JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Moloney, James McLellan (2005) The effects of habitat fragmentation on bird communities in a naturally disturbed environment: the Wet Tropics lowlands. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/17498



The Effects of Habitat Fragmentation on Bird Communities in a Naturally Disturbed Environment: the Wet Tropics Lowlands

Thesis submitted by

James McLellan MOLONEY B.App.Sci. (Hons).

In December 2005

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the School of Tropical Environment Studies and Geography, James Cook University of North Queensland.

ELECTRONIC COPY

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Signature

Date

Statement of Access

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the University Library, and by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

"In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper acknowledgement for any assistance which I have obtained from it"

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

.....

James McLellan Moloney

ii

Date

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institute of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

.....

James McLellan Moloney

Date

Acknowledgments

It is never an easy road to completion of a PhD thesis, and this of course is no exception. A number of people have provided help along this interesting and challenging path.

Firstly, my supervisors, Richard Pearson and Adam Lewis, have provided great support over the years. Adam provided much assistance with the development of the spatial components of the thesis, while Richard's vast ecological knowledge was invaluable as this project evolved. In particular, Richard was prompt, patient, and encouraging in dealing with numerous drafts of chapters.

Thanks should also go to Steve Delean and Glenn D'eath for their sampling and statistical advice during the formative stages of this project, and the landholders of the Innisfail region who, perhaps contrary to my expectations, were almost without fail happy to have a student spend days or weeks tramping around their properties 'chasing birds'. In particular, Murray Broad, Garth Wolsey and the folks at Paronella Park were kind enough to provide accommodation for myself and my volunteers, and my field assistants, (Sue, Matt, Dan, Sarah, and Ameer) provided great assistance and, importantly, good cheer, while doing long hours of work in some rather hot, humid, mosquito-ridden places.

The folks in TESAG have been extremely supportive (and patient) over the years, and my office neighbour Scott Smithers gets a gets a gong for his (not so) gentle good humour and encouragement to keep things in perspective.

Final thanks should go to my family, Brendan, Geraldine, Chris and Ben. Their love, encouragement, support and advice can never be underestimated. And of course, to Nanou.

iv

Abstract

This project examined the effects of habitat fragmentation on bird assemblages in the Wet Tropics Lowlands. I quantified both landscape and vegetation patterns in the study area, described the bird assemblages, examined the effects of edges on the vegetation and avifauna, and analysed the response of the avifauna to the spatial and vegetation characteristics of fragments. Particular emphasis was given to comparisons between these responses and those of other tropical avifaunas to develop general theory, as the rules that apply in species-rich locations may not apply in highly disturbed, relatively depauperate areas such as the lowlands of northern Queensland.

Fine scale vegetation layers along a 70 km long stretch of the Wet Tropics lowlands were created, and from these, landscape indices were calculated to quantify the landscape at patch and landscape scales. Thirty fragments and three locations in continuous rainforest were sampled for vegetation structural attributes and bird assemblages using standard line transects at different distances from patch edges.

This region of the lowlands is very heavily fragmented by farming, with only 6.8% forest cover remaining, and most clearing in the region has occurred on alluvial and basalt soils with a slope less than 8°. Vegetation grouped broadly into two major types: complex mesophyll vine forest (with strong cyclone disturbance evident) in the south, and mixed forest in the north. Regression tree analyses suggested that while rainfall strongly influences rainforest structure in a non-linear fashion, differences among drier sites were mainly due to landform while the wetter sites were more influenced by altitude, most likely due to drainage factors. These differences occurred at a larger (landscape) scale rather than at the transect level, except for subtle edge effects. Through ordination, the 27 vegetation variables were reduced to three summary variables that explained 91% of the variation among the sites for later analyses.

Overall bird richness (102 species), while low compared to that of most tropical rainforests worldwide, is richer than most upland areas of the Wet Tropics due to greater numbers of opencountry species in and around rainforest edges. However, the study area is particularly depauperate in both habitat specialists and feeding specialists compared to upland rainforests in northern Queensland. This is probably due to extinctions during historical rainforest contractions, the distance from source areas such as Papua New Guinea, and the high rates of natural disturbance in the lowlands (favouring more resilient generalists). Bird assemblages in mixed forest differed from those in mesophyll rainforest in having more habitat generalists and fewer rainforest specialists and, among species found in unfragmented forest, rarer species were less able to persist in habitat fragments than more common species.

Surprisingly, no differences in canopy connectedness, foliage density or heterogeneity were evident between edge zones and interiors, which were probably due to the severe canopy damage from cyclones across rainforests in the study area rather than just around rainforest edges. Edge zones did, however, contain greater numbers of stems, shrubs, and in particular *Lantana camera*, but fewer ground ferns, mosses, and climbing palms. The microclimate changes leading to this gradient are probably caused by outside conditions permeating horizontally from the edge, rather than by secondary effects of canopy damage.

Total bird richness and abundance did not significantly differ between forest edges and interiors (unlike most other tropical rainforest studies), possibly reflecting the lack of structural vegetation contrast between edges and interiors, the open-country species that utilise the edge, and the generalist nature of the lowland rainforest community. Among guilds, however, some differences were apparent, with greater numbers of open-country species recorded at edges and rainforest specialists tending to favour patch interiors. Edges contained greater densities of frugivores, gramnivores, and nectarivores, whereas interiors contained higher densities of obligate insectivores and understorey species. Except for total richness and abundance, these patterns reflect those from other regions, but to a lesser degree, possibly due to the less diverse and specialised local species pool and to the regular disturbance to this area by cyclones, which appears to limit the strength and extent of microclimate gradients.

The major determinants of assemblage structure in isolates were a combination of patch (patch area) and landscape (proportion of rainforest within 1 km) variables. The degree of canopy connectivity, which is largely influenced by cyclone disturbance, also contributed (to a lesser extent) to assemblage structure. At a guild level, open-country species were minimally affected by landscape or patch characteristics, whereas species found in wide ranges of habitats were influenced by both patch and landscape variables. Rainforest specialists, on the other hand, were influenced solely by patch-level variables, including the degree of canopy closure. Of the feeding guilds, only the obligate gramnivores were less species-rich in larger fragments, because this guild comprised entirely open-country species and were concentrated along edges. These avian responses to patch and landscape characteristics are weaker than those of most other tropical assemblages, with smaller patches maintaining a relatively high proportion of species. This is probably due again to the low diversity and specialisation within this avifauna. In effect, those species that would be the most vulnerable to human-induced habitat loss would have already disappeared from previous natural disturbance.

In the Wet Tropics, Pleistocene climate fluctuations causing rainforest contractions to small refugia have led to a relatively depauperate and generalist avifauna, which is in turn more robust in the face of a range of anthropogenic disturbances. Hence, regional disturbances have influenced not only the composition of the lowland avifauna, but also the characteristics that make this bird assemblage more resistant to habitat fragmentation at the landscape scale. This study therefore provides an example of one type of change filtering out those species that are vulnerable to quite different human disturbances at different spatial and temporal scales.

Some areas of the Wet Tropics lowlands are still threatened by residential, agricultural, and tourism development, and this study has shown that bird assemblages suffer negative consequences from the fragmentation of remaining habitat. However, this avifauna is more resilient than those from diverse regions, so smaller, isolated patches may be of greater conservation value (with regard to the bird assemblage) than might be the case in other regions where assemblages are more diverse and, importantly, species are more specialised.

Table of Contents

DECLARATIONii ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv ABSTRACTv TABLE OF CONTENTSvii List of Figures	STATEMENT OF ACCESS	ii
ABSTRACTvi TABLE OF CONTENTSvii	DECLARATION	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS vii	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
List of Figures xii List of Tables xiv	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	List of Figures List of Tables	xii

Chapter 1. General introduction	
1.1 Rainforest conservation	1
1.2 Habitat fragmentation	2
1.3 Natural versus human disturbance	
1.4 Diversity and community stability	
1.5 Aims	
1.6 Thesis outline	
Chapter 2. Study Area	
2.1 Wet Tropics biogeographic region overview	
2.2 Study area	
2.3 Geology and soils.	
2.4 Climate	
2.5 Vegetation.	
2.6 Disturbance.	
2.7 Site Selection and Sampling Design	
2.8 Subregion characteristics.	
Chapter 3: Spatial patterns in the study region: region	nal.
subregional and site characteristics	
3.1 Introduction.	
3.2 Spatial measures as applied to faunal assemblages in landscapes	
Introduction to landscape metrics	
Area measures	
Isolation measures	
Edge effects	
Conclusion	
3.3 Methods.	
3.3.1 Habitat coverages	
Coarse-scale coverage	
Fine-scale coverage	
3.3.2 Calculation of spatial measures	
Patch area	

Isolation measures	42
Edge measures	44
Core area measures	
3.3.3 Relationships between spatial measures	46
3.3.4 Patterns of clearing with respect to environmental variables	
Geology	47
Slope	
Altitude	
Modelling clearance patterns	49
3.4 Results	49
3.4.1 Landscape fragmentation patterns	49
3.4.2 Landscape patterns among the sites	50
3.4.3 Relationships between spatial measures, and variable reduction	51
3.4.4 Patterns of clearing with respect to environmental variables	
Geology	53
Topography	54
Predictors of landscape clearing	54
3.5 Discussion	55
Landscape fragmentation patterns	
Landscape variables and site descriptions	56
Methodological issues and limitations	
Practical applications	59

Chapter 4. Vegetation structure in lowland rainforest patches......60

4.1. Introduction.	60
Rainforest vegetation and bird assemblages	60
Environmental determinants of rainforest structure	
4.2 Methods.	
Vegetation sampling	63
Environmental Variables	
Data Analysis	66
4.3 Results	68
General characteristics	68
Vegetation similarities and characteristics at the transect and site levels	69
Structural heterogeneity	78
4.4 Discussion.	
General characteristics	
Vegetation similarities and characteristics at the site and transect levels	81
Environmental variables	
Variable reduction	83
Structural heterogeneity and sub-transect (point) patterns	83
Conclusions	

5.1 Introduction	
The lowland avifauna	
Density and extinction vulnerability	
Aims	
5.2. Methods	
Bird Counts	
Guild Delineation	
Abundance and richness calculation	

Natural density and patch occupancy	
5.3. Results.	
Overall Richness and Abundance	
Species Abundance and Prevalence	
Habitat and Functional Guild Summaries	
Mesophyll rainforest and mixed forest assemblages	
<i>Natural density and patch occupancy</i>	
5.4: Discussion.	
Sampling issues	
Upland and introduced species	
Overall Richness and Abundance	
Species abundance and rarity	
Guild structure	
Mesophyll rainforest versus mixed forest assemblages	
	114
Chapter 6. Edge effects	
6.1. Introduction.	
6.1. Introduction Aims	
6.1. Introduction Aims	
 6.1. Introduction. <i>Aims</i>. 6.2 Methods. <i>Vegetation</i>. 	
6.1. Introduction Aims	
 6.1. Introduction	
 6.1. Introduction	
 6.1. Introduction	
 6.1. Introduction. <i>Aims</i>. 6.2 Methods. <i>Vegetation</i>. <i>Bird abundance and richness</i>. <i>Bird assemblage responses</i>. 6.3 Results. 	114 116 117 117 117 117 119 120 120
 6.1. Introduction. <i>Aims</i>. 6.2 Methods. <i>Vegetation</i>. <i>Bird abundance and richness</i>. <i>Bird assemblage responses</i>. 6.3 Results. <i>Vegetation</i>. 	
 6.1. Introduction	

Vegetation	
Bird richness and abundance	
Assemblage responses	
Conclusions	

Chapter 7. Determinants of bird assemblage diversity and composition...... 135

7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Methods.	
Study sites	
Independent variables	
Bird richness and abundance	
Analyses	
7.3 Results	
Assemblage responses	
Guild responses	
Individual species' responses	
7.4 Discussion	
Species/area and density/area patterns	
Assemblage responses	
Guild responses	
Data issues	
Conservation implications	
-	

Chapter 8. Summary and General Discussion	161
8.1 Introduction	
8.2 Major findings	
Spatial characteristics and vegetation of the study region	
Bird assemblages of the region	
Effects of edges	
Determinants of bird assemblages	
8.3 Influence of natural disturbance	
8.4 Diversity and stability	
8.5 Conservation implications and concluding remarks	

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Conceptual flow chart of the relationship between natural and anthropogenic disturbance in Wet Tropics lowland forests	6
Figure 1.2 Thesis chapter structure.	8
Figure 2.1 Location of the Wet Tropics biogeographic region (darker green) in Queensland	10
Figure 2.2 Original and standing (circa 1983) areas of rainforest in the Townsville to Cooktown region (adapted from Winter <i>et al.</i> 1987)	11
Figure 2.3 Location of the study area in the coastal lowlands of north-eastern Queensland, showing the current distribution of forest, and the locations of Sites	13
Figure 2.4 Underlying geology of the study area. Study sites are represented as points	14
Figure 2.5 Mean driest quarter rainfall (mm) in the study area. Study site locations are indicated as points. Rainfall comes from interpolation from recordings at a series of locations throughout the region from 70 years of records (from Turton et al. 1999)	17
Figure 2.6 Mesophyll vine forest near Babinda (Site 32)	19
Figure 2.7 Mixed forest with a rainforest understorey and <i>Eucalyptus</i> spp. and <i>Acacia</i> spp. emergents near Gordonvale (Site 4), in the north of the study area	20
Figure 2.8 Mesophyll vine forest near Babinda (Site 32) showing the canopy gaps that are characteristic of much of this section of the Wet Tropics Lowlands	22
Figure 2.9 Mesophyll vine forest near Babinda (Site 32). Thick tangles of <i>Calamus</i> spp. are clearly evident in the understorey	22
Figure 2.10 Map of study region with subregions identified.	27
Figure 2.11 Distribution of forest in the Gordonvale subregion (A), with sites identified in red	27
Figure 2.12 Distribution of forest in the Deeral subregion (B), with sites identified	28
Figure 2.13 Distribution of forest in the Garradunga subregion (C), with sites identified	28
Figure 2.14 Distribution of forest in the Palmerston subregion (D), with sites identified	29
Figure 2.15 Distribution of forest in the Innisfail subregion (E), with sites identified	30
Figure 3.1 Schematic diagram of the relationship of shape to core area, where core area (stippled area) is defined by the same fixed distance from the edge, in each case	37
Figure 3.2 Flow chart of the development of the GIS coverages used in the spatial analysis.	40
Figure 3.3 Flowchart summarising the steps involved in calculating the proportion of rainforest cover within differing radii of study sites	43
Figure 3.4 Site 4 pre- and post-conversion from raster grid to vector shape file	44
Figure 3.5 Scatterplot of the proportion of area forested as a function of slope	54
Figure 4.1 Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling plot of vegetation at all transects (n=137) based on all vegetation variables. K-means Classification groups are identified by symbols, and variables are standardized by maximum (0-1)	70
Figure 4.2 Regression tree analysis of Axis 1 of the Non-Metric Scaling of all vegetation variables over all transects. Explanatory variables were altitude, landform, slope, soil nutrient status, mean driest quarter, drainage, northness and eastness	72

Figure 4.3 Numeric and categorical environmental variables responsible for regression tree splits, and their relationships to NMDS Axis 1 scores
Figure 4.4 Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling plot of vegetation at all sites (n=33) based on all vegetation variables. K-means Classification groups are identified by symbol, and variables are standardized by maximum (0-1)
Figure 4.5 Regression tree analysis of Axis 1 of the Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling of all vegetation variables over all sites. Explanatory variables chosen in the model are altitude, landform, slope, soil nutrient status, mean driest quarter, drainage, and two derived aspect variables (northness and eastness)
Figure 4.6 Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling plot of vegetation at all mesophyll rainforest sites (n=27) based on all vegetation variables. K-means Classification groups are identified by symbol, and variables are standardized by maximum (0-1)77
Figure 5.1 Bird species accumulation curves for sites containing (a) two transects; (b) three transects; (c) four transects; and (d) six transects. Curves have been smoothed by subsampling
Figure 5.2Frequency of bird species by numbers of occupied sites
Figure 5.3 Ordination biplot depicting two axes of the DCA (detrended correspondence analysis) of total bird presence/absence among sites. C = Unfragmented (control) sites
Figure 5.4 Ordination biplot depicting the two major axes of DCAs of (a) bird abundance per transect, and (b) bird presence/absence per transect, among unfragmented (control) sites
Figure 5.5 Numbers of patches occupied by rare and common species within continuous habitat
 Figure 6.1 Structural vegetation measures as a function of location within mesophyll rainforest patches (Mean ± 95% CI). a) Number of stems, b) Relative abundance of ground ferns, c) Relative abundance of shrubs, d) Relative abundance of moss, e) Relative abundance of pandans, f) Relative abundance of Calamus spp., g) Relative abundance of Lantana camera, h) Relative abundance of growth forms associated with cool, dark microclimates.
Figure 6.2 Scatterplot showing multivariate dissimilarity as a function of fragment area. Sites are identified by edge architecture, and regression coefficients are displayed 126
Figure 6.3 Mean dissimilarity from control sites of bird assemblages at edges and bird assemblages in interiors, in a) mesophyll rainforest, and b) mixed forest
Figure 7.1 Ordination biplot depicting the first two axes of the DCA of total bird presence/absence among rainforest sites
Figure 7.2 Bird species distributions in relation to site characteristics. CCA ordination diagram with species identified by code (Appendix 5). Environmental variables are identified by vector
Figure 7.3 Ordination biplot depicting the first two axes of the DCA of total bird abundance among rainforest sites
Figure 7.4 Bird species abundance in relation to site characteristics. CCA ordination diagram with species identified by code. Environmental variables are identified by vector
Figure 7.5 Species-area relationships for birds in lowland (the present study) and upland (Warburton 1987) fragments in northern Queensland

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Number of sites in each geology/soil combination 15	5
Table 2.2 Approximate areas of vegetation types within the study area (bounded by the 300m contour). 19)
Table 2.3 Summary of numbers of transects at each site in relation to the size range of sites. 25	5
Table 3.1 Summary of spatial measures 46	5
Table 3.2 Summary of fragments of rainforest remaining within each subregion.Measures include all remaining fragments (≥ 0.4 ha) within the cleared areas, andomit major unfragmented rainforest blocks (>1000 ha))
Table 3.3 Summary statistics of patch sites (n=30)	I
Table 3.4 Spearman rank coefficient matrix of r values for spatial variables for all study patches (n = 30). 52	2
Table 3.5 Spatial characteristics of landscapes on different geologies	3
Table 3.6 Logistic regression predicting habitat clearance in the Wet Tropics lowlands (<300m elevation) as a function of slope, geology, elevation and rainfall	5
Table 4.1 Summary of vegetation structural variables used in the overall classification and ordination of transects and patches	1
Table 4.2 Environmental variables within the study area	5
Table 4.3 Variables used in Principal Components Analyses to derive measures of heterogeneity at the transect and the site level	3
Table 4.4 Summary statistics of structural vegetation variables)
Table 4.5 Spearman correlation coefficients between the three vegetation ordination axes (gradients) from the NMDS and the included vegetation components for all transects (n=137)	1
Table 4.6 Spearman correlation coefficients between the two vegetation ordination axes (gradients) from the Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling and the included vegetation components for all sites (n=33)	5
Table 4.7 Spearman correlation coefficients between the three vegetation ordinationaxes (gradients) from the Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling and the includedvegetation components for mesophyll rainforest sites (n=27)	3
Table 4.8 Spearman rank coefficients of heterogeneity measures against patch area and core area measures. 79)
Table 5.1 Richness and abundance of birds over all sampling periods	1
Table 5.2 Prevalence and abundance of birds grouped by habitat guild	5
Table 5.3 Prevalence and abundance by birds grouped by feeding guild	7
Table 5.4 Significant indicator value results for bird species within mesophyll vine forest and mixed forest as per Dufrene & Legendre (1997), based on presence/absence	3
Table 5.5 Mean abundances of birds grouped by habitat and feeding guilds/ha within continuous sites in mesophyll and mixed forest. Means compared using t-tests	00
Table 5.6 Mean richness of birds grouped by guilds per transect (0.5 ha) within continuoussites in mesophyll rainforest and mixed forest. Means are compared using t-tests 10)1

Table 5.7 Mean abundances of individual species (/ha) within continuous sites in mesophyll rainforest and mixed forest. Means are compared using Mann-Whitney U-tests. 101
Table 6.1 Vegetation structural measures at edges and within interiors of rainforest and mixed forest, respectively. Columns represent mean ± SE, and p-values of Mann-Whitney U tests
Table 6.2 Vegetation complexity and heterogeneity measures at edges and within interiors of rainforest and mixed forest. Columns represent mean ± SE, and p-values of Mann-Whitney U tests
Table 6.3 Densities of different guilds at patch edges and interiors on a patch-by-patchbasis. Comparison is by Wilcoxon sign-rank test (n = 22)
Table 6.4 Densities of different species at patch edges and interiors on a patch-by-patchbasis. Comparison is by Wilcoxon sign-rank test (n = 22)
Table 6.5 Preference of different guilds (measured as richness) for patch edges and interiors on a patch-by-patch basis. Comparison is by Wilcoxon sign-rank test (n = 22)
Table 6.6 Results of stepwise multiple regressions of relative species abundance and richness at patch edges (with respect to values in patch interiors) on spatial and vegetation variables
Table 6.7 Results of stepwise multiple regression of mean dissimilarity of bird assemblages at patch edges from corresponding patch interiors
Table 7.1 Spearman rank coefficient matrix for all final spatial variables for all patches $(n = 27)$. P=.05 where r = 0.39
Table 7.2 Pearson correlations of patch variables with DCA Ordination Axes. The strongest correlations with each axis are shown in bold face. N= 27
Table 7.3 Eigenvalues, variance in species data, and variance in species-environmentcorrelations for the Canonical Correspondence Analysis of bird presence/absenceagainst environmental variables. The p-values from the Monte Carlo tests areindicated in brackets. The intraset correlations of environmental variables withthe first three axes of the CCA are also included
Table 7.4 Pearson Correlations with Ordination Axes. The strongest correlations with each axis are shown in bold face. N= 27
Table 7.5 Eigenvalues, variance in species data, and variance in species-environment correlations for the Canonical Correspondence Analysis of bird abundance against environmental variables. The p-values from the Monte Carlo tests are indicated in brackets. The intraset correlations of environmental variables with the first three axes of the CCA are also included.148
Table 7.6 Species richness responses of the bird assemblage and of habitat and feeding guilds. Guilds were tested with stepwise multiple regression
Table 7.7 Species abundance responses of the bird assemblage and of habitat and feeding guilds. Guilds were tested with stepwise multiple regression, and models were accepted at $P \le 0.05$. Habitat guilds as in Table 7.6
Table 7.8 Spearman rank correlations between species density per patch and patch area.Only species with correlations with $P < 0.1$ are listed. Habitat guilds as in Table 7.6 151