

## A

Action research, 33–34  
 Activities of daily living, 34  
 Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, 53, 54  
 ADI. *See* Alberta Depression Initiative  
 ADLs. *See* Activities of daily living  
 AGREE. *See* Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation  
 AHEC. *See* Australian Health Ethics Committee  
 Alberta Depression Initiative, 223  
 ALL. *See* Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia  
 Alternate allocation, 188  
 Alzheimer's disease, 12  
 Analysis of covariance, 165, 190  
 Analysis of variance, 165  
 ANCOVA. *See* Analysis of covariance  
 ANOVA. *See* Analysis of variance  
 Anti-smoking campaigns, 42  
 Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation, 211, 213  
 Appraisal of statistical research studies, 192  
   criteria for, 195  
   grades of recommendation, 194  
   levels of evidence  
     dimensions of, 192–193  
     evidence table, 193–194  
     tools, 194–195  
 Artefacts, in interpretive research, 121–122  
 Asia-Pacific Health Research Forum, 48  
 Attitudes of homeless people, 119  
 Attrition rate, 97  
   response and, 111–112  
 Audit trail, 140  
 Auditability, 180  
 Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research, 66–67  
 Australian Health Ethics Committee, 66  
 Australian National Health Priorities, 47  
 Australian National Health Survey, 53  
 Australian Research Council, 46  
 Autonomous practice, 141  
 Autonomy, 63  
 Axial coding, 143

## B

BARRIERS scale, 221, 224  
 Bell curve, 157  
 Belmont principles, 69  
 Belmont Report, 66  
 Beneficence in research, 70–72  
 Bias, 100. *See also* Sampling error  
 Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, 45  
 Bimodal distribution, 149  
 Bio-physiological data, 129  
 Blobbogram plot, 205  
 Boolean operators, 89  
 Bracketing, 116  
 Breast cancer  
   research benefits from, 50  
   research in Australia, 51  
   survival, 46  
 BRINC trial, 231

## C

Case study  
   as research approach, 34  
   multiple, 35  
 Causal relationship, 27  
 CF. *See* Cystic fibrosis  
 Chi-square test, 167  
 CINAHL Database, 91, 92  
 CIs. *See* Confidence intervals  
 Clinical audit, 219  
 Clinical practice guidelines, 249–250  
   adaptation to local context, 213–214  
   attributes of, 210–211  
   finding and appraising, 211–213  
   quality attributes of, 212  
 Clinical significance, 166, 167  
   calculations, 169  
 Cluster sampling, 105  
 Cochrane Database, 90, 93  
 Cochrane Library, 91  
 Coding, 140–141. *See also* Interpretive data analysis  
   axial, 143  
   open, 141–142

- Cohort designs, 28. *See also* Longitudinal study
- Communication difficulties, 67–68
- Concurrent sampling, 112
- validity, 184
- Conduct and Utilisation of Research model, 228, 229
- Confidence intervals, 161–162
- Confirmability, 180
- Confounding variables
- statistical control of, 190
  - study designs
    - differences in study conditions, 191–192
    - expectations of study outcomes, 190–191
    - pre-existing differences in study groups, 187–190
- Consent, 69
- Construct validity, 183
- Constructivist methodology, in research, 19
- Consumer-driven research, 55–56
- Consumers demand choice, 55
- Content
- analysis, 144
  - validity, 183
- Contingency table, 152
- Continuous data, 123
- Control group, 28
- Convenience sampling, 100
- Correlation studies, 30–31, 152, 154
- Credibility, 180
- Criterion-related validity, 183, 184
- Critical appraisal checklists, 194, 244
- Critical social theory, 12, 19
- Cronbach's alpha, 185–186
- Cross tabulations, 152
- CURN model. *See* Conduct and Utilisation of Research model
- Curvilinear relationship, 154, 155
- Cystic fibrosis, 53, 54
- D**
- Data analysis, 136
- in interpretive research studies, 144
  - in mixed methods research, 168–170
- Data collection
- in mixed methods research, 133–134
  - in statistical research
    - measurement, 122–124
    - scales, 124–127
    - techniques, 127
  - documents and diaries, 132–133
  - observation, 127–130
  - questionnaires, 131–132
  - self-report data, 130–131
  - structured interviews, 132
  - within action research cycle, 33
- Data consistency, 130
- Data distribution, 156
- Data generation
- in interpretive research, 116–117
  - in mixed methods research, 133–134
- Data organisation, 139–140
- Data sampling, 113
- Database searching
- Boolean operators for, 89
  - manual searching, 91–92
  - of useful documents, 90–91
  - results of, 90
  - using Medline, 88–89
- Death rates, from circulatory diseases, 41
- Declaration of Helsinki, 64
- Degrees of freedom (*df*), 164, 181
- Descriptive research designs, 30
- Descriptive statistics, 147–155
- Diabetic diet, 7
- Diagramming, 144. *See also* Interpretive data analysis
- Diaries for statistical research, 133
- Direct observation, 129. *See also* Data collection
- Discordance, 82
- Discourse analysis, 121, 144
- Discriminant validity, 184
- Disease prevention, 54–55
- Distress, 60
- Documents. *See also* Interpretive research for statistical research, 132
- used in interpretive studies, 120
- E**
- EBSCO databases, 83
- ED. *See* Emergency department

- Education campaigns, 42
  - Electronic databases, 84–85
  - Electronic search, 85
    - of useful documents, 90–91
  - Epidemic of meningococcal disease, 52–53
  - Ethical guidelines, 58, 64–67
  - Ethical issues, 59
  - Ethical principles, 63
  - Ethnography, 21
  - Evidence implementation
    - plan, 226–228
    - BRINC trial, 231–232
    - evaluation, 230–234
    - implementation, 228–230
    - proposals and, 252–253
    - questions for framing, 226
  - Evidence summaries, 207–210
    - exploring, 209
    - healthcare organisations, 207
  - Evidence, categories of, 83
  - Evidence, reviews of
    - interpretive, 200–201
    - narrative, 201–202
    - systematic, 202–203
      - judging quality of, 205
      - meta-analysis, 204–205
      - publication bias, 206
      - resources for, 206–207
  - Evidence-based healthcare
    - decision-making, 9
    - resources, 82
      - electronic databases, 83–85
      - professional journals, 82–83
  - Evidence-based midwifery, 7
  - Evidence-based occupational therapy, 7
  - Evidence-based practice, 6, 7. *See also*
    - Research
      - barriers to, 9–10, 251
      - change
        - barriers to, 222
        - principles for, effective management of, 225
        - tips for sustaining, 233
      - model of, 9
      - steps, 8
  - Evidence-based recommendations, 218–219
  - Experimental research, 27
  - Explanatory statements, 70
  - Explicit themes, 143
  - External validity, 109
- F**
- Face validity, 183
  - Factor analysis, 166
  - Field notes, 21, 120
  - Fittingness, 180
  - Focus groups, 119
  - Focused clinical question
    - PICO as organiser, 86–87
    - writing, 84–85
  - Forest plot, 205
  - Frequency distribution, 156
  - Frequency histogram, 156, 157
- G**
- General clinical practice, 3
  - Generalisability, 109
    - and applicability, 108–111
  - German physicians, 59
  - GIN. *See* Guidelines International Network
  - Global Forum for Health Research, 44
  - Global population, 44
  - Glycaemic index foods, 7
  - Google, 93, 94
  - Grounded theory, of research, 22–23
  - Group discussion, 119. *See also* Interviews
  - Guidelines International Network, 211
- H**
- Hawthorne effect, 129
  - Health
    - determinants of, 42
    - in developing countries, 41
    - indicators of, 41
    - information, 55
    - promotion, 54–55
    - services, 43
  - Health and Disability Ethics Committees, 68
  - Health professionals, 3, 8, 21

- Health research, 43–44
    - areas of, 43
    - collaboration in, 47–48
    - determining trends in, 48–49
    - prevalence and profile, 50, 52
    - rising trends, 52–53
    - visibility, 53–54
    - investment in, 44–45
    - programs in media, 49
    - setting priorities, 45
  - Health Research Council, 45, 46, 53
  - Health Services Technology/Assessment Texts, 84
  - Health trends, 40
    - changes in, 42
    - in high-income countries, 41
    - of lung cancer, 42
    - reflecting broader healthcare and, 42
  - Health-promoting interventions, 42
  - Healthcare consumers, 55. *See also*
    - Consumer-driven research
  - Healthcare decisions, 3
  - Healthcare products, 48
  - High-income countries, 41
  - Historical research, 22
    - use of text in, 121
  - Historiography, 22
  - HRC. *See* Health Research Council
  - HREC. *See* Human Research Ethics Committees
  - HSTAT. *See* Health Services Technology/Assessment Texts
  - Human Research Ethics Committees, 68
  - Hypothesis testing, 159–160
    - error in, 163
- I
- ICD codes, 40
  - ICIHRP. *See* International Collaborative Indigenous Health Research Partnership
  - Impact theories, 224
  - Implementation plan, 227–230. *See also*
    - Conduct and Utilisation of Research model
  - Implementation science, 225
  - In vivo codes, 141
  - In-depth interviews, 117
  - Indirect observation, 129. *See also*
    - Data collection
  - Inferential statistics, 155–159
  - Institutional Review Board, 68
  - Instrument. *See also* Statistical research
    - reliability, 184–186
    - validity, 183
  - Intelligence quotient, 158
  - Intention to treat analysis, 112
  - Inter-professional respect, 141
  - Inter-rater reliability, 186
  - Internal consistency, 185
  - International Collaborative Indigenous Health Research Partnership, 47
  - Internet search engine, 93
  - Internet searching, 92–94
  - Internet-based information, 92
  - Interpretive data analysis, 137–139
    - methods of, 140
      - coding, 141–142
      - diagramming, 144–145
      - memoing, 141, 143
      - thematic analysis, 143–144
  - Interpretive data sources, 117
    - artefacts, 121–122
    - documents and literature, 121
    - fieldnotes, 120
    - in-depth interviews, 117–119
  - Interpretive findings, 145–146
  - Interpretive research, 19–20
    - criteria for appraising, 182
    - findings, 146
    - principle interpretive methodologies, 20–21
      - ethnography, 21
      - grounded theory, 21–22
      - historical research, 22
      - phenomenology, 22
      - quality in, 178–182
      - umbrella of, 20
  - Interpretive researchers, 110
  - Interpretive reviews, 200–201
  - Interpretivism, 11, 12
  - Interval level, 123. *See also* Measurement
  - Interviews, 118–119. *See also* Data
    - collection; Interpretive research

- highly-structured, 117
  - recordings of, 119
  - semi-structured, 118
  - transcript, 140
  - IQ. *See* Intelligence quotient
  - IRB. *See* Institutional Review Board
- J**
- JBI Best Practice summaries, 208
  - Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI), 84, 201
  - Jurisdictions, 73
  - Justice, 72
- K**
- Kruskal-Wallis test, 167
  - Kuder–Richardson 20 (K–R 20), 185
- L**
- Language
    - of health care, 10–11
    - of interpretive research, 19
  - Legal issues, questions to guide, 75
  - Legal regulation of research, 73
  - Likert scales, 124–126, 126
  - Longitudinal study, 28, 29
- M**
- Malayan emergency, 22
  - Mammography screening, 50
  - MANCOVA. *See* Multiple analysis of covariance
  - Mann-Whitney U test, 167
  - MANOVA. *See* Multiple analysis of variance
  - Matching pairs, of participants, 189
  - Measurement
    - concept, 122
    - levels of, 122–125
  - Measures of central tendency, 148–150
  - Measures of variability, 150
  - Median, 149
  - Medical research
    - compulsory participation in, 71
  - Medline, 84, 85, 92. *See also* Database searching
    - indexes documents on cancer, 87
    - to conduct search, 88–89
  - Memoing, 141, 143
  - Meningococcal B epidemic, 52–53
  - Mental health, 53
  - Meta-analysis, 169, 204, 207. *See also* Evidence, reviews of
  - Meta-synthesis, 200
  - Method, define, 14
  - Methodology, define, 14
  - Microsoft Word®, 139, 141
  - Mind map, 145
  - Mixed methods
    - research, 31–33
      - advantages and disadvantages of, 35
      - designs, 14
      - quality in, 196
      - sampling continuum, 112
  - Mode, 148, 149
  - Mortality rates, 41
  - Multi-stage sampling, 105
  - Multilevel sampling, 112
  - Multimodal distribution, 149
  - Multiple analysis of covariance, 165, 190
  - Multiple analysis of variance, 165
  - Multiple case study, 35
  - Multiple regression analysis, 166
  - Multivariate tests, 165
- N**
- Naïve subjects, 60
  - Narrative analysis, 144
  - Narrative reviews, 201–202
  - National Ethics Advisory Committee, 67
  - National Health and Medical Research Council, 46, 47, 66, 67, 192
  - National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 94, 211
  - National Institute of Clinical Studies, 210
  - National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research, 66
  - Naturalistic Inquiry*, 179
  - NEAC. *See* National Ethics Advisory Committee
  - Needs analysis, 219–221
    - for practice change, 220
  - Netting the evidence, 94. *See also* Google
  - New Zealand Guidelines Group, 210

- New Zealand Public Health and Disability Act 2000, 68
- New Zealand research portfolio strategy, 46
- NHMRC. *See* National Health and Medical Research Council
- NICE. *See* National Institute for Clinical Excellence
- NICS. *See* National Institute of Clinical Studies
- NNT. *See* Number needed to treat
- Non-parametric tests, 164, 166
- Non-probability samples, 98–100
- convenience quota sample, 100–101
  - convenience sample, 100
  - purposive sampling, 101
  - quota sampling, 100
  - snowball sampling, 101
  - theoretical sampling, 102
- Normal distribution curve, 157
- Null hypothesis, 26, 159
- Number needed to treat, 167–168
- Nuremberg Code, 64–66
- Nuremberg trials, 59
- NZGG. *See* New Zealand Guidelines Group
- O**
- Odds ratio, 169
- Ontology, 13
- Open coding, 141, 142
- Open-ended questions, 118
- Operational definition, 25
- OR. *See* Odds ratio
- Ovid databases, 83
- P**
- PAR. *See* Participatory action research
- Parametric statistical tests, 164–166
- PARiHS framework, 228
- Participants
- in interpretive research, 96
  - random allocation of, 188
- Participatory action research
- cycle, 20
  - in clinical practice improvement, 34
- Participatory research designs, 20
- Patient-centred care, 56
- Pearson's  $r$ , 153
- Phenomenological analysis, 22
- Phenomenology, 22
- Philanthropy, 48
- Philosophies, 11
- Population, 97–98
- Positivism, 11, 12
- Post-positivistic inquiry, 180
- Power analysis, 107–108
- Power of statistical study, 106–107
- analysis, 107–108
  - to estimate sample size, 109
  - appraising power, 108
  - defining, 106
- Pragmatic research designs, 13
- Pragmatism, 13
- Predictive validity, 184
- Principle, of non-maleficence, 63
- Probability samples, 98, 99, 103
- cluster/multi-stage, 105
  - simple random, 103–104
  - stratified random, 104–105
  - systematic, 105–106
- Process theories, 224
- Professional journals, 74, 82–83
- Professionalism, 6
- Project management, 228
- Prospective statistical research
- designs, 28
- Providing opportunity, 141
- Public health, 42
- Public–private sector partnerships, 45
- Publication, of research study, 83
- Published research
- ethical and legal issues, evaluation, 74–75
- PubMed, 84
- Purposive sampling, 101
- Q**
- Q-sort technique, 127
- Quantitative research, 23
- Quantitising, 169
- Quasi-experimental statistical research
- designs, 28
- Queensland Health workforce, 113

- Questionnaires, 131–132. *See also* Data collection  
 closed-ended/open-ended, 131  
 Delphi technique, 131  
 response rates, 132
- Quintain, 35
- Quota sampling, 100
- R**
- Random sampling, 103
- Randomised controlled trial, 28, 164, 206
- Range, 150
- Rate calculation, 40
- Rate measures, 40
- Rates, of lung cancer in women, 42
- Ratio level, 123. *See also* Measurement
- RCT. *See* Randomised controlled trial
- Reciprocity, 117
- Reflexivity, 116  
 in research, 12
- Regression analysis, 165
- Relative risk, 169
- Representative sample, 28
- Research  
 blinding in, 191  
 common mythical beliefs of, 5  
 concept of quality in, 178  
 funding, for ‘invisible’ conditions, 54  
 funds, allocation, 54  
 history of, 5–6  
 importance, 4–5  
 into health risk factors, 54  
 into prevention of obesity, 55  
 language of, 10–11  
 legal issues in, 72–74  
 methodology *vs.* method, 14  
 report, discussion section, 170–171  
 statistical (*see* Statistical research)  
 understanding of, 3
- Research councils, 45
- Research Ethics Committees in the United Kingdom, 68
- Research hypothesis, 26–27
- Research journal article, 82
- Research papers, approach to assess, 243
- Research traditions, 11–14, 18  
 characteristics of, 18
- Research, ethics in, 59  
 ethics committees, 68  
 guidelines, 64–67  
 Belmont Report, 66, 67  
 Declaration of Helsinki, 64  
 legislation, 66  
 National organisations for, 67  
 Nuremberg Code, 64–66  
 historical perspectives, 59–61, 60  
 Tuskegee experiment, 60  
 Willowbrook study, 60  
 procedures to protect participants, 69  
 beneficence, 70–72  
 justice, 72  
 respect for persons, 69–70  
 theoretical perspectives, 62  
 deontological theories, 62–63  
 ethical principles, 63  
 teleological theories, 63
- Researcher, position, 116–117
- Respect for persons, 66. *See also* Autonomy
- Response rate, 112
- Retrospective data, 30
- Reviews of research findings, resources  
 for, 247–248
- Risks  
 assessment of, 70  
 factors, 153  
 in order of severity, 71  
 modification of known, 54  
 unforeseen, 71
- Rogers’s diffusion of innovation theory, 224
- RR. *See* Relative risk
- S**
- Samples, 97–98  
 determining quality, 106  
 in mixed methods studies, 112–113  
 strategies, 112  
 to study populations, 97
- Sampling error, 103
- Sampling frame, 108
- Scales. *See also* Measurement  
 Likert scales, 124–126  
 Q-sort, 127  
 semantic differential scales, 126, 128  
 visual analogue scales, 127

- Self-examination, 50
  - Self-report data, 130–131
  - Semantic differential scales, 126
  - Semistructured interviews, 118
  - Sensitive data, 131
  - Sensitivity, 130
  - Sequential sampling, 112
  - Significance level, 107
  - Silent conditions, 54
  - Simple random sampling, 103–104
  - Skewed distribution, 158
  - Snowball sampling, 101, 102
  - Social environment, 42
  - Social justice, 13
  - Software programs, 139, 201. *See also*
    - Data organisation
  - Spearman rank coefficient, 167
  - Spearman's rank correlation
    - coefficient, 153
  - Specialist clinical practice, 3
  - Specificity, 130
  - Spreadsheet software, 139
  - Square of the correlation coefficient, 154
  - Standard deviation, 150
  - Statistical content analysis, 132
  - Statistical data analysis, 146
    - methods of, 146–147
    - descriptive statistics, 147–155
    - inferential statistics, 156–159
  - Statistical research
    - appraisal, 192–195
    - designs, 23, 29
      - hypotheses, 26–27
      - populations, 23–24
      - samples, 23–24
      - variable, 24–26
    - quality in, 182
      - confounding variables, control of, 187–192
      - content validity, 184
      - criterion-related validity, 184
      - data accuracy, 182–183
      - instrument reliability, 184–186
      - instrument validity, 183
      - precision, 182–183
  - Statistical significance, 159–160
    - confidence intervals, 161–162
    - level, 160–161
    - results application, 162–163
  - Statistical tests, 164
  - Stratified purposive sampling, 112
  - Stratified random sampling, 104–105
  - Structured interviews, 132
  - Study power, 107
  - Study sample, 96
  - Survey research, 30
  - Systematic reviews, 202–203
    - processes for conducting, 245–246
  - Systematic sampling, 105
- T**
- T-test, 165
  - Test-retest reliability, 185–186
  - Thalidomide and birth defects, 61
  - Thematic analysis, 143–144. *See also*
    - Interpretive data analysis
  - Theoretical sampling, 102
  - Timing outcome evaluation, 231
  - Trend, in infant mortality rate, 42
  - Triangulation, 33
- U**
- Unethical practices, 61
  - Unethical research studies,
    - assessment, 62
- V**
- Variability, 150
  - Variables
    - and samples, 241
    - confounding, control of, 187–192
      - managing differences, 191–192
      - managing expectations, 190–191
      - managing pre-existing differences, 187–190
    - dependent and independent, 24, 25
    - extraneous, 24
    - operationalised, in research report, 25
    - precise operational, importance for, 26
  - Variance, 150
  - VAS. *See* Visual analogue scales
  - Visibility, 55
  - Visual analogue scales, 127, 128
  - Voice recognition technology, 139



**W**

Website, evaluating quality, 93

WHO. *See* World Health Organization

WHOSIS. *See* World Health Organization  
Statistical Information System

Wilcoxon matched-pairs test, 167

Women's health researchers, 52

Word processing, 139

Workplace culture, 10

World Bank, 45

World Health Organization, 40

World Health Organization Statistical  
Information System, 41

Written materials, 121

Written report, of study, 21



# Using Research in Healthcare Practice

1st Australian and New Zealand Edition

**Sue Nagy**, RN, PhD, FCN

**Jane Mills**, RN, PhD, BN, MN, MEd, FRCNA

**Donna Waters**, RN, PhD, BA, MPH, FCN

**Melanie Birks**, RN, PhD, BN, MEd

**Using Research in Healthcare Practice** recognises that the work of healthcare professionals needs to be based on the latest available evidence for practice with reference to patient preferences. This book takes a fresh approach to teaching students about research that includes structured learning objectives, activities and critical thinking questions. Instead of focusing on the reader as a potential researcher, the authors have focused on preparing healthcare professionals to be informed consumers of research findings.

The book is intended for all undergraduate students undertaking courses in the healthcare professions.

#### Key features

- Provides undergraduate students with a practical guide to evidence-based practice.
- Focuses on the use of research evidence in practice, including strategies for:
  - formulating a searchable clinical question
  - searching for evidence using electronic databases and following up with manual searches
  - critically appraising research reports, literature reviews and summaries of evidence
  - developing techniques for the application of evidence to practice.
- Examples of research from Australian, New Zealand and international sources are used to illustrate key points.



Wolters Kluwer  
Health

Lippincott  
Williams & Wilkins

ISBN 978-1-920-99402-0



9 781920 994020 >