

# JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

**Barnett, Fiona (2007) *Psychobiological predictors of exercise behaviour in postmenopausal women.***  
**PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/2117>



Psychobiological predictors of exercise behaviour in  
postmenopausal women.

Thesis submitted by

Fiona Barnett MAppSc(ExSpSc), BAppSc(HM)(Hons)

In November 2007

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in  
The Institute of Sport and Exercise Science at  
James Cook University

### **Declaration on access**

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

“In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it.”

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

.....

Fiona Barnett

.....

### **Statement of sources**

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

.....

.....

Fiona Barnett

## **Ethics Statement**

The research presented in this thesis was conducted within the guidelines for research ethics outlined in the National Statement on ethics Conduct in Reasearch Involving Humans (1999), the Joint NHMRC/AVCC Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice (1997), the James Cook University Policy on Experimentation Ethics Standard Practices and Guidelines (2001), and the James Cook University Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice (2001). The research methodology received clearance from the James Cook University Human Research Ethics Committee (approval number H1836).

.....

Fiona Barnett

.....

## **Abstract**

Weight gain and the associated increased risk of obesity-related diseases are associated with the postmenopausal period. However, moderate intensity exercise may be protective for postmenopausal women through attenuation of weight gain. Despite this evidence, many postmenopausal women do not engage in regular exercise.

As exercise has a positive effect on body composition and the subsequent health of postmenopausal females, an understanding of why exercise levels decline in this population is needed. In particular, understanding the difference in exercise behaviour characteristics of exercising and non-exercising postmenopausal women may encourage more non-exercising postmenopausal women to obtain the health benefits of exercise. An understanding of the exercise behaviour characteristics of exercising and non-exercising postmenopausal women may also provide information for future health promotion policy directions for this population and allow for the formulation of guidelines for exercise professionals. It appears that the life event of menopause can become a barrier or an opportunity for postmenopausal women to exercise, depending on their exercise behaviour characteristics.

Postmenopausal women (N=101) resident in North Queensland volunteered for this study. A self-report questionnaire was utilised to determine the participants' recent exercise history. Participants completed two exercise behaviour scales. The Self-efficacy for Exercise Scale is a barrier-specific, thirteen-item instrument listing common reasons for preventing participation in exercise. The Health Belief Model

(HBM) scale required participants to indicate how much they agreed or disagreed with various statements relating to their individual health beliefs related to exercise and obesity.

Anthropometric assessments ascertained body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio. Resting heart rate and blood pressure were also measured. Participants then performed a six minute graded exercise test (GXT) to determine estimated maximum oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ). A second visit required participants to perform a twenty minute moderate intensity exercise bout on a cycle ergometer while measures of pre, during and post-exercise affect were obtained using the Subjective Exercise Experience Scale (SEES).

Following data collection, participants were categorised as exercisers (n=53) or non-exercisers (n=48) based on whether they had performed a minimum of 150 minutes of accumulated moderate intensity exercise in the past 7 days. Univariate and multivariate statistical tests including discriminant function analysis (DFA) were utilised to determine whether significant between-group differences existed for the physiological and psychological variables.

The non-exercisers had obtained a lower level of education ( $U = 971.5, p = .03$ ), were at a lower stage of exercise change ( $U = 308.0, p = .00$ ), had higher resting diastolic blood pressure ( $F_{1,99}=7.57, p=0.01$ ), BMI ( $F_{1,99}=33.63, p=0.00$ ) and WHR ( $F_{1,99}=5.83, p=0.02$ ) and lower cardiorespiratory fitness ( $F_{1,99}=21.57, p=0.00$ ) and exercise self-efficacy ( $F_{1,99}=39.56, p=0.00$ ) compared to the exercisers. Each of

these variables may therefore represent barriers to exercise adoption for this sample of non-exercising postmenopausal women.

DFA determined that the postmenopausal women with higher exercise self-efficacy, lower BMI and higher cardiorespiratory fitness were more likely to be exercisers. DFA also found that the barrier to exercise items of perceived lack of time, difficulty getting to an exercise location and the weather provided the greatest discrimination between exercisers and non-exercisers.

Further analysis of exercise self-efficacy revealed that participants at different stages of change possessed different levels of exercise self-efficacy. Participants with the lowest exercise self-efficacy were in the precontemplation and contemplation stages of change, while participants with the highest exercise self-efficacy were in the action and maintenance stages.

DFA results for the HBM scale revealed that the non-exercising postmenopausal women had higher perceptions of susceptibility to developing an obesity-related disease, perceived a greater number of consequences from participating in regular exercise and felt they were less health motivated to control an obesity-related disease compared to the exercising women.

Separate mixed design repeated measures ANOVA found that no significant between-group differences occurred for positive well-being (SEESa) and fatigue (SEESc) during twenty minutes of moderate intensity exercise. However, follow-up

univariate contrasts (Bonferroni) found that the acute exercise bout did have a beneficial effect for both groups across time, with higher SEESa scores postexercise compared to pre and during exercise. Additionally, SEESc scores for both groups were lower postexercise compared to during the latter stages of exercise.

Further research on postmenopausal women residing in Australian metropolitan cities is recommended for determining whether the differences found in this study are unique to postmenopausal women residing in regional North Queensland.

**Key words:** Postmenopausal women, exercise behaviour

## **Acknowledgements**

To my supervisor, Associate Professor Warwick Spinks. Your expertise and guidance were indispensable. Thank you.

To Dr Melissa Crowe, Dr Joann Lukins and Dr Wade Knez. Thank you for your feedback and assistance.

To the Occupational Therapy staff, and in particular Professor Michele Clark. Thank you for your support and encouragement.

To the participants: thank you for your involvement in this study.

To my family and Oscar and Oliver. Thank you for your support and love.

## **Dedication**

This thesis is dedicated to my late grandfather, Dr Arthur McMartin who will always be an inspiration to me.

## Table of contents

Declaration on access.....	i
Statement of sources .....	ii
Ethics Statement .....	iii
Abstract.....	iv
Acknowledgements.....	viii
Dedication.....	ix
Table of contents.....	x
List of tables.....	xv
List of figures.....	xviii
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
Factors affecting overweight and obesity .....	2
Characteristics of the postmenopausal period .....	3
Health risks associated with the postmenopausal period.....	4
Health-related benefits of exercise for postmenopausal women .....	5
Determinants and barriers to exercise for postmenopausal women .....	7
Exercise behaviour models .....	12
Increasing exercise participation rates in postmenopausal women .....	17
Statement of the research problem.....	19
Significance of the study.....	20
Delimitations of the study.....	22
Limitations of the study .....	22
Definition of terms.....	22

Summary.....	25
Chapter 2: Literature review .....	27
Overweight and obesity .....	27
Prevalence of overweight and obesity .....	27
Factors affecting overweight and obesity .....	29
Health risks associated with overweight and obesity .....	34
Menopause and the postmenopausal period .....	35
Characteristics of the postmenopausal period .....	35
Health risks associated with the postmenopausal period.....	37
Exercise.....	40
Prevalence of exercise participation in Australia .....	40
Health-related benefits of exercise for postmenopausal women .....	41
Determinants and barriers to exercise for postmenopausal women .....	48
Exercise behaviour models .....	61
Social Cognitive Theory - Self-efficacy .....	61
Health belief model (HBM).....	68
Transtheoretical Model and Stages of Change .....	72
Exercise-induced affect.....	77
Assessment items.....	81
Exercise history recall questionnaires.....	81
Psychological tools .....	86
Barrier specific exercise self-efficacy scale.....	86
Health belief model scale.....	90
Subjective exercise experiences scale.....	92

Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) .....	95
Physiological tools.....	96
Graded exercise test.....	96
Summary.....	97
Chapter 3: Methodology .....	102
Introduction.....	102
Participants.....	102
Apparatus.....	103
Procedure .....	108
Reliability.....	110
Data analysis.....	111
Chapter 4: Results.....	117
Introduction.....	117
Screening of data .....	117
Personal characteristics.....	118
Physiological and psychological characteristics.....	123
Exercise self-efficacy and barriers to exercise .....	133
Health beliefs .....	140
Exercise induced affect.....	146
Test-retest reliability .....	152
Summary of results .....	152

Chapter 5: Discussion .....	156
Personal characteristics .....	156
Physiological characteristics .....	167
Psychological characteristics .....	174
Exercise self-efficacy .....	174
Exercise self-efficacy and stage of exercise change .....	180
Barriers to exercise .....	181
Health Beliefs .....	187
Exercise-induced affect .....	192
Summary of discussion .....	197
 Chapter 6: Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations .....	 203
Summary .....	203
Conclusions .....	210
Recommendations .....	211
References .....	213
 Appendix A. Informed consent form .....	 271
Appendix B. Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) .....	272
Appendix C. Self-report exercise history questionnaire .....	273
Appendix D. Self-efficacy for exercise scale .....	278
Appendix E. Health belief scale .....	280
Appendix F. Subjective exercise experiences scale .....	286
Appendix G. Rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale .....	287
Appendix H. Skewness and Kurtosis values for variables .....	288

Appendix I. Minimum and maximum Z scores of variables .....	290
Appendix J. Occupations of exercisers and non-exercisers.....	292
Appendix K. Reasons for a change in leisure-time activities in the past seven days for exercisers and non-exercisers.....	294
Appendix L. Waist circumference of exercisers and non-exercisers.....	296
Appendix M. Test of equality of group means for barrier items .....	298
Appendix N. Test of equality of group means for HBM variables.....	299

## List of Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of participants.....	103
Table 2. Study variables and level of measurement .....	111
Table 3. Mean $\pm$ SD values for participant age and frequency data for participant menopausal status, hormone replacement therapy treatment (HRT), employment status and marital status .....	120
Table 4. Frequency data for participant education level, exercise history during school years, quality of exercise experience during school years and stage of exercise change .....	121
Table 5. Crosstabulations on correct knowledge responses for cardiovascular risk factors, obesity-related diseases, exercise amount required per week to lose weight and current weight .....	123
Table 6. Mean $\pm$ SD values for resting heart rate ( $HR_{rest}$ ), resting systolic blood pressure ( $SBP_{rest}$ ), resting diastolic blood pressure ( $DBP_{rest}$ ), body mass index (BMI), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), cardiorespiratory fitness ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) and exercise self-efficacy score .....	124
Table 7. Pooled within-groups correlation matrices for resting heart rate ( $HR_{rest}$ ), resting systolic blood pressure ( $SBP_{rest}$ ), resting diastolic blood pressure ( $DBP_{rest}$ ), body mass index (BMI), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), cardiorespiratory fitness ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) and exercise self-efficacy .....	127
Table 8. Eigenvalue, percentage of variance and canonical correlation.....	128
Table 9. Tests of significance .....	129
Table 10. Standardised canonical discriminant function coefficients .....	130
Table 11. Structure matrix .....	131

Table 12. Classification matrix .....	132
Table 13. Pooled within-groups correlation matrices for barrier to exercise items	134
Table 14. Mean $\pm$ SD values for barrier items.....	135
Table 15. Eigenvalue, percentage of variance and canonical correlation.....	136
Table 16. Tests of significance .....	136
Table 17. Standardised canonical discriminant function coefficients .....	137
Table 18. Structure matrix .....	137
Table 19. Classification matrix .....	138
Table 20. Results of the participants for total exercise self-efficacy score according to their stage of exercise change .....	139
Table 21. Multiple pairwise comparisons for stages of exercise change and exercise self-efficacy .....	140
Table 22. Pooled within-groups correlation matrices for Health Belief Model predictor variables perceived susceptibility (SU), perceived seriousness (SE), perceived benefits (BE), barriers to participating in regular exercise (BA), cues that may influence participation in exercise (CU) and health motivation (HM) .....	142
Table 23. Mean $\pm$ SD for HBM predictor variables perceived susceptibility (SU), perceived seriousness (SE), perceived benefits (BE), barriers to participating in regular exercise (BA), cues that may influence participation in exercise (CU) and health motivation (HM) .....	143
Table 24. Eigenvalue, percentage of variance and canonical correlation.....	144
Table 25. Tests of significance .....	144
Table 26. Standardised canonical discriminant function coefficients .....	145
Table 27. Structure matrix .....	145

Table 28. Classification matrix.....	146
Table 29. Mean $\pm$ SD values for heart rate (HR) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) during the exercise bout.....	148
Table 30. ANOVA table for heart rate (HR) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) during the exercise bout.....	148
Table 31. Mean $\pm$ SD positive well-being (SEESa) values determined preexercise (pre), during (5 mins -20 mins) and postexercise (post).....	149
Table 32. ANOVA table for positive well-being (SEESa) values determined during the exercise bout (Time of measure; pre, 5, 10, 15, 20 mins & post) X exercise behaviour (exerciser & non-exerciser) .....	149
Table 33. Mean $\pm$ SD fatigue (SEESc) values determined preexercise (pre), during (5 mins – 20 mins) and postexercise (post).....	151
Table 34. ANOVA table for fatigue (SEESc) during the exercise bout (Time of measure; preexercise (pre), 5, 10, 15, 20 mins & postexercise (post) X exercise behaviour (exerciser & non-exerciser) .....	151
Table 35. ANOVA table for reliability data for cardiorespiratory fitness ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ), exercise self-efficacy, perceived susceptibility (SU), perceived seriousness (SE), perceived benefits (BE), barriers to participating in regular exercise (BA), cues that may influence participation in exercise (CU) and health motivation (HM) .....	152

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1. Bandura's Self-efficacy theory .....	62
Figure 2. The Health Belief Model including modifying factors .....	71