Sublethal effects of diel fluctuations in dissolved oxygen saturation on freshwater fishes from tropical Queensland

Thesis submitted by Nicole Flint BSc Hons in August 2005

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Zoology and Tropical Ecology within the School of Tropical Biology James Cook University

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work

Signature

Date

STATEMENT ON SOURCES

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

......(Signature)

(Date)

DECLARATION ON ETHICS

The research presented and reported in this thesis was conducted within the guidelines for research ethics outlined in the *National Statement on Ethics Conduct in Research Involving Humans* (1999), *the Joint NHMRC/AVCC Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice* (1997), the *James Cook University Policy on Experimentation Ethics. Standard Practices and Guidelines* (2001), and the *James Cook University Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice* (2001). The proposed research methodology received clearance from the James Cook University Experimentation Ethics review Committee (approval numbers A865 and A624)

Nicole Flint

29-08-05

STATEMENT ON THE CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

Research funding:

- Sugar Research and Development Corporation scholarship and research funds.
- Rainforest CRC's "Catchment to Reef" program research funds.
- Queensland Government Department of the Premier and Cabinet's "Growing the Smart State PhD Research Funding Program" research funds.
- James Cook University School of Tropical Biology research funds.

Research in-kind support:

- Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Fisheries, Walkamin Research Station, location for experiments on sooty grunter eggs.
- The Tablelands Fish Stocking Association provided sooty grunter eggs and fingerlings for experiments.
- The Aquaculture Association of Queensland provided the Utchee Creek rainbowfish for use in experiments.

Supervision:

- Principal supervisor: Professor Richard Pearson (School of Tropical Biology)
- Co-supervisors: Dr Michael Crossland (Australian Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research) and Dr Marcus Sheaves (School of Marine Biology and Aquaculture)
- All three supervisors provided statistical, analytical and editorial support.

Expert and technical advice:

- Mr Rob Gegg assisted in creating the experimental aquaria used in the study.
- Mrs Sue Reilly provided advice and assistance in histological techniques.
- A/Prof Leigh Owens provided expert advice on the pathological analysis of fish organs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my family – Ray, Paulette, Megan and Kate Flint for their support and guidance. Mum and Dad, thanks for putting me through uni and for taking me seriously when I was eight years old and intent on saving the world one whale at a time. Dad, thanks also for giving me so much advice on fish and aquariums – you got me out of quite a few sticky situations! Mum, thanks for providing a sympathetic ear through the many testing moments of the last few years. Thanks to my Ooya for your cheerful letters and unwavering support, and to Nanny and Poppy for always being there. Thanks also to the Elms family for putting me up during my stay in the Tablelands.

Aside from all the fish who 'participated' in the project, several other members of the animal world require acknowledgement. My two fat cats, Moby and Sally, entertained me with their unsuccessful attempts to murder any fish that ventured into the house. May food always appear in front of you when you yowl. My hilarious dog Sanjay joined me during thesis writing and convinced me that dogs really are the best people.

The greatest acknowledgement must go to my partner and best friend, Martin Elms. Thank you for sticking around, helping me with my experiments at ridiculous hours of day and night, driving me to creek after creek looking for rainbowfish, helping me to collect pond weed, listening to me whinge, allowing me to adopt as many stray animals as possible, and most of all for making me laugh. I have no doubt that I wouldn't have finished this thesis without you.

ABSTRACT

The effects of diel fluctuations in DO saturation were investigated for four species of tropical freshwater fish at various life history stages. Fluctuating hypoxia was achieved by gradually lowering DO saturation to a minimum level (minimum level differed between treatments), then allowing DO to return to normoxia each day for the duration of experiments. A range of oxygen regimes were tested on juvenile *Lates calcarifer, Melanotaenia splendida splendida* and *Hephaestus fuliginosus*; adult *Melanotaenia utcheensis*; and embryonic *M. s. splendida*, *M. utcheensis* and *H. fuliginosus*. Immediate lethal limits after gradual oxygen reductions were recorded for each species/life history stage where possible, as well as various effects on the sublethal level, including effects on growth (for juveniles), ventilation (for juveniles), reproduction (for adults) and viability (for embryos).

The four fish species tested were found to be surprisingly tolerant to the oxygen regimes they were exposed to during the study. Species/life history stages that are frequently exposed to hypoxia in natural situations were found to be the most tolerant during experiments. The rank order of resistance of each species/life history stage from highest to lowest was: eggs of *M. s. splendida* and *M. utcheensis* (no immediate lethal level identified), juvenile *L. calcarifer* (immediate lethal level ~2% DO saturation), juvenile *M. s. splendida* and adult *M. utcheensis* (immediate lethal level 6-7%), and juvenile *H. fuliginosus* (immediate lethal level ~7%).

L. calcarifer, *M. s. splendida* and *M. utcheensis* were all capable of aquatic surface respiration at the juvenile and adult stages tested. Juvenile *H. fuliginosus* did not display this adaptive behaviour. Growth and feeding behaviour of juvenile *L. calcarifer* were affected in treatments reaching 5% and 10% minimum DO saturation daily; as was food consumption of some *H. fuliginosus* individuals in the treatment reaching 10% DO saturation daily (5% treatment was lethal for the species).

Eggs of *M. s. splendida* and *M. utcheensis* were completely resistant to the oxygen regimes tested, and more tolerant to hypoxia than juvenile and adult stages of the same species. Reproduction of surviving adult *M. utcheensis* was largely unaffected by exposure to diel fluctuations in DO saturation, although one of two broodgroups

treated with a minimum DO saturation of 10% daily ceased egg production after 18 days of oxygen cycling; and in the same aquarium one of the two female fish was found to have a high percentage of atretic (degenerative) eggs in her ovary.

Although the results suggested that species of fish tested were remarkably tolerant to the sublethal DO regimes imposed during the study, some effects on reproduction, growth and feeding were apparent and may be much more detrimental in natural situations where food must be caught, and mates located. Additionally, longer durations of daily minimum DO saturation, or longer duration of the fluctuating hypoxia regime may increase effects. The results have implications for water quality guidelines for wetlands and waterways of tropical north Queensland, and provide a broad baseline for more targeted research into the effects of hypoxia on fish from the region.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of access	ii
Statement on sources	iii
Statement on the contribution of others	iv
Acknowledgements	V
Abstract	vi
Table of contents	viii
List of tables	xi
List of illustrations and diagrams	xiii

Chapter One: Introduction to hypoxia and its effects on fish and aquatic ecosystems 1

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Hypoxia and fluctuating hypoxia	1
1.3	Fish and hypoxia – sublethal effects and learning to live with	
	hypoxia	3
1.4	Ecological effects of hypoxia on fish communities	5
1.5	Thesis outline 51	6
Chap	ter Two: General methods	8
2.1	Pilot studies of methodology, and using nitrogen to displace	
	oxygen in an aquarium environment	8
2.2	Design of experiments	9
2.2.1	Location	9
2.2.2	Holding tanks	9
2.2.3	Experimental aquaria and associated equipment	9
2.2.4	Treatments	10
2.2.5	Lighting	12
2.2.6	Water quality	13
2.2.7	Experiments	14
2.3	Test species	18

Chapter Three: The effects of fluctuating hypoxia on ventilation of juvenile fishes 24

3.1	Introduction	24
3.2	Methods	26
3.2.1	Experiments	26
3.2.2	Measuring ventilation	29
3.2.3	Histological and pathological methods	30
3.3	Results for barramundi	31
3.3.1	Ventilation rates at minimum DO saturation and at normoxia	31

3.3.2	Ventilation behaviour	34
3.3.3	Histopathological analysis of gills	34
3.4	Results for eastern rainbowfish	38
3.4.1	Ventilation rates at minimum DO saturation and at normoxia	38
3.4.2	Ventilation behaviour	38
3.4.3	Histopathological analysis of gills	40
3.5	Results for sooty grunter	42
3.5.1	Ventilation rates	42
3.5.2	Ventilation behaviour	44
3.5.3	Histopathological analysis of gills	46
3.6	Discussion	46

Chapter Four: The effects of fluctuating hypoxia on feeding, growth and condition of juvenile fishes 53

4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Methods	54
4.2.1	Experiments	54
4.2.2	Measuring feeding	54
4.2.3	Measuring growth	62
4.2.4	Measuring condition	63
4.3	Results for barramundi	63
4.3.1	Feeding	64
4.3.2	Growth	65
4.3.3	Condition	67
4.4	Results for eastern rainbowfish	68
4.4.1	Feeding	69
4.4.2	Growth	70
4.4.3	Condition	71
4.5	Results for sooty grunter	72
4.5.1	Feeding	73
4.5.2	Growth	75
4.5.3	Condition	77
4.6	Discussion	79

Chapter Five: The effects of fluctuating in hypoxia on reproduction of Utchee Creek rainbowfish 83

5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Methods	84
5.2.1	Experimental set up and data collecting during the experiment	84
5.2.2	Health of adult fish exposed to hypoxia	85
5.2.3	Health of eggs after parental exposure to hypoxia	86
5.2.4	Statistical analyses	86
5.3	Results for effect of hypoxia on adult rainbowfish	87
5.3.1	Egg production	87
5.3.2	Egg size	88
5.3.3	Adult condition and gonad health	91
5.4	Results for effect of parental hypoxia on resulting embryos	100
5.4.1	Incubation time	100

5.4.2	Hatch success and mortality	101
5.4.3	Size of hatching larvae and incidence of deformity	106
5.5	Discussion	110

Chapter Six: The effects of fluctuating hypoxia on egg viability and larval health 117

6.1	Introduction	117
6.2	Methods	119
6.2.1	Utchee Creek rainbowfish	119
6.2.2	Eastern rainbowfish	120
6.2.3	Sooty grunter	120
6.3	Results for Utchee Creek rainbowfish	121
6.3.1	Number and size of eggs	121
6.3.2	Time to hatch	121
6.3.3	Hatch success and mortality	122
6.3.4	Size of hatching larvae and incidence of deformity	125
6.4	Results for eastern rainbowfish	127
6.4.1	Number and size of eggs	127
6.4.2	Time to hatch	127
6.4.3	Hatch success and mortality	130
6.4.4	Size of hatching larvae and incidence of deformity	132
6.5	Results for sooty grunter	134
6.5.1	Number and size of eggs	134
6.5.2	Time to hatch	134
6.5.3	Hatch success and mortality	136
6.5.4	Size of hatching larvae and incidence of deformity	136
6.6	Discussion	146
Chap	ter Seven: General Discussion	152
References Appendices		162
		179
Apper	ndix 1	179
Appendix 2		199

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Experiments carried out for the project in chronological order with lists of
parameters measured in each.15

Table 3.1 Parameters of gill morphology considered during histopathological analysisof gill condition of juvenile fish.31

Table 3.2 Independent samples t-test to test for differences between baselineventilation rates (at normoxia) of sooty grunter from set 1 and set 2.43

Table 4.1 Effects of hypoxic conditions on growth, food intake and food conversionin fish.55

Table 4.2 One-Way ANOVA comparing growth of juvenile barramundi in alltreatments.66

Table 4.3 One-Way ANOVA comparing Fulton's K of juvenile barramundi in alltreatments.67

Table 4.4 One-Way ANOVA comparing HSI of barramundi in all treatments.**68**

 Table 4.5 One-Way ANOVA comparing growth of rainbowfish in all treatments.
 71

Table 4.6 One-Way ANOVA comparing Fulton's K of rainbowfish in all treatments.72

Table 4.7 Independent Samples T-Test showing no significant difference betweenmean food consumption of the two sets of sooty grunter.74

Table 4.8 One-Way ANOVA comparing food consumption of sooty grunter in alltreatments.74

Table 4.9 Independent samples T-test showing no significant difference in growth ofsooty grunter from sets 1 and 2.**76**

Table 4.10 One-Way ANOVA of growth of sooty grunter from all treatments.**76**

Table 4.11 Independent samples T-test showing no significant difference in HSI
between two sets of sooty grunter.77

Table 4.12 Independent samples T-test showing no significant difference in Fulton'sK between two sets of sooty grunter.77

Table 4.13 One-Way ANOVA of HSI of both sets of sooty grunter from alltreatments.77

Table 4.14 One-Way ANOVA of Fulton's K for both sets of sooty grunter from alltreatments.78

Table 5.1 Number of Utchee Creek rainbowfish eggs used in the egg health section ofthe reproduction experiment.87

Table 5.2 Two-Way ANOVA of effect of DO treatment and time (day) on size ofeggs produced by Utchee Creek rainbowfish.91

Table 5.3 Independent samples T-test showing no significant difference betweenmeans of Fulton's K for male and female rainbowfish.91

Table 5.4 One-Way ANOVA showing no effect of DO treatment on Fulton's K of
adult Utchee Creek rainbowfish.92

Table 5.5 One-Way ANOVA showing no effect of DO treatment on gonadosomaticindex (GSI) of male Utchee Creek rainbowfish.92

Table 5.6 One-Way ANOVA showing no effect of DO treatment on gonadosomaticindex (GSI) of female Utchee Creek rainbowfish.92

Table 5.7 One-Way ANOVA of effect of hypoxia treatments on abundance of yolkedeggs in ovaries of Utchee Creek rainbowfish.95

Table 5.8 One-Way ANOVA of effect of hypoxia treatments on abundance ofhydrated/ovulated eggs in ovaries of Utchee Creek rainbowfish.95

Table 5.9 One-Way ANOVA of effect of hypoxia treatments on abundance of atreticeggs in ovaries of Utchee Creek rainbowfish.95

Table 5.10 One-Way ANOVA showing significant relationship between DO treatment and abundance of empty spaces in testes of Utchee Creek rainbowfish. **99**

Table 5.11 One-Way ANOVA showing significant differences between temperature'treatments' for last day of hatching, and day of most hatching activity. No significantdifference for day of first hatch between temperatures.102

Table 5.12 One-Way ANOVA showing no significant difference in viable hatchbetween temperature treatments.105

Table 5.13 One-Way ANOVA showing no significant effect of temperature'treatment' on mortality of eggs and larvae.106

Table 5.14 One-Way ANOVA showing no significant difference in larval sizebetween temperature 'treatments'.108

Table 5.15 One-Way ANOVA showing larval size on day 5.5 was unaffected bytemperature.108

Table 5.16 One-Way ANOVA showing larval size on day 6.5 was unaffected bytemperature108

Table 5.17 One-Way ANOVA showing a significant difference in the rate of larvaldeformity (live and dead larvae) between temperature 'treatments'110

Table 6.1 Number of eggs placed in each experiment tank from two brood groups to
determine the effects of fluctuating hypoxia on Utchee Creek rainbowfish and eastern
rainbowfish.121

Table 6.2 Results of linear regression analyses to test for relationship betweentreatment and incubation time.145

Table 7.1 Experiments carried out during this study.153

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS AND DIAGRAMS

Figure 2.1 30 L glass experimental aquaria with specially designed PVC lids and access holes. 10

Figure 2.2 Configuration of experiment tanks on shelving units (view from back of tanks).

Figure 2.3 Photo	of experimental	set up in the	e aquarium room.	12
------------------	-----------------	---------------	------------------	----

Figure 2.4 Daily DO fluctuations for each treatment.13

Figure 3.1 Composite diagram of the common irritant-induced gill lesions reproducedfrom Mallat (1985).32

Figure 3.2 Ventilation rates (beats per minute) of juvenile barramundi at minimum dissolved oxygen level in each tank, averaged for each fish over 21 days. 33

Figure 3.3 Ventilation rates (beats per minute) of juvenile barramundi under normoxic conditions, averaged for each fish over 21 days. 34

Figure 3.4 Average baseline ventilation rates (beats per minute) of juvenile barramundi each week, over the three-week duration of the experiment. 36

Figure 3.5 Ventilation behavioural stages recorded for juvenile barramundi in eachtreatment shown as proportion of days at each behavioural stage.37

Figure 3.6 Photomicrograph of juvenile barramundi gill from control treatment. 37

Figure 3.7 Ventilation rates (beats per minute) of juvenile eastern rainbowfish at minimum dissolved oxygen level in each tank, averaged for each fish over 28 days.

Figure 3.8 Ventilation rates (beats per minute) of juvenile eastern rainbowfish undernormoxic conditions, averaged for each fish over 28 days.40

Figure 3.9 Average baseline ventilation rates (beats per minute) of juvenile eastern rainbowfish each week, over the four-week duration of the experiment. 41

Figure 3.10 Ventilation behavioural stages recorded for rainbowfish in each treatmentshown as proportion of days at each behavioural stage.42

Figure 3.11 Ventilation rates (beats per minute) of two sets of juvenile sooty grunter at minimum dissolved oxygen level in each tank, averaged for each fish over 28 days.

Figure 3.12 Ventilation rates (beats per minute) of two sets of juvenile sooty grunterunder normoxic conditions, averaged for each fish over 28 days.44

Figure 3.13 Proportion of days that individual sooty grunter reached each ventilationbehaviour stage.45

Figure 4.1 Standard length, total length and weight of juvenile barramundi prior to commencement of the experiment. 64

Figure 4.2 Feeding behaviour of juvenile barramundi grouped by DO treatment. 65

Figure 4.3 Growth of juvenile barramundi in each treatment after 21 days of DO cycling. 66

Figure 4.4 Fulton's K of each juvenile barramundi following 21 days of DO cycling. 67

Figure 4.5 HSI of each juvenile barramundi following 21 days of DO cycling. 68

Figure 4.6 Standard length, total length and weight of juvenile eastern rainbowfish prior to the commencement of the experiment. 69

Figure 4.7 Feeding behaviour of juvenile eastern rainbowfish grouped by DO treatment. 70

Figure 4.8 Growth of juvenile eastern rainbowfish in each treatment after 28 days of DO cycling. 71

Figure 4.9 Fulton's K of each surviving juvenile eastern rainbowfish following 28days of DO cycling.72

Figure 4.10 Standard length, total length and weight of a sample of juvenile sootygrunter prior to commencement of the experiment.73

Figure 4.11 Feeding behaviour of juvenile sooty grunter grouped by DO treatment. 74

Figure 4.12 Food consumption (average number of pellets consumed over 28 days)for juvenile sooty grunter.75

Figure 4.13 Growth of juvenile sooty grunter in each treatment after 28 days of DO cycling, expressed as percentage increase in weight from initial weight. 76

Figure 4.14 HSI of both sets of sooty grunter.78

Figure 4.16 Fulton's K of both sets of sooty grunter.78

Figure 5.1 Daily egg counts of two broodgroups of Utchee creek rainbowfish in treatment 2 (reaching 10% DO saturation each day), the lowest surviving treatment. 89

Figure 5.2 Total number of eggs laid by each Utchee Creek rainbowfish broodgroup in all treatments. 89

Figure 5.3 Average egg size for each broodgroup.90

Figure 5.4 Fulton's K of adult Utchee Creek rainbowfish following 28 days of oxygen cycling. 92

Figure 5.5 GSI of adult Utchee Creek rainbowfish following 28 days of oxygen cycling. 93

Figure 5.6 Total ovary count estimates of: A) yolked eggs; B) hydrated eggs; C) atretic eggs for each female Utchee Creek rainbowfish following 28 days of oxygen cycling. 94

Figure 5.7 Photomicrograph of ovary section of female Utchee Creek rainbowfish. 96

Figure 5.8 Abundance of: total eggs, hydrated eggs, mature eggs, atretic eggs and incidence of scarring – indexed from 1 (least scarring) to 5 (most scarring) within ovaries of female Utchee Creek rainbowfish, counted from five histological sections per fish. 97

Figure 5.9 Photomicrograph of a section of the testes of a male Utchee Creekrainbowfish indicating tissue types recorded and compared.98

Figure 5.10 Composition of testes as determined by coverage (number of points on transect) of cell types in histological sections. 99

Figure 5.11 Time taken after spawning for larvae from each nursery tank to hatch. 102

Figure 5.12 Hypoxia treatment versus day of first hatch, final day of hatch or day ofmost hatching of Utchee Creek rainbowfish eggs.103

Figure 5.13 Number of days taken for Utchee Creek rainbowfish eggs to hatch. 104

Figure 5.14 Viable hatch (% of eggs that hatched alive with no deformities) for each tank. 104

Figure 5.15 Viable hatch (% of eggs that hatched alive with no deformities) for each
hypoxia treatment.105

Figure 5.16 Mortality of eggs and larvae in each tank, expressed as a percentage of the total number of eggs. 105

Figure 5.17 Total length of newly hatched larvae (mm).107

Figure 5.18 Larvae that hatched alive with deformities (% hatch in each nursery tank). 109

Figure 5.19 Larvae that hatched with deformities including both live and dead larvae(% hatch in each nursery tank).109

Figure 5.20 Rate of larval deformity (live and dead larvae).110

Figure 6.1 Time to hatch of Utchee Creek rainbowfish eggs. 122

Figure 6.2 Relationship between hypoxia treatment and day of first hatch, final day of
hatch and day of most hatching for Utchee Creek rainbowfish eggs.123

Figure 6.3 Number of Utchee Creek rainbowfish larvae that hatched on each daypost-spawn in all tanks.124

Figure 6.4 Percentage of Utchee Creek rainbowfish larvae that hatched from eggs alive and without deformity (viable hatch). 124

Figure 6.5 Percent mortality of Utchee Creek rainbowfish eggs and larvae and each tank. 125

Figure 6.6 Total length (mm) of Utchee Creek rainbowfish larvae at hatch. 126

Figure 6.7 Percentage of Utchee Creek rainbowfish larvae that hatched with deformities (found either alive or dead). 127

Figure 6.8 Time to hatch of eastern rainbowfish eggs.128

Figure 6.9 Relationship between hypoxia treatment and day of first hatch, final day ofhatch and day of most hatching for eastern rainbowfish eggs.129

Figure 6.10 Number of eastern rainbowfish larvae that hatched on each day postspawn. 130

Figure 6.11 Percentage of eastern rainbowfish larvae that hatched alive and without
deformity (viable hatch).131

Figure 6.12 Percent mortality of eastern rainbowfish eggs and larvae in each tank.131

Figure 6.13 Total length (mm) of eastern rainbowfish larvae at hatching.133
Figure 6.14 Percentage of eastern rainbowfish larvae that hatched alive, with deformities. 134
Figure 6.15 Time to hatch of sooty grunter eggs.135
Figure 6.16 Major hatching periods of sooty grunter eggs.130
Figure 6.17 Relationship between hypoxia treatment and time of first hatch, final day of hatch and day of most hatching for sooty grunter eggs.138
Figure 6.18 Number of sooty grunter larvae that were found to have hatched at each collection time.
Figure 6.19 Percentage of sooty grunter larvae that hatched alive and without deformity (viable hatch). 139
Figure 6.20 Percent mortality of sooty grunter eggs and larvae in each tank.140
Figure 6.21 Total length (mm) of sooty grunter larvae at hatching.141
Figure 6.22 Relationship between incubation time and size of hatching sooty grunte level for each tank. 142
Figure 6.23 Relationship between incubation time and total length of sooty grunte larvae.

Figure 6.24 Percentage of sooty grunter larvae that hatched alive, with deformities. 146