

# JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

**Scott, Jennifer Louise (2006) *Cellular and molecular genesis of the cervical-uterine post-insemination inflammatory response in the ewe*. PhD thesis, James Cook University.**

Access to this file is available from:

<http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/17505>



**CELLULAR AND MOLECULAR GENESIS OF THE  
CERVICAL-UTERINE POST-INSEMINATION  
INFLAMMATORY RESPONSE IN THE EWE**

**Thesis submitted by**

**Jennifer Louise Scott BSc. BVMS (Hons) *Murd.***

**October 2006**

**for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the  
School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences  
James Cook University**

## **ELECTRONIC COPY**

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

---

Signature

---

Date

## **STATEMENT OF ACCESS**

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere. I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

Jennifer Louise Scott

27<sup>th</sup> October 2006

## **STATEMENT OF SOURCES**

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institute of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Jennifer Louise Scott

27<sup>th</sup> October 2006

## **STATEMENT ON THE CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS**

Professor Phillip Summers and Associate Professor Natkunam Ketheesan provided supervision for the research carried out in this thesis and were co-authors on all papers resulting from this thesis.

A stipend was provided by the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences for the duration of the research candidature (3.5 years). Project costs were met from a research expenditure allocation associated with the stipend and IRA and Reproduction Service accounts held by Professor Summers.

Statistical knowledge was gained by attendance at generic skills courses in Basic Statistics and Multivariate Statistics run by Professor Danny Coomans and Dr Yvette Everingham in the School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences. Further statistical support was provided by Associate Professor Leigh Owens in the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences.

Jennifer Louise Scott

27<sup>th</sup> October 2006

## **DECLARATION ON ETHICS & BIOSAFETY**

The research presented and reported in this thesis was conducted within the guidelines for research ethics outlined in the *Joint NHMRC/AVCC Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice* and the *James Cook University Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice* (2001). All research procedures reported in this thesis received the approval of the James Cook University Ethics Review Committee (Animal Ethics Number A 846\_03) and the James Cook University Biosafety Committee (Biosafety Number PPR11\_06).

Jennifer Louise Scott

27<sup>th</sup> October 2006

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my principal supervisor Professor Phil Summers for his patience, guidance and encouragement throughout this project. His ongoing understanding and dedication were invaluable and an inspiration to me. I also wish to express my sincere appreciation to my co-supervisor Associate Professor Ketheesan for his valued assistance and advice.

I would like to sincerely thank Laurie Reilly for his willing assistance and technical advice on histological techniques, digital photography and so much more. My thanks are also extended to Chris Coleman and Scott Blyth for their much appreciated assistance with animal management and sample collections.

I also wish to thank the staff and students who provided me with various forms of assistance and advice during my project, particularly Leigh Owens, Jan Smith, Jo Penny, Vicki Dunk, Ray Layton, Jodie Barnes and Daniella Ciampoli. I also thank the administrative staff Lorraine Henderson, Sandy Coleman, Trish Gorbai and Kylie Bannister for their support. Thanks also to family, friends and colleagues who helped to keep me sane during the dark hours.

I thank Dr Peter McWaters for his kind donation of recombinant ovine IL-8 and an accompanying ELISA protocol, and Dr Gary Entrican for his kind donation of recombinant ovine GM-CSF.

Lastly, a big thankyou to my partner Max for his patience, understanding and support through all the ups and downs.

## **ABSTRACT**

Insemination induces an inflammatory response in the cervix and endometrium, and there is increasing evidence that it plays an important role in the establishment of successful pregnancy. Several different leukocytes and cytokines are involved in the response, but the range of mediators involved, the progression of events and their significance in terms of reproductive success are uncertain. This study examined the temporal development of the inflammatory response in the reproductive tract of the ewe following mating, investigated the components of ram semen responsible and compared the reaction in the oestrogen and progesterone dominated reproductive tract. The central hypothesis of the study was that components of semen induce an inflammatory reaction in the female reproductive tract via the synthesis and secretion of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and interleukin-8 (IL-8) from endometrial and cervical epithelial cells.

In initial studies, reproductive tracts were collected from ewes at three, six, 18, 24 and 48 hours following mating or the onset of oestrus without mating. Leukocytes in the vagina, cervix and uterus were identified and quantified. In non-mated ewes, numbers of neutrophils and mast cells in the uterus were highest at three hours then declined by 48 hours following the detection of oestrus, whereas the number of macrophages increased in most tissues. Luminal macrophages were highest at 18-24 hours but had declined by 48 hours after oestrus. Neutrophil and macrophage numbers increased in the posterior cervical and uterine tissues following mating and neutrophils also increased in the cervical and uterine lumen. In uterine tissues numbers of neutrophils peaked at six hours and macrophages at 18-24 hours after mating. The number of mast cells initially decreased after mating but then increased by 48 hours, whereas the number of eosinophils remained constant. It was concluded that leukocyte populations in the reproductive tract of the ewe are influenced by ovarian steroid hormones, and changes after mating vary between different sites. Numbers of neutrophils and macrophages increased in response to mating whereas mast cells decreased and the number of eosinophils did not change.

Tissues and luminal fluid from the reproductive tract of mated and non-mated ewes were also examined for the presence of GM-CSF and IL-8 using monoclonal and polyclonal sheep-specific antibodies. Both GM-CSF and IL-8 were detected in luminal and glandular endometrial epithelium, to a lesser extent in cervical epithelium and neither in vaginal epithelium. There were higher luminal concentrations of GM-CSF at all sites in the reproductive tract of mated compared with control ewes, and the vaginal lumen contained the highest concentration of IL-8 compared with all other sites irrespective of mating status. These findings suggested that an increase in GM-CSF following mating may contribute to the influx of leukocytes which occurs at this time, but the changes in IL-8 following mating were not clear.

Semen was collected from each of seven rams on three separate occasions by electro-ejaculation and examined for the presence of cytokines. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF- $\beta$ 1) was present in all samples of ram seminal plasma, but neither GM-CSF nor IL-8 were found. Concentrations of seminal TGF- $\beta$ 1 ranged between 0.12 and 1.5 ng/ml and approximately 90% was present in a latent form. It is still not certain what role TGF- $\beta$ 1 has in contributing to the inflammatory reaction to semen.

Oestrous and luteal stage ewes were anaesthetised and their uterus surgically ligated into five sections. Whole semen, washed spermatozoa, seminal plasma, modified Tyrode's albumin-lactate-pyruvate (TALP) and normal saline were injected into the ligated uterine sections and the reproductive tracts collected 22 hours later. Selected ewes had antibiotics added to the treatments. Whole semen, seminal plasma and spermatozoa caused an increase in neutrophil numbers in uterine tissues and increased luminal IL-8, but including antibiotics in treatments reduced this response. An increase in luminal GM-CSF occurred in response to spermatozoa and whole semen but only when antibiotics were not used. Eosinophils increased in the mid- and deep endometrial stroma when antibiotics were not used, whereas fewer mast cells were present in the deep endometrial stroma after all treatments and numbers were reduced further in the presence of antibiotics. More macrophages were present in uterine tissues in response to whole semen, spermatozoa and seminal plasma than other treatments and antibiotics reduced this response. These results indicate that spermatozoa, seminal plasma and possibly bacteria or bacterial products such as

lipopolysaccharide (LPS) all contribute to leukocyte and cytokine changes during the post-insemination inflammatory response in the uterus of the ewe.

Neutrophils, GM-CSF and IL-8 underwent greater increases in response to insemination at oestrus compared to during the luteal phase, whereas numbers of eosinophils were higher at oestrus but unaffected by insemination. Total macrophage numbers were not influenced by the stage of the oestrous cycle, however their distribution within uterine tissues was affected, with more located in the superficial endometrial stroma at oestrus. These results suggest that leukocytes, GM-CSF and IL-8 in the ovine uterus are under the influence of ovarian hormones and oestrogen enhances and/or progesterone suppresses aspects of the post-insemination inflammatory response in the ewe.

It was concluded that the post-insemination inflammatory response in the reproductive tract of the ewe involves an increase in numbers of neutrophils and macrophages and a reduction or degranulation of mast cells. These changes are likely to be driven, at least in part, by the concurrent increase in GM-CSF and IL-8 which occurs in response to a combination of spermatozoa, seminal plasma and bacteria or bacterial products. These leukocyte and cytokine changes may be involved in preparing the ovine endometrium for pregnancy.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Statement of Access</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Statement of Sources</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Statement of the Contribution of others</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Declaration on Ethics</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>xxvi</b>
<b>Publications</b>	<b>xxviii</b>
<b>Conference Proceedings</b>	<b>xxviii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1    Background .....	1
1.2    Working Hypothesis .....	4
1.3    Aims and Objectives of the Research Project.....	5
<b>CHAPTER 2 .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1    Anatomy and Histology of the Ewe Reproductive Tract.....	6
2.1.1    Anatomy.....	6
2.1.2    Histology.....	6
2.2    Cyclical Changes in the Endometrium and Cervical Mucosa.....	8
2.2.1    Endometrial leukocyte populations.....	8
2.2.1.1    Rodents.....	8
2.2.1.2    Rabbits .....	9
2.2.1.3    Pigs.....	9
2.2.1.4    Horses.....	10
2.2.1.5    Humans .....	10
2.2.1.6    Ruminants .....	11

2.2.1.7	Origin of endometrial leukocytes.....	12
2.2.2	Resistance to infection .....	13
2.3	Components of Semen .....	16
2.3.1	Spermatozoa.....	16
2.3.2	Seminal plasma .....	17
2.3.2.1	Immunosuppressive effects of seminal plasma.....	18
2.3.2.2	Immunostimulatory effects of seminal plasma .....	20
2.3.2.3	Pro- and anti-inflammatory effects of seminal plasma .....	21
2.4	General Features of Inflammation and Leukocyte Migration.....	22
2.5	The Post-insemination Inflammatory Reaction .....	24
2.5.1	Cellular changes during the inflammatory reaction.....	26
2.5.1.1	Cell types involved.....	26
2.5.1.2	Cellular changes within uterine and cervical tissues .....	26
2.5.1.3	Cellular changes in the uterine and cervical lumen .....	27
2.5.2	Cytokines involved in the inflammatory reaction.....	28
2.5.2.1	Transforming growth factor beta .....	30
2.5.2.2	Granulocyte-macrophage-colony stimulating factor.....	31
2.5.2.3	Interleukin-1 .....	32
2.5.2.4	Interleukin-6.....	33
2.5.2.5	Interleukin-8.....	33
2.5.2.6	Interleukin-10.....	34
2.5.2.7	Monocyte chemotactic protein-1.....	34
2.5.2.8	Tumor necrosis factor alpha.....	35
2.5.2.9	Prostaglandins .....	35
2.6	Induction of the Post-insemination Inflammatory Reaction .....	36
2.6.1	Rodents.....	36
2.6.2	Rabbits .....	37
2.6.3	Pigs.....	37
2.6.4	Horses.....	38
2.6.5	Humans .....	39
2.6.6	Ruminants .....	39
2.6.7	Discrepancies in induction of the inflammatory reaction .....	40
2.7	Purpose of the Post-insemination Inflammatory Reaction.....	41
2.7.1	Maternal immunomodulation post-insemination .....	41

2.7.1.1	Role of GM-CSF in maternal immunomodulation .....	44
2.7.1.2	Immunological role of neutrophils post-insemination .....	45
2.7.1.3	Immunological role of macrophages post-insemination .....	46
2.8	Summary and Conclusion .....	46
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>48</b>
3.1	Animals .....	48
3.2	Oestrus Synchronisation .....	48
3.3	Mating .....	49
3.4	Collection of Ram Semen .....	50
3.5	Euthanasia .....	50
3.6	Collection of Samples .....	50
3.6.1	Luminal secretion collection .....	51
3.6.2	Tissue samples .....	51
3.6.2.1	Additional tissue samples from preliminary work .....	52
3.7	Silanised Slides .....	53
3.8	Initial Processing and Fixation of Samples .....	53
3.8.1	Luminal secretions .....	53
3.8.2	Tissue samples .....	54
3.8.2.1	Frozen tissues .....	54
3.8.2.2	Formaldehyde fixed tissues .....	54
3.9	Histology .....	55
3.9.1	Haematoxylin and Eosin stain .....	55
3.9.2	Toluidine Blue stain .....	55
3.10	Histological Examination .....	56
3.11	Buffer Solutions .....	57
3.11.1	Immunohistochemistry buffers .....	57
3.11.2	ELISA buffers .....	58
3.12	Immunohistochemistry .....	59
3.12.1	Primary antibodies .....	59
3.12.1.1	Macrophages .....	59
3.12.1.2	GM-CSF .....	59
3.12.1.3	IL-8 .....	60
3.12.2	Secondary antibodies .....	60

3.12.3	General immunohistochemical techniques .....	60
3.12.3.1	Macrophage immunohistochemistry protocol for tissues .....	61
3.12.3.2	Macrophage immunohistochemistry protocol for smears.....	61
3.12.3.3	GM-CSF immunohistochemistry protocol.....	62
3.12.3.4	IL-8 immunohistochemistry protocol .....	63
3.12.4	Controls .....	64
3.12.4.1	Macrophage positive control.....	64
3.12.4.2	Cytokine positive controls.....	64
3.13	Immunohistochemical Examination .....	65
3.13.1	Macrophage identification .....	65
3.13.2	Cytokine identification.....	65
3.14	Cytology.....	65
3.14.1	Smears stained by Diff Quik.....	65
3.14.2	Smears stained by immunohistochemistry.....	66
3.15	Detection of Cytokines in Luminal Fluid .....	67
3.15.1	GM-CSF ELISA protocol .....	67
3.15.2	IL-8 ELISA protocol.....	68
3.16	Preparation of Samples for Surgical Insemination .....	69
3.16.1	Spermatozoa diluent (TALP) preparation.....	69
3.16.2	Whole semen preparation.....	70
3.16.3	Seminal plasma preparation .....	70
3.16.4	Washed spermatozoa preparation .....	70
3.16.5	Antibiotic preparation for surgical treatments .....	71
3.17	Surgical Insemination of Ewes.....	71
3.17.1	Anaesthesia of ewes.....	71
3.17.2	Surgical technique.....	72
3.18	Detection of Transforming Growth Factor-beta in Semen .....	73
<b>CHAPTER 4 .....</b>		<b>76</b>
<b>LEUKOCYTE CHANGES IN RESPONSE TO INSEMINATION .....</b>		<b>76</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	76
4.2	Materials and Methods.....	76
4.2.1	Neutrophils and eosinophils.....	76
4.2.2	Mast Cells .....	77
4.2.3	Macrophages .....	77

4.2.3.1	Macrophage antibody optimisation.....	77
4.3	Statistical Analyses .....	78
4.3.1	Statistical analyses of cell counts in tissues .....	78
4.3.2	Statistical analyses of cell counts in luminal smears .....	79
4.4	Results .....	79
4.4.1	Neutrophils .....	79
4.4.1.1	Neutrophil infiltration of tissues .....	79
4.4.1.2	Neutrophils in the lumen.....	86
4.2.1	Eosinophils.....	89
4.4.3	Mast cells .....	89
4.4.4	Macrophages .....	94
4.4.4.1.	Anti-macrophage antibody optimisation.....	94
4.4.4.2	Macrophage infiltration of tissues.....	98
4.4.4.3	Macrophages in the lumen .....	101
4.5	Discussion .....	102
<b>CHAPTER 5 .....</b>	<b>106</b>	
<b>CHEMOKINES IN REPRODUCTIVE TISSUES AND SECRETIONS.....</b>	<b>106</b>	
5.1	Introduction.....	106
5.2	Materials and Methods.....	106
5.2.1	GM-CSF .....	107
5.2.1.1	Tissue sections and antibody optimisation.....	107
5.2.1.2	ELISA for GM-CSF in luminal fluid.....	107
5.2.2	IL-8.....	108
5.2.2.1	Tissue sections and antibody optimisation.....	108
5.2.2.2	ELISA for IL-8 in luminal fluid.....	108
5.3	Statistical Analyses .....	109
5.3.1	Statistical analyses of cytokines in tissues .....	109
5.3.2	Statistical analyses of cytokines in luminal fluid.....	109
5.3.3	Statistical correlation between cytokines and cell counts .....	110
5.4	Results .....	110
5.4.1	GM-CSF .....	110
5.4.1.1	Immunohistochemistry antibody optimisation.....	110
5.4.1.2	GM-CSF in tissues .....	111
5.4.2.3	GM-CSF in the lumen.....	111

5.4.2	IL-8.....	112
5.4.2.1	Immunohistochemistry antibody optimisation.....	112
5.4.2.2	IL-8 in tissues.....	118
5.4.2.3	IL-8 in the lumen.....	118
5.4.3	Correlation between cytokines and cell counts.....	119
5.4.3.1	Correlation between IL-8 and cell counts.....	119
5.4.3.2	Correlation between GM-CSF and cell counts.....	119
5.5	Discussion.....	120
<b>CHAPTER 6.....</b>		<b>124</b>
<b>COMPONENTS OF SEMEN THAT CAUSE INFLAMMATION .....</b>		<b>124</b>
6.1	Introduction.....	124
6.2	Materials and Methods.....	125
6.2.1	Ewes.....	125
6.2.2	Semen collection from rams.....	125
6.2.3	Preparation of samples for surgical insemination of ewes.....	126
6.2.4	Anaesthesia of ewes.....	126
6.2.5	Surgical technique.....	126
6.2.6	Uterine sample collection and analysis.....	126
6.2.7	Calculation of total cytokine content in luminal fluid.....	128
6.2.8	Preparation of seminal plasma for cytokine detection.....	128
6.2.9	ELISA protocols for cytokine detection in ram semen.....	128
6.3	Statistical Analyses.....	128
6.3.1	Statistical analyses of leukocytes in response to semen.....	128
6.3.2	Statistical analyses of cytokines in response to semen.....	129
6.3.3	Statistical analyses of TGF- $\beta$ 1 in ram semen.....	129
6.4	Results.....	129
6.4.1	Neutrophil response to different components of semen.....	129
6.4.1.1	Neutrophil infiltration of tissues.....	129
6.4.1.2	Neutrophils in the lumen.....	130
6.4.2	Eosinophil response to different components of semen.....	130
6.4.3	Mast cell response to different components of semen.....	134
6.4.4	Macrophage response to different components of semen.....	134
6.4.5	GM-CSF response to different components of semen.....	136
6.4.6	IL-8 response to different components of semen.....	137

6.4.7	Cytokines in ram semen .....	141
6.5	Discussion .....	142
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>HORMONAL INFLUENCES ON THE INFLAMMATORY RESPONSE IN</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>THE UTERUS</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>148</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	148
7.2	Materials and Methods .....	148
7.3	Statistical Analyses .....	149
7.4	Results .....	149
7.4.1	Neutrophil response to different components of semen.....	149
7.4.2	Eosinophil response to different components of semen.....	150
7.4.3	Mast cell response to different components of semen .....	150
7.4.4	Macrophage response to different components of semen .....	155
7.4.5	GM-CSF response to different components of semen .....	157
7.4.5.1	GM-CSF in tissues .....	157
7.4.5.2	GM-CSF in the lumen.....	157
7.4.6	IL-8 response to components of semen.....	159
7.4.6.1	IL-8 in tissues.....	159
7.4.6.2	IL-8 in the lumen.....	159
7.5	Discussion .....	163
<b>CHAPTER 8</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>166</b>
8.1	Scope of this Research Project.....	166
8.2	Future Research Directions .....	171
8.3	Conclusion .....	174
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>194</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Table 4.1</b> Neutrophil numbers in reproductive tissues of mated and non-mated ewes.	<b>81</b>
<b>Table 4.2</b> Neutrophil numbers in luminal smears of mated and non-mated ewes.	<b>81</b>
<b>Table 4.3</b> Eosinophil numbers in reproductive tissues of mated and non-mated ewes.	<b>90</b>
<b>Table 4.4</b> Comparison of mast cell counts in reproductive tissues of mated and non-mated ewes.	<b>92</b>
<b>Table 4.5</b> Macrophage numbers in reproductive tissues of mated and non-mated ewes.	<b>99</b>
<b>Table 4.6</b> Macrophage counts in luminal smears of mated and non-mated ewes.	<b>99</b>
<b>Table 5.1</b> Staining intensity of GM-CSF and IL-8 in tissues from reproductive tracts of ewes at various times post-oestrus (controls) or post-mating.	<b>113</b>
<b>Table 5.2</b> GM-CSF and IL-8 concentrations in luminal fluid from reproductive tracts of ewes at various times post-oestrus (controls) or post-mating.	<b>116</b>
<b>Table 6.1</b> Leukocyte numbers in uterine tissues of oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen.	<b>131</b>
<b>Table 6.2</b> Staining intensity of GM-CSF and IL-8 in uterine epithelium from oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen.	<b>137</b>
<b>Table 6.3</b> Fluid volumes ( $\mu$ l) and cytokine concentrations (ng/ml) in uterine luminal fluid from oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments.	<b>139</b>

<b>Table 6.4</b>	Active, latent and total TGF- $\beta$ 1 concentration in ram semen.	<b>142</b>
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Leukocyte numbers in uterine tissues of luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen.	<b>151</b>
<b>Table 7.2</b>	Leukocyte numbers in uterine tissues of oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen.	<b>152</b>
<b>Table 7.3</b>	Neutrophil numbers in luminal smears from oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen.	<b>153</b>
<b>Table 7.4</b>	Macrophage numbers in luminal smears from oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen.	<b>156</b>
<b>Table 7.5</b>	Staining intensity of GM-CSF and IL-8 in uterine epithelium from luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen.	<b>157</b>
<b>Table 7.6</b>	Fluid volumes ( $\mu$ l) and cytokine concentrations (ng/ml) in uterine luminal fluid from luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments.	<b>160</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Figure 1.1</b> A Merino ewe with her 3 day old lamb.	2
<b>Figure 1.2</b> Hypothetical cytokine/leukocyte interactions following insemination in the ewe.	4
<b>Figure 2.1</b> Diagram of the reproductive tract of the ewe (modified from Miller, 1991).	7
<b>Figure 3.1</b> Detection of oestrus in two ewes by an androgenised wether. The wether is wearing a crayon harness with an apron attached to prevent intromission.	49
<b>Figure 3.2</b> Sites of tissue sample collection from ewe reproductive tracts.	52
<b>Figure 3.3</b> Photomicrograph (H&E stained) and diagram of endometrium showing positions of microscopic examination of high power fields of view. S = superficial endometrium, M = mid-stromal endometrium, D = deep stromal endometrium.	57
<b>Figure 3.4</b> Staining intensity scoring for cytokines: (A) strong (+++), (B) moderate (++), (C) mild (+) and (D) negative (-).	66
<b>Figure 3.5</b> An anaesthetised ewe positioned in dorsal recumbency on an operating table. The ewe has an endotracheal tube in place which is connected to a closed circle anaesthetic machine supplying gaseous halothane.	72
<b>Figure 4.1</b> Neutrophil numbers in reproductive tissues of ewes at 3hrs (n=3), 6hrs (n=4) and 24hrs (n=5) post-mating. Data are presented as the mean + SEM per 1.5mm <sup>2</sup> tissue. Sites in the reproductive tract were: V, vagina; PC, posterior cervix; MC, mid-cervix; AC, anterior cervix; BU, uterine body; RM, right mid-uterine horn; RA, right anterior uterine horn; LM, left mid-uterine horn; LA, left anterior uterine horn. Mean values for uterine tissues were from cell counts in the superficial endometrium.	80

- Figure 4.2** Neutrophil numbers in reproductive tissues of control and mated ewes at various times post-oestrus (controls) and post-mating. Data are presented as the mean + SEM (n=60) in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01, <sup>b</sup> *P* <0.05 compared with control ewes; <sup>c</sup> *P* <0.01 compared with all other times post-mating; <sup>d</sup> *P* <0.05 compared with 3 hours post-oestrus. 82
- Figure 4.3** Neutrophil numbers in different sites in the reproductive tract of ewes when sites were combined into vagina (n = 60), cervix (n = 90) or uterus (n = 150). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue. Data from different time periods and from both mated and control ewes were pooled. Values for uterine tissues were from cell counts in the superficial endometrium. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared with other sites. 82
- Figure 4.4** Neutrophil numbers in reproductive tissues of (A) control ewes and (B) mated ewes at different sites and different time periods following oestrus or mating. Data are presented as the mean neutrophil percentage of total cells + SEM (n = 3). V, vagina; OC, cervical os; PC, posterior cervix; MC, mid-cervix; AC, anterior cervix; BU, uterine body; IM, ipsilateral mid-uterine horn; IA, ipsilateral anterior uterine horn; CM, contralateral mid-uterine horn; CA, contralateral anterior uterine horn. Values for uterine tissues are from cell counts in the superficial endometrium. 84
- Figure 4.5** Photomicrographs of neutrophils in reproductive tissues from a ewe 18 hours post-mating: (A) evenly distributed in a subepithelial location in the superficial endometrium (arrow) and (B) in a deep stromal cluster near a gland in the endometrium (arrow). 85
- Figure 4.6** Neutrophil percentages in smears from different sites in the reproductive tract of ewes when sites were combined into vagina (n = 30), cervix (n = 30) or uterus (n = 150). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Data from different time periods and from both mated and control ewes were pooled. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared to other sites. 87
- Figure 4.7** Neutrophil percentages in smears from the reproductive tract lumen of control and mated ewes at various times post-oestrus (controls) and post-mating. Data are presented as the mean + SEM (n=21) percentage of total cells in smears. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared with control ewes. 87

- Figure 4.8** Neutrophil percentages in smears of (A) control ewes and (B) mated ewes at different sites and different time periods following oestrus or mating. Data are presented as the mean neutrophil percentage of total cells + SEM (n = 3). V, vagina; PC, posterior cervix; MC, mid-cervix; AC, anterior cervix; BU, uterine body; IM, ipsilateral mid-uterine horn; IA, ipsilateral anterior uterine horn; CM, contralateral mid-uterine horn; CA, contralateral anterior uterine horn. **88**
- Figure 4.9** Eosinophil numbers in different sites in the reproductive tract of ewes when sites were combined into vagina (n = 60), cervix (n = 90) or uterus (n = 150). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue. Data from different time periods and from both mated and control ewes were pooled. Values for uterine tissues were from cell counts in the superficial endometrium. <sup>a</sup> P <0.01 compared with other sites. **90**
- Figure 4.10** Photomicrographs of (A) eosinophils (H&E stained) in the superficial endometrium (arrows) and (B) a cluster of mast cells (toluidine blue stained) in the deep endometrial stroma (arrows). **91**
- Figure 4.11** Mast cells in reproductive tissues of control and mated ewes at various times post-oestrus (controls) and post-mating. Data are presented as the mean + SEM (n=60) in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue. <sup>a</sup> P <0.01 compared with control ewes. **93**
- Figure 4.12** Mast cells in different sites in the reproductive tract of ewes when sites were combined into vagina (n = 60), cervix (n = 90) or uterus (n = 150). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue. Data from different time periods and from both mated and control ewes were pooled. Values for uterine tissues were from cell counts in the superficial endometrium. <sup>a</sup> P <0.01 compared to other sites. **93**
- Figure 4.13** Photomicrographs of macrophages in positive control tissue (ovine subcutaneous granuloma) with various antibodies: (A) Serotec: MCA874G, (B) VMRD: CAM36A and (C) DakoCytomation: EBM-11. **95**
- Figure 4.14** Photomicrographs of macrophages stained with EBM-11 (DakoCytomation) and haematoxylin counterstain (A) in the endometrium and (B) in a cervical mucus smear. Large arrow points to a macrophage, small arrow points to a neutrophil. **97**

- Figure 4.15** Macrophage numbers in reproductive tissues of control and mated ewes at various times post-oestrus (controls) and post-mating. Data are presented as the mean + SEM (n=57) in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared with control ewes. **100**
- Figure 4.16** Macrophage numbers in different sites in the reproductive tract of ewes when sites were combined into vagina (n = 60), cervix (n = 90) or uterus (n = 150). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue. Data from different time periods and from both mated and control ewes were pooled. Values for uterine tissues were from cell counts in the superficial endometrium. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared to cervix, <sup>b</sup> *P* <0.05 compared to vagina. **100**
- Figure 4.17** Macrophage percentages in smears from the reproductive tract lumen of control and mated ewes at various times post-oestrus (controls) and post-mating. Data are presented as the mean + SEM (n=21) percentage of total cells in smears. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.05 compared with control ewes. **101**
- Figure 4.18** Macrophage percentages in smears from different sites in the reproductive tract of ewes when sites were combined into vagina (n = 30), cervix (n = 30) or uterus (n = 150). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Data from different time periods and from both mated and control ewes were pooled. <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared to other sites. **102**
- Figure 5.1** Photomicrographs of GM-CSF immunohistochemical staining: (A) distribution of GM-CSF in uterine luminal and glandular epithelium, (B) GM-CSF +++ in uterine body epithelium, (C) GM-CSF ++ in uterine horn epithelium, (D) GM-CSF + in cervical epithelium, (E) GM-CSF - in vaginal epithelium, (F) GM-CSF negative control in uterine body. Scale bars in (A) = 200 µm, scale bars in (B)-(F) = 50 µm. **114**
- Figure 5.2** Photomicrographs of IL-8 immunohistochemical staining (A) distribution of IL-8 in uterine luminal and glandular epithelium, (B) IL-8 +++ in uterine body epithelium, (C) IL-8 ++ in uterine horn epithelium, (D) IL-8 + in cervical epithelium, (E) IL-8 - in vaginal epithelium, (F) IL-8 negative control in uterine body. Scale bars in (A) = 200 µm, scale bars in (B)-(F) = 50 µm. **115**

- Figure 5.3** Staining intensity of GM-CSF and IL-8 in tissues at different sites in the reproductive tracts of ewes. Data are presented as the mean + SEM: vagina (n=30), cervix (n=90), uterus (n=150).  
<sup>a</sup> GM-CSF  $P < 0.01$  compared with other sites; <sup>b</sup> IL-8  $P < 0.01$  compared with other sites. 117
- Figure 5.4** Concentration of GM-CSF in reproductive tract luminal fluid at various sites in control and mated ewes. Data are mean concentration (ng/ml) + SEM (n=15). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.05$  compared with cervix and contralateral mid- uterine horn. V, vagina; C, cervix; BU, uterine body; IM, ipsilateral mid-uterine horn; IA, ipsilateral anterior uterine horn; CM, contralateral mid-uterine horn; CA, contralateral anterior uterine horn. 117
- Figure 6.1** (A) Ligated uterine horns and (B) injecting a treatment into a ligated section of an anterior uterine horn. 127
- Figure 6.2** Neutrophils in uterine tissues of oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM (n=9) in 4.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue (superficial, mid- and deep stroma). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to TALP; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to saline. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. 133
- Figure 6.3** Photomicrographs of neutrophils in the subepithelial stroma of the uterus from an oestrous ewe following treatment with (A) whole semen, (B) seminal plasma, (C) TALP and (D) saline. 132
- Figure 6.4** Neutrophils in uterine luminal smears from oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM percentage of total cells in smears; no antibiotics (n=6), antibiotics added to treatments (n=3). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to treatment containing antibiotics; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to saline. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. 133
- Figure 6.5** Mast cells in different depths of the endometrial stroma of oestrous ewes 22 hour after injection of treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue; no antibiotics (n=30), antibiotics added to treatments (n=15). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to mid- and superficial stroma; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.05$  compared to treatment containing antibiotics. 135

- Figure 6.6** Mast cells in the deep endometrial stroma of oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue; no antibiotics (n=6), antibiotics added (n=3). <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.05 compared to treatment containing antibiotics. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **135**
- Figure 6.7** Macrophages in uterine tissues of oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue; no antibiotics (n=18), antibiotics added to treatments (n=9). <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.05 compared to treatment containing antibiotics. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **136**
- Figure 6.8** Ranked staining intensity of GM-CSF in uterine tissues from oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n=6). Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **138**
- Figure 6.9** GM-CSF in luminal fluid of oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; no antibiotics (n=6), antibiotics added to treatments (n=3). <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared to treatment containing antibiotics; <sup>b</sup> *P* <0.01 compared to SP, TALP and saline. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **138**
- Figure 6.10** Ranked staining intensity of IL-8 in uterine tissues from oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n=9). <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.05 compared to TALP and saline. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **140**

- Figure 6.11** IL-8 in luminal fluid of oestrous ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; no antibiotics (n=6), antibiotics added to treatments (n=3). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to TALP and saline; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to all other treatments. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **140**
- Figure 6.12** Active and latent TGF-beta 1 in semen from seven rams. Data are presented as the mean + SEM (total) TGF-beta concentration (pg/ml) in semen samples (n=3) for each ram. <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.05$  compared to rams 9,10 and 18; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.05$  compared to ram 18. **141**
- Figure 7.1** Neutrophils in uterine tissues of luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM (n=7) in 4.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue (superficial, mid- and deep stroma). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.05$  compared to spermatozoa, TALP and saline. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **152**
- Figure 7.2** Neutrophils in uterine luminal smears from luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM percentage of total cells in smears; no antibiotics (n=4), antibiotics added to treatments (n=3). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to treatment containing antibiotics; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to all other treatments. Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **153**
- Figure 7.3** Mast cells in different depths of the endometrial stroma of luteal ewes 22 hour after injection of treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue; no antibiotics (n=20), antibiotics added to treatments (n=15). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to mid- and superficial stroma; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.05$  compared to treatment containing antibiotics. **154**
- Figure 7.4** Mast cells in the deep endometrial stroma of luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue; no antibiotics (n=4), antibiotics added to treatments (n=3). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.05$  compared to treatment containing antibiotics. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **154**

- Figure 7.5** Mast cells in the deep endometrial stroma of oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue; oestrus no antibiotics (n=6), oestrus antibiotics added (n=3), luteal no antibiotics (n=4), luteal antibiotics added (n=3). <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.05 compared to luteal ewes. **155**
- Figure 7.6** Macrophages in uterine tissues of luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments with or without the addition of antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM in 1.5mm<sup>2</sup> tissue; no antibiotics (n=12), antibiotics added to treatments (n=9). <sup>a</sup> *P* <0.01 compared to whole semen. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **156**
- Figure 7.7** Ranked staining intensity of GM-CSF in uterine tissues from oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments. Data are presented as mean + SEM; oestrus (n=9), luteal (n=7). Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **158**
- Figure 7.8** GM-CSF in luminal fluid of luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; no antibiotics (n=4), antibiotics added to treatments (n=3). Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **158**
- Figure 7.9** GM-CSF in luminal fluid of oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments without antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM; oestrus (n=6), luteal (n=4). Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **159**
- Figure 7.10** Ranked staining intensity of IL-8 in uterine tissues from luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n=7). Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **161**

**Figure 7.11** Ranked staining intensity of IL-8 in uterine tissues from oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments. Data are presented as mean + SEM; oestrus (n=9), luteal (n=7). Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **161**

**Figure 7.12** IL-8 in luminal fluid of luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments into the lumen. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; no antibiotics (n=4), antibiotics added to treatments (n=3). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to TALP; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to saline. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **162**

**Figure 7.13** IL-8 in luminal fluid of oestrous and luteal ewes 22 hours after injection of various treatments without antibiotics into the lumen. Data are presented as mean + SEM; oestrus (n=6), luteal (n=4). <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$  compared to luteal ewes. Treatments were: Semen, whole semen; SP, seminal plasma; Sperm, washed spermatozoa; TALP, modified Tyrode's medium; Saline, 0.9% sodium chloride. **162**

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	Anterior cervix
AEC	3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
BU	Body of the uterus
C	Celcius
CA	Contralateral anterior uterine horn
CD	Cluster differentiation
CIDR	Controlled intravaginal drug releasing device
CM	Contralateral mid-uterine horn
CSF	Colony-stimulating factor
D	Deep endometrial stroma
DAB	3-3 diaminobenzadine tetrahydrochloride
DPBS	Dubecco's phosphate buffered saline
DPX	Dibutylphthalate polystyrene xylene
ELISA	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
<i>g</i>	Gig (reciprocal centrifugal force)
G-CSF	Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor
GM-CSF	Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor
H&E	Haematoxylin & Eosin
HPF	High power field
HRP	Horse-radish peroxidase
IA	Ipsilateral anterior uterine horn
IFN	Interferon
IL	Interleukin
IM	Ipsilateral mid-uterine horn
IVF	<i>in vitro</i> fertilisation
KC	Cytokine induced neutrophil chemoattractant
LA	Left anterior uterine horn
LAP	Latency associated peptide
LIF	Leukaemia inhibitory factor
LM	Left mid-uterine horn
M	Mid-endometrial stroma
MC	Mid-cervix
MCAF	Monocyte chemotactic and activating factor
MCP	Monocyte chemotactic protein
MHC	Major histocompatibility complex
MIP	Macrophage inflammatory protein
OC	Cervical ostium
OCT	Optimum cutting temperature
OD	Optical density
OS	Ostium
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PC	Posterior cervix
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PGE	Prostaglandin of the E series
RA	Right anterior uterine horn
RANTES	Regulated upon activation normal T-cell expressed and secreted

RM	Right mid-uterine horn
RT	Room temperature
S	Superficial endometrial stroma
SEM	Standard error of the mean
TALP	Tyrode's albumin-lactate-pyruvate
TBS	Tris buffered saline
TGF	Transforming growth factor
TMB	3,3',5,5'tetramethylbenzidine
TNF	Tumor necrosis factor
V	Vagina

## PUBLICATIONS

Scott, JL, Ketheesan, N. and Summers, P.M. (2006) Leucocyte population changes in the reproductive tract of the ewe in response to insemination. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* **18** 627-634

Submitted to *Reproduction, Fertility and Development*:

Scott, JL, Ketheesan, N. and Summers, P.M. (2007) Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin-8 in the reproductive tract of ewes following oestrus and mating.

## CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

Scott, JL, Ketheesan, N. and Summers, P.M. (2006) Presence of TGF- $\beta$  but not IL-8 or GM-CSF in ram seminal plasma. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* **18** (Suppl): Abstr 205

Scott, JL, Ketheesan, N. and Summers, P.M. (2005) The post-insemination inflammatory response in the ewe. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* **17** (Suppl): Abstr 288