

Impacts of agriculture and restoration of the habitat values, water quality and fish assemblages of a tropical floodplain.

Thesis submitted by

Colton Nicholas Perna BSc (Hons)

September 2003

**Thesis submitted for the research Degree of Master of Science in Zoology and Tropical Ecology within the School of Tropical Biology James Cook University
September 2003**

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting this thesis will have to sign the following statement:

In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper public written acknowledgment for any assistance which I have obtained from it.

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restrictions on access to this thesis.

Colton Perna

Date

**STATEMENT OF SOURCES
DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Colton Perna

Date

Abstract

Fish assemblages in two floodplain sub-catchments of the Burdekin River, north Queensland, were examined over two years, in relation to habitat condition water quality and the effects of habitat rehabilitation. The main study sites were located along Sheep Station Ck and Warren's Gully which are overflow channels or sub-catchments of the Burdekin delta. Artificially high flows are maintained in these streams as water from the Burdekin River is pumped through them to supply downstream irrigation needs. In general, the study sites were moderately to highly impacted by riparian clearing, modified flow regimes and weed infestations. Weed infestations appeared to be linked with the imposition of a modified flow regime as remnant sites had far less cover of weeds than sites with modified flow. Sites in the upper floodplain, Burdekin River and Barratta Ck had highest habitat values.

Water quality was highly variable across sites, streams and over time. Oxygen concentration was identified as a major determinant of fish diversity, so the dynamics of dissolved oxygen were a major focus of this study. Flow, habitat condition and weed infestation were identified as the main drivers of oxygen in the streams. The introduced Water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) had a major impact on oxygen as it essentially blocks oxygen exchange with the water. Modified flows appear to benefit weed growth, through continuous nutrient supply. Loss of riparian habitat improves growing conditions for weeds and increases water temperature, further impacting oxygen content in the water.

Habitat condition had a major influence on fish assemblages. Species richness and abundance were highest in sites of good habitat condition. Sites within the distribution systems (Sheep Station Ck and Warren's Gully) had the most impacted fish assemblages. The abundances of exotic species (both real and relative) decreased with increase in habitat condition. The most abundant fish species were (i) small, highly tolerant gudgeons, (ii) the introduced Mosquito fish (*Gambusia holbrooki*) and (iii) larger Tarpon (*Megalops cyprinoides*), which is a facultative air breather. The small species appeared to favour degraded lagoon habitats but it appears that as habitat condition improves and more predatory species occur, the abundance of these small species decreases.

During the sampling period a local project was initiated using an aquatic weed harvester to remove weed infestations from lagoons on Sheep Station Ck. Weeds were harvested from Payard's Lagoon in August 2000. Three samples were taken before weed removal and six after weed removal to examine temporal changes in fish assemblages and water quality. There was an immediate improvement in oxygen content in the month after weed removal and this

improvement persisted for a further year. The abundance of native fish species increased rapidly after weed removal. Gudgeons were the most abundant. Over the study period these abundances gradually declined due largely to the re-colonisation by predators, previously excluded from the lagoon by poor habitat and water quality condition. A number of species moved into the experimental site from a refuge site in the inlet and during a flood event. The most notable of these species is Bony bream (*Nematalosa erebi*), a species that is very intolerant of hypoxia. As more natural native habitat conditions re-established, the abundance of exotic species (*G. holbrooki*) decreased. However, fish assemblages could not fully return to natural because of combined barriers to access by estuarine species (e.g., flow control devices, bund walls and hypoxic lagoons), and poor linkage to remnant refuges.

Table of Contents

STATEMENT OF ACCESS	ii
STATEMENT OF SOURCES DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF PLATES	xiv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xv
Chapter 1 Floodplain functions and Impacts with reference to fish habitat	1
1.1 Tropical Floodplain ecology	1
1.2 Australian floodplain fish ecology	3
1.3 Agricultural impacts and floodplain habitat function in tropical Australia.	6
1.3.1 Impacts of the Burdekin floodplain	8
1.4 Aims, and structure of this thesis	9
Chapter 2 Study area and study sites	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Major influences on fish habitat in the Burdekin floodplain	15
2.3 Sampling sites	18
2.3.1 Methods for site specific habitat evaluation	18
2.4 The ten main study sites	21
2.4.1 Sheep Station Creek NBWB	21
2.4.2 Warren’s Gully/ Saltwater Creek SBWB	23
2.5 The fifteen remnant sites	29
2.5.1 Barratta Creek Catchment	29
2.5.2 Burdekin River sites	34
2.5.3 Upper Burdekin Floodplain levee lagoons	35
2.5.4 South Burdekin water board irrigation splash pool	37
2.5.5 Plantation Creek sub-catchment NBWB	40
2.5.6 Kalamia Creek sub-catchment NBWB	40
2.5.7 Sheep Station Creek sub-catchment remnant site	40
2.6 Summary	42
Chapter 3 Water quality	45
3.1 Introduction and aims	45
3.1.1 Oxygen in water	45

3.1.2 Oxygen dynamics in tropical freshwater	46
3.1.3 Impacts of habitat condition on oxygen content	47
3.1.4 Nutrients, artificial flows and <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	49
3.1.5 Aims of this component of the study	50
3.2 Methods	50
3.2.1 Physico-chemical analysis	50
3.2.2 Detailed limnological investigations	50
3.2.3 Data analysis	51
3.3 Results and discussion	52
3.3.1 Oxygen dynamics in Warren’s Gully sites.	52
3.3.2 Oxygen dynamics in Sheep Station Creek sites	54
3.3.3 Remnant sites oxygen cycles	61
3.3.4 Nutrient, Chlorophyll and Total Suspended Solids	61
3.4 Discussion	67
3.4.1 Flow and oxygen content	68
3.4.2 Submerged macrophytes and oxygen cycling	68
3.4.3 <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> impacts to downstream oxygen content	69
3.4.4 Modified flows, nutrients and proliferation of <i>E. crassipes</i>	70
3.4.5 Conclusion	70
Chapter 4 The dynamics of fish assemblage structure on the Burdekin floodplain	72
4.1 Introduction and aims	72
4.2 Methods	73
4.2.1 Spatial variation in fish assemblage composition	73
4.2.2 Statistical analysis	74
4.3 Results	76
4.3.1 Fish assemblage structure and species richness	76
4.3.2 Species richness and site condition across all sites	83
4.3.3 Spatial variation of fish species richness and abundance in dip nets in the 10 main sites.	86
4.3.4 Spatial variation of fish species abundance and richness in Gill nets at 9 main sites.	90
4.4 Discussion	92
4.4.1 Fish assemblage structure and habitat condition.	92
4.4.2 Fish assemblages and water quality	95
4.4.3 Connectivity and fish assemblage structure	96

4.4.3 Irrigation impacts on fish assemblages in Sheep Station and Warren's Gully Creeks	98
Chapter 5 Effects of weed removal on fish communities and water quality at Payard's Lagoon	99
5.1 Introduction and aims	99
5.1.1 Aims	100
5.2 Methods	100
5.2.1 The weed removal project	100
5.2.2 Fish surveys	101
5.2.3 Water quality	101
5.2.4 Statistical analysis	101
5.3 Results	102
5.3.1 Fish assemblage structure in Payard's Lagoon	102
5.3.2 Temporal variation in assemblage structure by techniques	110
5.3.3 Contribution of <i>Gambusia</i> to assemblage structure	110
5.3.4 Recruitment of species, invasion and direct recruitment	111
5.3.5 Temporal variation in oxygen content and the impact of <i>E. crassipes</i>	118
5.4 Discussion	122
5.4.1 Temporal changes in oxygen content resulting from weed removal	122
5.4.2 Recovery of native macrophytes after weed removal	123
5.4.3 Major changes in fish assemblages	124
a) Changes in fish communities in response to water quality changes	124
b) Fish species invasions after weed removal	124
c) Fish recruitment and predation	125
5.4.4 Long-term effects of weed removal	126
Chapter 6 Summary	127
6.1 The Burdekin floodplain, a highly modified landscape	127
6.2 Restoring fish habitat and water quality a case study	129
6.3 Conclusions and recommendations	130
References	132

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Summary data for riparian and instream community and condition in Sheep Station Creek main sites	24
Table 2.2 Summary data for riparian and instream community and condition in Warren’s Gully main sites	30
Table 2.3 Riparian community and condition assessment for all remnant sites sampled throughout floodplain.	38
Table 2.4 Summary of all sites by condition, location, flow and <i>E. crassipes</i> infestation	44
Table 3.1. Regional data on percent saturation of oxygen in floodplain habitats.	48
Table 3.2. Average of Total N and P, nitrate, Filterable Reactive Phosphorus (FRP), ammonia, Total Suspended Solids and chlorophyll a for ten sites	63
Table 3.3 Estimated total nitrogen and phosphorus input loading in remnant lagoons (no artificial flow) and lagoons with modified flows	67
Table 3.4 Estimates of total nitrogen and phosphorus input loading in Payard’s and Fowler’s if no modified flow was present	67
Table 3.5 Notional measure of total nitrogen and phosphorus concentration in Payard’s and Fowler’s, with no flow, that would be needed to equal the input load from pumping	67
Table 4.1 Sampling schedule for all 25 sites over the study period.	75
Table 4.2 Fish families and species recorded during this project, across the Burdekin floodplain.	76
Table 4.3 Habitat condition, collecting methods used and species list for each of the 25 study sites	77
Table 4.4 Total number of fishes collected by each sampling technique.	78
Table 4.5 Total abundance of species caught by technique in the 10 main study sites only.	80
Table 4.6 Species composition, site condition and dissolved oxygen concentration .	81
Table 4.7 Number of sites within each condition category in which selected fish species were recorded.	84
Table 4.8 Comparison of values by one-way ANOVA.	85
Table 4.9 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing species abundance (Log10 transformed) in dip net samples in 10 main study sites.	87
Table 4.10 F values and related statistics for a two-factor nested ANOVA comparing species richness in dip net samples from 10 main sites.	87

Table 4.11 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing native species abundance (Log₁₀ transformed) of dip net samples in 10 main sites and stream.	87
Table 4.12 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing native species abundance	87
Table 4.13 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing proportion of total abundance contributed by exotics	87
Table 4.14 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing proportion of total abundance contributed by exotics	87
Table 4.15 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing total abundance	90
Table 4.16 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing total abundance	91
Table 4.17 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing species richness	91
Table 4.18 F values and related statistics for a two-factor ANOVA comparing species richness	91
Table 4.19 Stocking data from Burdekin Fish Stocking Association for <i>L. calcarifer</i> stocking locations and rates.	97
Table 5.1 Abundances of fishes recorded by each method in Payard's Lagoon before and after weed removal	103
Table 5.2 Results of independent t-test on summary data for Payard's Lagoon	106
Table 5.3 Results of independent t-test, showing only species with significantly	111
Table 5.4 Results of independent t-test, showing only species with significantly different means between treatments	112
Table 5.5 Results of independent t-test, showing only species with significantly different means between treatments, on total species abundance	112

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Maps of Australian tropics and study area	10
Figure 2.1 Annual rainfall at Home Hill	11
Figure 2.2 Aerial image of study area showing location of all study sites	12
Figure 2.3 Pump rates over last three years, Sheep Station and Warren's Gully	14
Figure 2.4 Aerial image of main study sites on Sheep Station Ck	19
Figure 2.5 Aerial image of main study sites on Warren's Gully/Saltwater Ck	20
Figure 2.6 Aerial image showing connection from Dick's Bank to the high value remnant Castelanelli's Lagoon	26
Figure 2.7 Aerial image of all sites across floodplain showing distribution by conditions.	43
Figure 3.1 Oxygen cycling in Keelbottom Ck lentic lagoon site showing natural lentic oxygen cycling	46
Figure 3.2 Lagoon Creek storm event	52
Figure 3.3 Fowler's Lagoon diel Oxygen cycle	55
Figure 3.4 Princess Lagoon diel Oxygen cycle	55
Figure 3.5 Munro's Lagoon diel Oxygen cycle	56
Figure 3.6 24 hour oxygen cycles at Fowler's, Princess and Munro's	56
Figure 3.7 Saltwater Lagoon diel Oxygen cycle	57
Figure 3.8 Inkerman Lagoon diel Oxygen cycles for three flow conditions	57
Figure 3.9 Dick's Bank Lagoon diel cycling	59
Figure 3.10 Gorizia's Lagoon diel cycling at 3 flow regimes	59
Figure 3.11 Jack's Lagoon spot measurements	60
Figure 3.12 Kelly's diel Oxygen cycles at No Flow and First Flush	60
Figure 3.13 Castinalli's Lagoon diel cycling over two days	62
Figure 3.14 Clay hole diel cycling	62
Figure 3.15 Median of a) TSS (mg/L) and b) chlorophyll ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	65
Figure 3.16 Median of a) phosphorus ($\mu\text{g P/L}$) and b) FRP ($\mu\text{g P/L}$)	65
Figure 3.17 Median of a) total nitrogen ($\mu\text{g N/L}$) and b) nitrate ($\mu\text{g N/L}$)	66

Figure 3.18 Median of total ammonia ($\mu\text{g N/L}$)	66
Figure 4.1 Semi-Strong Hybrid Multidimensional Scaling ordination plot of species by sites matrix using total species richness at all 25 sites and including all sampling methods.	82
Figure 4.2 A) Abundance of <i>Hypseleotris</i> spp. for all sites and all methods, across condition , B) abundance of <i>A. graeffei</i> for all sites and all methods, across condition (all sites all methods).	83
Figure 4.3 Proportion of exotics to the total species richness for all sites and all methods, across three streams (all sites all methods).	85
Figure 4.4 Total species abundance in dip net samples of 10 main sites, in two conditions	88
Figure 4.5 Total species richness in dip net samples of 10 main sites, across sites	88
Figure 4.6 Abundance of native species in dip net samples of 10 main sites, by condition	89
Figure 4.7 Total native species abundance in dip net samples of 10 main sites, by site	89
Figure 4.8 Proportion of exotics to total species abundance in dip net samples of 10 main sites, by condition	90
Figure 4.9 Total species abundance in gill nets for 9 main study sites, by condition	91
Figure 4.10 Species richness in gill net samples for 9 main sites, by condition	92
Figure 5.1 Species richness for all methods and for each method separately over nine surveys in Payard’s Lagoon	104
Figure 5.2 Semi-Strong Hybrid Multidimensional Scaling ordination plot for nine surveys using all methods (gill, dip and seine nets) at Payard’s Lagoon	105
Figure 5.3 Total species richness and abundance for gill net samples over 8 surveys in Payard’s Lagoon	106
Figure 5.4 Total species richness and abundance for dip net samples over nine surveys in Payard’s Lagoon.	107
Figure 5.5 Proportion of <i>Gambusia</i> to the total fish abundance in dip net sample over nine surveys in Payard’s Lagoon	108
Figure 5.6 Comparison of total species richness before and after weed removal in Payard’s Lagoon and Kelly’s Lagoon (Sheep Station remnant site).	108
Figure 5.7 Semi-Strong Hybrid Multidimensional Scaling ordination plot from 4 lagoons along Sheep Station Ck	109

Figure 5.8 Length frequencies for <i>Gambusia holbrooki</i> in Payard's Lagoon	113
Figure 5.9 Length frequency for <i>Nematalosa erebi</i> in Payard's Lagoon	114
Figure 5.10 Boxplot graph of <i>N. erebi</i> lengths over five suveys	115
Figure 5.11 Length frequency for <i>Megalops cyprinoides</i>, at Payard's Lagoon	116
Figure 5.12 Length frequency for <i>Hypseleotris</i> spp. at Payard's Lagoon	117
Figure 5.13 Length frequency for <i>Glossamia aprion</i> in Payard's Lagoon	118
Figure 5.14 Boxplot for percent saturation of oxygen, data was logged at the outlet of Payard's Lagoon	119
Figure 5.15 Boxplot for percent saturation of oxygen, data was logged at the inlet of Payard's Lagoon	120
Figure 5.16 Boxplot for percent saturation of oxygen before and after weed and removal one year after weed removal.	121
Figure 5.17 Processes by which <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> blocks re-aeration pathways	123

List of Plates

Plate 2.1 Fish migration barriers	17
Plate 2.2 Sheep Station Ck main sites	25
Plate 2.3 Warren's Gully main sites	31
Plate 2.4 Barratta Ck sites	33
Plate 2.5 Burdekin River sites and Horseshoe Lagoon	36
Plate 2.6 Upper floodplain levee lagoon sites, and Hutchings and Castelanelli's	41

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the following: firstly Mike Cappo, without whom this thesis would be half of what it is: he is a dedicated fish biologist with a passion for science and just a touch of perfectionism (remember the 6 P's); the landholders, especially Archie and Kim Dawen who extended hospitality and a beautiful beach laboratory for our field trips; others such as Frank and John Gorizia, Mick Magatelli, Lou Louizo, Bruno Milani, Les Cox, Morry Kelly, Brian Strathde, Percy Jack and many others had a good amount of knowledge and input that was invaluable (not to mention equipment to get us out of sticky situations); my advisors Richard Pearson and Brad Pusey, who both braved many a rough draft and the final product is much better for it; the Australian Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research whose combined expertise has taught me much over the past four years; Barry Bulter who can make one's head swell with information, in a good way of course, and Damien Burrows who was always on hand for support and advice; my wife Kerry, who has supported my many days away and recently my many nights away and the way to grumpy mornings; my daughters Finula and Kaliska who give me inspiration and hope every day.

I would like also to thank the Natural Heritage Trust which financially supported this project; the Burdekin Shire Council who worked with me on timing and methods for the weed removal works; the North and South Burdekin Water Boards (especially Graham Laidlow from the NBWB and Bill Lewis from the SBWB) who gave financial and in-kind support to this project, without which the weed removals would not have been possible; the Bowen-Burdekin Integrated Floodplain Management Advisory Committee, especially Les Searle and Terri Buono; Les Searle and Jim Tait for writing and inspiring this project and trustingly handed it over to me and Terri Buono who was always on hand to get press releases out and keep me in the spotlight when I just wanted to hide out.