

**Tropical cyclone impacts on coral reef communities:  
Modelling the disturbance regime in the  
Great Barrier Reef region, 1969-2003**

**Thesis submitted by**

**Marjetta Lee PUOTINEN**

**MEM (Master of Environmental Management) *Duke University, USA***

**BArts (Geography) *Augustana College, USA***

**22 October 2004**

## STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries.

All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis, I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgment for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

---

Signature

---

Date

## **STATEMENT OF SOURCES**

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

---

Signature

---

Date

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by the Cooperative Research Centre for the Ecologically Sustainable Development of the Great Barrier Reef (equipment, publication of the cyclone atlas, cyclone Justin questionnaire), the Australian Institute of Marine Science (cyclone Justin field survey), the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (cyclone Justin field survey) and James Cook University (scholarship, travel to conferences and for collaboration, access to equipment and software).

Data was kindly provided by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (basic GBR datasets), Dr. Terry Done (cyclone Ivor field data, underwater photographs), Dr. Tony Ayling (cyclone Joy field data), Michael Hartcher (cyclone damage observations from COTSbase 1.0), Dr. Keith Kikkert (satellite images of various cyclones), Beach Protection Authority (cyclone Justin data), Australian Bureau of Meteorology (tropical cyclone database, automatic weather station data), and the Australian Institute of Marine Science (automatic weather station data).

Access to GIS software was provided by James Cook University. Clive Grant and Rob Scott provided excellent technical support. Adella Edwards offered valuable cartographic advice about many of the figures, particularly in chapters 2-3. Tony Hart and Steve Delean provided useful insights with regards to the statistics carried out for chapter 2. Terry Done, Jamie Oliver, Cathy and Jim Waldron, Johnston Davidson and Tanya Adami assisted with the cyclone Justin field survey.

The atlas of cyclones produced in conjunction with this thesis (see Appendix 6) was funded by the Cooperative Research Centre for the Ecologically Sustainable Development of the Great Barrier Reef. My co-authors contributed to the work as follows: Dr. Chris Skelly provided some editorial support and had the idea to divide the GBR into one degree latitude by longitude blocks, and Dr. Terry Done organised funding and provided editorial support.

Several people provided constructive comments on various aspects of this thesis: Dr. Louise Chilvers (chapter 2), Adella Edwards (chapter 1), Professor Dave Gillieson (chapters 1-7), Dr. Jon Nott (chapter 4), Paul Tudman (chapter 4, appendix 2), Dr. Chris Skelly (chapter 4, cyclone atlas – see appendix 6), Professor David Hopley (chapters 1-7), and Dr. Terry Done (chapters 1-7).

My original supervisor, Dr. Chris Skelly, provided intellectual and moral support during the initial stages of this project. My most persistent supervisor, Dr. Terry Done, initiated the project, provided funding, and continued to support it over a longer

time frame than originally intended, offering valuable insights from a ‘big picture’ ecological perspective. Professor David Gillieson provided departmental support. Special thanks go to Professor Helene Marsh for facilitating my entry into JCU as a new immigrant to Australia, and for unwittingly reminding me of my potential even when I felt it was lost to me.

I am greatly indebted to the many patient people (family, friends and colleagues) who encouraged and supported me over the past eight years. In particular, I thank LGC for helping me see not only that I could do it, but also that I had to, all by just being his one in a million self.

## ABSTRACT

### *Principal objective*

Tropical cyclones periodically cross the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), generating large waves that cause structural damage to reef communities, ranging from broken corals to removal of entire sections of substrate. Over time, repeated impacts can significantly alter coral reef community structure. Thus, effective management of the GBR requires an understanding of the cyclone disturbance regime (which reefs are likely to be affected and how often). The primary objective of this study was to characterise the tropical cyclone disturbance regime in the GBR over the past three and one-half decades (1969-2003).

### *Methods*

The spatial distribution of cyclone damage over time across even single reefs for most of the GBR is poorly known. Though cyclone disturbance patterns operate over century time scales and 100s of km space scales, most studies have examined single storm events across a few reefs or many storm events for a single reef. Further, detailed observations of cyclone damage to reefs in the GBR are rare. Examining the impacts of cyclones over time thus required reconstructing a likely disturbance history from what information was available. Meteorological models were used to hindcast the likely magnitude and distribution of cyclone winds from the meteorological record. This hindcast energy, along with measures of the spatial patterning of reefs, was linked statistically to field observations of reef damage to predict the distribution of cyclone disturbance of areas not surveyed. This was done for eight types (coral breakage, debris scars, soft coral stripping, trenching, sand movement, removal of intact slabs, dislodgement of massive corals, and exfoliation) and seven severities (presence versus absence of: damage of any type, damage of each type, severe damage of any type, total damage score, maximum severity of damage, total damage score across low-energy damage types, total damage score across high-energy damage types) of damage. Of these models, those that were successful were then used to predict the spatial distribution of cyclone damage of various types across the GBR for each of the 85 cyclones that passed nearby from 1969-2003. The timing of predicted cyclone damage was then examined at each of 24,224 individual reef sites across the region, and trends were summarized by one-degree latitude by one-degree longitude blocks.

### *Results and conclusions*

Four types / severities of cyclone wave damage (coral breakage, dislodgement of massive corals, exfoliation and severe [widespread] damage of any type) were successfully modeled using a mix of cyclone energy (maximum and duration of high winds) and reef vulnerability (geomorphologic type, slope, normal wave exposure) parameters. For these, on average, the most recent predicted cyclone damage event (measured from 2003) occurred less recently than what was typical over the entire time series. This suggests that coral communities have had more time to recover since the last cyclone disturbance than would normally be the case, which could mean that present measures of broad community structure are not indicative of past conditions (i.e. coral coverage may be higher at present than normal). Overall, the timing of predicted damage indicates that cyclone disturbance of the GBR is most likely intermediate in nature – coral communities at most reef sites would have had time to recover between subsequent cyclone disturbances only some of the time over the period 1969-2003. Finally, reefs in the far northern GBR were generally disturbed less frequently than elsewhere.

### **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

AIMS	Australian Institute of Marine Science
BOM	Bureau of Meteorology
BPA	Beach Protection Authority
GBR	Great Barrier Reef
GBRMPA	Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
LTM	Long Term Monitoring

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of access	ii	
Statement of sources	iii	
Acknowledgments	iv	
Abstract	vi	
List of acronyms	vii	
List of figures	xii	
List of tables	xxv	
Chapter 1	Introduction and literature review	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Meteorology of tropical cyclones	4
1.3	Coral reef ecology	15
1.4	Regional geography of the Great Barrier Reef	40
1.5	Geographic Information Systems (GIS)	43
1.6	Aims and objectives	46
Chapter 2	Potential for cyclone disturbance, 1910-1999	48
2.1	Overview	48
2.2	Introduction	49
2.3	Disturbance potential in the GBR	56
2.4	Implications for coral reef communities	65

2.5	Conclusions	68
Chapter 3	Distance as a proxy for cyclone disturbance potential	70
3.1	Overview	70
3.2	Introduction	71
3.3	Case studies	79
3.4	Implications for predicting reef damage	90
3.5	Conclusions	102
Chapter 4	Hindcasting cyclone winds	104
4.1	Overview	104
4.2	Introduction	105
4.3	Preparation for hindcasting	106
4.4	Error and uncertainty	108
4.5	Hindcasting cyclone winds	122
4.6	Comparison with field observations	139
4.7	Derived energy parameters	158
4.8	Conclusions	170
Chapter 5	Modelling reef site exposure and vulnerability	172
5.1	Overview	172

5.2	Introduction	173
5.3	Modelling reef exposure and vulnerability	187
5.4	Conclusions	200
Chapter 6	Linking observed damage to hindcast data	202
6.1	Overview	202
6.2	Introduction	203
6.3	Classification and regression tree (CART)	204
6.4	Damage of any type or severity	208
6.5	Severe damage of any type	211
6.6	Maximum and total severity of damage	214
6.7	Coral breakage	216
6.8	Debris scars	219
6.9	Dislodgement of massives	221
6.10	Exfoliation	222
6.11	Fallen intact slabs	225
6.12	Sand movement	226
6.13	Soft coral stripping	229
6.14	Trenching	231
6.15	Conclusions	233

Chapter 7	Tropical cyclone disturbance regime in the GBR, 1969-2003	239
7.1	Overview	239
7.2	Introduction	239
7.3	Intensity	249
7.4	Incidence	256
7.5	Timing	262
7.6	Synergism	270
7.7	Implications for coral reef communities	273
7.8	Conclusion	280
References		283
Appendix 1	Cyclone Justin questionnaire	A-1
Appendix 2	AML scripts	A-5
Appendix 3	Summary of cyclone damage observations	A-127
Appendix 4	Wind model validation data	A-148
Appendix 5	Additional classification and regression trees	A-160
Appendix 6	Relevant publications	A-176

## List of Figures

### CHAPTER 1

- 2 **Figure 1.1** Overview of the theoretical basis for the research.
- 4 **Figure 1.2:** Simplified profile of a typical tropical cyclone.
- 8 **Figure 1.3:** Satellite images of tropical cyclone eyes.
- 9 **Figure 1.4:** Estimated errors in initial cyclone positions based on subsequent data.
- 10 **Figure 1.5:** Estimated errors in initial cyclone intensity measures based on subsequent data.
- 13 **Figure 1.6:** A typical hindcast cyclone wind field and the associated wave field.
- 15 **Figure 1.7:** Distribution of coral reefs world-wide.
- 21 **Figure 1.8.** Overlap in space and time between natural disturbance regimes that affect coral reef communities.
- 22 **Figure 1.9:** Effects of cyclone disturbance regimes on the extent of coral coverage (thick black line) and dominant colony size (thin dotted line) over decades.
- 23 **Figure 1.10:** Stability landscape representing the dynamics of a system and its ecological resilience.
- 28 **Figure 1.11:** Wave induced changes to the reef matrix during Cyclone Ivor, 1990.
- 29 **Figure 1.12:** Dislodgment, breakage, and burial of massive coral heads during Cyclone Ivor, 1990.
- 29 **Figure 1.13:** Stripping of soft corals from the reef framework during Cyclone Ivor, 1990.

- 30 **Figure 1.14:** Breakage of a large plate coral during Cyclone Ivor, 1990.
- 30 **Figure 1.15:** Burial of coral by deposition of sediment resuspended during Cyclone Ivor, 1990.
- 31 **Figure 1.16:** Widespread breakage of coral during cyclone Justin, 1997.
- 31 **Figure 1.17:** Examples of damage to coral reef communities during Cyclone Ivorm Joy, and Justin that required varying levels of wave energy.
- 34 **Figure 1.18:** Types of cyclone wave damage on reefs and their implications for recovery.
- 41 **Figure 1.19.** The Great Barrier Reef Region.
- 47 **Figure 1.20.** Overview diagram of this thesis.

## CHAPTER 2

- 48 **Figure 2.1.** Overview diagram of this thesis.
- 49 **Figure 2.2.** Tropical cyclone paths near Queensland, Australia from 1910 to 1999.
- 51 **Figure 2.3:** Location of reefs where at least one or at least three surveys were conducted from 1992 to 1999 by AIMS.
- 56 **Figure 2.4.** The Great Barrier Reef divided into one-degree latitude by one-degree longitude blocks.
- 57 **Figure 2.5.** Number of cyclones that tracked within each one degree latitude by longitude block from 1910 to 1999.
- 58 **Figure 2.6.** Number of cyclones that tracked within 25 km or 100 km of at least one AIMS LTM reef in each decade from 1910 to 1999.
- 59 **Figure 2.7:** Tropical cyclone paths through the Great Barrier Reef by decade from 1910 to 1999.

- 61 **Figure 2.8.** Potential for any disturbance. Distribution of AIMS LTM sites located within 100 km of a cyclone path across the GBR from 1910 to 1999.
- 67 **Figure 2.9.** Potential for major disturbance. Distribution of AIMS LTM sites located within 25 km of a cyclone path across the GBR from 1910 to 1999.

### CHAPTER 3

- 71 **Figure 3.1.** Overview diagram of this thesis.
- 74 **Figure 3.2:** Expected distribution and severity of wave damage based only on the distance to the cyclone path.
- 77 **Figure 3.3:** Synoptic charts showing typical surface air pressure profiles for cyclones with a geometric size that is: A - unusually small (Ada 1970), B - medium size (Joy 1990), and C - unusually large (Betsy 1992).
- 78 **Figure 3.4:** Frequency of cyclone sizes and intensities in Queensland waters from 1984 to 2000.
- 86 **Figure 3.5:** Variation in the geometric size of storm circulation as measured by the mean radius from the eye to the outer closed isobar (km) for cyclones: A - Ivor, B - Joy, C - Justin (early phase), and D - Justin (late phase).
- 87 **Figure 3.6:** Geographic extent (average radius from eye) of gale force winds (solid line) and hurricane force winds (dotted line) reported during cyclones: A - Ivor, B - Joy, C - Justin (early phase), and D - Justin (late phase).
- 88 **Figure 3.7:** Maximum wind speed (m/s) during cyclones: A - Ivor, B - Joy, C - Justin (early phase), and D - Justin (late phase).
- 89 **Figure 3.8:** Reported maximum wave heights during cyclones: A - Ivor, B - Joy, C - Justin (early phase), and D - Justin (late phase).
- 91 **Figure 3.9:** Patterns of disturbance observed at coral reef communities surveyed following cyclones: A - Ivor, B - Joy, C - Justin (early phase), and D - Justin (late phase).

- 94 **Figure 3.10:** Relationship between the maximum severity of impacts (of any type) and average distance (km) to the cyclone path for Cyclones: A - Ivor, B - Joy, C - Justin (early phase), and D - Justin (late phase).
- 97 **Figure 3.11:** Zones of high, low and very low wave damage expected during cyclone Ivor based on distance to the cyclone path alone versus the severity of actual damage observed after the cyclone by Done et al 1991.
- 99 **Figure 3.12:** Zones of high, low and very low wave damage expected during cyclone Joy based on distance to the cyclone path (thin black line) alone versus the severity of actual damage observed after the cyclone by Ayling et al 1991.
- 101 **Figure 3.13:** Zones of high, low and very low wave damage expected during cyclone Justin based on distance to the cyclone path alone versus the severity of actual damage observed after the cyclone by this author.

#### CHAPTER 4

- 104 **Figure 4.1.** Overview diagram of this thesis.
- 107 **Figure 4.2:** GIS vector point coverage showing the eye positions of cyclone Joy (1990).
- 110 **Figure 4.3:** Estimated errors in initial measurements of tropical cyclone: A) eye positions and B) intensity (adapted from Woodcock 1995) based on subsequent data.
- 112 **Figure 4.4:** Satellite images of tropical cyclone eyes.
- 117 **Figure 4.5:** Positional uncertainty during cyclone Ivor (1990).
- 118 **Figure 4.6:** Positional uncertainty during cyclone Joy (1990).
- 119 **Figure 4.7:** Positional uncertainty during cyclone Justin (1997).
- 120 **Figure 4.8:** Positional uncertainty during cyclone Celeste (1996).

- 121 **Figure 4.9:** Predicted uncertainty in cyclone intensity estimates by eye position during cyclones Ivor [A], Joy [B], Justin [C] and Celeste [D].
- 122 **Figure 4.10:** Typical hindcast cyclone wind field.
- 127 **Figure 4.11:** Extent and magnitude of 10 metre surface wind speeds during one eye position of cyclone Joy (1990) as if it were stationary (A) and corrected for the cyclone's forward motion (B).
- 130 **Figure 4.12:** Direction from which surface winds originated during one eye position of cyclone Joy (1990).
- 131 **Figure 4.13:** Wind direction during one eye position of cyclone Joy (1990).
- 133 **Figure 4.14:** Extent and magnitude of 10 metre surface wind speeds during one eye position of cyclone Joy modelled with a primary vortex (A) and a primary + secondary vortex (B).
- 135 **Figure 4.15:** Extent and magnitude of 10-metre surface wind speeds during one eye position of cyclone Joy.
- 137 **Figure 4.16:** Extent and magnitude of 10 metre surface wind speeds during one eye position of cyclone Joy (1990) modelled with a primary vortex only for a typical radius of maximum winds - 30 km (A) and an unusually large radius of maximum winds - 100 km (B).
- 139 **Figure 4.17:** Extent and magnitude of 10 metre surface wind speeds during one eye position of cyclone Joy modelled with a primary vortex only when strong - intensity set to 940 hPa (A) and when weak - intensity set to 990 hPa (B).
- 142 **Figure 4.18:** Location of automatic weather stations from which observations were used in this thesis.
- 143 **Figure 4.19:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Ivor (March 1990) at the Fitzroy Island Lighthouse (latitude: 16.93°S, longitude: 146°E).
- 145 **Figure 4.20:** Agreement between wind speeds observed at the Fitzroy Island Lighthouse (black bars) and those predicted by the hindcasting model with a

primary vortex only (grey bars), summarised by incoming wind direction during cyclone Ivor (1990): A - total, B - average, C – maximum, and D – minimum wind speed during the cyclone.

- 146 **Figure 4.21:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Joy (December 1990) at the Fitzroy Island Lighthouse (latitude: 16.93<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 146<sup>0</sup>E). Asterisks show measurements taken by the Bureau of Meteorology weather station (altitude: 124 metres).
- 148 **Figure 4.22:** Index of agreement between wind speeds (A & B) and directions (C & D) observed at the Fitzroy Island Lighthouse and those predicted by the hindcasting model with a primary vortex only for selected points (speeds, n=21, directions, n=40) during cyclone Joy (December 1990).
- 149 **Figure 4.23:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Justin (March 1997) at Creal Reef (latitude: 20.53<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 150.38<sup>0</sup>E).
- 150 **Figure 4.24:** Index of agreement between wind speeds (A & B) and directions (C & D) observed at Creal Reef and those predicted by the hindcasting model with a primary vortex only for selected points (speeds, n=281, directions, n = 280) during cyclone Justin (March 1997).
- 152 **Figure 4.25:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Althea (1971) at the Hayman Island resort (latitude: 20.06<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 148.95<sup>0</sup>E). Asterisks show measurements taken by the Bureau of Meteorology weather station (altitude: 2.4 metres).
- 153 **Figure 4.26:** Index of agreement between wind speeds (A & B) and directions (C & D) observed at the Hayman Island resort and those predicted by the hindcasting model with a primary vortex only for selected points (speeds, n = 12, directions, n=11) during cyclone Althea (December 1971).
- 154 **Figure 4.27:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Celeste (1996) at Creal Reef (latitude: 20.53<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 150.38<sup>0</sup>E). Asterisks show measurements taken by the Bureau of Meteorology weather station (altitude = 1.7 m).
- 155 **Figure 4.28:** Index of agreement between wind speeds (A & B) and directions (C & D) observed at the Creal Reef and those predicted by the

hindcasting model with a primary vortex only for selected points (n=84) during cyclone Celeste (January 1996).

- 161 **Figure 4.29:** Cyclone energy parameters hindcast for cyclone Ivor.
- 163 **Figure 4.30:** Cyclone energy parameters hindcast for cyclone Joy.
- 164 **Figure 4.31:** Cyclone energy parameters hindcast for cyclone Justin.
- 167 **Figure 4.32:** Cyclone energy parameters hindcast for cyclone Althea.
- 168 **Figure 4.33:** Cyclone energy parameters hindcast for cyclone Celeste.

## CHAPTER 5

- 172 **Figure 5.1.** Overview diagram of this thesis
- 176 **Figure 5.2:** Example of the effect of two round obstacles on wave flows, as predicted by Massel 1995.
- 180 **Figure 5.3:** Diagram showing postulated differences in time to vulnerability to storm waves of different coral growth forms and structures, assuming area was denuded in 1950.
- 188 **Figure 5.4.** Example of an array of fetch lines around a reef site.
- 189 **Figure 5.5.** Indicative array of fetch lines around a reef site that is [A] – very sheltered, [B] – somewhat exposed and [C] – very exposed to local wind-sea.
- 190 **Figure 5.6:** Predicted exposure of surveyed reef sites to waves during cyclones Ivor, Joy and Justin (cyclone exposure), plotted against total recorded damage.
- 193 **Figure 5.7:** Predicted mean exposure of surveyed reef sites to waves under ambient conditions (ambient exposure) plotted against total recorded damage following cyclones Ivor, Joy and Justin.
- 195 **Figure 5.8:** Predicted mean difference between the exposure of surveyed reef sites to waves during cyclones Ivor, Joy and Justin versus their exposure under ambient conditions (relative exposure), plotted against total recorded damage.

196 **Figure 5.9:** The mean (black bars) and maximum (grey bars) total damage score for reef sites surveyed following cyclones Ivor, Joy and Justin, plotted by geomorphologic reef type (as defined by Hopley 1982).

## CHAPTER 6

202 **Figure 6.1:** Overview diagram of this thesis.

210 **Figure 6.2:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of any type or severity of damage for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, Althea and Celeste, and B - Joy.

213 **Figure 6.3:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of severe damage of any type for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor and Joy, and C - Joy.

216 **Figure 6.4:** Regression tree built for the total severity of damage of all types for cyclones Ivor, Joy and Justin combined.

218 **Figure 6.5:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of coral breakage for cyclones: A - Ivor and Joy, and B - Joy.

220 **Figure 6.6:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of debris scars for cyclone Justin.

222 **Figure 6.7:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of dislodged massives for cyclones: A - Ivor and Joy, and B - Justin.

225 **Figure 6.8:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of exfoliation for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, and B – Ivor and Joy.

229 **Figure 6.9:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of sand movement for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor and Joy, and C - Joy.

230 **Figure 6.10:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of stripped soft corals for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor and Joy, and C - Joy.

233 **Figure 6.11:** Classification tree built for the occurrence of trenching for cyclones Ivor and Joy.

- 236 **Figure 6.12:** Revised classification tree built for the occurrence of severe damage of any type for cyclones Ivor, Joy and Justin combined.
- 237 **Figure 6.13:** Revised classification tree built for the occurrence of breakage for cyclones Ivor and Joy combined.

## CHAPTER 7

- 239 **Figure 7.1:** Overview diagram of this thesis.
- 241 **Figure 7.2:** Spacing of reef sites along GBR reefs.
- 243 **Figure 7.3:** The Great Barrier Reef divided into one-degree latitude by one-degree longitude blocks.
- 251 **Figure 7.4:** Number of cyclone events from 1969 to 2003 during which maximum wind speeds exceeded the minimum threshold speed predicted to cause damage (24.7 m/s).
- 253 **Figure 7.5:** Number of cyclone events from 1969 to 2003 during which winds exceeding gale force persisted for longer than the minimum threshold predicted to cause damage (19.5 hours).
- 255 **Figure 7.6:** Percentage of years from 1969-2003 during which cyclone damage (coral breakage, dislodgement, exfoliation or severe damage of any type) could be possible at reef sites exposed to local wind-sea and susceptible to damage.
- 257 **Figure 7.7:** Percentage of GBR sites predicted to be potentially damaged by coral breakage for each cyclone event from 1969 to 2003 (no cyclone events occurred after 2000).
- 258 **Figure 7.8:** Percentage of GBR sites predicted to be potentially damaged by coral dislodgement for each cyclone event from 1969 to 2003 (no cyclone events occurred after 2000).
- 259 **Figure 7.9:** Percentage of GBR sites predicted to be potentially damaged by exfoliation for each cyclone event from 1969 to 2003 (no cyclone events occurred after 2000).

- 260 **Figure 7.10:** Percentage of GBR sites predicted to be potentially impacted by severe damage of any type for each cyclone event from 1969 to 2003 (no cyclone events occurred after 2000).
- 263 **Figure 7.11:** Breakage. Patterns in coral breakage across the GBR from 1969 to 2003: A - number of years since the last breakage (as of 2003), B – number of breakage-free periods, C – median length of breakage-free periods, and D – maximum length of breakage-free periods, averaged in each 1<sup>0</sup> latitude by 1<sup>0</sup> longitude box.
- 264 **Figure 7.12:** Dislodgement. Patterns in dislodgement across the GBR from 1969 to 2003: A - number of years since the last dislodgement (as of 2003), B – number of dislodgement -free periods, C – median length of dislodgement -free periods, and D – maximum length of dislodgement -free periods, averaged in each 1<sup>0</sup> latitude by 1<sup>0</sup> longitude box.
- 266 **Figure 7.13:** Exfoliation. Patterns in exfoliation across the GBR from 1969 to 2003: A - number of years since the last exfoliation (as of 2003), B – number of exfoliation -free periods, C – median length of exfoliation-free periods, and D – maximum length of exfoliation-free periods, averaged within each 1<sup>0</sup> latitude by 1<sup>0</sup> longitude box.
- 268 **Figure 7.14:** Severe damage of any type. Patterns in severe damage of any type across the GBR from 1969 to 2003: A - number of years since the last severe damage (as of 2003), B – number of severe damage-free periods, C – median length of severe damage-free periods, and D – maximum length of severe damage-free periods, averaged in each 1<sup>0</sup> latitude by 1<sup>0</sup> longitude box.
- 274 **Figure 7.15:** Effects of cyclone disturbance regimes on the extent of coral coverage and dominant colony size over decades.
- 277 **Figure 7.16:** Estimated probability (% likelihood) of the occurrence of cyclone damage across the GBR at any time during the period 1969-2003.
- 279 **Figure 7.17:** Patterns in the timing of the most recent disturbance-free interval across the GBR from 1969-2003.

### APPENDIX 3

- A-130 **Figure A3.1:** Track of cyclone Ivor (March 1990).
- A-131 **Figure A3.2:** Sites surveyed by Done et al 1991 following cyclone Ivor (1990).
- A-133 **Figure A3.3:** Track of cyclone Joy (December 1990).
- A-134 **Figure A3.4:** Sites surveyed by Ayling 1991 following cyclone Joy (1990).
- A-136 **Figure A3.5:** Track of cyclone Justin (March 1997).
- A-138 **Figure A3.6:** Sites surveyed offshore from Townsville following cyclone Justin as part of this thesis.
- A-139 **Figure A3.7:** Sites surveyed in the Whitsunday Islands region following cyclone Justin (1997) as part of this thesis.
- A-141 **Figure A3.8.** Reefs at which questionnaire respondents searched for wave damage from cyclone Justin (1997).
- A-143 **Figure A3.9:** Track of cyclone Althea (December 1971). Reef sites for which cyclone wave damage was noted during crown-of-thorns starfish field surveys are located within the thick grey boxes.
- A-144 **Figure A3.10:** Reefs at which impacts from cyclone Althea (1971) were incidentally noted during crown-of-thorns starfish surveys, as reported in COTSBASE 1.0 (Hartcher 2001). The cyclone moved in the direction of the arrow.
- A-146 **Figure A3.11:** Track of cyclone Celeste. Reef sites surveyed by Malcolm et al (1996) are located within the thick grey box. The cyclone moved in the direction of the arrow.
- A-147 **Figure A3.12:** Reef sites surveyed following cyclone Celeste by Malcolm et al 1996. The cyclone moved in the direction of the arrow.

#### APPENDIX 4

- A-150 **Figure A4.1:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Justin (March 1997) at Holmes Reef (latitude: 16.47<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 148.87<sup>0</sup>E).
- A-151 **Figure A4.2:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Justin (March 1997) at Green Island (latitude: 16.76<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 145.97<sup>0</sup>E). Asterisks show measurements taken by the Bureau of Meteorology weather station (altitude: 3.0 metres).
- A-152 **Figure A4.3:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Justin (March 1997) at Hook Reef (latitude: 19.74<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 149.17<sup>0</sup>E).
- A-153 **Figure A4.4:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Justin (March 1997) at the Hamilton Island airport (latitude: 20.35<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 148.95<sup>0</sup>E).
- A-154 **Figure A4.5:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Justin (March 1997) at Frederick Reef (latitude: 20.94<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 154.4<sup>0</sup>E).
- A-156 **Figure A4.6:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Justin (March 1997) at Gannet Cay (latitude: 21.98<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 152.47<sup>0</sup>E).
- A-157 **Figure A4.7:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Celeste (1996) at Green Island (latitude: 16.76<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 145.97<sup>0</sup>E).
- A-159 **Figure A4.8:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Celeste (1996) at the Hamilton Island airport (latitude: 20.35<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 148.95<sup>0</sup>E).
- A-160 **Figure A4.9:** 10 metre surface wind speeds (A) and directions (B) during Cyclone Celeste (1996) at Gannet Cay Reef (latitude: 21.98<sup>0</sup>S, longitude: 152.47<sup>0</sup>E).

## APPENDIX 5

- A-162 **Figure A5.1:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of any type or severity of damage for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, Justin, Althea and Celeste, and B – Ivor, Joy and Justin, C – Ivor and Joy, D – Ivor, and E – Justin.
- A-163 **Figure A5-2:** Classification tree built for severe damage of any type for cyclone Ivor.
- A-164 **Figure A5-3:** Regression tree built for maximum severity of damage of any type for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy and Justin combined, B – Ivor and Joy combined, and C - Ivor.
- A-165 **Figure A5-4:** Regression tree built for the total severity of damage across all types for cyclones: A - Ivor and Joy combined and B - Joy.
- A-167 **Figure A5-5:** Regression tree built for the total severity of low energy damage across all types for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy and Justin combined, B – Ivor and Joy combined, C - Joy.
- A-169 **Figure A5-6:** Regression tree built for the total severity of high energy damage across all types for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy and Justin combined, B – Ivor and Joy, and C - Joy.
- A-170 **Figure A5-7:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of coral breakage for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor, and C - Justin.
- A-171 **Figure A5-8:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of debris scars for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor and Joy, C – Ivor, and D - Joy.
- A-172 **Figure A5-9:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of dislodged massives for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor, and C - Joy.
- A-173 **Figure A5-10:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of exfoliation for cyclones: A - Ivor , and B - Joy.

- A-174 **Figure A5-11:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of fallen slabs for cyclones: A - Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor and Joy, C – Joy, and D - Justin.
- A-175 **Figure A5-12:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of stripped soft corals for cyclone Ivor. The relative purity of the terminal nodes and the classification accuracy indicate the success of the tree.
- A-176 **Figure A5-13:** Classification tree built for the presence versus absence of trenching for cyclones: A -Ivor, Joy, and Justin, B – Ivor, and C - Justin.

## List of Tables

### CHAPTER 1

- 11 **Table 1.1:** The Australian scale for ranking cyclone intensity by central pressure and maximum wind speeds.
- 12 **Table 1.2.** Direct observations of cyclone energy in the GBR available and relevant for use in this thesis.
- 16 **Table 1.3:** Factors influencing the distribution of coral reefs worldwide.
- 35 **Table 1.4:** Major published ecological studies documenting physical impacts of tropical cyclone waves on coral reef communities via field observations, 1925-2002.
- 36 **Table 1.5:** Number of cyclone events considered by major published studies examining the physical impacts of tropical cyclones on coral reef communities (1925-2002).
- 38 **Table 1.6:** Number of reefs considered by published studies examining the physical impacts of tropical cyclones on coral reef communities (1925-2002).
- 44 **Table 1.7:** Continuum of definitions of GIS used in research ranging from 'as a tool' to 'as a science'.

- 45 **Table 1.8:** Conditions that indicate that research would benefit from a GIS approach and the degree to which they are met by this thesis.

### CHAPTER 3

- 74 **Table 3.1:** Threshold distances from the cyclone path within which wave damage of varying severity would be expected to occur.
- 79 **Table 3.2:** Predicted characteristics of wave damage on reefs from combinations of cyclone size and intensity.
- 80 **Table 3.3.** Field observations of cyclone wave damage on the GBR from 1969 to 2003.
- 82 **Table 3.4:** Location of field sites surveyed following cyclones Ivor, Joy, and Justin.
- 83 **Table 3.5:** Categories used to assess damage from cyclones Ivor, Joy, and Justin.
- 84 **Table 3.6.** Field observations of cyclone wave damage by cyclone and type of habitat.
- 85 **Table 3.7.** Field observations of cyclone wave damage by cyclone and aspect of site.
- 96 **Table 3.8:** Comparison of the level of the predicted versus observed severity of wave damage during cyclone Ivor, based on distance to the cyclone path alone as defined in Table 3.1.
- 98 **Table 3.9:** Comparison of the level of the predicted versus observed severity of wave damage during cyclone Joy, based on distance to the cyclone path alone as defined in Table 3.1.
- 100 **Table 3.10:** Comparison of the level of the predicted versus observed severity of wave damage during cyclone Justin, based on distance to the cyclone path alone as defined in Table 3.1.

## CHAPTER 4

- 108 **Table 4.1:** Challenges associated with hindcasting cyclone energy.
- 113 **Table 4.2:** Percentage of eye positions during cyclones Althea, Ivor, Joy, Celeste and Justin for which eye width was recorded in the cyclone database.
- 121 **Table 4.3:** Categories of uncertainty in estimating cyclone intensity.
- 141 **Table 4.4:** Locations of BOM weather stations that recorded relevant wind speed and direction data available during cyclones Althea, Ivor, Joy, Celeste, and Justin in digital form.
- 157 **Table 4.5:** Agreement between wind speeds observed at weather stations during cyclones Ivor, Joy, Justin, Althea and Celeste and those predicted by the McConochie et al 1999 model, aggregated by major wind direction.

## CHAPTER 5

- 178 **Table 5.1:** Major site-specific factors that influence reef vulnerability to cyclone wave damage.
- 183 **Table 5.2:** Stages of reef development and geomorphologic types defined by Hopley (1992).
- 185 **Table 5.3:** Periods for surface waves and their associated lengths and interaction depths.
- 198 **Table 5.4:** Habitat (reef front versus back) and the frequency of wave damage at sites surveyed following cyclones Ivor, Joy, and Justin.
- 199 **Table 5.5:** Average depth around reef sites surveyed following cyclones Ivor, Joy, Justin, Althea and Celeste.
- 200 **Table 5.6.** Slope and total cyclone damage recorded at reef sites surveyed following cyclone Ivor.

## CHAPTER 6

- 207 **Table 6.1.** Classification and regression trees built using CART.
- 209 **Table 6.2.** Key features of classification trees modelling the occurrence of any type or severity of cyclone damage given the hindcast variables.
- 212 **Table 6.3.** Key features of classification trees modelling the occurrence of severe damage of any type given the hindcast variables.
- 215 **Table 6.4:** Key features of regression trees modelling the severity of cyclone damage given the hindcast variables.
- 217 **Table 6.5.** Key features of classification trees modelling coral breakage given the hindcast variables.
- 220 **Table 6.6.** Key features of classification trees modelling debris scars given the hindcast variables.
- 221 **Table 6.7.** Key features of classification trees modelling dislodged massives given the hindcast variables.
- 223 **Table 6.8.** Key features of classification trees modelling exfoliation given the hindcast variables.
- 226 **Table 6.9.** Key features of classification trees modelling fallen intact slabs given the hindcast variables.
- 227 **Table 6.10.** Key features of classification trees modelling sand movement given the hindcast variables.
- 229 **Table 6.11.** Key features of classification trees modelling soft coral stripping given the hindcast variables.
- 232 **Table 6.12.** Key features of classification trees modelling trenching given the hindcast variables.
- 234 **Table 6.13.** CART trees chosen to predict the occurrence of wave damage across the GBR from 1969 to 2003.

238 **Table 6.14.** Decision rules for predicting the occurrence of four types of cyclone damage across the GBR from 1969-2003.

## CHAPTER 7

244 **Table 7.1.** Descriptors of the tropical cyclone disturbance regime in the Great Barrier Reef Region from 1969 to 2003.

270 **Table 7.2:** Major disturbances that affect coral reefs worldwide. Assembled from Wilkinson 1999.

275 **Table 7.3:** Summary of the predicted timing of cyclone disturbance in the GBR from 1969 to 2003 for four measures of damage: breakage, dislodgement, exfoliation and severe damage of any type.

## APPENDIX 3

A-145 **Table A3.1:** Records of probable wave damage following cyclone Althea (1971) noted during crown-of-thorns starfish surveys reported in COTSBASE 1.0.

A-148 **Table A3.2:** Field observations of wave damage following cyclone Celeste by Malcolm et al (1996).