Spatial and temporal patterns of flood plumes in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia

Thesis submitted by Michelle Jillian Devlin BSc (Bendigo College of Advanced Education (Latrobe University) Msc (James Cook University)

February 2005

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Tropical Environment Studies and Geography Department,

James Cook University.

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT OF SOURCES

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Signature

Date

Papers arising from this thesis

Devlin, M., Brodie, J, Waterhouse, J., Mitchell, A., Audas, D. and Haynes, D. (2003). *Exposure of Great Barrier Reef inner-shelf reefs to river-borne contaminants*. In: Proceedings of the 2nd National Conference on Aquatic Environments: Sustaining our aquatic environments- Implementing solutions. Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Brisbane, Australia.

Devlin, M., Waterhouse, J. and Brodie, J. 2002. *Terrestrial discharge into the Great Barrier Reef: Distribution of riverwaters and pollutant concentrations during flood plumes.* In: Moosa *et al.* (eds.) Proceedings of the 9th International Coral Reef Symposium. October 2000, Bali, Indonesia. 2, 1205-1211.

Brodie, J., Christie, C., **Devlin, M**., Haynes, D., Morris, S., Ramsay, M., Waterhouse, J. and Yorkston, H. 2001. *Catchment management and the Great Barrier Reef.* Water Science and Technology, 43(9): 203-211.

Devlin, M., Waterhouse, J., Taylor, J., and Brodie, J. 2001. *Flood plumes in the Great Barrier Reef: spatial and temporal patterns in composition and distribution*. GBRMPA Research Publication No 68, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, Australia.

Devlin, M., Waterhouse, J. and Brodie, J. 2001. *Community and connectivity: Summary of a community based monitoring program set up to assess the movement of nutrients and sediments into the Great Barrier Reef during high flow events*. Water Science and Technology 43(9): 121-131.

Haynes, D., Brodie, J., Christie, C., Devlin, M., Michalek-Wagner, K., Morris, S.,
Ramsay, M., Storrie, J., Waterhouse, J. and Yorkston, H. 2001. *Great Barrier Reef water quality: current issues*. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, 90p.

Steven, A., **Devlin, M**., Brodie, J., Baer, M. & Lourey, M.1996. *Spatial influence and composition of river plumes in the central Great Barrier Reef.* In: Hunter, H. M. *et al* (eds) Downstream Effects of Land Use, Queensland Department of Natural Resources, pp 85 - 92.

Devlin, M., Haynes, D and Brodie, J. 1998. *Cyclone Justin (March 1997) Flood plume sampling: Preliminary results.* In Greenwood, J.G. and Hall, N.J. (eds). Proceedings of the Australian Coral Reef Society 75th Anniversary Conference, Heron Island October, 1997. School of Marine Science, The University of Queensland, Brisbane. Pp 57-66

Devlin, M. and Taylor, J. (1999). *Impacts of rivers and plumes on the Great Barrier Reef lagoon*. Rivers for the Future. Land and Water, Australia

Devlin, M, Haynes, D and Brodie, J. (submitted). Regional patterns in chlorophyll biomass in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon (Queensland, Australia). Environmental monitoring

Devlin, M. and Brodie, J., 2005. Terrestrial discharge into the Great Barrier Reef Lagoon: Nutrient behaviour in coastal waters. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 51 (1-4): 9-22

Brodie, J., De'ath, G., **Devlin, M**., Furnas, M. and Wright, M. (2007). Spatial and temporal patterns of near-surface chlorophyll a in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon. Accepted.

Brodie, J., De'ath, G., Furnas, **M., Devlin**, M., Waterhouse, J., Bainbridge, Z., Christie, C., Haynes, D. and Wright, M. In review. Long term chlorophyll monitoring in the Great Barrier Reef Lagoon: Status Report 2, 1993 - 2005. ACTFR Report No. 05/05, Australian Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research, James Cook University, Townsville

Smith, L., **Devlin, M.**, Haynes, D. and Gilmour, J. (2005). A demographic approach to monitoring the health of coral reefs. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 51. 399- 407

Abstract

This thesis considers the eutrophication of our coastal systems and the potential for anthropogenic driven change in these systems. The influence of river waters and plume driven nutrients and sediments into nearshore systems is also documented. Known changes in the Great Barrier Reef and associated Queensland catchments, including land use change, river water quality, flood plume extent and variation, coral cover, coral reef processes and any related changes are presented.

This research spans a number of large, long term data sets collected over the last 10 years, including long term chlorophyll *a* data set, and flood plume extents and water quality concentrations both in plumes and around inshore coral reefs. Modelling of all this data demonstrates that the inshore reef of the GBR is becoming more productive, with assessment of risk being highest for the inshore reefs adjacent to the Wet Tropics catchments.

This thesis outlines the potential changes in the nutrient availability by summarising a long term data set of chlorophyll a. The data collected in the seven years demonstrate persistent cross-shelf and regional differences in chlorophyll concentration. Seasonal trends are generally consistent between regions. There are pronounced gradients between inshore and offshore sites, indicating a strong terrestrial influence in the inshore lagoon of the GBR. Results from chlorophyll monitoring support the idea of an inner-shelf polluted zone adjacent to the developed catchments from Port Douglas to Harvey Bay (end of southern region), and relatively unpolluted zone on the inner-shelf north of Port Douglas and generally on the middle and outer shelf. The middle shelf between Cape Grafton and Cape Tribulation (central region) is also somewhat polluted due to its proximity to the coast and polluted rivers. In general, Coral Sea and outer shelf mean chlorophyll concentrations are close to 0.2 µg/L, areas of the GBR Lagoon without polluted river influence have mean concentrations near 0.3 µg/L, long-term mean concentrations in areas subjected to polluted river influence are near 0.6 µg/L while event concentrations in waters affected by flood plumes from polluted rivers are near 3 μ g/L.

This larger part of this body of work has looked at the dispersal and extent of flood plumes, the importance of flood plumes as a source of nutrients and sediments and the potential risk of riverine influence on the nearshore ecosystems of the GBR. Through the course of this work, I have monitored and measured flood plumes associated with cyclones from 1991 to 2000. The sampling events were Cyclone Joy (1991), Sadie (1994), Violet (1995), Ethel (1996), Justin (1997), Sid (1998), Rona (1999) and Steve (2000).

Plume distributions presented in chapter 4 establish that the main driving influence on plume dispersal is the direction and strength of wind and discharge volume of the river. Wind conditions are dominated by south-easterly winds which drive the plume north and towards the coast. The greater number of plumes mapped over this study (Violet, Ethel, Justin, Sid and Rona) were restricted to a shallow nearshore northward band by stronger south-easterly winds following the cyclone. However, under relatively calm conditions such as those following Sadie, light offshore winds allowed the plume to disperse seaward and north over much of the shelf and there was a short period of direct impingement upon mid and outer-shelf reefs. The flood plumes associated with Cyclone Joy in the Fitzroy River also moved offshore, following light northerly winds, eventually impinging on reefs of the Capricorn-Bunker group.

The amount of rainfall that falls over a particular catchment can have a marked effect on distribution of the plume. Another factor in the distribution of flood plumes is the influence of headlands on the movement of the plumes ('steering'). This can be observed most clearly in the vicinity of Cape Grafton (slightly south-east of Cairns) in extent of the Sadie, Violet and Ethel plumes where northward moving plumes are steered across the Green Island Reef. Green Island Reef appears to the one mid-shelf reef of the GBR, south of the Daintree, which is regularly covered by river plume water. Therefore the assessment of plumes impacting on the mid-shelf reefs adjacent to the Barron River (Green Island) are expected to be underestimates due to effects from other river systems to the south "steering" past Cape Grafton.

Data presented in chapter 5 demonstrates that the composition of plumes is strongly dependent on particular events, between days and through a single event, depths and catchment. Timing of sampling is critical in obtaining reliable estimates of material exported in the flood plumes. There is a hysteresis in the development of a flood plume, which is related to catchment characteristics (size, vegetation cover and gradient), rainfall intensity and duration and distribution of flow volume. The time lag difference

is significant in the smaller Wet Tropic rivers (Herbert to Daintree) compared to larger Dry Tropic Rivers of the Burdekin and Fitzroy.

Measurements of all parameters taken further away from the river are influenced by physical and biological processes occurring over time as the elevated concentrations in the river water mixed with the lagoonal waters of the GBR. Concentrations on NO_x and DIP ranged from 10-15 μ M and 0.2-0.5 μ M at sites close to the river mouth and declining to levels between 0-2 μ M (NO_x) and 0 – 0.2 μ M at higher salinity concentrations. Though these later concentrations are still high in comparison to baseline concentrations they do reflect influences by other processes. The distribution of nutrients within the plume is a function of riverine inputs, mixing and biological activity which add or remove nutrients.

Modelling of the plumes associated with specific weather conditions has demonstrated that inshore reef areas adjacent to the Wet Tropics Catchment (between Townsville and Cooktown) regularly experience extreme conditions associated with plumes. Inshore areas (north of the Burdekin and Fitzroy Rivers) receive riverine waters on a less frequent basis. Spatial distribution of the frequency of plume coverage delineates the inshore area of the GBR, which is annually inundated by flood plume waters. Chapter 4 presents a summary of the frequency and distribution of the all flood plumes mapped in the GBR over the last 10 years.

As part of the assessment of the impact of flood plumes on GBR ecosystems, an estimate is required of the areal and volumetric extent of plumes emanating from the rivers draining to the GBR. The observed distribution of flood plumes between 1994 and 1999 serves as a baseline for evaluating baseline distribution with respect to variables controlling plume extent. Based on these observations, a summary of plume distribution for waters discharging in the vicinity of the Russell-Mulgrave and Barron Rivers has been developed with six qualitative fields of plume distribution (inner1, inner2, inner-mid, mid, mid-outer and outer). A model was developed to estimate the expected distribution of a plume using variables which include wind speed and direction coupled with river flow data. Formulation of expected plume distribution over a longer time period than individual observations allows for the identification of reefs that are subject to plumes and an estimate as to the frequency of impact. Based on the model an estimate of spatial extents of plumes has been made using the Barron River as a case

study. The hindcasted model provided a preliminary estimate of how frequently plumes extend to a particular area of the GBR. Based on the data for the Barron River it is estimated that in the past 58 years, a plume may have reached the mid-shelf reefs (outer category) on 18 occasions.

Acknowledgements.

This thesis would not have been possible without the help and support of many people, and I thank every single one of them. In particular, I would like to thank Jon Brodie for being a great supervisor, a wonderful inspiration and a great friend. His direction and overview have been instrumental in understanding the connections between catchment and reef processes. Thanks to David Haynes, his support, advice and friendship was very much appreciated. I would also like to thank Jane Waterhouse who also worked with us in the Marine Park Authority. She was legendary in being able to keep calm when all else was not, and her help in the many reports and papers that came from this research was exceptional. I was part of a great team in the Water Quality section of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, and without their help, this work would never have been completed. The majority of this work was supported and funded by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, and I thank them for their vision and support. I would like to thank Scott Smithers, who took over as my James Cook University supervisor at a later date and has remained a calming force through the last couple of years.

I would like to thank everyone who was involved with the stormy fieldwork that has taken place under these programs, especially Debbie Bass Caroline Christie and Luke Smith for being with me in the field and offering much needed advice for the success of this program. Thanks to the gang at the Long-term Monitoring Program (Australian Institute of Marine Science), Rob McGill, Andrew Stevens (Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority), Alan Mitchell (Australian Institute of Marine Science, Master and crew of the research vessels *Sirius* (AIMS), *Satisfaction* (Cairns), *Aquarius 3* (Cairns) and the crew of the Queensland Department of Primary Industries (Cairns) and Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service vessels based at Dungeness and Cairns for their assistance with field work.

I would like to thank my current work, Centre for Environment, Aquaculture and Fisheries in the UK for being supportive of my time here in Australia finishing off this work, in particular I would like to thank Steven Malcolm for his support.

I would like to send a very big thank you to my family who have been a constant in my life for a very long time. Thanks for making me smile when I needed it the most.

Table of contents

Papers arisir	ng from this thesis	4				
Abstract		6				
Acknowledg	gements	10				
Chapter One	e: The mechanism and transport of flood plume waters into the G	reat Barrier				
Reef.		23				
1.1 In	Introduction					
1.2 Relagoon?	esearch question 1: Is there any evidence of nutrient enrichment	in the GBR				
13 Re	esearch question 2. What governs the movement and extent	of riverine				
plumes in	mes in the GBR					
1.4 Re	esearch question 3: What are the biogeochemical processes	in riverine				
plumes di	umes discharging into GBR waters					
1.5 Re	esearch question 4: What is the extent of variability in nutrient ar	nd sediment				
transport	in GBR waters					
1.6 Re	esearch question 5: Identification of areas of risk from increasi	ng nutrient				
and sedim	nent delivery into GBR waters					
1.7 Th	nesis outline					
Chapter 2:]	Research question 1: Is there any evidence of nutrient enrichr	nent in the				
GBR lagoon	1?					
2.1. In	troduction					
2.2. M	ethods					
2.2.1	Study area					
2.2.2	Ambient water condition sampling sites					
2.2.3	High nutrient conditions (River plume sampling)					
2.2.4	Sample collection	41				
2.2.5	Data analysis	41				

2.3. Res	sults	42
2.3.1	Spatial analysis of chlorophyll concentrations	42
2.3.2	Land use activity	46
2.3.3	Contribution of high flow events to the phytoplankton biomass	48
2.3.4	Temporal patterns in chlorophyll biomass	48
2.4. Dise	cussion	51
2.4.1	Spatial concentrations of chlorophyll biomass	51
2.4.2	Influence of plume waters on chlorophyll concentrations	53
1.5 Is th	here evidence of nutrient enrichment in the GBR?	54
Chapter 3: T transport of ne	he extent and duration of plume waters in the GBR (mechanismew material)	1 for 58
3.1 Intro	oduction	58
3.1.1	Great Barrier Reef catchment and rivers	58
3.2 Mat	erials and Methods	64
3.2.1	Aerial mapping of flood plumes	64
3.2.2	Frequency of plume distribution	64
3.2.3	Hindcasting of plume distribution based on current knowledge of pl	ume
extent an	d shape	65
3.3 Res	ults	67
3.3.1	Aerial mapping of plumes	67
3.3.2	Preliminary assessment of risk area for GBR	76
3.3.3. extent an	Hindcasting of plume distribution based on current knowledge of plud shape	ume
		/0
3.4 Dise	cussion	85
3.4.1	Plume extent and direction	85
3.4.2	Plume frequency	86

3.4	.3	Hindcasting of plume distribution			
4.1	Intr	roduction			
4.3	Me	ethodology			
4.3	.1	Sampling design			
4.3.2		Analytical Methods	93		
4.3.3		Data analysis			
4.4	Res	sults	102		
4.4	.1	Variability between events and catchments	102		
4.4	.2	Constituent behaviour			
4.5	Dis	viscussion			
5.1	Intr	ntroduction			
5.2.	Me	thodology	138		
5.2	.1	Plume sampling	138		
5.2	.2	Concentrations in plumes related to catchment			
5.2	.3	Concentrations in plumes related to distance (reef concentrations)			
5.3	3.4. Concentrations in plumes related to time (flow)		139		
5.2	5.2.5 Calculation of variability related to catchment, timing and dista		141		
5.3	Res	sults	144		
5.3.1		Concentrations in plumes related to catchment	145		
5.3.2		Concentrations in plumes related to distance (reefs)	145		
5.3.3		Concentrations in plumes related to time (flow)	151		
5.3	5.3.5 Plume concentrations and reef exposure		153		
5.4	Dis	cussion	157		
5.4.1 Co		Concentration related to catchment	157		
5.4	5.4.2 Concentration related to flow		158		
5.4.3 Plume concentrations and reef exposure		158			

Chapter 6: Analysis of risk from terrestrial runoff for the Great Barrier 6.1 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2 623 6.2.4 625 6.2.6 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 633 6.3.4 6.3.5 6.5 6.5. Discussion 177 6.5.1. 652

7.1	Implications of Changed Land-use for River and Plume Waters1	80
7.2	Implications of Changed Land-use in the Catchment for GBR Ecosystems 1	81
7.3	Further Research1	85
Referen	ces1	88
Appendi	ix One: Peer reviewed outputs supporting thesis2	:09

Table of figures

Figure 1: The boundaries of the Great Barrier Reef, outlining the extent of the Great Barrier Reef catchments
Figure 2: Latitudinal sampling transects for the Long term chlorophyll monitoring program. Transects were grouped into further divisions of north, central and south regions
Figure 3: Design of sampling program for the ambient (baseline) conditions. Further details can be found in Steven <i>et al.</i> , 1998 and Brodie <i>et al.</i> , in press
Figure 4: Design of sampling program for high flow conditions. Further details can be found in Devlin <i>et al.</i> , 2002
Figure 5: Location of plume sampling sites that correspond with location of ambient sampling sites
Figure 6: Mean chlorophyll concentrations (µg/l) measured across the geographical transects separated into inshore and offshore area (a) and regional areas grouped into broad geographical regions (north, central and southern areas of GBR) (b)47
Figure 7: Mean average chlorophyll values over sampling period (1991- 2000). (a) Long term inshore chlorophyll data (blue) is compared with chlorophyll values taken in flood plumes (pink) from the inshore areas of the Cairns transect (b) Concurrent flow rates for the Barron River are reported (blue line)
Figure 8: Mean monthly chlorophyll data (with SE) for the 8 transects on the Great Barrier Reef. Transects are placed in a north to south gradient. Data are grouped into inner (up to 20km off coast) and outer (> 20km off coast) cross-shelf areas). 50
Figure 9: Map of the Great Barrier Reef Catchment and major rivers draining into the Great Barrier Reef lagoon
Figure 10: Historical flow rates in comparison to the study period for Tully (Wet Tropics), Herbert (intermediate) and the Burdekin River (Dry Tropics)
Figure 11: (a). Seasonal extremes in the Barron River, from the Atherton Tablelands the Barron River flows through the World Heritage rainforest (a) dry season – October

1994 (b) wet) season – March 1995 floods. (Photos: J. Taylor 1995)63
Figure 12: Area selected for modelling of hindcasting plume conditions
Figure 13: Tracks of cyclones over the Queensland coast from 1991 to 200067
Figure 14: Flood plumes associated with Cyclone Joy, 199171
Figure 15: Flood plumes associated with Cyclone Sadie, 199471
Figure 16: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Violet, 199572
Figure 17: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Ethel, 199672
Figure 18: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Justin, 4th March 1997 – Southern Rivers
Figure 19: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Justin, 25th March, 1997, Wet Tropics River
Figure 20: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Sid, 23 rd January 1998, Burdekin River
Figure 21: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Sid from Wet Tropics and Burdekin River
Figure 22: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Rona, 14 th February, 199975
Figure 23: Flood plume associated with Cyclone Steve, 200075
Figure 24: Frequency of plume formation from 1991 - 2000
Figure 25: Plume distribution for the Wet Tropics area, between and including Johnstone and Barron Rivers
Figure 26: Plume distributions for study area between Barron River and Russell- Mulgrave rivers
Figure 27: Conceptual model of plume movement in response to variable weather conditions
Figure 28:Predicted plume distribution based on the flow rates and wind data for the Barron River section for the period 1943 to 1999.Extreme events can not be predicted by the model as those series of variables have not been measured over the study period

Figure 29: Idealized representation of the relationship between concentrations of a dissolved component and a conservative index of mixing for an estuary where there are single sources of river and seawater. For a component (A) is greater in seawater than in river water and (B) for a component whose concentration is greater in river water than in seawater (Chester 1990)
Figure 30: Sampling sites within each plume event101
Figure 31: Mixing curves for dissolved nitrogen species for Violet Plume for Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 32: Mixing curves for dissolved nitrogen species for Violet Plume for Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 33: Mixing curves for Cyclone Violet for DIP, DON, DOP and SiO4 for three Wet Tropics catchment
Figure 34: Mixing curves for dissolved nitrogen species for Justin Plume for Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 35: Mixing curves for chlorophyll for Justin Plume for three Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 36: Mixing curves for Cyclone Violet for DIP, DON, DOP and SiO4 for three Wet Tropics catchment
Figure 37: Mixing curves for all constituents in the Fitzroy Plume for a Dry Tropics catchments
Figure 38: Mixing curves for SPM sampled in the Burdekin plumes. Events included Justin (1997) and Sid (1998)
Figure 39: Selected mixing profiles for SPM (suspended particulate matter) for the Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 40: Mixing curves for NO _x sampled in the Burdekin plumes. Events included Justin (1997) and Sid (1998)
Figure 41: Selected mixing profiles for NO _x (nitrate and nitrite) for the Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 42: Mixing profiles for NH ₄ (ammonia) sampled in the Burdekin plumes. Events

Spatial and temporal patterns of flood plumes in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia

included Justin (1997) and Sid (1998)114
Figure 43: Selected mixing profiles for NH ₄ (ammonia) for the Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 44: Movement of dissolved inorganic nutrient species through salinity gradient. All data is averaged over salinity range. Error bars represent 95% confidence limits
Figure 45: Mixing profiles for DIP (dissolved inorganic phosphate) for the Burdekin catchment
Figure 46: Selected mixing profiles for DIP (for the Wet Tropics catchments)
Figure 47: Mean and standard error along salinity gradient for DIP in plume waters119
Figure 48: Mean and standard error along salinity gradient for Particulate N and P in Wet Tropics catchments
Figure 49: Mean and standard error along salinity gradient for chlorophyll <i>a</i> in Wet Tropics
Figure 50: Selected mixing profiles for chlorophyll a (for the Wet Tropics catchments)
Figure 51: Mean and standard error along salinity gradient for DON and DOP in Wet Tropics
Figure 52: Nitrogen and Phosphorus ratios (DIN:DIP and TN:TP) against salinity. Circle denotes Dry Tropics samples and square denotes Wet Tropics
Figure 53: Representation of constituent behaviour for dissolved and particulate nutrients, SPM and chlorophyll a
Figure 54: Conceptual diagram of variables which influence reef concentrations during plume events
Figure 55: Selection of sites related to catchment and divided into inshore and reef sites
Figure 56: Flow rates associated with Russell-Mulgrave over February and March 2000.Sampling for water quality parameters was initiated during the first flush event and the extreme flow associated with Cyclone Steve (2000)

Spatial and temporal patterns of flood plumes in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia

Figure 57: Map outlines Wet Tropics area which has detailed reef water quality sampling. Plume frequency and hindcasting were modelled in this area
Figure 58: Individual water quality sites sampled in Cyclone Steve (2001) around three selected reefs
Figure 59: Dissolved nutrients (NH4, NOx and DON) concentrations for plume and reef waters for individual catchments
Figure 60: Dissolved nutrients (DIP, DOP and SiO4) concentrations for plume and reef waters for individual catchments
Figure 61: Chlorophyll and SPM concentrations for plume and reef waters for individual catchments
Figure 62:Flow vs concentration relationship between Wet Tropic catchment and DIN (NO _x +NH ₄)
Figure 63: Nitrate+nitrite (NO ₃ and NO ₂), ammonia (NH ₄) and chlorophyll concentrations measured over four reef sites over a first flush and large flow event.
Figure 64: Correlation between flow and DIN, DIP, chlorophyll a and salinity for Cyclone Steve sampling. Flow is presented for Russell-Mulgrave river over high flow event associated with Cyclone Steve
Figure 65: The Plume direction factor. Location of the reefs in relation to the river mouth is how the PDF is calculated
Figure 66: Rating of risks at high risk as calculated by the ERI model. High risk was denoted as total ERI being greater than 0.9
Figure 67: Estimation extrapolation of Ecosystem Risk Index categories for the Great Barrier Reef

Table of tables

e study. Data	transect in the	or each	entrations f	of chlorophyll con	Summary	: 1:	Table
Comparative	(May – Oct).	Winter	- April) and	nto summer (Nov	separated	was	۷
43	summer period.	nshore s	ted for the i	umes is also prese	for flood p	lata	Ċ

 Table 9; Mean and maximum values for reef samples associated with GBR catchments.

 146

- Table 13: Example of Ecosystem Risk Index (ERI) calculation for two reefs (Round-Russell Reef and Tobias Spit).
 172
- Table 14: Summary of ERI calculation for the 154 reefs included in the analysis......174