QUANTITATIVE HISTOPATHOLOGY AND EPIDEMIOLOGY OF PRAWN VIRAL DISEASES

Thesis submitted by

Semuel Ayub Mathias LITTIK

BSc (Hons) (Department of Fisheries, Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia, 1988) MSc (Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Canada, 1996)

in December 2003

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Microbiology and Immunology in the School of Biomedical Sciences at James Cook University Australia

ELECTRONIC COPY

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library, is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Signature

Date

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work

Signature

Date

STATEMENT ON SOURCES

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

(Date)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Many people within and without James Cook University have assisted me during my study and in the preparation of this thesis. In particular, I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation for the following people:

Dr. Leigh Owens, my supervisor, for his great patience, sense of humour, listening ears, deep understanding of Indonesians, trust, astuteness, critics, enthusiasm and personal helps in showing me the joy of studying prawn viruses and developing key ideas for my thesis. His keen interest in epidemiology, statistics and histopathology of aquatic animals has helped me to crystallize simple and applicable ideas and skills for my future as a lecturer back in remote islands of eastern Indonesia where aquatic pathobiology and molecular biology are "extraterrestrial" sciences. I will never thank him enough for the mind-opening opportunities and challenges during my study in JCU.

Dr. Catriona McElnea for revealing the knot-and-bolt and tricks of DNA extraction, PCR and in-situ hybridization assays; Dr. Jane Oakey for helping with DNA analysis; Mr. Laurie Reilly for teaching histology and microphotography; Dr. Jan Smith for teaching insect and viral cell culture techniques; Lisa for accompanying me to collect the prawns, fixing the broken aquaria and cleaning up the wet laboratory.

A/Prof. Richard Copland and Mrs. Margaret Copland for prayers and encouragement. Special thanks to Mrs. Copland for valuable help in proof reading my thesis. I will always cherish your friendship and care for me, my family and other Indonesians.

I also thank AusAID for providing scholarship for my Ph.D. study, and JCU for providing part of the research fund.

To all my Indonesian fellows within the JCU Indonesian Student Association, thank you for your friendship, trust and support during my study and my 3-year tenure in the leadership of JCU-ISA. Special thank to my friends in Indonesian Bible Study Group

for your endless support, fellowship and prayers: Yulini, Anton, Windarti, Fred, Dani, Bob, Grace, Noni, Ricky, Rima, Ferry, Lily, Mercy, Sherly, Benni, Laurenzo, Johanna, Piter, Joseph, Patrice, Sapta and Pram. To my fellows from Pattimura University in Townsville (Bob, Grace and Noni), thank you for praying and supporting one another in times of distress when our university and houses were burnt down and hope was lost for a moment. I am looking forward to working together with you again in Ambon to mend the shattered fabrics of our society.

Also to my fellow students in office: Jenny, Nancy and Coco, thank you for helping me with computer and friendship. Thanks also for Brendan for downloading MBV DNA sequence for me and Gilbert for showing me examples of research proposal. Also thanks to Maarten van Proije for lending me an electrical stabiliser which saved the computer from "spike" trouble.

Finally, to the Reverend Matthew Bolte and Mrs. Kathy Bolte of Hope Community Church in Townsville, thank you for helping me finding God in Australia and building up my faith, hope and love to God and humanity during the dark and hopeless times of violence and destruction in Ambon and Indonesia. All members of Kirwan Uniting Church and Jensen UC also deserve my thanks for praying and supporting me during my study and helping me as an elder. Thank you for David Hatcher, Kevin Lynch, Neil Skinner, Matthew Bolte and Don Lovett for rescuing me from "the bondage of thesis" to the freedom of a bush camp in the Valley of Lagoon and Mt. Fox. Thank you for providing restoration and rest for me in the dry Australian desert, a homely mountain lodge, a cool water creek and long dusty country roads. It was super, mates!

Above all, I thank God for His everlasting goodness and faithfulness.

Abstract

The interaction of prawns, viruses and the environment in disease development at individual and population levels was studied using histological and statistical analyses based on quantitative models and simple quantitative methods.

At the individual level, quantitative histopathology was utilized to differentiate monodon baculovirus (MBV) in the digestive tissues (the midgut or MG and hepatopancreas or HP) of Australian Penaeus monodon and Penaeus merguiensis. It seems MBV in P. monodon was less damaging than in *P. merguiensis*. Indeed, *P. monodon* sustained significantly lower severity of MBV infection (mean \pm SE of severity index (SI) = 1.6 \pm 0.1) and proportion of abnormal cells $(R_{ac} = 34 \pm 3\%)$ with a significantly higher proportion of infected nuclei $(R_{in} = 64 \pm 3\%)$; while P. merguiensis had significantly higher SI (2.1 \pm 0.1) and R_{ac} (76 \pm 5%) with significantly lower R_{in} $(46 \pm 3\%)$. Besides, the diameter of MBV occlusion bodies (OBs) in *P. monodon* $(5.4 \pm 0.3 \,\mu\text{m})$ was significantly larger than that in *P. merguiensis* $(3.5 \pm 0.4 \,\mu\text{m})$. Also, the number of MBV OBs per nuclei in *P. monodon* was moderately correlated to the OB diameter ($R^2 = 0.34$, p << 0.05), while in *P. merguiensis* it was weakly correlated ($R^2 = 0.12$, p << 0.05). Perhaps, *P.* monodon and MBV mutually determined the size of the OBs, whereas *P. merguiensis* mostly influenced the size of the OBs. Tissue tropism of Australia-type MBV in the AMG of P. merguiensis was reported for the first time based on MBV prevalence (91 \pm 6%), SI (2.4 \pm 0.1), R_{cc} $(91\pm3\%)$ and significant dominance of the final stage of nuclear change. Overall, the HP of both penaeid species was more tolerant to MBV than the AMG since the HP contained significantly larger OBs and higher proportion of nuclei with the early stage of MBV infection compared to that in the AMG.

For the first time a transect method was applied to analyse lymphoidal changes in *P. monodon*. This method was simple and cost effective since it detected the changes of the length of lymphoidal tubules and spheroids using a micrometer and a low-power light microscope. Two novel quantitative models were developed and tested here, i.e. the spheroid-total length ratio (STLR) and morphotype (MT) score. The results show that the ratio of spheroid length and morphotypes of the spheroids continually changed in a successive fashion from an early infection type (morphotype A) to a terminal type (morphotype C) in chronic or acute experimental infection by an insect *Autographa californica* nuclear polyhydrosis virus (AcNPV). Overall, this simple technique was sensitive and reliable to detect lymphoidal changes in the prawns.

At the population level, risk factor analysis was applied relative to a declining prawn production in a farm with no written records of prawn diseases. Statistics were employed to investigate any possible correlation between the food budget (proxy measure of prawn health) and 10 parameters of daily water quality (265 working days from 18 crops); the biomass (proxy measure of prawn health) to 5 parameters of prawn biology, 6 parameters of farming management and 1 parameter of production (29 crops within 9 month data span); and 2 parameters of histology (35 sub-adult *P. monodon*). Coherence, analogy and histology show that high salinity (33 ppt), low temperature (26 °C), young age (< 107 days), high stocking density (> 20 individuals/m²), systemic bacterial infection (46% prevalence) and muscle necrosis (91% prevalence) were the potential risk factors of declining prawn production in the farm. Non-systemic and minor cellular changes associated with suspected viruses suggest that viral disease was very likely not a risk to the prawn production.

Since molecular techniques can be used to confirm the diagnosis by histology, the histological diagnosis of MBV was compared to non-isotope *in situ* hybridization (NISH) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays. Molecular diagnosis of MBV appears to encounter technical difficulties, which were clarified in terms of possible causes and procedural modifications.

Quantitative histopathology, statistical epidemiology and simple, low-costs, time-efficient and robust quantitative methods in this research may be suitable for places like Indonesia lacking sophisticated diagnostic facilities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| STATEMENT ON ACCESS TO THIS THESIS | ii |
|--|------|
| DECLARATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| DEDICATION | vi |
| ABSTRACT | vii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xvi |
| LIST OF TABLES | xxi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxvi |
| CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. Overview | 1 |
| 1.2. General Hypothesis | 4 |
| 1.3. General Research Paradigms | 4 |
| CHAPTER 2: QUANTITATION IN THE CHARACTERIZATION, | |
| DIAGNOSIS, PATHOLOGY AND EPIDEMIOLOGY OF | |
| BACULOVIRUSES (PARTICULARLY MBV) | 7 |
| 2.1. Introduction | 7 |
| 2.2. History of the Taxonomy of Penaeid Viruses | 9 |
| 2.3. Geographic Distribution and Host Range | 12 |
| 2.3.1. PvSNPV or BP-type viruses | 12 |
| 2.3.2. PmSNPV or MBV-type viruses | 12 |
| 2.3.3. PjNOB I or BMN-type viruses | 13 |
| 2.3.4. WSSV-type viruses | 13 |
| | |

2.4. Importance of Viruses in Prawn Aquaculture, Environment

Page

| | a | nd Human Health | 14 |
|------|---------------|---|----|
| | | 2.4.1. Prawn viruses in aquaculture | 14 |
| | | 2.4.2. Prawn viruses in environment | 16 |
| | | 2.4.3. Prawn viruses in human health and scientific interests | 17 |
| | 2.5. D | iagnosis of Viral Diseases in Penaeid Prawns | 18 |
| | | 2.5.1. Classical methods | 18 |
| | | 2.5.2. Molecular methods | 19 |
| | | 2.5.3. Diagnostic procedures for MBV disease | 19 |
| | | 2.5.3.1. Disease situation | 19 |
| | | 2.5.3.2. Asymptomatic infections | 21 |
| | 2.6. Q | uantitative Approaches in Prawn Virology | 21 |
| | | 2.6.1. Quantitative approaches in viral characterization and | |
| | | diagnosis | 21 |
| | | 2.6.1.1. Nucleocapsids and enveloped virions | 21 |
| | | 2.6.1.2. Sizes and numbers of the occlusion bodies | 22 |
| | | 2.6.1.3. Other quantitative features of infected cells | 23 |
| | | 2.6.2. Quantitative approaches in prawn pathology | 23 |
| | | 2.6.2.1. Cellular and histological lesions | 23 |
| | | 2.6.2.2. Grading the severity of diseases in prawns | 26 |
| | | 2.6.2.3. Severity of viral infection and organ tropism | 27 |
| | | 2.6.2.4. Severity of viral infection and growth parameters | |
| | | of the prawn | 29 |
| | | 2.6.3. Quantitative approaches using epidemiology | 29 |
| | 2.7. | Discussion | 33 |
| | | | |
| CHAP | FER 3 | : GENERAL METHODOLOGY | 35 |
| | 3.1. P | rawn Fixation | 35 |
| | 3.2. T | issue Embedding | 35 |
| | 3.3. H | ematoxylin and Eosin | 35 |
| | 3.4. M | licroscopy and Micrometry | 36 |
| | 3.5. M | licrophotography | 36 |

| 3.6. Computer Software for Statistical Analyses | 36 |
|--|------|
| 3.7. Data Screening | 36 |
| 3.8. Measures of Variability, Frequency Distribution and Confidence | , |
| Limits | 37 |
| 3.9. Analysis of Variance and Post-Hoc Test | 37 |
| 3.10. Analysis of Strength of Association | 37 |
| 3.11. Prevalence | 38 |
| 3.12. Grading System of the Severity of Infection | 38 |
| CHAPTER 4: MORPHOLOGY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY OF | |
| MONODON BACULOVIRUS (MBV) FROM AUSTRALIAN | |
| P. monodon AND P. merguiensis | 40 |
| 4.1. Introduction | 40 |
| 4.2. The Objectives of the Study | 40 |
| 4.3. Materials and Methods | 41 |
| 4.3.1. Prawn samples | 41 |
| 4.3.2. Prevalence study | 42 |
| 4.3.3. Anatomical sites and cytopathology of MBV infection | 42 |
| 4.3.4. Morphometrics of MBV occlusion bodies | 45 |
| 4.3.5. Statistical analyses | 45 |
| 4.4. Results | 46 |
| 4.4.1. Histological archives of the prawns | 46 |
| 4.4.2. MBV prevalence based on species and anatomical sites | 47 |
| 4.4.3. Stages of nuclear infection and ratio of infected nuclei (\mathbf{R}_{irr} |) 48 |
| 4.4.4. Severity index (SI) and ratio of cytolytic cells (R_{cc}) | 51 |
| 4.4.5. Size and number of the occlusion bodies | 53 |
| 4.5. Discussion | 57 |

| CHAPTER 5: A NOVEL QUANTITATIVE METHOD FOR ANALYSIS | |
|---|----|
| OF LYMPHOIDAL ANTI-VIRAL ACTIVITIES IN Penaeus monodon | |
| AGAINST A BACULOVIRUS | 54 |
| 5.1. Introduction 6 | 54 |
| 5.2. The Objectives of the Study 6 | 56 |
| 5.3. Materials and Methods | 56 |
| 5.3.1. Bioassays | 56 |
| 5.3.2. Severity of LO infection 6 | 58 |
| 5.3.3. Transect analysis of lymphoid organ | 58 |
| 5.3.4. Statistical analyses | 70 |
| 5.4. Results | 71 |
| 5.4.1. Reliability of the transect method | 71 |
| 5.4.2. Qualitative histopathology of prawns in the chronic assay? | 71 |
| 5.4.3. Quantitative histopathology of prawns in the chronic assay | 75 |
| 5.4.4. Quantitative histopathology of prawns in the acute assay 7 | 76 |
| 5.5. Discussion | 78 |

CHAPTER 6: THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF A POSSIBLE BACULOVIRAL

DISEASE IN A PRAWN FARM IN NORTHERN QUEENSLAND,

| AUSTRALIA | 85 |
|---|----|
| 6.1. Introduction | 85 |
| 6.2. The Objectives of the Study | 86 |
| 6.3. Materials and Methods | 86 |
| 6.3.1. The source, content and size of the data | 86 |
| 6.3.2. pH data | 86 |
| 6.3.3. Prawn samples, microscopy and diagnosis of diseases | 86 |
| 6.3.4. Computational and statistical analyses | 87 |
| 6.4. Results | 87 |
| 6.4.1. The data of environmental parameters and feed budget | 87 |
| 6.4.2. Stocking, culture period, ponds and prawn species | 88 |

| 6.4.3. Weather condition | 89 |
|--|-----|
| 6.4.4. Screening of water quality data | 90 |
| 6.4.5. Water temperature | 93 |
| 6.4.6. Dissolved oxygen (DO) | 94 |
| 6.4.7. Water pH (acidity) | 96 |
| 6.4.8. Transparency and salinity | 96 |
| 6.4.9. Feeding activities | 99 |
| 6.4.10. Correlation between feed amount and water quality | |
| parameters | 100 |
| 6.4.11. Content analysis of the biological and management data | 105 |
| 6.4.12. Correlation of the biomass to the biological and | |
| management data | 106 |
| 6.4.13. Contribution of the prawn age to the biomass outcomes | 110 |
| 6.4.14. Contribution of the stocking density to the biomass | |
| outcomes | 112 |
| 6.4.15. Contribution of the survival rate to the biomass | |
| outcomes | 114 |
| 6.4.16. The availability of anatomical organs or tissues | 115 |
| 6.4.17. Histopathological conditions | 117 |
| 6.4.18. Types of diseases | 118 |
| 6.4.19. Prevalence of infection | 120 |
| 6.4.20. Association between histopathology and diseases | 121 |
| 6.5. Discussion | 122 |
| | |

CHAPTER 7: COMPARISON OF DIAGNOSTIC TOOLS FOR

| MONODON BACULOVIRUS (MBV) FROM AUSTRALIAN PENAEIDS | 132 |
|---|-----|
| 7.1. Introduction | 132 |
| 7.2. The Objectives of the Study | 132 |
| 7.3. Materials and Methods | 133 |
| 7.3.1. Non-radioactive in situ hybridization (NISH) assay | 133 |
| 7.3.2. Hot-phenol extraction of viral DNA for PCR assay | 134 |

| 7.3.3. Primers and reaction conditions for PCR assay | 135 |
|--|-----|
| 7.3.4. Electrophoresis | 135 |
| 7.3.5. DNA sequencing analysis | 136 |
| 7.4. Results | 136 |
| 7.4.1. ISH assay | 136 |
| 7.4.2. Amount and quality of the extracted DNA | 138 |
| 7.4.3. PCR assay | 139 |
| 7.5. Discussion | 141 |
| CHAPTER 8: GENERAL DISCUSSION | 143 |
| REFERENCES | 149 |
| APPENDICES | |
| Appendix 3. Fixatives and Staining | 165 |
| Appendix 4. Data of prawn samples in the archive of Discipline of | |
| Microbiology and Immunology, James Cook University, Australia. | 166 |
| Appendix 5. Histology for slide No.: $02-128$ from the 16-h bioassay of Appendix 5. Histology for slide No.: $02-128$ from the 16-h bioassay of Appendix 5. | |
| from 12 December 2001 to 16 April 2002). | 168 |
| | |
| Appendix 6.1. Descriptive statistics of weather condition in the farm | |
| location from September 1991 to May 1992. | 171 |
| Appendix 6.2. Summary of monthly weather condition (September 1991 | |
| to May 1992). $1^* = morning; 2^{**} = afternoon$. See Table 6.2. and | |
| Appendix 6.3. for abbreviations. | 172 |
| to May 1992). 1^* = morning; 2^{**} = afternoon. See Table 6.2. and Appendix 6.3. for abbreviations. | 172 |
| | |

Appendix 6.3. Summary of daily records of weather and water quality

parameters, and total feed amount given in each pond within the relevant culture period. Rainfall (mm), temperature (°C), cloud cover (%), wind speed (knot), dissolved oxygen (ppm), turbidity (cm), salinity (ppt).

Appendix 6.4. Pearson's correlation between water quality parameters.

P.c.c. = Pearson's correlation coefficient. 177

Appendix 6. 5. The significant Pearson's correlation between the foodamount given and water quality parameters in each pond during the highfeeding phase.179

Appendix 6.6. Biological parameters from 29 crops. Stock'g date = stocking date; Sampl'g date = sampling date; STOCK = total number of animals; DEN= stocking density (number of prawns/m2); AGE = age of the prawns (days); SR = estimated survival rate (%); ABW = average body weight (g); IGR = individual growth rate (g/week); PWG = pond weight gain (kg); SC = standing crop (kg); FLW = amount of feed in the last week (kg); BWF = body weight fed (%); TRF = total running feed (kg); FCR = estimated running food conversion ratio; BIO = biomass (kg/ha). Double-head arrows show significant correlations. 183

Appendix 6.7. Diseases found in the prawn samples from the archivalslides from the histological batch encoded TVS 92-143(Microbiology and Immunology, JCU, Australia)184

Appendix 7. Results from PCR assay for MBV on *P. monodon* and *P. merguiensis*. B&Y = Belcher and Young (1998). Hsu = Hsu *et al.* (2000). *P. mono = P. monodon. P. merg = P. merguiensis*. Neg.(-) = negative.
Pos.(+) = positive.

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Figure 2.1. Components of veterinary epidemiology (adapted from Thrusfield (1985) in Thrusfield, 1986). | 32 |
| Figure 4.1. Stages of MBV infections based on occlusion body development in the nuclei. | 44 |
| Figure 4.2. The mean prevalence of MBV infection based on species and tissues. The bars represent standard error measurement (SE). | 48 |
| Figure 4.3. Tissue tropism of MBV. (a) <i>P. monodon</i> : MBV occlusion bodies in the hepatopancreatic tubules (long arrows) and healthy epithelia of anterior midgut (short arrows). (b) <i>P. merguiensis</i> : MBV occlusion bodies along the | |
| epithelial cells of anterior midgut (arrows) and healthy hepatopanceatic tubules. | 49 |
| Figure 4.4. Relationship between index of severity (SI) and ratio of cytolitic cells (R_{cc}) in the hepatopancreas of <i>P. monodon</i> infected by MBV. | 53 |
| Figure 4.5. Regression between the number of OBs/cell and the OB diameter of MBV from <i>P. monodon</i> and <i>P. merguiensis</i> . | 56 |
| Figure 5.1. Three transect planes for measurement of the length of spheroids (S) and tubules in the lymphoid organ. The severity grade = 2 . | 69 |
| Figure 5.2. Morphotypes of lymphoid organ spheroids (LOS): type A (early), B (developing) and C (final), AB (intermediate between A and B), BC (intermediate | |
| between B and C). | 70 |

Figure 5.3. Lymphoid organ: (a) Eosinophilic material within the hemal sinuses in

intertubular spaces lacking defined lymphoidal tubules. (b) A vacuolated or type C spheroid (white arrow) surrounded by an array of fibrous connective tissues (red arrow) and hemal sinuses containing eosinophilic materials. 73 Figure 5.4. Lymphoidal spheroids (white arrows): (a, b) surrounding haemal sinuses; few spheroids thrusting the endothelial layers (red arrows) into the lumens. (c) the spongy connective tissue sheath of hematopoietic tissue. (d) adjacent to necrotic columnar cuticular epithelia of the stomach lumen (red 74 arrows). Figure 5.5. Distribution of the spheroid-total length (STL) ratio from various samples during a chronic infection of AcNPV based on the morphotypes of the spheroids. tA = type A. tAB = intermediary from type A to B. tB = type B. tBC76 = intermediary from type B to C. tC = type C. Figure 5.6. The distribution of the spheroid-total length (STL) ratio from various samples during an acute infection by AcNPV based on the morphotypes of the spheroids. tA = type A. tAB = intermediary from type A to B. tB = type B. tBC= intermediary from type B to C. tC = type C. 77 Figure 5.7. The distribution of the mean monotype (MT) score and mean spheroid-total length (STL) ratio during the acute infection by AcNPV. Bars are the standard error of the means. 78 Figure 6.1. The monthly changes of the mean water temperature and pH. 93 94 Figure 6.2. The regression between the daily water temperature and pH. Figure 6.3. The regression between the daily water temperature and DO level. 95 Figure 6.4. The regression between the daily water temperature and salinity. 95

| Figure 6.5. The monthly changes of the means of DO levels in the pond water. | |
|--|-----|
| Bars are the standard deviations. The top line is the maximum boundary. The | |
| bottom line is the minimum boundary. | 96 |
| Figure 6.6. The regression between the daily water DO level and pH. | 97 |
| Figure 6.7. The regression between daily transparency and water temperature. | 97 |
| Figure 6.8. The regression of daily water transparency and DO level. | 98 |
| Figure 6.9. The regression between daily water salinity and DO level. | 98 |
| Figure 6.10. The relationship between daily water salinity and transparency. | 99 |
| Figure 6.11. A typical feeding pattern in the grow-out ponds (data from pond | |
| #14), showing the actual starting points of the initial feeding phase, increasing- | |
| feeding phase and feed-reduction phase. The trend line clarifies the pattern. | 100 |
| Figure 6.12. Frequency distribution of the biomass of the prawn crops. The line is | |
| the normal distribution curve. | 108 |
| Figure 6.13. Diagrammatic correlations between management factors and | |
| biological factors in the production (biomass) of prawns in the farm based on | |
| Pearson's correlation analysis. BWF = body weight fed (%). TRF = total running | |
| feed (kg). FLW = the amount of feed in the last week before sampling (kg). FCR | |
| = estimated running food conversion ratio. $DENSITY = number of prawns/m^2$. | |
| ABW = average body weight. AGE = age of the prawns (day). IGR = individual | |
| growth rate (g/week). PWG = pond weight gain (kg). SR = estimated survival | |
| rate (%). SC = standing crop (kg). BIOMASS = biomass of prawns (kg/ha). | 109 |

| Figure 6.14. Frequency distribution of the pond-age of prawn crops. The line is the normal distribution curve. | 111 |
|--|-----|
| Figure 6.15. Frequency distribution of the stocking density of prawn crops. The line is the normal distribution curve. | 113 |
| Figure 6.16. Frequency distribution of the survival rates of the cultured prawns. The line is the normal distribution curve. | 114 |
| Figure 6.17. Anatomical abnormalities. (a) Typical muscle necrosis. Small arrow is hepatopancreas. (b) Spheroids (long arrows) in spongy connective tissue of antennal gland with broken columnar epithelium (short arrows). (c) Granulation of hepatopancreatic tubules with intact myoepithelial layers (arrow). (d) Lymphoid organ dominated by spheroids (long arrow) among normal tubules (short arrow) suffering a grade 4 severity of infection. | 118 |
| Figure 6.18. Pathogens in the hepatopancreas. (a, b and c) Stage 1 infection of | |
| MBV-like virus (arrows). (d) Granulation of hepatopancreas by unknown | |
| etiology with intact myoepithelial layers (thin arrows). | 119 |
| Figure 7.1. Results of ISH. (a) negative control from the kit, (b) positive control from the kit, (c) the hepatopancreas of sub-adult <i>P. monodon</i> from central Java (Indonesia) heavily infected by MBV as a positive control, and (d) the same slide in (c) subjected to ISH assay. | 138 |
| Figure 7.2. PCR results using <i>P. merguiensis</i> samples from northern Queensland as the templates, 18s RNA primers of Lo <i>et al.</i> (1996 b) and Taiwan-based MBV primers of Hsu <i>et al.</i> (2000). A13/01-050 batch: 18s RNA (lane 1), template without MBV primer (lane 2), and template with MBV primer (lane 3). A14/00- 051 batch: 18s RNA (lane 4), template without MBV primer (lane 5), and | |
| template with MBV primer (lane 6). $M = 1$ kbp DNA ladder. | 140 |

Figure 7.3. Non-specific products of PCR assay for MBV in *P. monodon* using two different primers. Belcher and Young (1998) primers: nested without template (lane 1), nested with template (lane 2), primary without template (lane 3), primary with template (lane 4). Hsu *et al.* (2000) primers: without template (lane 5), with template (lane 6). M = 1 kbp DNA ladder.

Figure 7.4. Amplicons of MBV primers (Hsu *et al.*, 2000) from 'hot start' PCR assay. M = 1 kbp DNA ladder. Lane 1: 300 ng *P. monodon* DNA template.
Lane 2: no template. Lane 3: 300 ng *P. merguiensis* DNA template. Lane 4: purified gel agarose of products in lane 3.

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Table 2. 1. Morphometric variations of penaeid baculoviruses in the world | 11 |
| Table 2.2. A generalized grading system of severity of diseases in prawns | |
| (Lightner, 1996). | 25 |
| Table 4.1. Histological archives of penaeids in JCU submitted from 1988-2002. | 41 |
| Table 4.2. Baculoviral occurrence in penaeid histological archives of JCU | |
| (1988-2002) | 46 |
| Table 4.3. Prevalence of MBV infection among infected batches of <i>P. monodon</i> | |
| and <i>P. merguiensis</i> and anatomical sites of the prawns. | 47 |
| Table 4.4. The sample sizes of each anatomical site in each prawn species with | |
| absence or presence of MBV infection. | 50 |
| Table 4.5. The mean percentage \pm SE of the proportion of nuclei of HP and MG | |
| of <i>P. monodon</i> and <i>P. merguiensis</i> with different stages of MBV. $N =$ the | |
| animals with MBV present (Table 4.4.). Different characters denote a significant | |
| difference ($p > 0.05$). | 50 |
| Table 4.6. Cell-based severity index (SI) and ratio of cytolytic cells (R_{cc}) in | |
| different anatomical sites of different prawn species. $n =$ the number of | |
| anatomical organ. | 52 |
| Table 4.7. The levels of significant difference of SI and R_{cc} means by LSD test | |
| based on anatomical sites of both prawn species. | 52 |

| Table 4.8. The model, R^2 , R and strength of correlation between SI (x) and R_{cc} (y) for different anatomical sites in both prawn species. | 53 |
|--|----|
| Table 4.9. The diameters of OBs (in μ m) based on prawn species and anatomical sites. | 53 |
| Table 4.10. OB size (in μ m) based on prawn species, anatomical sites and number of OB/cell. HP = hepatopancreas, MG = midgut. | 55 |
| Table 4.11. The results of LSD test on OB sizes based on OB number/cell. $md =$ the mean difference between the means of OB diameters from two categories being compared. se = standard error measurement. p = probability is significant at p < 0.05. | 55 |
| Table 4.12. Summary of quantitative differences of MBV from <i>P. monodon</i> and <i>P. merguiensis</i> from Australia. Single numbers are the average values with p << 0.05. | 56 |
| Table 5.1. Predictive equations for the number of spheroids (SN) based on the spheroid total length (STL) and the ratio of spheroid length to transect total length (STL Ratio). | 70 |
| Table 5.2. The mean STL ratio (%) of each LOS monotype in the lymphoid organ of <i>P. monodon</i> during the chronic infection with AcNPV based on the sampling time. $N =$ the number of sampling time when LOS was seen. | 74 |
| Table 6.1. A reconstruction of culture periods for each crop. Shadowed boxes are the lengths of the culture period. | 88 |

| Table 6.2. Pooled data for weather condition from September 1991 to May 1992 | 89 |
|--|-----|
| Table 6.3. Changes of mean and standard deviation (SD) for pooled water quality from September 1991 to May 1992 (before and after the data screening). | 90 |
| Table 6.4. Monthly water quality from September 1991 to May 1992. *A.M. = morning; N/A = no recorded data; **cannot be calculated for one datum. | 91 |
| Table 6.5. Pearson's correlation (2-tailed test) between daily water quality parameters. The bold numbers show significant correlation. | 92 |
| Table 6.6. Daily feed in the grow-out ponds at different phases. A pond stocked twice was denoted A and B to distinguish two crops. Total feeding period and mean daily feed amount were calculated from the total amount of feed divided by the number of days when the prawns fed. Days without feeding were omitted from the calculation. | 101 |
| Table 6.7. Significant correlation (marked with "x") between food amount given and water quality parameters for each pond during the high feeding phase. Mo= morning, Aft = afternoon, Nit = night, Av = daily mean, T = transparency, S = salinity. | 102 |
| Table 6.8. The modes of correlation between food amount and water quality parameters for all ponds based on Pearson's correlation analysis. *Only one datum available, thus excluded from the calculation of the pooled mean for DO. | 104 |
| Table 6.9. Statistical summary of the management and biological parameters. | 106 |
| Table 6.10. Statistical summary of 20 valid data sets from farm records. Useful $\%$ N = the proportion of data points within each parameter in the 20 valid data | |
| sets. | 107 |

xxiii

| Table 6.11. Correlation of the biomass to the other parameters based on | |
|--|-----|
| Pearson's correlation analysis. P.c.c. = Pearson's correlation coefficient; P* = | |
| significant level at p < 0.05 (2-tailed). | 108 |
| | |
| Table 6.12. Models of parameter correlations based on the stepwise regression | |
| analysis. For key to the abbreviations see the legend in Figure 6.13. | 110 |
| | |
| Table 6.13. Parameters with a significant correlation to the pond-age. | 112 |
| | |
| Table 6.14. Parameters with a significant correlation to the stocking density. | 113 |
| | |
| Table 6.15. Parameters with a significant correlation to the survival rate. | 115 |
| | |
| Table 6.16. The frequency of availability and observable anatomy in 35 slides. | 116 |
| | |
| Table 6.17. Types of anatomical abnormalities in selected organs and tissue. | 117 |
| | 100 |
| Table 6.18. Diseases found in the prawns. | 120 |
| Table 6.10 Provalence of discosse agents in each organ and for the total sample | |
| The denominator is the number of slides containing the respected organ (see | |
| Table 6 16) * Two complex contains both becteria and viruses. See the texts for | |
| the key to abbreviations | 101 |
| | 121 |
| Table 6.20 Attributable Risk (AR) and Odds Ratio (OR) of orderna in the | |
| hepatopancreas due to viral infection in any part of the body of the prawns AR3 | |
| $= [(7/32) - 3/16]/(7/32) = 13.64\%$: OR = $(4 \times 13)/(3 \times 12) = 1.44$ | 121 |
| $(1,1,2,\dots,2,1,1,1,2,\dots,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,$ | |

Table 6.21. Attributable Risk (AR) and Odds Ratio (OR) of abnormality in the hepatopancreas by the combination of viruses and bacteria in the prawn body.

$$AR3 = [(13/32) - (2/8)]/(13/32) = 39\%; OR = (11 \times 6)/(2 \times 13) = 2.54$$
121

Table 6.22. Attributable Risk (AR) and Odds Ratio (OR) of muscular damageby bacteria. AR3 =
$$[(30/35) - (24/28)]/(30/35) = 0\%$$
; OR = $(6x4)/(24x1) = 1$ Table 7.1. Results of ISH. (a) Positive controls: cultured prawns of central Java,

Indonesia and ISH kit. (b) Negative controls: wild prawns of Kupang Bay, west Timor, Indonesia, and ISH kit. + + + : very severe MBV infection, or react very strongly to the probe. + + : severe MBV infection, or react strongly to the probe. + : weak reaction to the probe. - : no MBV found, or no reaction to the probe. 137

| Table 8.1. A matrix of simple and robust parameters for assessing the | |
|---|-----|
| relationship between prawns, pathogens and the environment. | 144 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ABW | Average body weight |
|-------|--|
| AcNPV | Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus |
| AMG | Anterior mid-gut |
| AR | Attributable risk |
| BBV | Bennettae baculovirus |
| BMNV | Baculoviral midgut gland necrosis virus |
| BP | Baculovirus penaei |
| BWF | Body weight fed |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| d.p.i | Day post injection |
| DIG | Dixogenin-11-dUTP |
| EDTA | Ethylenediaminetetracetic acid |
| ELISA | Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay |
| FA | Fluorescent antibody |
| FCR | Food conversion ratio |
| FLW | Feed last week (the amount of feed in the last week before sampling) |
| GAV | Gill-associated virus |
| HHNBV | Hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis baculovirus |
| HP | Hepatopancreas |
| h.p.i | Hour post injection |
| HPV | Hepatopancreatic parvo-like virus |
| ICTV | International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses |
| IGR | Individual growth rate |
| IHHNV | Infectious hypodermal and haematopoietic necrosis virus |
| LO | Lymphoid organ |
| LOS | Lymphoid organ spheroid |
| LOV | Lymphoid organ virus |
| LOVV | Lymphoidal organ vacuolization virus |
| LPV | Lympoidal parvo-like virus |

| MbSNPV | Metapenaeus bennettae single nucleocapsid polyhedrosis virus |
|-----------------|--|
| MBV | Monodon baculovirus |
| MG | Mid gut |
| MNPV | Many nucleocapsid polyhedrosis virus |
| MT score | Morphotype score |
| NISH | Non-isotope in situ hybridization |
| OB | Occlusion body |
| OR | Odds ratio |
| PBV | Plebejus baculovirus |
| PCR | Polymerase chain reaction |
| PjNOB I | Penaeus japonicus non occluded baculovirus I |
| PL | Postlarvae |
| PmSNPV | Penaeus monodon single nucleocapsid polyhedrosis virus |
| PvSNPV | Penaeus vannamei single nucleocapsid polyhedrosis virus |
| PWG | Pond weight gain |
| R _{cc} | Ratio of cytolytic cells |
| R _{in} | Ratio of infected nuclei |
| RNA | Ribonucleic acid |
| RV-PJ | Rod-shaped nuclear virus of Penaeus japonicus |
| SC | Standing crop |
| SEM | Scanning electron microscopy |
| SEMBV | Systemic ectodermal and mesodermal baculovirus |
| SI | Severity index |
| SMV | Spawner-isolated mortality virus |
| SN | Spheroid number |
| SNPV | Single nucleocapsid polyhedrosis virus |
| SPF | Specific-pathogen free |
| SPR | Specific-pathogen resistance |
| SR | Survival rate |
| STL | Spheroid-total length |
| STLR | Spheroid-total length ratio |

- TEM Transmission electron microscopy
- TRF Total running feed
- TSV Taura syndrome virus
- WSBV White spot syndrome baculoviruses
- WSSV White spot syndrome virus
- YHV Yellow-head virus