. in substrate type, ground and canopy cover). Models exploring variation in species richness identified a positive relationship with structural complexity of the vegetation. Overall the patterns of species composition and distribution were commensurate with those recorded in the Mitchell grass, *Acacia* and *Eucalyptus* woodlands across the northern tropical savannas.

Regional ecosystems and other surrogates of vertebrate fauna diversity

An essential component of biodiversity conservation is selecting areas where conservation management effort will be concentrated. As regional ecosystems are the primary classification used for conservation planning in the Desert Uplands, the adequacy of this land unit as a surrogate for vertebrate fauna composition and distribution, and in particular sites of high species richness or sites with a high number of species of conservation significance, was examined using correlation, analysis of variance and measures of habitat breadth. The surrogate value of other land classifications was also tested using analysis of similarity. The patterns of spatial fidelity between the richness and composition of flora and fauna assemblages recorded was investigated via linear and matrix correlation. A minimum-set algorithm was used to investigate complementarity between regional ecosystems, vertebrate fauna and plant taxa using the quadrat samples.

In general, regional ecosystem types were found to have a broad correspondence to fauna composition variation, though there was clear partitioning in species composition between the more distinctive regional ecosystem types (e.g. grasslands versus woodlands), and blurring between types that were structurally similar. The spatial fidelity between biotic assemblages at a quadrat level was also varied, but was strongest between related groups (all vertebrates and component taxa, all plants, and upper ground strata). The minimum-set algorithm indicated that selecting quadrats to reserve species-rich taxa (ground cover plants, birds) will capture a majority of other species, but does not necessarily guarantee these will fully reserve the complement of rare species. Where there was high disparity in species richness, composition and structural heterogeneity between sites, there was better complementarity. This suggests that site complementarity techniques may be inadequate as a planning tool for targeting rare, unusual, seasonal or intangible biotic assemblages.

Effects of grazing and fire on fauna and flora.

The impacts of pastoral land use and fire history was investigated within a single widespread regional ecosystem - open *E. similis* woodland with *Triodia pungens* ground cover. Many properties have long or permanently ungrazed paddocks within this vegetation type due to the presence of heartleaf poison bush *Gastrolobium grandiflorum*. Using a subset of standardised quadrat samples, the impact of fire age and grazing history and the interaction of these two processes on fauna and plant composition were examined. Statistical investigation included analysis of similarity and ordination, and generalised linear modelling was used to explore in detail the species and group response to the treatments and interaction terms.

Fire and grazing had a significant influence on the distribution and abundance of a number of fauna and flora species and guilds with the impact of both processes combining to mute or accentuate the measured species responses. Time since fire is the best predictor of vertebrate species composition, while for ants grazing class was superior. However for terrestrial species such as reptiles and small mammals, the grazing effects were quite marked. There were several fire and grazing increaser and decreaser species with an interaction between fire and grazing clearly evident for some species (*Pseudomys desertor* and *P. delicatulus*). Shifts in structure of plant communities partially accounted for these patterns. These results suggest that in tropical savanna pastoral landscapes both grazing and fire effects should be quantified to avoid measuring spurious species responses. There is often suggestion that intermediate grazing pressure causes neutral biodiversity impacts, irrespective of fire effects, however these results indicate that even light to moderate grazing may result in some community disarray.

Table of Contents

Statement of Access	i
Statement on Sources	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents.....	vii
List of Tables.....	x
List of Figures	xii
Acknowledgements	xvi
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
Introduction.....	1
Objectives of Study.....	2
Structure of Thesis.....	5
The Desert Uplands Bioregion: an overview.....	6
<i>Location, landscape and climate</i>	6
<i>The regional ecosystem concept</i>	9
<i>Subregional characteristics</i>	11
<i>Regional ecosystem types, extent and reservation</i>	12
<i>Flora</i>	14
<i>Vertebrate Fauna</i>	15
<i>Pastoralism in the Desert Uplands</i>	18
Chapter 2. The Desert Uplands Bioregion: broad zoogeographical context.....	20
Introduction.....	20
Methods	24
<i>Sources of fauna data</i>	24
<i>Climatic, geographic and other environmental data</i>	26
<i>Bioregion richness</i>	26
<i>Bioregion species composition</i>	31
<i>Environmental vectors for bioregions</i>	31
<i>Environmental correlations with richness</i>	32
<i>Bioregional species turnover (beta diversity)</i>	32
<i>Species replacement</i>	33
Results.....	33
<i>Bioregion species richness</i>	33
<i>Correlates of bioregional species richness</i>	35
<i>Assemblage compositions, environmental gradients and species patterns</i>	36
<i>Bioregional species turnover</i>	47
<i>Relationships of Desert Uplands, sub-regions and neighbouring bioregions</i>	50
<i>Species patterns</i>	51
Discussion.....	63
<i>Bioregional species richness</i>	63
<i>Bioregion species composition and environmental correlates</i>	65
<i>Species patterns</i>	67
Conclusions.....	75
Chapter 3. Vertebrate fauna composition patterns and environmental gradients.85	85
Introduction.....	85
Methods	92
<i>Study sites</i>	92
<i>Vertebrate sampling</i>	93

<i>Environment and habitat sampling</i>	94
<i>Vertebrate species composition and groups</i>	95
<i>Environmental gradients</i>	96
<i>Plant species composition and correlation to fauna</i>	96
<i>Vertebrate guilds, family and species response to environment</i>	97
<i>Influences of area, habitat heterogeneity and productivity species richness</i>	98
Results	100
<i>Seasonal variation of vertebrates</i>	100
<i>Vertebrate species composition and groups</i>	102
<i>Environmental gradients</i>	108
<i>Plant species composition and correlation to fauna</i>	122
<i>Predictive models for fauna guilds, families and species</i>	124
<i>Area, habitat heterogeneity and productivity influence on species richness</i>	134
Discussion	137
<i>Species richness</i>	137
<i>Seasonal variation</i>	139
<i>Vertebrate species assemblages, composition and environmental gradients</i>	141
<i>Modelled species response to environment variables</i>	148
<i>Correlations with vegetation composition</i>	152
Conclusions	153
Chapter 4. Regional ecosystems and other surrogates of vertebrate fauna diversity	171
Introduction	171
Methods.....	179
<i>Species data</i>	179
<i>Land classification as a surrogate</i>	180
<i>Vertebrate fauna composition of regional ecosystems</i>	181
<i>Correspondence between site species richness</i>	183
<i>Correspondence between site species composition</i>	183
<i>Complementarity: regional ecosystems versus random selection</i>	183
<i>Complementarity: minimum sets for sites</i>	184
Results	186
<i>Species data</i>	186
<i>Land classification as a surrogate</i>	187
<i>Vertebrate fauna composition of regional ecosystems</i>	187
<i>Correspondence between regional ecosystems and species of conservation significance</i>	202
<i>Correspondence between site species richness</i>	204
<i>Correspondence between site species composition</i>	205
<i>Complementarity: regional ecosystems versus random selection</i>	207
<i>Complementarity: minimum sets for sites</i>	209
Discussion	216
<i>Land classifications as surrogates</i>	216
<i>Vertebrate fauna composition of regional ecosystems</i>	217
<i>Correspondence between regional ecosystems and species of conservation significance</i>	220
<i>Correspondence between site species richness</i>	223
<i>Correspondence between site species composition</i>	224
<i>Complementarity and minimum set selection</i>	226
Conclusions	228
Chapter 5. Effects of grazing and fire on fauna and flora in <i>Eucalyptus similis</i> tropical savanna woodland	235
Introduction	235
Methods.....	240
<i>Study sites</i>	240
<i>Vertebrate sampling</i>	241

Plant sampling.....	242
Ant sampling.....	242
Analysis.....	242
Results.....	244
Vertebrate composition.....	244
Vertebrate species and groups.....	247
Bird species and foraging groups.....	248
Mammal species and families.....	251
Reptile species and families.....	252
Ants.....	254
Ant species and functional groups.....	255
Plant species composition.....	259
Plant species.....	260
Discussion.....	264
Plants.....	265
Birds.....	267
Mammals.....	270
Reptiles.....	272
Ants.....	277
Conclusions.....	282
Chapter 6. General conclusions.....	288
References.....	293
Appendix 1.....	327
Couper, P.J., Amey, A.P. and Kutt, A.S. (2002). A new species of the genus <i>Ctenotus</i> (Scincidae) from Central Queensland. <i>Memoirs of the Queensland Museum</i> 48: 85-91.....	327
Appendix 2.....	337
Kutt, A.S. (2003) New records of the Julia Creek Dunnart <i>Sminthopsis douglasi</i> in central-north Queensland. <i>Australian Zoologist</i> 32: 257-260.....	337
Appendix 3.....	343
Kutt, A.S (2003) The Spinifexbird <i>Eremiornis carteri</i> (North, 1900) in the Desert Uplands Bioregion, central-north Queensland: another isolate or nomadic metapopulation? <i>Australian Zoologist</i> 32: 246-251.....	343
Appendix 4.....	351
Kutt, A.S, D.S. Hannah and N.Y. Thurgate (2003) Distribution, habitat and conservation status of the Brigalow scaly-foot <i>Paradelma orientalis</i> (Gunther 1876). <i>Australian Zoologist</i> 32: 261-264.....	351
Appendix 5.....	356
Kutt, A.S., Eddie, C. and Johnson, R. (2003) Eastern range extension of the Sandy Inland Mouse <i>Pseudomys hermannsburgensis</i> in central Queensland. <i>Australian Zoologist</i> 32: 252-256.....	356
Appendix 6.....	362
Kutt, A.S., Thurgate, N. and Hannah, D.S. (in press, 2003) Distribution and habitat of <i>Pseudomys desertor</i> Troughton in Queensland. <i>Wildlife Research</i> 30.....	362

Appendix 5..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

Kutt, A.S., Eddie, C. and Johnson, R. (2003) Eastern range extension of the Sandy Inland Mouse *Pseudomys hermannsburgensis* in central Queensland. *Australian Zoologist* 32: 252-256.**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Appendix 6..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

Kutt, A.S., Thurgate, N. and Hannah, D.S. (in press, 2003) Distribution and habitat of *Pseudomys desertor* Troughton in Queensland. *Wildlife Research* 30.. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Examples of regional ecosystems in the Desert Uplands	14
Table 1.2 Vertebrate fauna species of conservation significance recorded in the Desert Uplands Bioregion	17
Table 2.1 Species richness for bioregions in north and central Queensland and including a contiguous series of tropical savannas bioregions from the Northern Territory.....	34
Table 2.2 Spearman rank correlation between bioregion species richness and a range of environmental variables.....	35
Table 2.3 Average scores for all significantly correlated environmental variables identified in the ordinations	46
Table 2.4 (a-b) Jaccard coefficient of similarity for species composition for comparisons between Desert Uplands bioregion, sub-regions and directly adjacent bioregions	51
Table 2.5 List of all families and genera recorded in the bioregions examined in this study, including the number of species recorded for each within each bioregion	76
Table 3.1 Seasonal differences in abundance for species	101
Table 3.2 Characteristic fauna for each group identified via SIMPER routine and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity measures	107
Table 3.3 Mean scores for all habitat measures identified as significant vectors in the fauna ordinations	111
Table 3.4 Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) relationships between fauna group classification and a range of dissimilarity matrices derived for plant species composition	123
Table 3.5 Variance explained by each variable individually for all species, birds, mammals and frogs	135
Table 3.6 Minimum adequate models derived for fauna species richness per site	135
Table 3.7 Regional ecosystems codes and descriptions for each group.....	155
Table 3.8 Mean abundance and richness of bird guilds and mammal and reptile families recorded in the survey	156
Table 3.9 Mean abundance of all species recorded in study	158
Table 3.10 Minimum adequate models derived for vertebrate guilds and families	164
Table 3.11 Minimum adequate models derived for selected guilds, families and species	166
Table 3.12 Species guild and family membership	169
Table 4.1 Species conservation significance comprising the EVR data set	187
Table 4.2 Analysis of similarity between land classifications and vertebrate fauna taxa and plant groups	188
Table 4.3 Regional ecosystem codes and short descriptions	190
Table 4.4 Habitat breadth of vertebrate fauna from at least three quadrats	191
Table 4.5 List of the vertebrate fauna species recorded only in one regional ecosystem.....	200
Table 4.6 Mean species richness per quadrat for fauna within each regional ecosystem.....	202
Table 4.7 Mean habitat breadth per quadrat of all fauna taxa recorded within each regional ecosystem type	203
Table 4.8 Mean richness for vertebrate and plant taxa, within each regional ecosystem category of conservation significance	204
Table 4.9 Mean abundance for vertebrate EVRs within each regional ecosystem category of conservation significance	205
Table 4.10 Relationship between vertebrate and plant quadrat species richness	206

Table 4.11 Relationship between vertebrate and EVR quadrat species richness	206
Table 4.12 Results of Mantel tests estimating correlations between composition of vertebrates and plants	207
Table 4.13 Results of the minimum-set algorithm to select sets of sites that contain 100% of the target taxon	210
Table 4.14 The level of representation of each regional ecosystem in the minimum-set analysis that captures 100% of the vertebrate and plant species	213
Table 4.15 Mean abundance per regional ecosystem of all species recorded	230
Table 5.1 Mean scores for all habitat measures identified as significant vectors in both the fauna and ant ordinations	247
Table 5.2 Analysis of Similarity relationships for <i>a priori</i> classifications for fire, grazing and site groups	249
Table 5.3 Mantel tests estimating correlations between composition of vertebrates and plants and major taxa	261
Table 5.4 Mean cover abundance for plant species recorded in five or more quadrats	264
Table 5.5 Minimum adequate models derived for fauna species and groups.....	285
Table 5.6 Minimum adequate models derived for ant species and functional groups.....	288

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Location of the Desert Uplands bioregion in Queensland	7
Figure 1.2 Climate averages for Charters Towers and Barcaldine Post Offices	8
Figure 2.1 Location of biogeographic regions mentioned in text and analysis	27
Figure 2.2 Mean annual rainfall for northern Australia	27
Figure 2.3 Mean annual maximum temperature for northern Australia.....	28
Figure 2.4 Mean annual minimum temperature for northern Australia	28
Figure 2.5 Digital elevation model for northern Australia	29
Figure 2.6 Major drainage systems, catchment boundaries and Great Dividing Range	29
Figure 2.7 Major avifauna zoogeographical barriers	30
Figure 2.8 Major biotic regions of Australia	30
Figure 2.10 (a) Ordination bioregions by species	41
Figure 2.10 (b) Weighted mean ordination score for all amphibian genera	41
Figure 2.11 (a) Ordination of bioregions by species composition for birds	42
Figure 2.11 (b) Weighted mean ordination score for Cacatuidae and Psittacidae	42
Figure 2.11 (c) Weighted mean ordination score for Meliphagidae	43
Figure 2.12 (a) Ordination of all bioregions by species composition for mammals	43
Figure 2.12 (b) Weighted mean ordination score for Dasyuridae	44
Figure 2.12 (c) Weighted mean ordination score for Muridae	44
Figure 2.13 (a) Ordination of all bioregions by species composition for reptiles	45
Figure 2.13 (b) Weighted mean ordination score for Scincidae	45
Figure 2.13 (c) Weighted mean ordination score for Colubridae and Elapidae	46
Figure 2.14 (a) Constellation diagram for amphibians	48
Figure 2.14 (b) Constellation diagram for birds.....	48
Figure 2.14 (c) Constellation diagram for mammals	49
Figure 2.14 (d) Constellation diagram for reptiles	49
Figure 2.15 Comparisons of species turnover in a sequence of the Queensland Coast (WET) to the inland (CHC)	50
Figure 2.16 Three species of <i>Uperoleia</i> (Myobatrachidae) indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	53
Figure 2.17 Two species of <i>Malurus</i> (Maluridae) indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	53
Figure 2.18 A pair of <i>Diplodactylus</i> (Gekkonidae) species indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	54
Figure 2.19 A pair of <i>Gehyra</i> (Gekkonidae) species indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	54
Figure 2.20 A pair of <i>Antaresia</i> (Boidae) species indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	55
Figure 2.21 Three species of <i>Planigale</i> (Dasyuridae) indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	55
Figure 2.22 Two species of <i>Leggadina</i> (Muridae) indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	56
Figure 2.23 Two species of <i>Pseudomys</i> (Muridae) indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	56

Figure 2.24 Two species of <i>Rattus</i> (Muridae) indicating a degree of replacement through the Desert Uplands bioregion	57
Figure 2.25 Two species of <i>Tympanocryptis</i> (Agamidae) whose eastern distribution extends into the Desert Uplands bioregion	57
Figure 2.26 One species of <i>Diplodactylus</i> and <i>Oedura</i> (Gekkonidae) whose western distribution extends into the Desert Uplands bioregion	58
Figure 2.27 One species of <i>Tiliqua</i> (Scincidae) and one <i>Varanus</i> (Varanidae) whose distributions extends into the Desert Uplands bioregion	58
Figure 2.28 Two rare reptile species, <i>Paradelma orientalis</i> (Pygopodidae) and <i>Simoselaps warro</i> (Elapidae) whose western distributions extends into the Desert Uplands bioregion	59
Figure 2.29 Two honeyeater species (Meliphagidae) whose western distribution extends into the Desert Uplands bioregion	59
Figure 2.30 Distribution of the Spinifexbird (Sylviidae) with a clearly disjunct eastern distribution extending into the Desert Uplands bioregion	60
Figure 2.31 Two gliding possum species (Petauridae) whose western distribution extends into the Desert Uplands bioregion	60
Figure 2.32 Two <i>Sminthopsis</i> species (Dasyuridae) with distributional limits occurring the Desert Uplands bioregion	61
Figure 2.33 Distribution of <i>Pseudomys desertor</i> (Muridae) recorded in high abundances throughout the Desert Uplands bioregion	61
Figure 2.34 Distribution of <i>Ctenotus rosarium</i> (Scincidae) a species recently described and seemingly restricted to the Alice Tablelands in Desert Uplands bioregion	62
Figure 2.35 Distribution of <i>Lerista</i> sp nov (Scincidae), potentially another taxonomically distinct species currently only known from localities within the Desert Uplands bioregion	62
Figure 3.1 Dendrogram derived from Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix	102
Figure 3.2 Two-dimensional ordination of vertebrate species composition for each sample site	103
Figure 3.3 Location of the quadrats sampled in the Desert Uplands	109
Figure 3.4. Two-dimensional ordination illustrating the significant environmental vectors ...	112
Figure 3.5 (a) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Crested Bellbirds	112
Figure 3.5 (b) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Australian Magpies	113
Figure 3.5 (c) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Crested Pigeons	113
Figure 3.5 (d) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Peaceful Doves	114
Figure 3.5 (e) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Galahs	114
Figure 3.5 (f) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Nankeen Kestrels	115
Figure 3.5 (g) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Little Friarbirds	115
Figure 3.5 (h) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Noisy Friarbirds	116
Figure 3.5 (i) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Weebills	116
Figure 3.5 (j) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Striated Pardalotes	117
Figure 3.5 (k) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Terrestrial insectivores	117
Figure 3.5 (l) Ordination indicating relative abundance of nectarivores	118
Figure 3.5 (m) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Muridae	118
Figure 3.5 (n) Ordination indicating relative abundance of Dasyuridae	119
Figure 3.5 (o) Ordination indicating relative abundance of <i>Carlia munda</i>	119
Figure 3.5 (p) Ordination indicating relative abundance of <i>Ctenotus hebetior</i>	120

Figure 3.5 (q) Ordination indicating relative abundance of <i>Ctenotus pantherinus</i>	120
Figure 3.5 (r) Ordination indicating relative abundance of <i>Heteronotia binoei</i>	121
Figure 3.5 (s) Ordination indicating relative abundance of <i>Gehyra catenata</i>	121
Figure 3.5 (t) Ordination indicating relative abundance of <i>Diplodactylus steindachneri</i>	122
Figure 3.6 Two-dimensional ordination of plant species composition	123
Figure 3.7 (a) Modelled relationship between six bird species and basal area	126
Figure 3.7 (b) Modelled relationship between six bird species and FPC 1-3 m	126
Figure 3.7 (c) Modelled relationship between five bird species and bare ground cover	127
Figure 3.7 (d) Modelled relationship between two bird species and grass cover	127
Figure 3.7 (e) Modelled relationship between four bird species, grass and bare ground cover	128
Figure 3.7 (f) Modelled relationship between three mammal species and basal area	129
Figure 3.7 (g) Modelled relationship between four mammal species and hummock grass	129
Figure 3.7 (h) Modelled relationship between four mammal species, grass and ground cover	130
Figure 3.7 (i) Modelled relationship between five reptile species and bare ground cover	131
Figure 3.7 (j) Modelled relationship between five reptile species and basal area	132
Figure 3.7 (k) Modelled relationship between three reptile species and FPC 1-3 m	132
Figure 3.7 (l) Modelled relationship between five bird species and hummock grass cover	133
Figure 3.7 (m) Modelled relationship between seven reptile species and soil type	133
Figure 3.8 (a) Predicted mean species richness of all vertebrates, birds, mammals and reptiles for each structural class	136
Figure 3.8 (b) Predicted mean species richness of birds for each landzone class	136
Figure 4.1 Two-dimensional ordination of quadrats by fauna composition	189
Figure 4.2 Frequency distribution of habitat breadths for all vertebrate taxa	195
Figure 4.3 (a) The general relationship between the number of regional ecosystems and the number of quadrats for birds, mammals and reptiles	197
Figure 4.3 (b) The relationship for birds, with selected species illustrated	198
Figure 4.3 (c) The relationship for mammals, with selected species illustrated	198
Figure 4.3 (d) The relationship for reptiles, with selected species illustrated	199
Figure 4.4 Two-dimensional ordination indicating the extent of assemblage fidelity between the vertebrate and plant taxa	208
Figure 4.5 (a) Random quadrat selection versus selection via regional ecosystems (n=28)	209
Figure 4.5 (b) Random quadrat selection versus selection via regional ecosystems (n=56)	209
Figure 4.6 (a-f) Results of the minimum-set algorithm indicating the relative species accumulation curves against number of sites chosen, and therefore the complementarity between target and non-target taxon	214
Figure 4.6 (a) Selection using bird species	214
Figure 4.6 (b) Selection using reptile species	214
Figure 4.6 (c) Selection using mammal species	215
Figure 4.6 (d) Selection using EVR species	215
Figure 4.6 (e) Selection using upper strata plant species	216
Figure 4.6 (f) Selection using ground strata plant species	216
Figure 5.1 Location of Fortuna, Albionvale and Bede Stations	242
Figure 5.2 Ordination of quadrats by vertebrate fauna composition labelled with fire class, including significant environmental vectors	247

Figure 5.3 Modelled relationship between abundance of Singing Honeyeater, Black-faced Woodswallow, Red-browed Pardalote, <i>Ctenophorus nuchalis</i> and Pygopodidae with increasing time since fire	250
Figure 5.4 Modelled relationship between abundance of Rufous Whistler and Pallid Cuckoo with increasing time since fire, and including the interaction with grazing	251
Figure 5.5 Modelled relationship between abundance of Jacky Winter and Rainbow Bee-eater with increasing time since fire, and including the interaction with grazing	251
Figure 5.6 Modelled relationship between abundance of Granivores and Terrestrial Insectivores with increasing time since fire, and including the interaction with grazing	252
Figure 5.7 Modelled relationship between abundance of <i>Pseudomys desertor</i> and <i>P. delicatulus</i> with increasing time since fire, and including the interaction with grazing	253
Figure 5.8 Modelled relationship between abundance of <i>Ctenopus pantherinus</i> with increasing time since fire, with and without grazing	254
Figure 5.9 Modelled relationship between abundance of geckos (Gekkonidae) and <i>Ctenopus hebetior</i> with increasing time since fire, and the interaction with grazing	255
Figure 5.10 Ordination of quadrats by ant composition labelled with grazing class, including significant environmental vectors	256
Figure 5.11 Modelled relationship between abundance of <i>Rhytidoponera</i> sp nr (<i>rufithorax</i>) <i>Myrmecia callima</i> with increasing time since fire, with and without grazing	257
Figure 5.12 Modelled relationship between abundance of <i>Melophorus</i> sp A (<i>aeneovirens</i> gp) with increasing time since fire, with and without grazing	258
Figure 5.13 Modelled relationship between abundance of <i>Iridomyrmex hartmeyeri</i> and <i>Tetramorium ?sjostedti</i> with increasing time since fire, and the interaction with grazing	259
Figure 5.14 Modelled relationship between abundance of <i>Rhytidoponera</i> sp C (<i>mayri</i> gp) and <i>Camponotus</i> sp nr <i>denticulatus</i>	259
Figure 5.15 Modelled relationship between abundance of <i>Rhytidoponera</i> sp nr <i>hilli</i> Opportunists and Specialist Predators	260
Figure 5.16 Ordination of quadrats by plant composition labelled with fire and grazing class, including significant environmental vectors	262
Figure 5.17 Weighted mean ordination score for plants recorded in more than five quadrats	263

Acknowledgements

A large number of people and organisations assisted in the successful completion of this project. I wish to acknowledge their help with sincere and heartfelt thanks.

Jeanette Kemp, Queensland Herbarium, Environmental Protection Agency, Townsville.

John Woinarski, Alaric Fisher, Owen Price, Greg Connors, Craig Hempel and Damien Milne, Biodiversity Unit, Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Environment, Northern Territory.

The landholders of the Desert Uplands especially: the Herrods (Moonoomoo, Yarrowmere), the Hollingsworths (Ulcanbah), the Lyons (Wambiana), the Leggets (Ulva), the Houses (Fortuna), the Mitchells (Albionvale), the Darts (Bannockburn), Harringtons (Ashton), the Yores (Fleetwood) and the rangers at Moorinya, Forest Den and White Mountains National Parks.

The Desert Uplands Build-up and Development Committee in particular: Margaret House (Fortuna) and Lesley Marshall (Swanlea).

Gethin Morgan, Murray Whitehead, Mal Lorimer, Dave Hannah, Nikki Thurgate, Juliana McCosker and Sharon King, Queensland Environmental Protection Agency and John Thompson, Queensland Herbarium, Brisbane.

Richard Pearson and Chris Johnson, School of Tropical Biology, James Cook University.

Alan Andersen and Tony Hartog, CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Darwin.

Stephen Van Dyck, Andrew Amey, Patrick Couper, Jeanette Covacevich and Heather Janetski from the Queensland Museum.

Funding for the project was provided by: the Australian Heritage Commission, Tropical Savannas CRC, Queensland Environmental Protection Agency, James Cook University, Royal Geographic Society of Queensland and Australian Geographic.