COASTAL THEMES AN ARCHAEOLOGY OF THE SOUTHERN CURTIS COAST, QUEENSLAND



*Terra Australis* reports the results of archaeological and related research within the south and east of Asia, though mainly Australia, New Guinea and island Melanesia — lands that remained *terra australis incognita* to generations of prehistorians. Its subject is the settlement of the diverse environments in this isolated quarter of the globe by peoples who have maintained their discrete and traditional ways of life into the recent recorded or remembered past and at times into the observable present.

Since the beginning of the series, the basic colour on the spine and cover has distinguished the regional distribution of topics as follows: ochre for Australia, green for New Guinea, red for South-East Asia and blue for the Pacific Islands. From 2001, issues with a gold spine will include conference proceedings, edited papers and monographs which in topic or desired format do not fit easily within the original arrangements. All volumes are numbered within the same series.

#### List of volumes in Terra Australis

Volume 1: Burrill Lake and Currarong: Coastal Sites in Southern New South Wales. R.J. Lampert (1971) Volume 2: Ol Tumbuna: Archaeological Excavations in the Eastern Central Highlands, Papua New Guinea. J.P. White (1972) Volume 3: New Guinea Stone Age Trade: The Geography and Ecology of Traffic in the Interior. I. Hughes (1977) Volume 4: Recent Prehistory in Southeast Papua. B. Egloff (1979) Volume 5: The Great Kartan Mystery. R. Lampert (1981) Volume 6: Early Man in North Queensland: Art and Archaeology in the Laura Area. A. Rosenfeld, D. Horton and J. Winter (1981) Volume 7: The Alligator Rivers: Prehistory and Ecology in Western Arnhem Land. C. Schrire (1982) Volume 8: Hunter Hill, Hunter Island: Archaeological Investigations of a Prehistoric Tasmanian Site. S. Bowdler (1984) Volume 9: Coastal South-West Tasmania: The Prehistory of Louisa Bay and Maatsuyker Island. R. Vanderwal and D. Horton (1984) Volume 10: The Emergence of Mailu. G. Irwin (1985) Volume 11: Archaeology in Eastern Timor, 1966–67. I. Glover (1986) Volume 12: Early Tongan Prehistory: The Lapita Period on Tongatapu and its Relationships. J. Poulsen (1987) Volume 13: Coobool Creek. P. Brown (1989) Volume 14: 30,000 Years of Aboriginal Occupation: Kimberley, North-West Australia. S. O'Connor (1999) Volume 15: Lapita Interaction. G. Summerhayes (2000) Volume 16: The Prehistory of Buka: A Stepping Stone Island in the Northern Solomons. S. Wickler (2001) Volume 17: The Archaeology of Lapita Dispersal in Oceania. G.R. Clark, A.J. Anderson and T. Vunidilo (2001)

Volume 18: An Archaeology of West Polynesian Prehistory. A. Smith (2002)

- *Volume 19*: Phytolith and Starch Research in the Australian-Pacific-Asian Regions: The State of the Art. D. Hart and L. Wallis (2003)
- *Volume 20*: The Sea People: Late-Holocene Maritime Specialisation in the Whitsunday Islands, Central Queensland. B. Barker (2004)
- *Volume* 21: What's Changing: Population Size or Land-Use Patterns? The Archaeology of Upper Mangrove Creek, Sydney Basin. V. Attenbrow (2004)
- Volume 22: The Archaeology of the Aru Islands, Eastern Indonesia. S. O'Connor, M. Spriggs and P. Veth (2005)
- *Volume* 23: Pieces of the Vanuatu Puzzle: Archaeology of the North, South and Centre. S. Bedford (2006)
- Volume 24: Coastal Themes: An Archaeology of the Southern Curtis Coast, Quuensland. S. Ulm (2006)

## Coastal Themes: An Archaeology of the Southern Curtis Coast, Queensland

Sean Ulm





© 2006 ANU E Press

Published by ANU E Press The Australian National University Canberra ACT 0200 Australia Email: anuepress@anu.edu.au Web: http://epress.anu.edu.au

National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication entry

Ulm, Sean.

Coastal themes : an archaeology of the Southern Curtis Coast, Queensland.

Bibliography. ISBN 1 920942 93 9 (pbk). ISBN 1 920942 96 3 (web).

1. Archaeology – Queensland – Port Curtis Region. 2. Aboriginal Australians – Antiquities. I. Title. (Series : Terra Australis ; 24).

994.01

Copyright of the text remains with the contributors/authors, 2006. This book is copyright in all countries subscribing to the Berne convention. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study, research, criticism or review, as permitted under the *Copyright Act*, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission. Inquiries should be made to the publisher.

Series Editor: Sue O'Connor

Typesetting and design: Emily Brissenden

Cover: Bustard Bay from Bustard Head (Photograph: S. Ulm). Back cover map: *Hollandia Nova*. Thevenot 1663 by courtesy of the National Library of Australia. Reprinted with permission of the National Library of Australia.

#### Foreword

THE RESEARCH DOCUMENTED here represents the first systematic archaeological work in this area of the southeast Queensland coast and was undertaken as a major part of a larger, multicomponent project concerning archaeology and cultural heritage in the traditional country of Gooreng Gooreng speaking people. Sean's task was to build upon the results of exploratory site survey and excavation to address two key concerns. The first was the relationship of patterns of cultural change in his study area to those described elsewhere in southeast Queensland. The second was to ensure that any such comparisons were taphonomically well-founded, particularly with regard to the analytical integrity of the shell middens upon which he and other coastal researchers in Australia rely so heavily.

Sean took to this task with a vengeance, closely surveying a large area of landscape and excavating an array of site types to provide himself with a solid sample of the archaeological variation thus revealed. Though most were not archaeologically rich, these sites provided substantial grist for Sean's taphonomic mill, prompting him to adapt conjoining techniques to work on bivalve shellfish — a simple but clever innovation — as well as to undertake much more sophisticated work on local variation in correction factors for the radiocarbon dating of marine shell. In the end, he was able to distil the three-phase cultural sequence he describes in this volume, 'hygienically' dated on the basis of reliable correction coefficients and demonstrably high degrees of stratigraphic integrity in his middens. The patterns he identified accord with those from other parts of coastal southeast Queensland, suggesting widespread major restructuring of coastal occupation strategies in the archaeologically very-recent past, and particularly the last 1,000 years. Sean was also able to demonstrate that Aboriginal people were still using the area, and often the same sites that had been used for substantial periods in pre-European times, well after they disappeared from the documentary historical record, thus emphasising the importance of archaeology as an independent record of Aboriginal life in the historical period.

Ian Lilley

### Acknowledgements

MANY, MANY PEOPLE contributed to the completion of the research reported in this monograph. My greatest debt in producing this work is to my University of Queensland supervisors Jay Hall (School of Social Science) and Ian Lilley (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies Unit) and my de facto supervisor Michael Williams (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies Unit) who have been with me every step of the journey.

I thank members of the Gooreng Gooreng community who collaborated on this project and gave me the opportunity to work in their country. In particular I thank Colin Johnson, Hilton (Charlie) Johnson, Mervyn Johnson, Ron Johnson (Sr), Ron Johnson (Jr), Vicki Johnson (and the rest of the Johnson Family), Cedric Williams, James Williams and Michael Williams (and the rest of the Williams Family), Connie Walker and Michael Hill.

I thank the Gurang Land Council Aboriginal Corporation and the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency for their continuing assistance and participation in the project.

The advice and assistance of the Agnes Water-Town of Seventeen Seventy community has been critical to the success of this project. In particular, I would like to acknowledge the support of Denis Dray, Neil Teague and Kris Hall (Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service), Georgia and Tony Isaacs, Jan and David McKauge (The Beach Shacks), Neil, Des, Betty and Katherine Mergard and Glen Finlay (1770 Environmental Tours).

I thank the many specialists who freely (well, mostly) gave up their time to answer many questions and undertake collaborative research on various aspects of the project: Errol Beutel (Queensland Museum) identified bottle glass; Stuart and Shirley Buchanan (Coral Coast Publications) discussed historical information; Maria Cotter (University of New England) provided palaeoenvironmental data; Stephen Cotter (University of Canberra) identified stone raw materials and undertook LA-ICP-MS analyses; Tracy Frank (University of Queensland) provided advice on stable isotopes and obtained stable O and C data; Luke Godwin (Central Queensland Cultural Heritage Management) provided access to reports; Tom Higham (Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit, University of Oxford) advised on marine reservoir issues; Vojtech Hlinka (University of Queensland) identified fish remains; Ian Loch (Australian Museum) provided livecollected shell samples; Alan Hogg, Fiona Petchey and Matthew Phelan (University of Waikato Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory) undertook the majority of dating and provided advice on sample selection and marine reservoir issues; Paula Reimer (Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory) generously provided advice and datasets crucial to the completion of the marine reservoir study; John Stanisic, Darryl Potter and Thora Whitehead (Queensland Museum) helped identify shellfish and provided live-collected shell specimens; Errol Stock (Griffith University) helped with geoarchaeological sampling and provided advice on geomorphology; John Turunen (Keilar Fox and McGhie Pty Ltd) undertook mapping and digital terrain modelling; Deborah Vale (University of New England) examined and identified fish remains; Steve Van Dyck (Queensland Museum) undertook preliminary identification of marine mammal and marine reptile remains.

Fieldwork and radiocarbon dating was funded by: the Australian Heritage Commission National Estate Grants Program (Chief Investigator: Ian Lilley); Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies (G97/6067 and G98/6113) (Chief Investigator: Sean Ulm); Australian Research Council Large (A10027107) (Chief Investigator: Ian Lilley) and Small Grant (00/ARCSO15) (Chief Investigator: Ian Lilley); Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering (AINSE) (Grant #98/048) (Chief Investigator: Bill Boyd); School of Social Science, University of Queensland (Chief Investigator: Sean Ulm); and, Dr Joan Allsop Australian Studies Fund Award (Chief Investigator: Sean Ulm). The Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies Unit and School of Social Science at the University of Queensland provided laboratory and storage facilities.

Thanks to Paul Aurisch (Figs 9.9–9.10, 9.12), Ian Lilley (Figs 2.12, 7.3) and the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency (Fig. 2.13) for permission to reproduce photographs. All unacknowledged photographs are my own work. Thanks to Jean Andrews for permission to reproduce Figure 3.3 from *Sea Shells of the Texas Coast* (1971). The Queensland Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Water gave permission to reproduce the aerial photographs in Figures 9.2 and 13.1.

Many people have also assisted in the field and laboratory. Thanks to Paul Aurisch, Desley Badrick, Estelle Baker, Damien (Brutus) Bauer, Delyna Baxter, Joann Bowman, Kylie Bruce, Melissa Carter, Val Chapman, Araluen Cotter, Maria Cotter, Stephen Cotter, Tony Eales, Kyleigh Engeman, Joe Firinu, Victoria Francis, Renee Gardiner, Greg Gilles, Jay Hall, Colleen Hanahan, Vojtech Hlinka, Angela Holden, Alex Hunt, Charlie (Hilton) Johnson, Amanda Kearney, Jenna Lamb, Michelle Langley, Daniel Leo, Sarah L'Estrange, Ian Lilley, Mark Limb, Patricia Livsey, Geraldine Mate, Emma Miles, Lucile Myers, Carly Naughton, Lad Nejman, Alison Neuendorf, Stephen Nichols, Antje Noll, Sue Nugent, Cyndi Osborne, Bev Rankine, Coen Rankine, Ian Rankine, Chris Reid, David Reid, Pene Reid, Ralph Reid, John Richter, Evelyn Riddle, Richard Robins, Yolanda Saurez, Stephen Skelton, Linda Tebble, Joy Thompson, Sophie Thompson, Thomas Twigg, Phyllis Ulm, Deborah Vale, Helen Vale, Kim Vernon, Kristen Ward, Bill Wedge, Shaun Wiggins, Cedric Williams, Dany Williams, Michael Williams, Alex Wisniowiecka, Amy Wood and Paul Wood. In particular I would like to single out Chris Chicoteau, Jill Reid, Karen McFadden, Gail Robertson, Catherine Westcott and Nathan Woolford for being regular (and sometimes long-term) field participants. Tony Eales, Victoria Francis, Kim Vernon, Gail Robertson and Jenna Lamb examined various artefacts for use-wear and residues.

For discussing aspects of the research I thank Tony Barham, Bryce Barker, Bill Boyd, Maria Cotter, Stephen Cotter, Daniel Cummins, Bruno David, Iain Davidson, Tony Eales, Luke Godwin, Alice Gorman, Jay Hall, Michael Haslam, Fiona Hook, Jenna Lamb, Sarah L'Estrange, Ian Lilley, Harry Lourandos, Tom Loy, Ian McNiven, Rob Neal, Jon Prangnell, Paul Rainbird, Jill Reid, Richard Robins, Annie Ross, Mike Rowland, Errol Stock, Tam Smith, Bruce Veitch, Peter Veth, Louis Warren, Catherine Westcott and Nathan Woolford.

For assistance in preparing this manuscript for publication I thank Sue O'Connor, Marjorie Sullivan, David Frankel, Bill Boyd, Ian Lilley, Catherine Westcott, Jill Reid, Antje Noll and the staff at Pandanus Books, especially Emily Brissenden.

My extended family has once again kept me relatively sane during the completion of this research. Thanks to the Rankine Family, Reid Family, Ulm Family, Williams Family and my Unit Family. They have shown me that there is a world outside my office walls, although at times I found it hard to believe. Finally, a special thanks to Jill Reid for endless support and too many years without weekends.

### Contents

Foreword		vii
Ack	nowledgements	ix
1	Introduction: investigating the archaeology of the southern Curtis Coast	1
-	Introduction	1
	The continental narrative	1
	Refining mid-to-late Holocene chronologies	5
	Rockshelters versus open sites: some sampling issues	7
	Rockshelters versus open sites: some taphonomic issues	8
	Background to the study	10
	The study region	10
	Aims of the study	11
2	The study region: the southern Curtis Coast	13
	Introduction	13
	Physical setting	13
	Geology and geomorphology	14
	Climate	17
	Hydrology	17
	Flora	18
	Fauna	18
	Palaeoenvironment and environmental change	20
	Cultural setting	22
	Previous archaeological research	25
	Gooreng Gooreng Cultural Heritage Project	27
	Other dated archaeological sites in the region	30
	Agnes Beach Midden (ABM)	30
	Middle Island Sandblow Site (MISS)	31
	Round Hill Creek Mound (RHCM)	33
	Worthington Creek Midden (WCM)	33
	Discussion	34
	Summary	35
3	Methods of investigation	37
	Introduction	37

terra australis 24

	Excavation strategy	37
	Excavation methods	38
	Radiocarbon dating and calibration	39
	Marine and estuarine reservoir effects	39
	Radiocarbon age calibration	40
	Dating terminology	40
	Laboratory analyses	41
	Invertebrate remains	41
	Vertebrate remains	44
	Stone artefacts	45
	Non-artefactual stone	45
	Charcoal	45
	Organic material	45
	Age-depth curves and analytical units	45
	Summary	45
4	Marine and estuarine reservoir effects in central Queensland:	47
	determination of $\Delta R$ values	
	Introduction	47
	Background	49
	Australian marine reservoir studies: a review	51
	The original study	51
	Further studies	53
	The present study: methods	55
	Results	56
	Live-collected known-age samples	56
	Archaeological shell/charcoal paired samples	57
	Temporal variability or $\Delta R(t)$	61
	Discussion	61
	Summary	64
5	Bivalve conjoin analyses: assessing site integrity	65
	Introduction	65
	Background	65
	General methods and approach	68
	Seven Mile Creek Mound bivalve conjoin analysis	69
	Introduction	69
	Aims	69
	Methods	70
	Results	70
	Bivalve conjoin analysis blind test	71
	Introduction	71
	Aims	71
	Methods	71
	Results	72
	Discussion	72
	Summary	77
6	Seven Mile Creek Mound	79
	Introduction	79

	Site description and setting	79
	Excavation methods	81
	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	82
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	83
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	84
	Laboratory methods	85
	Cultural materials	85
	Invertebrate remains	85
	Vertebrate remains	92
	Stone artefacts	92
	Other remains	93
	Discussion	94
	Summary	96
7	Mort Creek Site Complex	97
	Introduction	97
	Site description and setting	97
	Previous investigations	99
	Excavation methods	101
	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	102
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	102
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	104
	Laboratory methods	106
	Cultural materials	106
	Invertebrate remains	106
	Vertebrate remains	110
	Stone artefacts	111
	Other remains	112
	Discussion	112
	Summary	113
8	Pancake Creek Site Complex	115
	Introduction	115
	Site description and setting	115
	Excavation methods	117
	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	119
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	122
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	123
	Laboratory methods	123
	Cultural materials Invertebrate remains	124
	Vertebrate remains	124 124
	Stone artefacts Other remains	124
	Discussion	128 129
		129
	Summary	129
9	Ironbark Site Complex	131
	Introduction	131

	Site description and setting	131
	Excavation methods	135
	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	139
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	144
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	146
	Laboratory methods	147
	Cultural materials	147
	Invertebrate remains	147
	Vertebrate remains	151
	Stone artefacts	152
	Glass artefacts	152
	Other remains	154
	Discussion	154
	Summary	156
10	Eurimbula Creek 1	157
	Introduction	157
	Site description and setting	157
	Excavation methods	160
	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	160
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	161
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	162
	Laboratory methods	162
	Cultural materials	162
	Invertebrate remains	162
	Vertebrate remains	163
	Other remains	166
	Discussion	166
	Summary	167
11	Eurimbula Creek 2	169
	Introduction	169
	Site description and setting	169
	Excavation methods	171
	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	171
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	172
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	173
	Laboratory methods	173
	Cultural materials	173
	Invertebrate remains	173
	Other remains	174
	Discussion	176
	Summary	176
12	Eurimbula Site 1	177
	Introduction	177
	Site description and setting	177
	Previous investigations	180
	Excavation methods	184

	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	184
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	186
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	188
	Laboratory methods	189
	Cultural materials	189
	Invertebrate remains	189
	Vertebrate remains	194
	Stone artefacts	196
	Other remains	197
	Discussion	197
	Summary	199
13	Tom's Creek Site Complex	201
	Introduction	201
	Site description and setting	201
	Excavation methods	204
	Cultural deposit and stratigraphy	207
	Radiocarbon dating and chronology	209
	Stratigraphic integrity and disturbance	210
	Laboratory methods	212
	Cultural materials	212
	Invertebrate remains	212
	Vertebrate remains	218
	Stone artefacts	220
	Glass artefacts	222
	Other remains	223
	Discussion	223
	Summary	224
14	Synthesis of results: towards an archaeology of the southern Curtis Coast	225
	Introduction	225
	Regional site chronology	226
	Regional site contents	232
	Shellfish remains	232
	Fish remains	234
	Charcoal	236
	Stone artefacts	236
	Regional patterns in site structure	238
	Discussion	240
	Modelling regional settlement histories	241
	Phase I (pre-4,000 BP–1,500 BP)	241
	Phase II (c.1,500 BP–c.AD 1850s)	243
	Phase III (c.AD 1850s–c.AD 1920s)	244
	Summary	245
15	Wider implications and conclusions	247
	Introduction	247
	Key findings	247
	Regional context and implications	248

Regional occupation before the late Holocene	248
Reduced occupation or abandonment of the coastal zone in the late Holocene	250
The antiquity of marine fishing	251
Localisation of resource use	251
Wider implications	252
Methodological implications	254
Dating strategies and chronological control	254
Integrity of open sites	254
Discussion	255
Conclusion	255
References	257
Appendices	279
Appendix 1 Radiocarbon dates: technical data	280
<b>Appendix 2</b> Recorded archaeological sites on the southern Curtis Coast	283
Appendix 3 Site name synonyms for recorded sites on the southern Curtis Coast	293
Appendix 4 Excavation data	297
Appendix 5 Shellfish reference collection	313

## List of Figures

1.1	The Australian region, showing places mentioned in the text (after Allen and O'Connell 1995:vi).	5
1.2	Distribution of dated archaeological sites in Queensland with a Holocene component (Ulm and Reid 2000:3).	8
2.1	The Gooreng Gooreng Cultural Heritage Project study area, showing major towns and the general distribution of Gooreng Gooreng speakers (heavy line) (after Horton 1994; Williams 1981). The southern Curtis Coast study area is shown by the box.	15
2.2	The southern Curtis Coast study area, showing all recorded archaeological sites as triangles (after Ulm and Lilley 1999). Site designations are shown for sites which are not illustrated in Figs 2.9–2.11. Heavy black lines on Middle Island indicate the general location of extensive low density shell deposits.	16
2.3	General view of estuary and near-coastal ranges across Jenny Lind Creek. Such estuaries are common in the region and support diverse populations of marine life. Facing southwest.	19
2.4	Freshwater wetlands adjoining the upper reaches of Round Hill Creek. This swamp is part of a vast network of interconnected wetland areas located in the swales between ridge systems. Facing north.	19
2.5	Example of recent bank recession of the north bank of Middle Creek, showing concrete stairs once used to access the beach from the top of the erosion bank some 15m away from the contemporary section. Facing northwest.	19
2.6	Beach ridge vegetation in Eurimbula National Park, including weeping cabbage palm communities ( <i>L. australis</i> ) and bracken ( <i>P. esculentum</i> ).	20
2.7	Mud ark ( <i>A. trapezia</i> ) in a dense paddock of seagrass ( <i>Z. capricornia</i> ) in the middle of Round Hill Creek. The presence of mud ark valves in sites dated to 4,000 BP indicate that seagrass beds are a long-term feature of the local environment.	20
2.8	Facing west. Cluster of telescope mud whelk ( <i>T. telescopium</i> ) on the mangrove fringe of Eurimbula Creek. These whelks are abundant throughout the region, although virtually absent from archaeological deposits. Facing west.	20
2.9	Northern segment of the southern Curtis Coast study area showing recorded archaeological sites as triangles in the Hummock Hill Island and Seven Mile Creek areas. EPA site numbers are shown. Sites without a 'JE:' prefix have a 'KE:' prefix which has been omitted owing to space limitations.	28
2.10	Central segment of the southern Curtis Coast study area showing recorded archaeological sites as triangles in the Turkey Beach, Rodds Peninsula and Middle Island areas. EPA site numbers are shown where available. Sites without a 'JF:' prefix have a 'KE:' prefix which has been omitted owing to space limitations. Sites with a 'SCC' prefix are currently unregistered sites.	28
2.11	Southern segment of the southern Curtis Coast study area showing recorded archaeological sites as triangles in the Agnes Water, Round Hill Creek, Eurimbula Creek and Middle Creek areas. EPA site numbers are shown. All sites have a 'KE:' prefix which has been omitted owing to space limitations.	29
2.12	Stone-walled tidal fishtrap at Richards Point on Rodds Peninsula. This is one of two such features recorded in the study region. The second fishtrap is located in Mort Creek 4km to the south (see Chapter 8). Facing north (Photograph: Ian Lilley).	31

2.13	Scarred tree on eucalypt at Agnes Water (KE:A60) (Photograph: Environmental Protection Agency).	31
2.14	Agnes Beach Midden. Facing southwest.	32
2.14	Worthington Creek Midden. Facing northwest.	32
2.10	Middle Island Sandblow Site, showing pipi ( <i>D. deltoides</i> ) scatter on the surface	32
2.10	of the sandblow. A range of cultural and non-cultural material is exposed on the	52
	eastern margin of the sandblow. Facing north.	
2.17	Middle Island Sandblow Site, showing microgranite grinding implement located	32
2.17	on the surface of the sandblow. Obviously modified and apparently unmodified	02
	pieces of stone are common along the eastern margin of the sandblow. Facing south.	
3.1	Bivalve terminology (after Claassen 1998:21; Hedley 1904).	42
3.2	Bivalve terminology and measured attributes (after Claassen 1998:21; Hedley 1904).	42
3.3	Gastropod terminology (after Andrews 1971:42; Claassen 1998:19).	43
4.1	Map of Australia, showing places mentioned in the text. The boxed area indicates	48
4.1	the southern Curtis Coast study area.	40
4.2	(a) Part of the central Queensland coast showing the location of 'pre-bomb'	49
1.4	live-collected shell specimens ( $\boxtimes$ ) and (b) estuaries and archaeological sites ( $\blacktriangle$ )	T)
	discussed in the text. SMCM=Seven Mile Creek Mound; MCSC=Mort Creek Site	
	Complex; PCSC=Pancake Creek Site Complex; ISC=Ironbark Site Complex;	
	ES1=Eurimbula Site 1; TCSC=Tom's Creek Site Complex; ABM=Agnes Beach Midden.	
4.3	Example of $\Delta R$ calculation method for pair NZA-12117/Wk-8326 (see caption	60
1.0	for Table 4.6).	00
4.4	$\Delta R$ values calculated for the southern Curtis Coast.	63
4.5	Calibrated radiocarbon age-ranges from the Seven Mile Creek Mound, using	63
1.0	various $\Delta R$ values (see Table 4.7).	00
5.1	Schematic representation of conjoin identification procedure. O=individual	71
0.11	<i>A. trapezia</i> valve. In this matrix, left and right valves are arranged in descending	
	size order. See text for details.	
5.2	Maximum vertical distance separating all conjoined valve-pairs, Seven Mile	75
	Creek Mound, Square A.	_
5.3	Distribution of identified <i>A. trapezia</i> valve-pairs (n=61), Seven Mile Creek Mound,	75
	Square A. An additional 22 valve-pairs encountered as articulated specimens during	
	excavation are shown as short horizontal lines down the right hand side of the figure	
	(see Chapter 6). Line termination points indicate the vertical mid-points of the excavation	on
	units from which conjoining valves were recovered. Short horizontal lines indicate valv	
	pairs identified within excavation units. Not to scale on the horizontal axis.	
5.4	Particle size distribution of <i>A. trapezia</i> after heating at various temperatures and	76
	then mechanical destruction for 30 seconds (after Robins and Stock 1990:98).	
5.5	Fragmentation of A. trapezia, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A, expressed as	76
	the number of fragments per 100g of shell.	
6.1	The Seven Mile Creek catchment area showing the location of the Seven Mile	80
	Creek Mound as a triangle. Dark grey shading indicates the general extent of	
	mangrove, saltflats and claypans.	
6.2	Topographic map of the site area, showing the position of the excavation. The	80
	site datum is shown as a triangle. Dashed lines indicate the location of the tide	
	strand line during the period of excavation. The wide shaded line denotes a 4WD	
	track on the edge of the saltflats. Contours in 10cm intervals.	
6.3	General view of the Seven Mile Creek Mound. Note the low fringing mangroves	81
	on the intertidal flats through the gap in trees at rear left of frame. Facing south.	

6.4	General view of the completed excavation. Note the dark zone of grass root penetration at the top of the deposit. Facing north.	81
6.5	General view of completed excavation (Squares D–A). Note the stratigraphic	81
	break at the base of the shell deposit. Facing north.	00
6.6	Stratigraphic section, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Squares A–D.	82
6.7	Distribution of identified <i>A. trapezia</i> valve-pairs (n=61), Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A. An additional 22 valve-pairs encountered as articulated specimens during excavation are shown as short horizontal lines down the right hand side of the figure. Line termination points indicate the vertical mid-points of the excavation units from which conjoining valves were recovered. Short horizontal lines indicate valve-pa identified within excavation units. Not to scale on the horizontal axis. See Chapter 5 for details of methods.	85 irs
6.8	Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ).	86
6.9	Abundance of hairy mussel ( <i>T. hirsutus</i> ).	86
6.10	Abundance of mud ark ( <i>A. trapezia</i> ).	87
6.11	Abundance of scallop ( <i>P. sugillata</i> ).	87
6.12	Abundance of fish bone.	87
6.13	Abundance of charcoal.	90
6.14	Abundance of artefactual stone.	90
6.15	Abundance of non-artefactual stone.	90
6.16	Relative contribution of dominant shellfish taxa. Note that XU1 is excluded as it contained negligible quantities of shell (see Appendix 4).	91
7.1	The Mort Creek catchment area. Dark grey shading indicates the general extent of mangrove, saltflats and claypans. Dashed lines denote 4WD tracks.	99
7.2	Topographic map of the Mort Creek Site Complex. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Dark grey shading indicates the general extent of mangroves. Dots indicate auger test holes (not all shown).	99
7.3	Chenier ridge at the Mort Creek Site Complex extending into Rodds Harbour. Facing southwest (Photograph: Ian Lilley).	101
7.4	General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D. Note continuous microgranite bedrock across the base of the excavation. Facing north.	101
7.5	General view of completed excavation, showing the section of Squares C–D. Note shell layer across the upper 20cm of the deposit. Facing east.	101
7.6	Stratigraphic section, Mort Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D.	103
7.7	Distribution of identified <i>A. trapezia</i> valve-pairs (n=10), Mort Creek Site Complex, Square C. Line termination points indicate the vertical mid-points of the excavation units from which conjoining valves were located. Short horizontal lines indicate valve-pairs identified within excavation units. Not to scale on the horizontal axis. See Chapter 5 for details of methods.	106
7.8	Abundance of mud ark (A. trapezia).	107
7.9	Abundance of oyster (S. glomerata).	107
7.10	Abundance of whelk (P. ebininus).	108
7.11	Abundance of fish bone.	108
7.12	Abundance of organic material.	108
7.13	Abundance of charcoal.	109
7.14	Abundance of artefactual stone.	109
7.15	Abundance of non-artefactual stone.	109
8.1	The Pancake Creek catchment area showing the location of the Pancake Creek Site Complex. Dark grey shading indicates the general extent of mangrove, saltflats and claypans.	117

8.2	Detail of the site area showing the location of excavated squares and beach ridge vegetation units. 3a= <i>Corymbia intermedia</i> tall woodland with shrub/heath midstratum; 3b= <i>C. tessellaris, C. intermedia</i> tall to very tall woodland with <i>Livistona decipiens/Melaleu dealbata</i> and shrub understorey; 3c= <i>L. decipiens/Melaleuca</i> tall forest/tall open forest with emergent <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis/C. intermedia</i> ; 3d= <i>L. decipiens</i> open forest; 3e= <i>Acacia julifera/A. flavescens</i> tall shrubland; 3f= <i>M. dealbata</i> open forest; 5a= <i>C. tessellaris</i> woodland with understorey of low microphyll vine thicket; 5c= <i>Casuarina equisetifolia</i> low open woodland; 5d=Tall open shrubland (windsheared vegetation) (after QDEH 1997).	h
8.3	Eroding bank in the vicinity of Squares G–H showing displaced trees and shell. Facing southwest.	118
8.4	General view of completed excavation at Squares C–F. Facing south.	119
8.5	Close-up view of concentration of <i>A. trapezia</i> valves encountered in Square H,	119
0.0	XU9, at a depth of 26–30cm. These shells date to around 600 years ago and include	117
96	a single valve conjoin. Facing southeast. Stratigraphic section, Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares A–B.	120
8.6		120 121
8.7	Stratigraphic section, Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares C–F.	
8.8	Stratigraphic section, Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares G–H.	121
8.9 8.10	Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ).	126
8.10	Abundance of mud ark ( <i>A. trapezia</i> ).	126
8.11	Abundance of whelk ( <i>P. ebininus</i> ). Abundance of scallop ( <i>P. sugillata</i> ).	126 127
8.12 8.13	Abundance of hairy mussel ( <i>T. hirsutus</i> ).	127
8.13 8.14	Abundance of organic material.	127
8.14 8.15	Abundance of charcoal.	127
8.15 8.16	Abundance of non-artefactual stone.	128
9.10	The Middle Creek catchment area showing the location of the Ironbark Site Complex	133
7.1	(ISC) and nearby excavated sites (EC1=Eurimbula Creek 1; EC2=Eurimbula Creek 2; ES1=Eurimbula Site 1; TCSC=Tom's Creek Site Complex). Dark grey shading indicates the general extent of mangrove, saltflats and claypans. Dotted shading indicates land above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.	100
9.2	Aerial view of the Ironbark Site Complex, showing the maximum extent from the creek of shell and stone exposed at the surface (heavy line) and the general location of excavation squares (after BPA Run 15D/79, 30 July 1996). Based on data	134
	provided by the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Queensland 2006, which gives no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability) and accepts no liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage)	
	relating to any use of the data.	
9.3	Site plan of Ironbark Site Complex, showing area of Squares A–M. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Rock outcrops and low density artefactual material continue for a further c.50m southwest of the limits of this plan.	135
9.4	Site plan of Ironbark Site Complex, showing area of Square N. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Only cycads over 50cm in trunk height are shown.	136
9.5	Site plan of Ironbark Site Complex, showing area of Squares O–P. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Tree stumps are shown on the fringing beach.	137
9.6	Site plan of Ironbark Site Complex, showing area of Squares Q–R. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.	137
9.7	General view of the western side of the quarry sloping into Middle Creek, showing a massive core in centre foreground. Middle Island in background. Facing north.	139
9.8	Surface of Square E, XU1, showing photographic recording method. Facing north.	139

9.9	Large flake (FS54) manufactured on non-local banded rhyolite recovered from the surface of Square G on the quarry. Note the heavy edge-damage along the distal margin. Scale=1cm (Photograph: Paul Aurisch).	139
9.10	0	140
9.11		140
9.12		140
9.12		142
9.14	· · ·	142
9.15		143
9.16		144
9.17		148
9.18		149
9.19		149
9.20		149
9.21		150
9.22		150
9.23		150
9.24	1	151
9.25		153
	30mm in maximum dimension, Square E (n=784).	
10.1	The Eurimbula Creek catchment area showing the location of Eurimbula Creek 1 (EC1) and nearby excavated sites (ISC=Ironbark Site Complex; EC2=Eurimbula Creek 2	158 <u>2;</u>
	ES1=Eurimbula Site 1; TCSC=Tom's Creek Site Complex). Dark grey shading indicates the general extent of mangrove, saltflats and claypans. Dotted shading indicates land	
10.2	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.	159
10.2	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.	158 159
10.2 10.3	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)	158 159
10.3	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north.	159
10.3	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through	
10.3	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through	159
<ul><li>10.3</li><li>10.4</li><li>10.5</li></ul>	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.	159 159 159
<ul><li>10.3</li><li>10.4</li><li>10.5</li><li>10.6</li></ul>	<ul> <li>above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)</li> <li>margin of the excavation. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.</li> </ul>	159 159 159 161
<ul><li>10.3</li><li>10.4</li><li>10.5</li><li>10.6</li><li>10.7</li></ul>	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east. Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D. Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ).	159 159 159 161 164
<ul> <li>10.3</li> <li>10.4</li> <li>10.5</li> <li>10.6</li> <li>10.7</li> <li>10.8</li> </ul>	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east. Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D. Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ). Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ).	159 159 159 161 164 164
<ul> <li>10.3</li> <li>10.4</li> <li>10.5</li> <li>10.6</li> <li>10.7</li> <li>10.8</li> <li>10.9</li> </ul>	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east. Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D. Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ). Abundance of charcoal.	<ol> <li>159</li> <li>159</li> <li>159</li> <li>161</li> <li>164</li> <li>164</li> <li>165</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>10.3</li> <li>10.4</li> <li>10.5</li> <li>10.6</li> <li>10.7</li> <li>10.8</li> <li>10.9</li> <li>10.10</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)</li> <li>margin of the excavation. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through</li> <li>erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through</li> <li>erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through</li> <li>erosion bank. Facing east.</li> <li>Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.</li> <li>Abundance of oyster (<i>S. glomerata</i>).</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> </ul>	159 159 161 164 164 165 165
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east. Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D. Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ). Abundance of charcoal. Abundance of charcoal.	159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11 10.12	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east. Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D. Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ). Abundance of charcoal. Abundance of charcoal. Abundance of pumice.	159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165 165
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11	above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres. Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left) margin of the excavation. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north. General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east. Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D. Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ). Abundance of charcoal. Abundance of charcoal. Abundance of pumice.	159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11 10.12	<ul> <li>above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)</li> <li>margin of the excavation. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east.</li> <li>Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.</li> <li>Abundance of oyster (<i>S. glomerata</i>).</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of pumice.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 2 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Only major trees in the immediate area of the excavation are shown.</li> <li>General view of location of Square A, showing disturbance zone to the northeast</li> </ul>	159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165 165
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11 10.12 11.1 11.2	<ul> <li>above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)</li> <li>margin of the excavation. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east.</li> <li>Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.</li> <li>Abundance of oyster (<i>S. glomerata</i>).</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of pumice.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 2 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Only major trees in the immediate area of the excavation are shown.</li> <li>General view of location of Square A, showing disturbance zone to the northeast (rear left) of the excavation. Facing east.</li> </ul>	159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165 166 170 170
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11 10.12 11.1	<ul> <li>above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)</li> <li>margin of the excavation. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east.</li> <li>Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.</li> <li>Abundance of oyster (<i>S. glomerata</i>).</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of pumice.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 2 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Only major trees in the immediate area of the excavation are shown.</li> <li>General view of location of Square A, showing disturbance zone to the northeast (rear left) of the excavation, Square A, showing a large root protruding</li> </ul>	159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165 166 170
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11 10.12 11.1 11.2 11.3	<ul> <li>above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)</li> <li>margin of the excavation. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east.</li> <li>Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.</li> <li>Abundance of oyster (<i>S. glomerata</i>).</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of pumice.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 2 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Only major trees in the immediate area of the excavation are shown.</li> <li>General view of location of Square A, showing disturbance zone to the northeast (rear left) of the excavation. Facing east.</li> </ul>	159 159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165 165 165 170 170
10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 10.8 10.9 10.10 10.11 10.12 11.1 11.2	<ul> <li>above 200m. Solid dots indicate local population centres.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 1 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Excavations in progress at Squares A–D, showing cattle track on western (left)</li> <li>margin of the excavation. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing north.</li> <li>General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D, showing transect through erosion bank. Facing east.</li> <li>Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.</li> <li>Abundance of oyster (<i>S. glomerata</i>).</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of charcoal.</li> <li>Abundance of pumice.</li> <li>Site plan of Eurimbula Creek 2 area. Contours are in 0.5m intervals. Only major trees in the immediate area of the excavation are shown.</li> <li>General view of location of Square A, showing disturbance zone to the northeast (rear left) of the excavation. Facing east.</li> </ul>	159 159 161 164 164 165 165 165 166 170 170

11 -		170
11.5	Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Creek 2, Square A.	172
11.6	Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ).	174
11.7	Abundance of charcoal.	175
11.8	Abundance of pumice.	175
11.9	Abundance of organic material.	175
12.1	Lower reaches of Round Hill Creek, showing the location of Transects A, B and	178
	C at Eurimbula Site 1. Dark grey shading indicates the general extent of mangrove	
	vegetation. Stippling indicates the general location of intertidal flats. Dashed lines	
10.0	are sealed roads.	100
12.2	Location of test pits along Transects A, B and C at Eurimbula Site 1, showing	182
10.0	topography in the immediate area of the transects.	100
12.3	General view of steep c.2m high erosion bank at the southern end of Eurimbula	182
	Site 1 fronting Round Hill Creek. Facing northwest.	
12.4	Large stone artefact (FS1/2001) manufactured on rhyolitic tuff located mid-way	182
	down the erosion bank. Facing west.	
12.5	Cleaned c.2.5m high section of erosion bank located along the southern third of	183
	the site, showing dark band of coffee rock mid-way down the profile. Facing west.	
12.6	General view of completed excavation, Square E1, showing shell lens mid-way	183
	down the western profile. Facing west.	
12.7	Close-up view of mud ark (A. trapezia) shell lens encountered during excavation,	183
	Square E1, XU10. Facing west.	
12.8	General view of completed excavation at Squares A–D. Note large roots towards	183
	the top of the profile. Facing southwest.	
12.9	Stratigraphic section, Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D.	186
12.10	Age-depth relationship of all radiocarbon determinations obtained at Eurimbula Site 1	187
	(n=12). The linear regression shown only includes the six dates obtained on charcoal	
	samples from Transect A, Squares A–D, E1–E2.	
	Abundance of artefactual stone.	190
	2 Abundance of fish bone.	190
	B Abundance of oyster ( <i>S. glomerata</i> ).	191
12.14	Abundance of mud ark ( <i>A. trapezia</i> ).	191
	5 Abundance of dominant shell taxa.	191
	6 Abundance of organic material.	192
	7 Abundance of charcoal.	192
12.18	3 Abundance of charcoal.	192
13.1	Aerial view of the Tom's Creek Site Complex area (after BPA Run 10B/57, 30 July 1996).	203
	The dashed box encloses the approximate area shown in Fig. 13.2. Based on data	
	provided by the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Queensland 2006,	
	which gives no warranty in relation to the data (including accuracy, reliability,	
	completeness or suitability) and accepts no liability (including without limitation,	
	liability in negligence) for any loss, damage or costs (including consequential damage	)
	relating to any use of the data.	
13.2	Site plan of area of Squares A–S. Contours are in 0.5m intervals.	203
13.3	Schematic diagram of the layout of Squares A–D and E–Q, showing distribution	205
	of collected glass artefacts as solid dots (not to scale).	
13.4	General view of area of glass scatter after removal of leaf litter. Flags	206
	indicate the position of glass artefacts. Facing northeast.	
13.5	Glass artefact (FS188) cached in quinine tree. Facing north.	206
13.6	General view of completed excavation, Squares A–D. Facing northwest.	206

13.7	General view of shell material concentrated on erosion bank of Residual 1. Facing	207
15.7	south.	207
13.8	General view of completed excavation, Squares R–S. Note position of shell material	207
13.9	in the upper deposit. Facing north. Core taken from below the limits of excavation, Square S. Note distinctive break	207
13.9	(at c.75cm below ground surface) between coarse light yellow sands and dark	207
	organic muds.	
13.10	Stratigraphic section, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D.	208
	Stratigraphic section, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares R–S.	209
	Abundance of dominant shell taxa.	212
	Abundance of dominant shell taxa.	215
	Abundance of artefactual stone. Abundance of artefactual stone.	215 215
	Abundance of fish bone.	215
	Abundance of fish bone.	216
13.18	Abundance of charcoal.	216
13.19	Abundance of charcoal.	217
14.1	Occupation spans of dated sites on the southern Curtis Coast, based on $1\sigma$	227
	calibrated age-ranges. Note that a span of 100 years is estimated for the modern	
14.0	dates reported for WCM and EC2.	220
14.2	Estimated number of dated sites occupied on the southern Curtis Coast in each 500 year period, based on the mid-points of calibrated age-ranges.	228
14.3	Estimated number of new sites established on the southern Curtis Coast in each	228
11.0	500 year period. Note that the mid-point of the calibrated age-range of the oldest	
	date available for each site is assumed to be the basal age.	
14.4	Calibrated radiocarbon ages from the southern Curtis Coast (n=58) arranged in	228
	order of increasing age. Error bars show the $1\sigma$ calibrated age-range. Note the apparent	t
	gap between 1,050–1,250 years ago.	
14.5	Number of sites on the southern Curtis Coast with central calibrated radiocarbon	229
	dates falling in each 500 year period, measured at 250 year intervals. For comparison, the same data are shown for all of southeast Queensland and	
	the Moreton Bay region.	
14.6	Summed probability plot of all calibrated radiocarbon ages (n=56) normalised to a	229
	maximum of one. Note that the two modern dates reported for WCM and EC2 are	
	excluded.	
14.7	Total weight of shell recovered from all excavated sites per 500 year interval. Note	234
14.0	logarithmic scale.	005
14.8	Shellfish diversity calculated using the Shannon-Weaver Function (H') per 500 year interval.	235
14.9	Total weight of fish bone recovered from all excavated sites per 500 year interval.	235
	Total weight of charcoal recovered from all excavated sites per 500 year interval.	235
	Total weight of stone artefacts recovered from all excavated sites per 500 year interval.	
	Abundance of local (line) versus non-local (black columns) stone artefact raw	236
	materials per 500 year interval.	
	Estimated site area for excavated sites.	239
14.14	Shell density as a unit of weight of excavated deposit for excavated sites. Only	239
1/15	shell-bearing squares are included in calculations. Note logarithmic scale. Shell density as a unit of area of excavated deposit.	239
	Extrapolated total site shell content based on site area and shell density.	239 240
15.1	Southeast and central Queensland showing the location of major archaeological	249
	projects.	

## List of Tables

1.1	Average number of dates/site for various subsets of the radiocarbon dates available for archaeological sites in Queensland (Ulm and Reid 2000).	7
2.1	Creek/estuary characteristics in the study region (Olsen 1980a:17–25).	17
2.2	Radiocarbon dates from unexcavated sites in the study region (see Appendix 1 for full	30
2.2	radiometric data for each determination). ABM=Agnes Beach Midden; MISS=Middle	50
	Island Sandblow Site; RHCM=Round Hill Creek Mound; WCM=Worthington Creek	
	Midden. Dates on shell were calibrated using a $\Delta R$ value of +10±7, except Wk-10090	
	where $\Delta R = -155 \pm 55$ (see Chapter 4 for further details).	
2.3	Middle Island Sandblow Site, Squares A–E: summary excavation data and	33
2.0	dominant materials.	55
3.1	Summary of excavated sites (arranged north to south).	38
4.1	Original 1970s series of radiocarbon dates obtained on live-collected marine shell	50 52
4.1	samples from Australian waters presented by Gillespie (1977; Gillespie and Temple 1977).	52
	$\delta^{13}$ C is an assumed value of 0±2 (Gillespie and Polach 1979:410). Historical ages of shell	
	samples were converted to equivalent global marine model ages using data from Stuiver	
	et al. (1998a). $\Delta R$ was calculated by deducting the equivalent marine model age of the	
	historical age of the shell sample from the <sup>14</sup> C age of the shell sample (after Stuiver et al.	
	1986:1020). $\Delta R\sigma = \sqrt{(\sigma historical age2 + \sigma marine model age2 + \sigma^{14}C age2)} (Gillespie 1982).$	
	The uncertainty in the marine model age includes estimated error in the calibration dataset	
	(derived from Stuiver et al. 1998a). Error-weighted means are calculated using formulae in	
	Ward and Wilson (1978). Samples: Mo=Mactra obesa; Pb=Pinna bicolor; Pm=Pinctada	
	margaritifera; Pl=Proxichione laqueata; Dd=Donax deltoides; Kr=Katelysia rhytiphora.	
4.2	Radiocarbon dates obtained on live-collected marine shell samples of known	53
1.2	historical age from the Gulf of Carpentaria (Rhodes et al. 1980). Samples: A=Anadara sp.;	
	Tt= <i>Telescopium telescopium</i> . See caption for Table 4.1 for details of calculations.	,
4.3	$\Delta R$ values for Abraham Reef and Heron Island coral cores (after Reimer and Reimer	54
1.0	2000). Samples: <i>Porites australiensis</i> . See caption for Table 4.1 for details of calculations.	01
4.4	Post-AD 1950 live-collected shell (Gillespie and Polach 1979:Table 5; Rhodes et al.	55
	1980:Table 1). Samples: Mep=Mytilus edulis planulatus; Pe=Pyrazus ebeninus;	00
	V=Volachlamys sp.; Ss=Saccostrea succulata.	
4.5	Radiocarbon ages obtained on 'pre-bomb' live-collected marine shell samples from	55
	central Queensland. Samples: Dd=Donax deltoides; At=Anadara trapezia; Vs=Volachlamys	
	singaporina. See caption for Table 4.1 for details of calculations.	
4.6	Shell/charcoal paired samples from the southern Curtis Coast. <sup>14</sup> C ages obtained on	59
	charcoal samples were reduced by $41\pm14$ years to correct for $^{14}$ C variation between and	
	northern southern hemispheres (McCormac et al. 2002). An estimate of the atmospheric	
	calibration curve error, derived from an average of estimated error in the $1\sigma$ span of the	
	age, was also included. Therefore, atmospheric age $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^{14}C}$ age <sup>2</sup> + $\sigma$ southern hemisphe	re
	offset <sup>2</sup> +average of calibration curve error <sup>2</sup> ) (Gillespie 1982). Note that the incorporation	
	of southern hemisphere offset error in this formula assumes that each atmospheric	
	conventional radiocarbon age derives from an independent secondary reservoir (see Jon	es
	and Nicholls 2001 for discussion). The $1\sigma$ range of the <sup>14</sup> C value was converted to the	
	equivalent global marine model $1\sigma$ range using atmospheric ages interpolated from	
	INTCAL98 to the same calendar year as MARINE98 (Stuiver et al. 1998a). $\Delta R$ was	

	calculated by deducting the mid-point of the equivalent marine model age of the charc determination from the <sup>14</sup> C age of the paired marine shell sample. $\Delta R\sigma = \sqrt{(\sigma marine moage^2 + \sigma marine shell age^2)}$ (Gillespie 1982). This method is illustrated for pair NZA- 12117/Wk-8326 in Fig. 4.3.	
4.7	Calibrated radiocarbon ages from the Seven Mile Creek Mound, using various $\Delta R$ values.	63
5.1	Attributes of articulated <i>A. trapezia</i> specimens recovered from the Seven Mile Creek Mound in rank order of correlation coefficient. Note that specimens were only included if there was no damage inhibiting accurate measurement of each attribute on either valve in a pair.	68
5.2	Attributes of live-collected A. trapezia specimens in rank order of correlation coefficient.	68
5.3	Identified A. trapezia conjoin sets, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	73
5.4	Additional <i>A. trapezia</i> conjoin sets identified during the blind test, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	74
5.5	Summary of maximum distance separating all conjoined <i>A. trapezia</i> valve-pairs, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	74
6.1	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Squares A–D.	83
6.2	Radiocarbon dates from the Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A (see Appendix 1 for full radiometric data for each determination).	84
6.3	Presence/absence of shellfish identified in the Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	88
6.4	Metrical data for intact and broken (with umbo) <i>A. trapezia</i> valves from the Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	91
6.5	Fish bone abundance, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	93
6.6	Fish bone taxonomic representation, Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	93
6.7	Stone artefacts from the Seven Mile Creek Mound, Square A.	94
7.1	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Mort Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D.	103
7.2	Radiocarbon dates from the Mort Creek Site Complex (see Appendix 1 for full radiometric data for each determination).	105
7.3	Identified A. trapezia conjoin sets, Mort Creek Site Complex, Square C.	105
7.4	Presence/absence of shellfish identified in the Mort Creek Site Complex, Square C.	107
7.5	Metrical data for intact and broken (with umbo) <i>A. trapezia</i> valves from the Mort Creek Site Complex, Square C.	110
7.6	Fish bone abundance, Mort Creek Site Complex, Square C.	111
7.7	Fish bone taxonomic representation, Mort Creek Site Complex, Square C.	111
7.8	Stone artefacts from the Mort Creek Site Complex, Square C.	111
8.1	Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares A–H: summary excavation data and dominant materials.	120
8.2	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares A–B.	120
8.3	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares C–F.	121
8.4	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares G–H.	122
8.5	Radiocarbon dates from the Pancake Creek Site Complex (see Appendix 1 for full radiometric data for each determination).	123
8.6	Presence/absence of shellfish identified in the Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares A–H.	125
8.7	Stone artefacts from the Pancake Creek Site Complex, Squares A–H.	128
9.1	Ironbark Site Complex, Squares E–R: summary excavation data and dominant materials.	141
9.2	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Ironbark Site Complex, Square E.	141

9.3	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Ironbark Site Complex, Squares L–M.	141
9.3 9.4	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Ironbark Site Complex, Squares L–M.	141
9.5	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Ironbark Site Complex, Square IV.	143
9.6	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Ironbark Site Complex, Squares Q–R.	144
9.7	Radiocarbon dates from the Ironbark Site Complex (see Appendix 1 for	145
).1	full radiometric data for each determination). * = assumed value only.	145
9.8	Presence / absence of shellfish identified in the Ironbark Site Complex, Squares L–R.	148
9.9	Fish bone abundance, Ironbark Site Complex, Squares N, O and P.	151
9.10	Stone artefacts from the Ironbark Site Complex, Squares E, L–R.	151
10.1	Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D: summary excavation data and dominant materials.	161
10.2	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.	161
10.3	Radiocarbon dates from Eurimbula Creek 1 (see Appendix 1 for full radiometric data).	162
10.4	Presence / absence of shellfish identified in Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.	163
10.5	Fish bone abundance, Eurimbula Creek 1, Squares A–D.	164
11.1	Eurimbula Creek 2, Square A: summary excavation data and dominant materials.	172
11.2	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Eurimbula Creek 2, Square A.	172
11.3	Radiocarbon dates from Eurimbula Creek 2 (see Appendix 1 for full radiometric data).	173
11.4	Presence / absence of shellfish identified in Eurimbula Creek 2, Square A.	174
12.1	Fish bone abundance, Eurimbula Site 1, Squares E1–E2.	183
12.2	Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D: summary excavation data and dominant	185
	materials. Data from Squares E1–E9 are included for comparison (after Ulm et al.	
	1999a:Appendix A–I).	
12.3	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D.	185
12.4	Radiocarbon dates from Eurimbula Site 1 (see Appendix 1 for full radiometric	187
	data for each determination). E* This date was undertaken on a sample of shell	
	from a dense surface scatter adjacent to Square E7.	
12.5	Identified A. trapezia conjoin sets, Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D.	189
12.6	Presence/absence of shellfish identified in Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D.	193
12.7	Metrical data for intact and broken (with umbo) A. trapezia valves from	194
	Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D. Note that excavation units for each square have	
	been collapsed for purposes of analysis. Excavation unit depth and size is	
	approximately equivalent across squares for broad comparison.	
12.8	Fish bone abundance, Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D.	195
12.9	Stone artefacts from Eurimbula Site 1, Squares A–D.	197
13.1	Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D, R–S: summary excavation data	208
40.0	and dominant materials.	• • • •
13.2	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D.	208
13.3	Stratigraphic Unit descriptions, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares R–S.	209
13.4	Radiocarbon dates from the Tom's Creek Site Complex (see Appendix 1 for	210
10 5	full radiometric data for each determination).	011
13.5 12.6	Identified <i>A. trapezia</i> conjoin sets, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D.	211
13.6	Identified <i>A. trapezia</i> conjoin sets, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares R–S.	211
13.7	Presence / absence of shellfish identified in the Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares A–S.	213
13.8	Metrical data for intact and broken (with umbo) <i>A. trapezia</i> valves from the Tom's	217
	Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D. Note that excavation units for each square have	
	been collapsed for purposes of analysis. Excavation unit depth and size is approximately equivalent across squares for broad comparison.	
	approximatery equivalent across squares for broad comparison.	

13.9	Metrical data for intact and broken (with umbo) A. trapezia valves from the	218
	Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares R–S. Note that excavation units for each square	
	have been collapsed for purposes of analysis. Excavation unit depth and size is	
	approximately equivalent across squares for broad comparison.	
13.10	Fish bone abundance, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D.	219
13.11	Fish bone abundance, Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares R–S.	220
13.12	Stone artefacts from the Tom's Creek Site Complex, Squares A–D, R–S.	221
13.13	Glass artefacts from the Tom's Creek Site Complex. * indicates glass artefacts	222
	recovered beyond the mapping grid (see Fig. 13.3).	
14.1	Radiocarbon dates from the southern Curtis Coast excluded from	227
	chronological analyses.	
14.2	Summed probability distribution of all calibrated radiocarbon dates available	231
	from cultural contexts on the southern Curtis Coast (n=56). Excludes the two	
	modern determinations (Wk-7681; Wk-7689). 0* indicates a modern age.	
14.3	Radiocarbon ages from the southern Curtis Coast dating to the 1,500–2,000 cal BP	232
	interval, calibrated using various $\Delta R$ values.	
14.4	Top 10 shellfish taxa from all excavated deposits ranked by weight and minimum	233
	number of individuals. Note that the four taxa of terrestrial gastropod have been	
	excluded.	
14.5	Shell density characteristics of excavated sites arranged in descending order of	238
	total estimated shell content.	