TITLE PAGE

The structure and dynamics of spawning aggregations of coral reef fish

Thesis submitted by

John Alexander Brightman CLAYDON Bsc(hons), MappSc

In April 2005

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy In Marine Biology Within the School of Marine Biology and Aquaculture James Cook University

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

21/04/05

JOHN A.B. CLAYDON

Date

STATEMENT ON SOURCES

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given. All procedures carried out in this project were approved by the Animal Ethics Sub-committee (Approval Number: A728_01).

21/04/05

JOHN A.B. CLAYDON

Date

ELECTRONIC COPY

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

21/04/05

JOHN A.B. CLAYDON

Date

STATEMENT ON CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

This project was funded by a number of parties: Bernard Dyer paid university fees; time in the field was co-sponsored by the Mahonia na Dari Research and Conservation Centre and Max Benjamin of the Walindi Plantation Resort, Kimbe Bay, Papua New Guinea under the Mahonia na Dari Researcher in Residence Fellowship; The Nature Conservancy paid for flights to Papua New Guinea.

Editorial assistance was given by my PhD supervisors, Geoff Jones and Mark McCormick.

ACKNOWLEDGMENENTS

There are a large number of people without whom this project would never have happened. Firstly, I would like to thank Bernard Dyer for his unfaltering financial support. Max Benjamin, of the Walindi Plantation Resort, Kimbe Bay, New Britain, Papua New Guinea, for access to boats, workshops, fuel, and wonderful food, without which fieldwork would have been impossible. The Mahonia na Dari Research and Conservation Centre, Kimbe Bay, for granting me the position of Researcher in Residence, logistical support, housing me and allowing me to carry out my studies. The Nature Conservancy, both for financial and logistical support. My supervisors, Mark McCormick and Geoff Jones, for their help, expertise and encouragement.

I also wish to thank all those that helped me in the field: Charlotte, Costa, Nina, Sarah, Miguel, Vanessa, Nat, and Jo. I hope it wasn't too distressful. Thanks to all my friends from Walindi, Mahonia and TNC – my work would have suffered were it not for the "wealth" of Shannon's local knowledge. A very special thanks to Francis who is sadly no longer with us.

I wish to thank all my neglected friends and family who still tolerate me despite woefully inadequate effort on my part (I can't blame it all on temperamental phone lines, sorry, Moo). Thanks to Mike for continuing to house me whenever I made it back to Townsville.

Finally, a very special thanks to my long-suffering parents and their tireless emotional and financial support. Your understanding throughout a decade of tertiary education is greatly appreciated.

ABSTRACT

The broad objective of this thesis is to improve the general understanding of the structure and dynamics of spawning aggregations of coral reef fish The specific aims are to identify and characterise: (1) the species of coral reef fishes that formed spawning aggregations, (2) the locations where spawning aggregations were formed, (3) the periodicity with which these aggregations were formed, and (4) individuals' patterns of migration to spawning aggregation sites. All fieldwork was conducted on the inshore reefs of Kimbe Bay, New Britain, Papua New Guinea (5°30'S 150°6'E).

Spawning aggregations of reef fish were located by intensively searching areas of reef on snorkel at all times of the day from before dawn to after dusk. After >2,000hours of observations made between June 2001 to May 2004, 37 species from 6 families were observed forming spawning aggregations at 38 sites spread over 7 reefs. All species were relatively large (none <10cm max T.L.) and all but one species spawned pelagically. There was no relationship between a species' population density and whether it formed spawning aggregations, nor was spawning aggregation formation itself a density dependent phenomenon, with aggregations ranging in size from 3 to 2000 individuals. Most spawning aggregation sites were used by multiple species, with a maximum of 27 species spawning aggregatively at one site of <10x10m.

A selection of spawning aggregation sites were monitored on 3 reefs in order to establish the periodicities with which different species spawned. Of the 13 species for which such periodicities could be established, spawning occurred year round, and all but one species spawned during all 4 lunar quarters. Spawning occurred more often during high tides, but no species spawned predictably more often during either ebb or flood tides. Aggregative spawning was seen at times ranging from dawn (05:45hrs) to dusk (18:00hrs). However, each species had a fixed diel interval during which it spawned. For all species this interval spanned no more than 6 hours, but for most it was less than 2. For the one species with enough data for analysis, *Ctenochaetus striatus*, this diel spawning interval differed significantly between sites within reefs.

The physical and biotic characteristics found at spawning aggregation sites of Ctenochaetus striatus were compared to those found at alternative sites. Within spawning aggregation sites, characteristics that varied temporally were compared between times of spawning and times of no spawning. It was predicted that spawning aggregations would be formed at sites and times with characteristics that limited predation on both spawning adults and their pelagically spawned eggs. Characteristics predicted to reduce predation on eggs included: seaward projecting margins of reef, steep slopes, currents flowing rapidly off-reef (as measured by purpose-built devices), and low densities of planktivores. Characteristics predicted to reduce predation on adults included: topographically complex substratum with a large number of holes providing refuge from predators, and low densities of piscivorous fish. The only physical feature consistently distinguishing spawning aggregation sites from alternative locations was that they were found on margins of reef that projected seawards, rather than flatter or concave margins. However, many seaward projections were not used as spawning aggregation sites, and any potential anti-predatory benefit from this feature was likely to be outweighed by: (1) the greater biomasses of planktivores found at spawning aggregation sites, (2) the frequently observed predation on recently spawned eggs, and (3) certain species of egg predator being attracted to spawning aggregation sites at times of spawning. Spawning aggregations were not formed at distinctive sites with regard to current speed or direction, and there was no difference between the currents at times of aggregative spawning and those at other times within sites.

Individuals' patterns of migration from home ranges to spawning aggregations were documented following an extensive tagging program of *Ctenochaetus striatus*. Tagged individuals were consistently resighted within limited home ranges (max. diameter averaging <13m). Tagged individuals were seen in spawning aggregations on a total of 549 occasions at 13 sites on 3 reefs. The maximum distance migrated was 291m. No tagged individuals were witnessed spawning at more than one site. Most resighted individuals migrated to the spawning aggregation site that was closest to their home range. However, the few individuals that migrated to sites further away always spawned at sites where the spawning aggregation was larger than that found closest to their home

range. Neither the size nor the sex of individuals limited migration distance. However, males migrated more frequently than females, and larger females migrated more frequently than smaller ones. Migration distance did not affect the frequency with which individuals spawned.

The notion that spawning aggregations are formed at intrinsically beneficial sites and times is not supported by the results of this study. Strong theoretical arguments are proposed that question the mechanisms by which such adaptation could arise. It is concluded that the sites and times of spawning aggregation formation in *Ctenochaetus striatus* in Kimbe Bay are not adaptive beyond their clarity as cues that enable conspecifics from home ranges with limited or no overlap to synchronise spawning in space and time. Patterns of spawning aggregation formation and migration suggest that tradition plays a more significant role than resource assessment in determining where and when individuals spawn. The use of small species of aggregative spawners as biological models has the potential to greatly enhance understanding of spawning aggregation formation in certain species of commercially exploited reef fish.

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION
1.1 BACKGROUND:
1.1.1 DEFINITION OF SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS
1.1.2 GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE OF SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS:
1.1.3 Hypotheses relevant to thesis:
1.2 THESIS OUTLINE
1.3 PUBLICATIONS ARISING:
CHAPTER 2: SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE
2.1 INTRODUCTION
2.2 WHAT ARE SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS?
2.3 WHICH SPECIES SPAWN IN AGGREGATIONS?
2.3.1 Phylogenetic distribution
2.3.2 BODY SIZE
2.3.3 Spawning mode
2.3.4 POPULATION DENSITY
2.4 WHERE ARE SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS FORMED? 2
2.5 WHEN ARE SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS FORMED?
2.6 HYPOTHESES
2.6.1 Hypotheses explaining the phenomenon of Aggregative spawning:
2.6.2 Hypotheses explaining the location and timing of spawning aggregations:
2.7 INTERPRETING BEHAVIOURAL TRAITS OF OPEN POPULATIONS, A
CAVEAT
2.8 CONCLUSION
CHAPTER 3: SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS: SPECIES, LOCATION AND TIMING
3 1 INTRODUCTION A
3 1 1 AIMS
3 2 METHODS 5
3 2 1 LOCATING SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS 5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.2.2 Spawning Rhythms: Seasonal, Lunar, Diel and Tidal:	56
3.2.3 Species presence, abundance and size	56
3.2.4 DATA ANALYSES	57
3.3 RESULTS	58
3.3.1 Species identified forming spawning aggregations	58
3.3.2 MULTIPLE SPECIES USE OF SITES	64
3.3.3 SEASONAL AND LUNAR PERIODICITY	65
3.3.4 TIME OF DAY OF SPAWNING	70
3.3.5 Spawning in relation to the tide	73
3.4 DISCUSSION	78
3.4 DISCUSSION	79
3.4.1 CHARACTERISTICS OF SPECIES FOUND FORMING SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS	79
3.4.2 MULTIPLE SPECIES USE OF SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS SITES	81
3.4.3 Spawning periodicity	82
3.4.4 TRADE-OFF BETWEEN PREDATORY THREATS	83
3.4.5 CREPUSCULAR VS. DAY SPAWNING	84
3.4.6 INTRASPECIFIC VARIATION IN SPAWNING TIMES BETWEEN AND WITHIN REEFS	84
	85
3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES 3.5 CONCLUSION	87 TIC
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88 88
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88 88 90
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88 88 90 92
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88 88 90 92 92
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88 98 90 92 92 92
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88 88 90 92 92 92 93
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	87 TIC 88 90 90 92 92 92 92 92 93 94
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	
 3.4.7 UNWARRANTED ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING TIDAL AND LUNAR CYCLES	

4.4.1 SEAWARD PROJECTIONS AND REEF SLOPE:	109
4.4.2 Refuge from predation:	109
4.4.3 PISCIVORES AND PLANKTIVORES:	110
4.4.4 CONTINUED SPAWNING DESPITE PREDATION OF EGGS:	
4.5 CONCLUSION	113
CHAPTER 5: SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS AND CURRENTS	115
5.1 INTRODUCTION	115
5.1.1 AIMS	118
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS:	120
5.2.1 Study species:	120
5.2.2 Study area:	120
5.2.3 CURRENT MEASURING DEVICE:	120
5.2.4 OFF-REEF CURRENT SPEED:	121
5.2.5 STUDY SITES:	122
5.2.6 DATA COLLECTION:	123
5.2.7 DATA ANALYSES:	
5.3 RESULTS:	
5.3.1 GENERAL PATTERNS OF CURRENTS:	
5.3.2 SPECIES RECORDED SPAWNING IN AGGREGATIONS:	
5.3.3 CHOICE OF SPAWNING AGGREGATION SITES WITHIN REEFS:	125
5.3.4 CURRENTS AT TIMES OF AGGREGATIVE SPAWNING:	
5.4 DISCUSSION	136
5.4.1 CAN CURRENTS ENHANCE THE SURVIVAL OF EGGS?	
5.4.2 Are coral reef fish able to predict currents?	
5.4.3 ARE SPAWNING SITES AND TIMES DICTATED BY FACTORS OTHER THAN CURR 5.4.4 LOCATION AND TIME OF SPAWNING ¹ INTRINSICALLY ADAPTIVE OR CUES FOR	ents? 138
SYNCHRONY?	
5.4.5 BROADER IMPLICATIONS OF STUDY:	
5.5 CONCLUSION	140
CHAPTER 6: SPAWNING AGGREGATIONS OF REEF FISH: PATTER MIGRATION	INS OF
6.1 INTRODUCTION	
6.1.1 AIMS:	144
6 2 METHODS.	1/5
0.2 MIE I HOUS:	
0.2.1 STUDY AKEA AND STUDY SPECIES	145 1 <i>15</i>
6.2.2 TAGOING AND DETERMINING POSITIONS OF RESIGNTED INDIVIDUALS	
V, ω, J, ω and ides	

6.3 RESULTS:	148
6.3.1 TAGGING OVERVIEW:	
6.3.2 Home ranges and spawning migrations:	151
6.3.3 SPAWNING FREQUENCY:	152
6.4 DISCUSSION	155
6.4 DISCUSSION	155
6.4.1 Non-reproductive individuals:	
6.4.2 COST-BENEFIT OPTIMISATION VS. TRADITION:	158
6.4.3 METAPOPULATIONS	159
6.5 CONCLUSION:	159
CHAPTER 7: GENERAL DISCUSSION	160
7.1 SYNTHESIS OF RESULTS:	160
7.2 ADAPTATION IN SPAWNING AGGREGATION FORMATION:	161
7.2.1 Resource assessment	
7.2.2 BEHAVIOURAL "RULES OF THUMB"	163
7.3 FUTURE RESEARCH	164
LITERATURE CITED	165
APPENDICES	180
APPENDIX 1: PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM PHD PROJECT:	180

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. The distribution of species of coral reef fishes known to form spawning	
aggregations amongst families	. 15
Figure 2.2. Size-frequency distribution of coral reef fishes known to form spawning	
aggregations	. 17
Figure 2.3. Annual cumulative distance migrated to spawning aggregation sites by cor	al
reef fishes	. 18
Figure 2.4. The hypothetical interrelationship between population density migration	
distance and the probability that populations will form a spawning aggregations	. 20
Figure 2.5. The predator satiation hypothesis	. 35
Figure 3.1. Study area, Kimbe Bay, West New Briatin Province, Papua New Guinea	
5°30'S 150°6'E	. 50
Figure 3.2. Study reefs close to the Mahonia na Dari Research and Conservation Centr	re
	. 51
Figure 3.3. Kume reef and sites studies	. 52
Figure 3.4. Hanging Gardens reef and sites studied	. 53
Figure 3.5. Maya's reef and sites studied	. 54
Figure 3.6. Limuka reef and sites studied	. 54
Figure 3.7. Size frequency distribution of species of coral reef fish in Kimbe Bay and	
those found forming spawning aggregations	. 64
Figure 3.8. Annual patterns of spawning activity in different species of reef fish	. 66
Figure 3.9. Lunar spawning patterns in Ctenochaetus striatus	. 67
Figure 3.10. Lunar spawning patterns of reef fish species	. 68
Figure 3.11. Diel patterns of spawning in species of reef fish	. 71
Figure 3.12. Diel patterns of spawning in Ctenochaetus striatus at different sites	. 72
Figure 3.13. Tidal patterns of spawning in species of reef fish	. 75
Figure 3.14. Tidal patterns of spawning in Ctenochaetus striatus at different sites	. 76
Figure 3.15. Tidal heights over which species of reef fish spawned	. 77
Figure 3.16. Tidal heights over which Ctenochaetus striatus spawned	. 78
Figure 4.1. Inshore study reefs of Hanging Gardens, Maya's and Limuka	. 91

Figure 4.2. Measurement of maximum seaward projection	94
Figure 4.3. Broad-scale physical characteristics of spawning aggregation sites of	
Ctenochaetus striatus	97
Figure 4.4. Fine-scale physical characterisites of spawning aggregation sites of	
Ctenochaetus striatus and alternative sites	99
Figure 4.5. Piscivore and planktivore presence at spawning aggregation sites of	
Ctenochaetus striatus and alternative sites	100
Figure 4.6. Abundance ofpiscivores and target egg predators during spawning and	times
of no spawning	103
Figure 4.7. The difference in planktivore presence at sites between times in the after	ernoon
and dusk	104
Figure 5.1. Inshore study reefs of Hanging Gardens, Limuka and Maya's	119
Figure 5.2. Current measuring device	121
Figure 5.3. Calculation of off-reef current speeds	122
Figure 5.4. Mean current speed and direction at Hanging Gardens, Limuka and Ma	ya's
with time relative to peak high tide	129
Figure 5.5. Mean current speed and direction at Hanging Gardens, Limuka and Ma	iya's
with time	130
Figure 5.6. Mean off reef current speed and mean current speed at all sites on Hang	ging
Gardens, Limuka and Maya's	131
Figure 5.7. Proportion of all currents measured that flow directly off-reef at all site	s on all
reefs	132
Figure 5.8. Relationship between characterisitcs of the currents measured, range of	f
directions off-reef, and number of species forming spawning aggregations at a site	133
Figure 5.9. The currents at time of spawning by all species and Ctenochaetus stria.	tus
alone compared to other currents recorded at that site	134
Figure 5.10. Proportion of currents flowing directly off-reef at time of spawning	
compared to all currents at site for all species and Ctenochaetus striatus alone	135
Figure 6.1. Study reefs of Kume, Hanging Gardens and Maya's	144
Figure 6.2. Ctenochaetus striatus tagged with beads	145
Figure 6.3. Size-frequency distribution of tagged Ctenochaetus striatus	149

Figure 6.4. Mean maximum dimension of <i>Ctenochaetus striatus</i> home ranges	149
Figure 6.5. The locations of tagged Ctenochaetus striatus seen spawning on Hanging	
Gardens, Kume and Maya's	150
Figure 6.6 Mean sizes (S.L.) of tagged individuals seen migrating to spawning	
aggregation sites closest to home ranges and those migrating to site further away	153
Figure 6.7. The relationship between the size of spawning aggregations, maximum	
migration distance, frequency of migration and individuals' sizes (S.L.)	154

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Species of coral reef fish known to form spawning aggregations	11
Table 2.2. Reef features documented where spawning aggregations are formed	23
Table 2.3. The periodicity of spawning aggregations of species with data from multiple	ple
locations	31
Table 3.1. Species found forming spawning aggregations on inshore reefs of Kimbe	Bay
	60
Table 3.2. Probable but unconfirmed spawning aggregations	63
Table 3.3. Species seen spawning pelagically outside of aggregations	63
Table 3.4. Lunar, diel and tidal patterns to spawning	69
Table 3.5. Diel and tidal spawning patterns of <i>Ctenochaetus striatus</i>	73
Table 4.1. Broad-scale physical chatacteristics of spawning aggregation sites	98
Table 4.2. Fine-scale physical characteristics, planktivore biomass and piscivore pre-	sence
	101
Table 4.3. The response of piscivores to spawning aggregations	104
Table 4.4. Species observed feeding on eggs spawned by Ctenochaetus striatus	107
Table 4.5. Biomass of planktivores and target egg predators at times in the afternoon	and
dusk	107
Table 4.6. Feeding response of target egg predators to spawning aggregations of	
Ctenochaetus striatus	108
Table 5.1. Student's t-tests between current speeds during aggregative spawning of	
Ctenochaetus striatus and current speeds at other times	127
Table 5.2. Student t-tests between current speeds during aggregative spawning of all	
species combined and current speeds at other times	127
Table 5.3. Williams corrected Goodness-of-fit G-tests between the frequencies of off	-reef
and on-reef currents at times of spawning compared to that predicted by the general	
pattern of currents at the site in question	128