

JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Hazel, Julia (2009) *Turtles and vessels: threat evaluation and behavioural studies of green turtles in near-shore foraging grounds*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/10680>



**Turtles and vessels:
threat evaluation and behavioural studies of
green turtles in near-shore foraging grounds**

Thesis submitted by

Julia Hazel B.Sc. G.Dip.Res.Meth.

October, 2009

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

In the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

James Cook University

Townsville, Queensland, Australia

Statement of access

I, Julia Hazel, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make my thesis available for use within the university library and, via the Australian digital thesis network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act.

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

.....

.....

Julia Hazel

Date

Statement of sources

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. I note that Chapter 2 builds upon preliminary work I conducted as a minor project for my Graduate Diploma in Research Methods at James Cook University. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given. I would be pleased to hear from any copyright owner who has been accidentally omitted or incorrectly acknowledged.

.....

Julia Hazel

Date

Declaration on Ethics

The research presented and reported in this thesis was conducted within the guidelines for research ethics outlined in the *Joint NHMRC/AVCC Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice* (1997), the *James Cook University Policy on Experimentation Ethics. Standard Practices and Guidelines* (2001), and the *James Cook University Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice* (2001). The proposed research methodology received clearance from the James Cook University Ethics Review Committee (approval numbers A843, A898 and A948).

.....

Julia Hazel

Date

Statement on the contribution of others

Funding for field research

CRC Reef Research Centre

Sea World Rescue and Research Foundation

Tangalooma Marine Research and Education Foundation

James Cook University

Anonymous private donor

In-kind support for field research

Tangalooma Marine Research and Education Foundation

James Cook University

Project initiation

Professor Helene Marsh, Graduate Research School, James Cook University

Supervision

Dr Ivan Lawler, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, James Cook University

Associate Professor Simon Robson, School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University

Turtle stranding records (Chapter 2)

Queensland Environment Protection Authority

Acknowledgements

I am deeply grateful to everyone who helped me reach the starting point for this PhD and then get through its trials and tribulations during my six years of part-time candidature. There have been so many people in total that it is impossible to thank everyone individually here. Most of all I thank my parents, siblings, extended family and friends who supported and encouraged me and suppressed their occasional doubts about my sanity. I am also indebted to the many inspirational marine scientists and wildlife researchers I've 'met' through their writings and a few I've been fortunate to meet in person, particularly those working in remote islands of the tropical Pacific and the icy South Atlantic Ocean.

In the academic arena I am most grateful to Professor Helene Marsh for obtaining funding to initiate this project and I particularly thank my supervisors Dr Ivan Lawler and Associate Professor Simon Robson for their knowledge, patience and goodwill in seeing my PhD through to completion. Thanks also to Dr Emma Gyuris for important input during the early stages and to Dr Mark Hamann for much appreciated discussion and advice during the later stages of my project. For editorial input I thank all the aforementioned, as well as anonymous reviewers and editors of journals that accepted papers based on some of my thesis chapters. I thank Associate Professor Jamie Seymour for encouragement to tackle the 'black art' of acoustic tracking, and thank my post-graduate colleagues at James Cook University (TESAG/EES) for interesting conversations and cheerful company on campus.

I sincerely thank marine turtle experts Dr Colin Limpus and Ian Bell for their invaluable help and advice on all things turtle. I appreciate Queensland Environmental Protection Agency allowing me to review their stranding records and thank Dr Limpus for facilitating this process. I thank Maritime Safety Queensland for providing bathymetry and tidal data for Moreton Bay. I am forever grateful to fieldwork volunteers Rachel Groom, Deb Bower, Leanne Ezzy, Kristina Boeck, Celia Schunter, Kerryn Johns, Natalie Reed, Bianca Casimaty, Kerrily Hooper, Tim Harvey, Laura Middelman and Pat Woolley for their time, energy, skill and good humour throughout many long days in a small research vessel. Many thanks also to additional volunteers who helped for brief periods, and to professional fishers of Moreton Bay who provided information, insights and practical assistance, especially John Page and Dave Thompson.

This project would not have been possible without funding provided by CRC Reef Research Centre, Sea World Rescue and Research Foundation, Tangalooma Marine Research and Education Foundation, James Cook University and an anonymous private donor. In-kind fieldwork support provided by Tangalooma Marine Research and Education Foundation was invaluable and, best of all, included generous on-site help from Trevor Hassard and his team at Tangalooma.

I am indebted to many technical and administrative staff at James Cook University for their help with everything from computer connections and recalcitrant outboard motors to grant applications and thesis printing. Many thanks also to JCU library staff, especially those who sent me reference material during my extended fieldwork sessions far from the university campus. I received great support from the suppliers of my telemetry equipment and particularly thank Kevin Lay and Colin Hunter at Sirtrack, Dr Ed Bryant at Wildtrack Telemetry Systems and Baldur Sigurgeirsson at Star-Oddi for prompt and helpful advice. Finally I am enormously grateful to the R Development Core Team (my analysis would not have been possible without their excellent free software) and many other skilled and generous people who routinely share computer code, specialist expertise and interesting ideas with total strangers via the internet.

Publications associated with this thesis

The four papers listed below are based on Chapters 2, 4, 6 and 7 respectively.

- HAZEL, J. & GYURIS, E. (2006) Vessel-related mortality of sea turtles in Queensland, Australia. *Wildlife Research* 33, 149-154
- HAZEL, J., LAWLER, I. R., MARSH, H. & ROBSON, S. (2007) Vessel speed increases collision risk for the green turtle *Chelonia mydas*. *Endangered Species Research* 3, 105-113
- HAZEL, J., LAWLER, I.R. & HAMANN, M, (2009) Diving at the shallow end: Green turtle behaviour in near-shore foraging habitat. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 371, 84–92
- HAZEL, J. (2009) Evaluation of fast-acquisition GPS in stationary tests and fine-scale tracking of green turtles. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 374, 58-68

Abstract

This study aimed to (1) evaluate vessel strike as a threat to marine turtles in Queensland, Australia, (2) investigate behavioural responses of free-living green turtles to vessel traffic, (3) study diving behaviour of green turtles in foraging grounds adjacent to vessel traffic, (4) test established and novel methods for recording fine-scale geographic movement by green turtles and gain insight into the spatial behaviour of turtles in shallow foraging habitat.

Analysis of stranding records collected by the Queensland Environment Protection Authority indicated that, for the Queensland east coast during the period 1999-2002, an average of 65 documented turtle deaths annually were ascribed to collisions with vessels. This number represented an extremely conservative indication of actual mortality because no systematic surveys for stranded animals were conducted and records were contingent on chance discoveries and on motivation of members of the public to report findings. The records showed a high degree of geographic concentration, green turtles comprised the majority of vessel-related records, followed by loggerhead turtles, and the majority of cases concerned adult and sub-adult turtles. Based on these findings, subsequent behavioural research in pursuit of aims 2 to 4 focussed on green turtles of adult and sub-adult age classes in Moreton Bay, which was the area with the highest recorded incidence of turtle mortality from vessel strike.

Field research investigated behavioural responses of green turtles to an approaching vessel. Visual observations were conducted from a moving vessel that served a dual role as stimulus for potential turtle responses and as observation platform. During experimental vessel transits the proportion of turtles that fled to avoid the vessel decreased significantly as vessel speed increased and turtles that fled from moderate and fast approaches did so at significantly shorter distances from the vessel than turtles that fled from slow approaches.

For telemetry studies, green turtles were captured individually and equipped with time-depth recorders and ultrasonic transmitters. Ten telemetry sessions were distributed over 2 years to cover seasonal variation in sea temperature from 14°C to 30°C. These sessions provided diving data for a total of 19 turtles with curved carapace lengths in the range 49 to 118 cm. Three of the study turtles were additionally equipped with a Fastloc GPS (FGPS) device that used novel technology, specifically designed to record fine-scale movements of marine animals that surface too briefly for effective use of standard GPS. Detailed data were obtained for FGPS accuracy and efficiency, tested during extensive stationary trials. FGPS performance during live deployment was compared with two alternative methods, namely boat-based ultrasonic tracking and Argos Platform Transmitter Terminals.

Overall findings of telemetry sessions revealed that dive duration increased as sea temperature decreased, showing strong negative correlation by day and by night. Study turtles made resting dives that were 3 to 4 times longer in median duration, and six times longer in maximum duration, at cool temperatures than dives made at warm temperatures, but there was no evidence of winter diapause or location shift to avoid cold water. Diurnal dives were shallower and shorter than nocturnal dives, with diel patterns also evident in dawn and dusk peaks in occupation of depths within 1 m of the surface, elevated diurnal occupation of depths 1 to 2 m below the surface and elevated nocturnal occupation of depths >2 m.

The FGPS-equipped turtles (n = 3) used modest short-term activity ranges, remained within <4.7 km of their capture-release locations and favoured shallow water with 86% of locations at charted depths ≤ 3 m and the deepest location at 5.9 m. Fine-scale movements of each turtle varied from day to day with respect to tortuosity and areas traversed. Statistically significant day-night differences were evident in average rates of movement (greater by day) and in habitat selection, where diurnal locations had greater seagrass density while nocturnal locations featured deeper bathymetry. Individual turtles revisited some of their centres of activity on multiple occasions although none of the study turtles travelled consistently between the same day-night pair of sites as has been reported elsewhere.

In combination the diving and movement data showed that study turtles consistently and continuously used the shallow margins of the bay where human activities tend to be concentrated, thereby increasing their exposure to anthropogenic threats including vessel strike. Coupled with the evidence that reliable evasion responses occur only with very slow vessels, this thesis confirms the need for management strategies that restrict vessel speed or routes in order to reduce the cumulative risk of vessel strike in key turtle habitat subject to frequent vessel traffic.

Contents

Chapter 1: General introduction.....	1
1.1 Vessel traffic as a threat to marine turtles.....	2
1.2 Turtle behaviour in proximity to vessel traffic.....	3
1.3 Research objectives.....	4
1.3.1 Objective 1.....	4
1.3.2 Objective 2.....	5
1.3.3 Objective 3.....	5
1.3.4 Objective 4.....	5
1.4 Structure of this thesis.....	6
1.5 Tables.....	8
1.6 References.....	9
Chapter 2: Vessel-related mortality as a threat to marine turtles in Queensland.....	13
Abstract.....	13
2.1 Introduction.....	14
2.2 Methods.....	14
2.3 Results and Discussion.....	15
2.3.1 Scope and quality of data.....	15
2.3.2 Frequency of vessel-related records.....	16
2.3.3 Biological factors in vessel-related records.....	16
2.3.4 Spatial distribution of vessel-related stranding events.....	18
2.3.5 Comparison of vessel-related mortality and trawl mortality.....	19
2.4 Conclusions.....	20
2.5 Tables.....	22
2.6 Figures.....	24
2.7 References.....	25

Chapter 3: Study sites and methods for observing green turtle behaviour in shallow foraging areas	27
Abstract	27
3.1 Introduction	28
3.2 Study sites	29
3.2.1 Site selection	29
3.2.2 Vessel traffic	30
3.2.3 Vessel types at MB1	31
3.2.4 Vessel types at MB2	32
3.3 Methods for observing turtle behaviour	32
3.3.1 Underwater observation	32
3.3.2 Observation from a stationary vessel	33
3.3.3 Observation via an aerial video camera	34
3.3.4 Observation from a moving vessel.....	35
3.4 Prospective validation experiments.....	35
3.5 Prospective comparison of noisy vs. near-silent vessel transits.....	36
3.6 Figures.....	38
3.7 References.....	42
Chapter 4: Behavioural response of green turtles to an approaching vessel.....	44
Abstract	44
4.1 Introduction.....	45
4.2 Methods.....	46
4.2.1 Study site and species	46
4.2.2 Experimental trials	47
4.2.3 Data recording and analysis	48
4.3 Results.....	49
4.3.1 Effect of vessel speed on frequency of flee responses	50
4.3.2 Effect of vessel speed on flight initiation distance.....	50

4.3.3 Effect of transit direction	50
4.3.4 Non-benthic turtles.....	51
4.3.5 Small turtles	51
4.3.6 Response characteristics.....	51
4.4 Discussion	51
4.4.1 Constraints on turtles' avoidance responses	52
4.4.2 The role of vessel operators in avoiding collisions	53
4.4.3 Management considerations.....	54
4.4.4 Long term risk mitigation	56
4.5 Figures.....	57
4.6 References.....	62
Chapter 5: Sampling frequency and analysis of green turtle diving behaviour	65
Abstract.....	65
5.1 Introduction.....	66
5.2 Methods.....	68
5.2.1 Data preparation.....	68
5.2.2 Definition of dives and near-surface events.....	68
5.2.3 Dive profiles.....	68
5.2.4 Proportional time at depth (PTaD).....	69
5.3 Results.....	69
5.3.1 Sampling frequency	69
5.3.2 Concordance of proportional time at depth (PTaD) measures.....	69
5.3.3 Profile classification.....	70
5.4 Discussion	70
5.4.1 Sensitivity to bias	70
5.4.2 Limitations in profile classification	71
5.4.3 Constraints on the use of vertical speed for dive classification	71
5.4.4 Alleviating sampling frequency bias.....	72

5.5 Tables	74
5.6 Figures.....	76
5.7 References	79
Chapter 6: Green turtle diving behaviour in near-shore foraging habitat	82
Abstract	82
6.1 Introduction.....	83
6.2 Methods.....	84
6.2.1 Field research	84
6.2.2 Study turtles	85
6.2.3 Tracking equipment	85
6.2.4 Data analysis	86
6.3 Results.....	88
6.3.1 Depth occupation	88
6.3.2 Dives and near-surface events.....	88
6.3.3 Surface exposure.....	90
6.4 Discussion.....	90
6.4.1 Behavioural patterns	90
6.4.2 Sea temperature effects	91
6.4.3 Depth selection.....	93
6.4.4 Conservation implications.....	93
6.5 Tables	95
6.6 Figures.....	97
6.7 References	101
Chapter 7: Fine-scale tracking of green turtles	105
Abstract	105
7.1 Introduction.....	106
7.2 Methods.....	107
7.2.1 Study site and habitat survey.....	107

7.2.2 Tracking equipment	108
7.2.3 Live tracking	109
7.2.4 Equipment tests	109
7.2.5 Data processing, screening and analysis	110
7.3 Results	111
7.3.1 Location error.....	111
7.3.2 Location frequency	112
7.3.3 Short term activity range and diel vagility	113
7.3.4 Habitat use.....	113
7.4 Discussion	113
7.4.1 Fastloc GPS performance.....	113
7.4.2 Fastloc GPS data processing	115
7.4.3 Acoustic tracking	116
7.4.4 Activity ranges and rates of movement.....	116
7.4.5 Habitat selection.....	117
7.4.6 Patterns of movement.....	118
7.5 Tables	120
7.6 Figures.....	123
7.7 References.....	129
Chapter 8: General discussion.....	133
8.1 Major findings of this study	133
8.1.1 Objective 1	133
8.1.2 Objective 2	134
8.1.3 Objective 3	135
8.1.4 Objective 4	135
8.2 Synthesis of behavioural findings	136
8.2.1 Ability to evade vessels.....	137
8.2.2 Diving behaviour and spatial movements	138

8.2.3 Sea temperature dependent behaviour	139
8.3 Implications for conservation management	139
8.3.1 Mitigation of anthropogenic mortality	139
8.3.2 Chelonian stocks threatened by vessel strike	140
8.3.3 Vessel management for wildlife protection	141
8.3.4 Alternative mitigation measures	142
8.4 Future directions	144
8.4.1 Behavioural studies	144
8.4.2 Technological refinement.....	145
8.4.3 Spatial data for identification of high-risk locations for turtle.....	147
8.4.4 Public support for conservation strategies	148
8.5 References.....	149

List of tables

Table 1.1 Responses expressed by Queensland boat operators during informal interviews between 2003 and 2005. Respondents (n = 72) comprised 14 professionals (19%), 50 recreational boaters (69%) and 8 (11%) people who operated both recreational and professional boats.....	8
Table 2.1 Turtle stranding records 1990 to 2002 for Queensland east coast, a summary of data from the Marine Wildlife Stranding and Mortality Database maintained by Queensland Environment Protection Authority.....	22
Table 2.2: Vessel-related mortality of turtles recorded for Queensland east coast compared to turtle mortality in trawl fishing prior to the introduction of Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs). Data represent the number of turtles killed annually.	23
Table 5.1. <i>Chelonia mydas</i> . The frequency at which turtle depth was sampled influenced the interpretation of diving behaviour. Longer sampling intervals caused notable bias in counts and durations of near-surface events (depth ≤ 1 m) and dives (depth > 1 m) with greatest influence on the shortest events. Dur Med (median), Min-Max, Mean \pm SD indicate duration (seconds) of (a) near-surface events and (b) dives	74
Table 6.1 <i>Chelonia mydas</i> . Summary of proportional time spent in depth-below-surface categories (upper limits inclusive) by 19 green turtles of diverse curved carapace lengths (CCL). Dur = duration of depth records excluding 12 h post-release. Sex was inferred by reference to the sexual dimorphism of adult turtles in eastern Moreton Bay (Limpus et al., 1994) as males (M - long tail), likely females (LF - short tail & CCL > 95 cm) or undetermined (U). # indicates $< 1\%$ of proportional time at max. depth.....	95
Table 7.1. Linear error in metres (Mean \pm SD and Max) of Fastloc GPS locations recorded at a fixed position (Dry tests) adjacent to the bay where live tracking was conducted and at fixed positions floating on the sea surface within the study site (Wet tests). Categories indicate number of satellites used to compute locations.....	120
Table 7.2. Argos PTT error (m) determined in field tests (mean \pm SD) exceeded error estimates by CLS Service Argos (1996). This study provided a rare opportunity to evaluate PTT accuracy during live tracking, by comparing PTT locations with concurrent Fastloc GPS locations (screened as described in text section 7.2.5). Data from stationary tests by Hays et al (2001) and Boyd et al (1998) are reproduced here to facilitate comparison.....	121
Table 7.3. <i>Chelonia mydas</i> . Summary of data obtained concurrently by Argos PTT and Fastloc GPS systems during live tracking of three green turtles. Total locations were screened to exclude Argos PTT data with predicted errors > 1000 m and Fastloc data with apparent errors > 250 m, as described in text section 7.2.5. FGPS acquisition attempts and recorded locations show hourly rates as mean \pm SD by day (D) and by night (N).	122

List of figures

- Figure 2.1:** Evidence of vessel-related mortality of marine turtles was derived from stranding data for the east coast of Queensland, Australia. Labels placed to seaward of the coastline identify the five areas of interest (defined by arbitrary boundaries) where stranding records were concentrated. 24
- Figure 3.1.** Moreton Bay lies adjacent to Brisbane, the state capital Queensland, Australia. It hosts a wide diversity of wildlife including green turtles that forage in areas of shallow, seagrass-dominated habitat such as my two study sites, MB1 and MB2, and the Moreton Banks where long-running demographic studies of turtles have been conducted by the Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service. Background image courtesy Google Earth..... 38
- Figure 3.2.** *Chelonia mydas*. From an elevated platform on an anchored research vessel I conducted focal follow observations of individual turtles in open water at study site MB1 (Fig. 1). These images demonstrate the difficulty of discerning a turtle while it is resting or foraging on the substrate (centre left in panel a), whereas its distinctive shape becomes progressively clearer as the animal swims in the water column (b) and exposes its head at the sea surface (c). This turtle was approximately 25 m from the observation vessel, water depth ~2 m. The sequence of images (a to c) spans approximately 30 s..... 39
- Figure 3.3.** At study site MB1 I evaluated the use of a remote-controlled aerial video system, supported by a helium-filled balloon. The equipment had originally been developed for studying herds of dugong (*Dugong dugon*) and had served well in that role (Hodgson, 2004). In contrast the equipment proved unsatisfactory for behavioural studies of green turtles. Photo courtesy K. Stockin. 40
- Figure 3.4.** *Chelonia mydas*. Blimp-cam video record of a 4 m vessel travelling at planing speed towards a submerged green turtle (panel a), over the turtle (b), and past the turtle (c). The turtle displayed no visible response. Water depth was ~1.5 m. The sequence of images (a to c) spans approximately 2 s. 41
- Figure 4.1.** The study site comprised an area of clear shallow water (<5 m) on the north-eastern margin of Moreton Bay, Queensland, Australia. 57
- Figure 4.2.** For each vessel-turtle encounter the observer estimated the lateral offset (a-1) of the turtle. If the turtle fled, the forward distance at the moment of response was also recorded (a-2). These two distances together allowed calculation of the flight initiation distance (a-3). For each flee response the turtle's initial flight trajectory was classified as safe (b), in-track (c) or cross-track (d). 58
- Figure 4.3.** *Chelonia mydas*. The proportion of turtles that fled from the approaching research vessel diminished as vessel speed increased, significance denoted by *** $P < 0.001$. White bars denote vessel speed 4 km h⁻¹, grey bars denote vessel speed 11 km h⁻¹, black bars denote vessel speed 19 km h⁻¹. Number above each bar indicates total encounters (Flee + No Response, for definitions see section 4.2.3). Offset value indicates lateral distance between turtle and vessel. Statistical data: offset 0 m (in vessel track): $\chi^2 = 152.6$, $df = 2$, $P < 0.001$; offset 1-2 m: $\chi^2 = 177.4$, $df = 2$, $P < 0.001$, offset 3-4 m: $\chi^2 = 111.4$, $df = 2$, $P < 0.001$; offset 5-6 m: $\chi^2 = 69.5$, $df = 2$, $P < 0.001$; offset 7-10 m: $\chi^2 = 5.3$, $df = 2$, $P = 0.072$ 59

Figure 4.4. *Chelonia mydas* (a) Benthic turtles that fled in encounters with a slow vessel (4 km h⁻¹) had a significantly greater median flight initiation distance than those that fled in encounters with moderate (11 km h⁻¹) and fast (19 km h⁻¹) vessels, Mann-Whitney U=18516.5, $P < 0.001$. Box plots show median, inter-quartile range, outliers and extreme cases. (b) Benthic turtles fled from a slow vessel more frequently when the vessel was heading North than when it was heading South ($\chi^2 = 10.0$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.002$). North-bound transits were expected to afford slightly enhanced underwater visibility – see text. (c) Turtles encountered at the sea surface fled more frequently than those in the water column, but small sample sizes precluded analysis by offset distances. White bars denote vessel speed 4 km h⁻¹, grey bars denote vessel speed 11 km h⁻¹, black bars denote vessel speed 19 km h⁻¹. In all panels the number above each bar indicates total encounters (Flee + No Response), significance denoted by ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$ 60

Figure 4.5. The theoretical maximum response opportunity time available to a perpetually vigilant turtle decreases with increasing vessel speed (plotted here for the three experimental speeds used in this study) and with decreasing detection distance. 61

Figure 5.1. *Chelonia mydas* (Cm). Longer sampling intervals caused negative bias in counts of near-surface events (panel a) and dives (panel b) with greatest bias affecting brief events in both cases. Median maximum depth of dives (panel c) showed small changes (<0.5 m) with sampling interval and no consistent trend. Vertical speed in dives >3 m (panel d) was negatively biased at longer sampling intervals with most extreme bias affecting maximum descent and ascent rates (max D, max A). Inset with expanded y-axis shows detail of mean descent (mean D) and mean ascent (mean A). Panel e shows my data for green turtles (Cm) demonstrated bias at shorter sampling intervals than pinniped data (Ml = *Mirounga leonina*, Ag = *Arctocephalus gazella*) from Boyd (1993) included here for comparison. Panel f shows the uneven temporal distribution of negative bias arising from longer sampling intervals, as demonstrated here for my data divided into 12 periods, each of 12 h continuous duration..... 76

Figure 5.2. *Chelonia mydas*. Depth data for periods of 6 h continuous duration provided diverse activity in terms of depth usage (panels a-l) for testing the influence of longer sampling intervals on proportional time at depth, measured for 1-m depth strata. Values based on the original data (2 s intervals) were almost perfectly reproduced when depths were resampled at 6 s, 10 s, 30 s and 60 s (panels m-q) with all concordance correlation coefficients > 0.999 77

Figure 5.3. *Chelonia mydas*. Panel a: Dive profiles in depth data recorded at 2 s intervals included examples of V-dives (i) and U-dives (ii) as defined by Hochscheid et al (1999). There were also dives intermediate between V and U shapes (iii) and dives with irregular profiles (iv). The same data re-sampled at longer intervals (panels b, c, d) demonstrated progressive degradation of distinctive shapes and concatenation of successive dives when near-surface intervals ≤1 m (broken line) were not recorded. Arrows in panel b mark examples of ‘missing’ near-surface events that can be identified visually, allowing for manual correction. 78

Figure 6.1. The study site was located within Moreton Bay on the east coast of Australia. The city and suburbs of Brisbane, state capital of Queensland, surround the western and southern shores of the bay. Inset lower left shows mean sea temperature experienced by study turtles, for detail see Table 6.1 97

Figure 6.2: *Chelonia mydas*. Diel patterns in depth occupation for 19 study turtles showed peaks at dawn and dusk for depths 0 to 1 m below the surface (panel a), elevated occupation of depths 1 to 2 m during the day (panel b) and elevated occupation of depths >2 m at night (panel c). Differences were statistically significant in all three instances. Box plots show the median (horizontal bar), inter-quartile range (box length), largest values within 1.5 x inter-quartile range (whiskers) and all data points beyond the whiskers (open circles). 98

Figure 6.3. *Chelonia mydas*. Median duration of dives (panel a) and near-surface events between dives (panel b) was shorter by day (white bars) and longer by night (black bars) for all turtles except T26. The latter made no qualifying dives at night as it remained at depths <2m. With increasing sea temperature (grey triangles) durations tended to decrease, with the exception of diurnal near-surface events. Note left-hand Y-axes of panels a and b use different units. Dive depth (panel c) was greater by night, and night depth tended to increase with temperature..... 99

Figure 6.4. *Chelonia mydas*. Median duration of resting dives by green turtles showed both depth and temperature dependence. Pooled data for the 10 longest dives (inferred resting) by each of 19 study turtles were classified by depth and sea temperature (white bars 15-20°C, grey bars 20-25°C, black bars, 25-29°C). Maximum duration (min) and number of dives in each category are indicated above bars. Line represents predicted dive duration for warm sea temperature derived from equation provided by Hays et al (2004) extrapolated beyond the original depth range and life-stage, hence this comparison must be regarded with caution. .. 100

Figure 7.1: The study site was located in Moreton Bay, adjacent to the city and suburbs of Brisbane, the state capital of Queensland, Australia. Underwater habitat was surveyed within the red outline. Inset top right depicts deployment of a tether-attached Fastloc GPS tracking tag. This configuration facilitated optimal orientation of the Fastloc antenna while at the surface and enabled automatic detachment and subsequent retrieval of the equipment without recapturing the turtle. 123

Figure 7.2. Evaluation of Fastloc GPS (FGPS) and boat-based acoustic tracking. (a) Linear error determined in field tests, FGPS data categorised by number of satellites (sats) used to compute each location, acoustic tracking data categorised by observer-to-transmitter distance. Standard GPS error was also determined at the study site. Box plots show median (horizontal bar), inter-quartile range (box length), largest values within 1.5 x inter-quartile range (whiskers) and all data points beyond (circles) except for truncation of extreme FGPS errors. (b) For FGPS the proportional distribution of 4- to 8-satellite locations reflects relative efficiency of this system under different conditions, given that location accuracy is enhanced when higher numbers of satellites are used (Table 7.1). By this measure FGPS operation proved less efficient during live tracking (panels i & ii, comprising all locations for T25 & T28) than during stationary tests (panels iii to vi) while the difference between dry and wet tests was slight. FGPS operation was notably more efficient at night (grey bars) during tests and slightly more efficient at night during live tracking. 124

Figure 7.3. *Chelonia mydas*. Short term activity ranges for the first 4.5 days of each tracking session varied with the different tracking methods, panels a, b, c. Screened locations and Minimum Convex Polygons are shown for each study turtle: T22 – triangles, blue lines; T25 - filled squares, green lines; T28 - open squares, red lines. Black areas depict land, grey areas depict drying shoals i.e. water depth ≤0 m at Lowest Astronomical Tide. 125

Figure 7.4: *Chelonia mydas*. Diurnal movement significantly exceeded nocturnal movement for all study turtles. The comparison was based on average rates of movement depicted by screened Fastloc GPS locations (for details see text 7.2.5 Data processing, screening and analysis) and covered the first 4.5 d of each turtle's tracking session. Box plots show median (horizontal bar), inter-quartile range (box length), largest values within 1.5 x inter-quartile range (whiskers) and all data points beyond (open circles). 126

Figure 7.5: *Chelonia mydas*. Vagility of study turtles T22, T25, T28 recorded by Fastloc GPS showed significantly greater movement by day than by night. Tracks begin at release location R for each animal and show diversity of movements on subsequent days (D1 to D5, solid lines) and nights (N1 to N5, broken lines). 127

Figure 7.6: *Chelonia mydas*. Activity centres recorded by Fastloc GPS locations were identified using 50% fixed kernel utilisation distributions with smoothing parameters determined by least squares cross validation. Activity centres at night (N) were less diverse and generally smaller than those by day (D). None of the study turtles repeatedly used the same pair of day and night areas although one individual used the same area on 4 successive nights (panel d). 128